In the Matter of:

CITIZENS POLICE REVIEW BOARD

December 09, 2020



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

1	CITY OF COLUMBIA
2	CITIZENS POLICE REVIEW BOARD
3	
4	
5	
6	Transcript of Meeting
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	December 9, 2020, 6:00 p.m.
12	City Hall, Council Chambers
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Reported by: Jenni Bosh, RPR, CRR, CCR 1108
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
2	Travis Pringle, Chairman
3	Wayne Boykin
4	Cornelia Williams
5	Alex Hackworth
6	Heather Heckman-McKenna
7	Dillon Pierson
8	Andrew Fisher
9	Carley Gomez (Virtual)
10	
11	
12	ALSO PRESENT:
13	Rose Wibbenmeyer, Assistant City Counselor
14	Sergeant Scott Alpers, Columbia Police Department
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

2.5

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: We will bring this meeting of the Citizens Police Review Board to order. Catherine Grover, she has informed us tonight that she will not be able to attend today's meeting. And with that, this is the Citizen's Police Review Board. We work to bridge the gap between law enforcement and the community to help increase trust and accountability.

Before we move on, we do have -- we have two new members to the board. One was able to introduce himself to everybody last month. That was Alex Hackworth over here, but Dillon Pierson, we had his face, but not his voice last month, so Dillon, please introduce yourself to the community and maybe just kind of let us know what brought you to us.

MR. PIERSON: Sure. Yeah. Absolutely. So my name's Dillon Pierson. Kind of a new resident to Columbia. I just moved here, I actually work for a waste intercycling company down in Jefferson City. I like living here, I really enjoy the community. I've actually been here before. And I'm an undergraduate -- well, my undergraduate degree is from University of Kansas, so please don't hold that against me. I do love this community very much.

What really brought me here is I'm a

1	veteran, so I used to serve in the Army, I was an Army
2	officer for six years. I can see how trust and
3	accountability really does make the difference between a
4	successful mission and, you know, very unsuccessful and
5	very poor outcomes. So I thought I could bring some
6	maybe levity to the board and provide some of my insights
7	based on my previous experiences. So I'm glad to meet
8	you-all. Thank you.
9	MR. FISHER: Welcome.
10	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Next up on our agenda is
11	approval of this agenda. Has everyone had a chance to
12	look over the agenda? And is there a motion to approve?
13	MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: I was going to suggest
14	perhaps moving the community comments before new
15	business.
16	MS. WILLIAMS: I think we talked about that
17	before.
18	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yeah, we've done it before.
19	MS. WILLIAMS: Right.
20	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: So is that, I guess, a motion?
21	Is it seconded?
22	MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: I so move.
23	MR. FISHER: Fisher will second.
24	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Okay. And then to a motion to
25	move public comment from after to before new business.

1	All those in favor say aye.
2	(Unanimous voice vote for approval.)
3	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: All those opposed? So the
4	ayes have it.
5	So then, Rose, I just move to approve the
6	agenda with that change?
7	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Yeah, move to approve it as
8	amended.
9	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: All right. So now is there a
10	motion to approve the agenda as amended?
11	MR. FISHER: So moved.
12	MR. HACKWORTH: Second by Hackworth.
13	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Who made the motion?
14	MR. FISHER: I did.
15	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: All those in favor, say aye.
16	(Unanimous voice vote of approval.)
17	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Any opposed? Okay. The
18	agenda is approved.
19	Next up, I would like to welcome Chief Geoff
20	Jones to the podium for I guess this is our official
21	semi-annual meeting with the chief.
22	Welcome to the meeting, Chief. And yes, we
23	have talked a lot, I'm just going to open it up to the
24	board. I have a list to go through, but I can do that
25	first or wait until the end whatever the room wants to

do.

2.5

MS. WILLIAMS: Or do you have some special things that you want to report to us?

CHIEF JONES: I can give you an update on a few things. We talked -- we talked this week, actually, about finalizing a process for adding criteria to our evaluations that are more specific to community policing.

And just to talk a little bit about that, we have made steps in training. We have tried to at least internally push a philosophy shift toward the officers, and as a command staff get behind that, and then try to show that consistently for the troops in shift meetings and training and those types of things.

And we have added in the past goals to the city-wide evaluation forms that have gone out. And I don't know if you-all have seen those forms, but there are different categories in those forms that are pretty broad in scope. So things like communication and teamwork can be interpreted lots and lots of different ways.

So we have taken criteria that is specific to the mission and goals of the community outreach unit, and what we've talked about in community policing and -- and the community policing plan that we presented back in December of last year. And we're using that criteria

next year to narrow and better account for police officer 1 2 performance toward community policing. So it's an accountability measure as a 3 4 department and it's an accountability measure for I'm pretty excited about that because it will 5 officers. 6 eventually hopefully tie performance with pay. So just 7 one of the things that I'm really happy to report we're 8 almost to a point where we can implement that for next 9 year's evaluation process. 10 MS. WILLIAMS: When you talk about training, 11 exactly what areas are you focusing on with your 12 training? 13 So -- and I've -- I think I CHIEF JONES: mentioned this the last meeting, we've trained -- we've 14 15 had an outside vendor come in to talk about customer 16 service, and that takes on a whole lot of -- there are a 17 lot of communication components to that, how we're 18 communicating, those types of things. 19 Deescalation, implicit bias, bias-free 20 policing, our use of force takes the escalation very 21 intentionally into account. 22 MS. WILLIAMS: Foot pursuit? 23 CHIEF JONES: Foot pursuit is something that is 24 new to us, and I have an update on that, too. Once we

have the 2021 calendar finalized, and there are some

25

moving parts, I talked to Hestir before coming to this meeting, there are some spaces that we need to -- like airport and other places that we're going to need specially because of COVID.

2.5

We are trying to incorporate new instructors from different areas, and that would be, like,
CIT-related stuff, use-of-force-related stuff, implicit bias stuff, incorporating people from the community as trainers and participating in the training. So he's working on that.

That's very difficult during COVID to get people to volunteer to do that stuff, but he's working on that, and I don't see him finalizing that until he gets some of that ironed out. We had a conversation about that today.

MS. WILLIAMS: So Chief, in the three years I've sat here on the board, I've never seen, like, your yearly training report. Is it possible that the board can get a view of that, so that -- I know that you're probably set in stone now what your trainings are going to be, but I think the board -- at least I'm speaking on behalf of the board -- we would like to know what trainings you're going to have so that we may be able to make some suggestions going in the future because you definitely said customer service, which I'm happy to see that, but I

also -- listening to the community, there are other 1 2 trainings that I know the community would like to see on there as well. 3 CHIEF JONES: Can you tell me what some of those 5 are? 6 MS. WILLIAMS: Definitely foot pursuit. 7 Community definitely wants to see foot pursuit training 8 on there. And -- and I think that being very transparent 9 is very important to the board as well as the community. And if you can show the community where you're going, 10 11 what you're doing, I think that's going to speak a lot. 12 CHIEF JONES: I agree. Foot pursuit training, 13 they have the video produced. I had asked him, we had talked briefly about it here, about having some 14 15 curriculum afterwards and expansion points. I have not 16 seen that yet. I inquired about that earlier this week. The assistant chief and I talked to Hestir about it 17 18 directly today. The video is produced, but I had not 19 seen the curriculum. And until he gives me that, we're 20 not going to roll it out. So once I have that, I can 21 share that with you so that you know what that looks 22 like. 23 MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you. What I have, Chief, I think 24 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Rose shared it with you as well, is kind of a list of 25

things that the board has asked for throughout the year and kind of want to get a status update on to see where they're at.

We talked about problems with foot pursuit training. So I think now we're just waiting for a more revised curriculum about these talking points. And then we just talked about the training schedule for next year. Then, so any update on your thoughts regarding putting a member of this board on your vehicle stop committee?

CHIEF JONES: Yeah, so I reached out to the chair of that committee. We have played phone tag, I got another one today that says you're it. I don't know where the committee is going to land, and I'm going to try to get that in front of them. What I'm going to suggest to the chair is that someone from this board go to that meeting and have that conversation with the committee so that I can have a recommendation from them.

You guys know my concerns. My concerns are still there, but she's the one who has to manage that committee, and I need her input before I make a decision. So as soon as I have that, then you guys will probably have someone reach out to you and invite someone to the meeting, if you haven't already.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Okay. So just be on the lookout, then, for an invite to Rose and we'll get

someone there? 1 2 CHIEF JONES: Yep. MS. WILLIAMS: So, Chief, what are some changes 3 that you have raised that you really thought as being the 4 5 new chief? So what -- what have you really focused on 6 that you felt going in really needed to have some changes 7 made? CHIEF JONES: So communication, which is still a 8 9 problem, still in progress. I hired a Communications and 10 Outreach Supervisor. 11 MS. WILLIAMS: Communication within the 12 department or communication to the citizens? Internal and external. 13 CHIEF JONES: 14 MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. 15 CHIEF JONES: And she's actually here tonight, 16 Toni Messina, who's been with the city for a long time. 17 Been with the city, been with the equalizers group, and 18 helped us with our formation and the -- the evolution of 19 community outreaching unit. 20 So her job, and she would tell you that she 21 has pages of to-do list because she just started in 22 But her job is to arrange connections between October. 23 police officers in their beats and businesses and community members as the -- as the outreach portion of 24

that. Which we had success with at the community

2.5

outreach unit, and we're trying to do that citywide.

2.5

That's going to take resources and time and for COVID to ease up, but that is a process with her.

Toni can probably tell you the number, my first

18 months, I did somewhere between 70 and 100 public appearances just to have conversations where people could ask questions not much different than this, and Toni arranged for all of those. So I'm asking her to do that same type of thing for other officers.

Additionally, she supervises the PIO function -- public information officer function of the police department. So everything that goes out of the police department, Toni knows about. And then she is able -- and we've talked at length for years at this point, Toni and I, about transparency.

And although there are limitations to transparency and how and when we can release information, we share the common goal that more information is better. Sometimes the timeliness of that has been off, and I'm hoping that improves with Toni and her position. So that has been important to me to get that position created and to get that started. So that was one of my priorities.

The other is we have officers who are very much behind the idea of service through partnerships and opening the lines of communication. We have others who

are still supportive of the idea, but they don't understand the overall picture to the degree that other officers do, and we have really been trying to promote community policing as a strength.

2.5

A proposal that was before you in the last meeting, I probably wasn't even supposed to be here, I was here and I saw that and had some conversation about it. We actually are in the process of what we call a total cop program, so that officers are evaluated and praised internally based on being a total cop. And there are benchmarks that they're developing that are in line to introduce policing, how we build partnerships, how we build relationships, all of those things get a person to that level.

MS. WILLIAMS: So are you mimicking a system that has already been in place or is this something you just kind of created as you go along?

CHIEF JONES: It's both. Command staff had talked about it. We wanted -- and have been trying to develop new ideas to get officers in line with the philosophy of the police department -- the mission of the police department. And like I said, most of them, it's kind of common sense; right? It's -- and I've said it over and over. Community policing is just good policing. I don't even like the term "community policing" because

it's just good policing. And I think most people are
behind that. Some people have never formally been
exposed to that prior to me being the chief, not to the
degree that we do now.

In service training, I go teach a block on community policing and we talk about guardianship and talking about partnering with people and how that's a strength. And we've marketed that. It's just a different tone.

Just as a side note -- I don't know how much time I have -- but I will tell you that the demonstrations for a lot of officers was validation for them because they have been policing in a way and operating under policies where a lot of places in the world are demonstrating to have things changed, and we already had those things in place.

And not that things don't need to improve and we can't do better, but I had officers who wanted to protest in uniform with the demonstrators. And as you probably saw in media coverage where we had an officer just break a line and they were getting ready to try to burn down the Walgreens, he went over and just hugged somebody and nobody told him to do that.

It actually probably violates several safety protocols, but that's what he was moved to do and that's

what he did. And those are the type of people that we have working at the police department.

2.5

We are trying to, and will, over the next year try to showcase some of that and also show officers outside of being in a uniform. And that's a project that we just started working on this past week. So the other part of that project is having other community members come in and saying, you know, this is what my home looks like, these are some things that are important to me, and just having kind of as an ice breaker, having this video that we can view together and have conversation afterwards.

So we're doing stuff like that to try to make this more community oriented instead of just this constant us and them. Because the reality is there really isn't an us and them. We live here, we participate in the same things that everybody else does, our kids play on the same teams, you know, go to the same schools. People are surprised to see me out of uniform much like kids in school are surprised when they see their teacher at the grocery store. They actually buy groceries and they're human.

MS. WILLIAMS: I know -- I understand what you're saying that there should not be an us and them, but the moment that you hold that gun, you have more power than

us. And the other thing is, is that you're never off the clock; right?

CHIEF JONES: I'm never off the clock. That's -MS. WILLIAMS: And neither are your officers. No
matter where they are, situation happens. They're never
off the clock. So I understand how an everyday citizen
may have that us versus them attitude because you do hold
the power.

CHIEF JONES: That power is derived from the citizens, though, and it's really important that even if we stray away from that, that we make efforts to pull that back. And I think that the current training curriculum, the environment that the officers are saturated in every day, the things that we talk about, and the things that we correct because we're not perfect -- I had a conversation with someone today talking about the difference between policy violation and doing it well.

And we have things that you're going to hear them from time to time. And we don't always recognize it because it's right in front of us, and it's been a custom and practice, and it's trained that way for decades. And it might not be a policy violation, but that doesn't mean that it was done well. And I have to differentiate when I make -- and so do you -- but I also recognize, as do

you, that there's room for improvement.

So when I see those things, I don't want to wait for some horrible outcome, someone to get hurt, or whatever that is. When I see those things, we try to change training and policy so that we can implement that differently. And we don't have to wait for everybody else in the world to do that, we get to do it ourselves. And that's kind of the beauty of us being us. And Columbia is a little different that way. I feel as a police chief that I would be supported by the city manager and council to make those changes outside of everybody else doing it. I don't have to do what everybody else does.

MS. WILLIAMS: Because right now, with a lot of the things that are going on in the community, I can honestly say that police departments are under an extreme microscope right now.

CHIEF JONES: Sure.

MS. WILLIAMS: I mean, people that used to didn't even care, didn't have a -- didn't even think about basically police department, they are watching them. And everything you do, it's going to be analyzed.

CHIEF JONES: Sure.

MS. WILLIAMS: Which means that you got to be on your Ps and your Qs. You've got to be able to be

extremely proactive and extremely transparent at all times. And I know that sometimes that's very difficult to do, but it's going to have to be done.

CHIEF JONES: I will tell you that the restrictions are the law, human resources law. Outside of that, there are processes, like if there's an active INA, I'm not going to go out and blast everything else when there's an INA.

And if there's an appeal to this board, I don't want to go out and have long, drawn-out conversations about what's happening in the public because this process needs to work. And I get that I'm -- maybe I should communicate that better, but those are limitations to being fully transparent because --

MS. WILLIAMS: Right. Because I'm going to give you the best example that I can explain about being transparent was that there was a situation -- and I brought it up at this board meeting. There was a situation that happened at Eagle Stop. It was -- and this was before you got here, probably. The -- there was a video that was put out and then the body cams were put out in less than 24 hours. And then there was an explanation that was explained to the citizens on the news so that people got the whole picture.

And I think immediately, if there's issues

and things that's going on that people have an issue 1 2 with, I think that CPD needs to have a quick response so that people know exactly what's going on in the 3 community. And I personally -- I mean, that situation, 5 it was a -- the video showed a whole different picture. CHIEF JONES: Yeah, I'm aware of which one you're 6 7 talking about. 8 MS. WILLIAMS: Right. But once the body cam came 9 out, it showed, "Oh, okay. Now it makes sense." But you 10 do, you got to have quick response for the citizens 11 because they're wanting it now. They want answers. 12 CHIEF JONES: Right. And there's some balance there also. You know, and I talked to someone else about 13 14 this today, a couple people, actually. But you run the 15 risk -- I have people that push -- get the information 16 out there, explain yourself, people want information. 17 The other side of that is when you do that, 18 then people feel like you're trying to justify something 19 or explain something away. And there's a balance there. 20 And I have to use my best judgment to try to explain 21 things without making it sound like I'm making excuses, 22 but I do like to explain things. 23 There are limitations sometimes on the speed 24 in which something's going to go out. I can't give you

examples in this meeting because I don't want to -- I

25

don't want to circumvent the process, but there are things that will sometimes inhibit my ability to get things out as quickly as I would like.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Also with getting stuff out quickly, when you were talking about the -- having the community outreach with getting beat officers, doing what you do, Chief, going out and having the PR events.

CHIEF JONES: Right.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: How does -- how's the department going to really be publicizing that because, I mean, right now, department outreach isn't really -- especially on social media -- isn't really that vast. I just kind of wonder what you're thinking about how to actually get your message out there.

CHIEF JONES: So I'll throw Toni under the bus sitting in the back of the room back there, but what I would recommend to the board is give her time to come up with her communications plan because that's still in development for her, and then have her present that to you so you know what that looks like. You know, there are short-term things that we do kind of on the fly, but in line with what our goals are, and then there are long-term things. And I think that communication plan would give you a really good idea of what -- how she intends to roll that out.

MS. WILLIAMS: So do you have a timeline on when 1 2 she may possibly have all of that together so she can 3 present to us? I don't. I can check with her and CHIEF JONES: 5 get back to you. I'm not going to ask her on the fly in 6 a meeting, but... 7 MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. 8 CHIEF JONES: Just ask her. She literally sat 9 down with me this morning and has a notebook of pages to 10 do stuff, so that's just one of the things on the list. 11 It's an important thing on the list, but I'll have to ask 12 her to tell you what it looks like. 13 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And then also an update that 14 the board was waiting on, has there been any -- I guess 15 have you had any proposed changes to 300.11.4? I know 16 we've been waiting for awhile to see that. 17 CHIEF JONES: Right. So there were several 18 policies -- was it five policies on the list the doctor 19 sent? 20 MS. WIBBENMEYER: I believe the doctor sent some 21 and one was, like, the Policy 300. 22 Yeah. So when we look at all of CHIEF JONES: 23 the policies that are in the process for interested 24 parties to come in and get into it -- and I don't want to

2.5

describe that here.

1 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I've been to those meetings.

CHIEF JONES: Right. But we've made some changes based on those meetings. Choke holds in particular, is that what you're referring to?

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yes.

CHIEF JONES: Choke holds, in particular, are of interest to council, and what the council has asked to do is look at the policy provisions that we are doing with the include of the counsel in the counsel session. We would normally go through an interested parties meeting with us. I mean, it's on the list to go in front of the interested parties meeting.

I need to check with a city manager to see if we're going to go ahead and do that or if we're just going to bring it to council and use that as a public setting for that conversation. But there will be public conversation. I know that it will happen in council. I don't know if we're going to do it in an interested parties meeting. I don't want to get in the way of the council and have them use that as their forum for that public input.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And have you had any kind of feeling about when they may have that discussion at a council meeting?

CHIEF JONES: You know, I -- they told me, I

```
can't remember the date. I think it's -- I got a draft
1
 2
    back today, and I'm having conversations with legal over
     the draft. So we need to look at that and make some
 3
    determinations based on questions we had from legal.
 5
     That will be ready to be put into reports and then in
     front of council.
 6
 7
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And is there another community
 8
     outreach policy meeting coming up anytime soon or before
9
     the new year?
10
                            There will be. Toni, do you know
              CHIEF JONES:
11
    what the date is for that? Do you know what the date is
12
     for the policy meeting?
13
              TONI: It will be in January.
14
              CHIEF JONES: Okay.
15
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Thank you, Toni.
16
              MR. FISHER: I have a couple questions while
17
     we're in silence. About a year ago, we lost -- the board
18
     lost access to all the department's complaints and
19
     compliments. And I asked you about a year ago if we
20
     could get those back, and you said you'd work on them.
21
     So is there an update?
22
              CHIEF JONES: What do you mean by access to
23
     complaints and comments?
24
              MR. FISHER: So there used to be a Google site
     that we would get a list -- each one, before the board
25
```

```
met -- of all the complaints and compliments that were
 1
 2
     sent to internal affairs.
              CHIEF JONES: And that just stopped for some
 3
     reason?
 4
 5
              MR. FISHER: Yeah, I think there was a switch
     over on websites and they -- all the old ones had to be
 6
 7
     uploaded. We said we were okay, I guess, not, you know,
 8
     putting off on that, but to catch up on all the current
 9
     ones.
10
              CHIEF JONES: Not to put it off again, but I'll
11
     check with outreach.
12
              MR. FISHER: I'll ask you next year, I quess.
13
              CHIEF JONES:
                            I'll try to get back with you soon.
14
              SERGEANT ALPERS: Chief?
15
              CHIEF JONES: Yeah.
16
              SERGEANT ALPERS: CJIS.
17
              CHIEF JONES:
                            I'm sorry.
18
              SERGEANT ALPERS:
                                CJIS.
19
              CHIEF JONES: CJIS stopped us from doing that?
20
              SERGEANT ALPERS: Well, we need to be CJIS
21
     compliant.
22
              CHIEF JONES:
                            Okay.
23
              MS. WILLIAMS: What did he say?
24
              CHIEF JONES: He said CJIS compliant. So the way
25
     that we manage criminal justice records is overseen by
```

the State and the requirements that we have to meet so what that sounds like is that she was not CJIS compliant. So I'll look at it and see if it's something that we can change. Can you remind me of that when we talk tomorrow?

2.5

MR. FISHER: And I guess while I'm talking, my last question: According to the internal affairs analysis use of force for 2019, the last paragraph noted that two items needed to be reviewed further and it was use of force in certain beats and its correlation to self-initiated activity and the ratio of force used against Asian, black, and Hispanic members of the community compared to white members of the community. I just wanted to know, well, I guess, how that's going to play out or what's your plan for that.

CHIEF JONES: Well, we're doing that similar to disparity numbers. We're tracking stops. We started reviewing numbers not by officer name but by areas and looking at different information. We took that queue from the sheriff's department. They've been doing that for awhile.

That has to be a conversation with the street-level supervisor, the sergeant, to look at what the officers are doing. And if it's -- if it's specific to an assignment -- street crimes is an example. Street crimes are usually involved in really high-risk stuff,

looking for high-risk offenders, armed people. They may 1 2 have a higher use of force than --MR. FISHER: Yeah, it mentioned a couple 3 officers --4 Right. But that still needs to be 5 CHIEF JONES: 6 looked at to see if there's a way to reduce frequency and 7 severity of use of force. 8 MR. FISHER: Okay. It sounds like another 9 committee. CHIEF JONES: I don't know that -- I don't know 10 11 that it will take a committee. I think that -- and it 12 might, I'm just -- I'm not closed to that, but I think that a supervisor who's worth their salt would -- and 13 14 especially with a guy who Sergeant Alpers is going to 15 give them because he's the one who prepared that report. 16 Once those interactions take place -- and I know he's already had some of those interactions -- I 17 18 have heard as recently as this week. A supervisor asking 19 about what they're doing in their beat, how they can 20 better prepare for the calls that they're responding to. 21 Even in our internal investigations, I'm starting to see 22 sergeant's list. 23 You know, I talked to the officer about 24 waiting for additional backup. One of the things that will lead to poor use-of-force outcomes is just simply 2.5

not waiting for another officer to get there. People are 1 2 far less likely to fight us when two show up as opposed to being there by yourself. 3 So those conversations are taking place. Ι think that will continue to evolve this year. 5 Sergeant Alpers and I have talked at length about it. 6 7 MR. FISHER: Okay. Thank you. Thanks. CHIEF JONES: Hopefully that answers it. 8 9 MR. FISHER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And Rose, if I get any of this 10 11 wrong, help me out here. Going back to policies, Chief, 12 I guess the department uses, what, Lexipol? Is that the Lexipol form? Or what is it? 13 So Lexipol is a vendor that we used 14 CHIEF JONES: 15 to contract with. Currently, CALEA standards are given 16 to the policy person, which is Bob Dochler. He'll look 17 at best practices and get other sample policies from --

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And then, I guess, where just any policies that we want, we can deep dive into, would you be okay with us requesting to kind of see the whole

you name it: Benchmark cities, IACP, CALEA themselves

will send policies. And he'll draft policies that go

another commander looks at them, and then I sign off on

through a process internally, legal looks at them,

them to go to the interested parties.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

process of how that policy came to be, us requesting the
underlying documents?

CHIEF JONES: That doesn't bother me at all, and

not all of our policies are online. You will see some of them that will say draft under them to let you know that something is under review in that, which I think we talked about in that meeting you were in. So, yeah, I try to put it all out there, so.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Okay. So if we want to see anymore of the underlying, that shouldn't be a problem?

CHIEF JONES: I'm sorry?

2.5

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: There wouldn't be anymore of the underlying stuff? Requesting that shouldn't be a problem?

CHIEF JONES: I don't know that you going -- I don't know if there will be a lot to see, but if you had a question of me, I'll just have Rose ask how we got to a certain point, then I'll -- can inquire because it may have come from me or some other commander saw that they wanted to address.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Okay.

CHIEF JONES: Or training. Training, IA, and policy development are meeting regularly. So they're discussing those things I talked about that may not be a policy violation yet, but I am maybe seeing some of them

```
are having poor outcomes, high complaints, you know,
 1
 2
    higher uses of force. And they see something that might
     be able to improve.
 3
                    And then we have an equity officer that also
 5
     looks at that -- which is another thing that was a
 6
     priority we just started. And we look at those things
 7
     from the feelings of how can we do that better, even if
 8
     it's not a policy violation.
 9
                    How should the policy be changed so we can
     regulate that better and what should we do in trying to
10
11
     fix it?
             So they're meeting regularly to address those
12
              There's also meetings --
     things.
13
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And are there any kind of
14
     meeting notes from those meetings?
15
              CHIEF JONES: Do you have notes, Scott?
16
              SERGEANT ALPERS:
                                I'm sorry?
17
              CHIEF JONES: Do you keep notes from those
18
     meetings, from the training IA and policy meetings?
19
              SERGEANT ALPERS: Just suggestions.
                                                   I mean,
20
     nothing's been up yet.
21
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE:
                                 Okav.
22
              CHIEF JONES: Probably similar to my half-hour up
23
            I jot them down to jog my memory so I don't forget
24
     until next year.
2.5
                           I have a few questions which I want
              MR. BOYKIN:
```

to talk about for Policy 300. I looked over this revision that we had, and I have a concerned question. It is, to me, reading that saying that you guys are now going to be training on choke holds. And what is that process and what are you planning on doing to get that training?

CHIEF JONES: Okay. So this has been a debate, I don't know if I had it here or had it at council or where I had it, but it's something I talk about a lot lately. I was hesitant to train anything that we are not going to use. The counsel -- and after talking to get some legal advice, we thought it would be best to familiarize people with what a stranglehold was and what a Lateral Vascular Neck Restraint is.

So -- and it's not training them to do it. It's showing them what they are and what makes them those things so that we can say we don't do them and they know what would be considered a choke hold or a neck restraint. So we're talking, like, 15 minutes in a shift meeting someone saying this is what this looks like, this is what it is, this is the mechanics of it. But that's all it would be is a familiarization because I'm not going to train them to do something that we prohibit.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Was that more in line with what the professional from Cincinnati was recommending?

I can't remember what Cincinnati --

2.5

CHIEF JONES: I don't know that they recommended that. That sample policy is actually less restrictive than ours, but the language is cleaner and easier to understand. Our policy made sense to cops and not a lot of people outside of that, so the revision will be more something that everybody can look at and say oh, yeah, that's what that is.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I guess are there any updates on the airport?

CHIEF JONES: Updates on the airport?

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I think -- weren't we taking over for -- one of the CPD taking over the --

CHIEF JONES: We took over the airport. Not a lot has changed. We're still public safety officers and we will be retaining fire out there. Just by the nature of the calls out there, most of the stuff they deal with are medical or safety related.

Every once in awhile, they will have something that they have to deal with in enforcement capacity, but we have tried to offer them up our field training. It's optional when they're offered up training so that they can -- if they want to fill-in as, you know, on a shift and get overtime for that, if we're short, then they can do that, and those sorts of things. And

we're training police officers to go out and do a public 1 2 safety function at the airport so that we have a little bit of safety network with staffing. 3 But not a whole lot has changed. We're still -- but we're still pushing customer service and 5 6 community policing at the airport. They were already 7 doing really good work out there in that area, and we're 8 just going to try to push that to continue. 9 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And how does that public 10 safety function apply with the airport? 11 CHIEF JONES: I guess I don't understand the 12 question. CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Well, like you said, the 13 14 officers have the public safety function over there. 15 That's just regular policing or? 16 CHIEF JONES: So public safety is policing, fire, 17 So they do fire and medical response at the 18 airport with assistance from Columbia fire and ambulance 19 to come, a paramedic. But they also do the security 20 function for TSA. 21 While we're checking bags, if someone from 22 TSA were to come across a gun in a bag or something, they 23 would call it over and they'll ask to do perimeter checks 24 and any issues at the airport. Like, I think it was last

year we had someone try to climb through the baggage

2.5

claim to get to the airport -- or get to the airplane because they didn't make it to the terminal in time, those types of things.

2.5

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: But there's been no noticeable strain on manpower or anything for taking -- for full on taking that over.

know, we have to have a presence at the airport for our TSA contracts and grants. So we have agreements in place that we have to get -- I think it's 15 minutes before and 15 minutes after each flight, 30 minutes before and maybe 10 after -- I can't remember -- just so we're compliant. And then there are a lot of safety things that we do: Looking for things on the runway, make sure the lights are working, making sure the grass is a certain length, making sure we check the perimeter fence, dispatching animals that are on the runway. All of those types of things have to be done for us to be compliant with the FAA.

So when we have an entire squad of public safety officers go down to the airport, we have to supply police officers to go cover that. So that has been a strain on us taking over that function. It was already understaffed out there just like we are. So we just took on another strain, but the commander out there has done a

very good job managing that. 1 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: How many officers are 2 typically out there at one time? 3 CHIEF JONES: I don't know that I want to say that publicly. 5 6 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Understand. 7 MS. WILLIAMS: So I just want to make sure I 8 understood what you said. They -- CPD measures the 9 grass? Is that what you said? 10 CHIEF JONES: They'll make sure it's trim, yeah, 11 as part of their security safety checks of the aircraft, 12 veah. 13 MS. WILLIAMS: Not the maintenance department? 14 CHIEF JONES: Yeah. And that actually brings up 15 a good point. So when the airport had public safety 16 officers as their employees, they took on a lot of responsibilities: Maintenance of trucks, mowing the 17 18 grass, those types of things, pushing snow on the 19 runways, that they did not have the staff or capacity to 20 just take over as the airport and let us do just the 21 public safety function. 22 So we are still doing some of that stuff and 23 we're hoping to slowly transition out of that as they get 24 funding and people to take over some of that. 2.5 didn't want to just leave them in a lurch taking over

staff and then say oh, by the way, we're not going to let 1 2 them do all the things they were doing before. wouldn't be fair to them and we want the airport to 3 succeed, so we have had to do some of that, yeah. 5 MS. WILLIAMS: That leads me to my next question. 6 So has the Columbia Police Department -- are there not 7 going to be resource officers in Columbia public schools 8 going forward? Has that contract not been renewed? Is 9 it --10 CHIEF JONES: So that contract has not been 11 renewed. That's not to say that we won't do it next year 12 or the year after. MS. WILLIAMS: Is it still on the table or? 13 14 CHIEF JONES: It's always on the table. 15 really came down to staffing. Do you want to know my 16 thought process on taking on the schools? 17 MS. WILLIAMS: Yeah, I would love to know. 18 CHIEF JONES: Okay. So early on in COVID, we 19 were going through this debate as to whether or not we 20 were going to put police officers in school. Prior to 21 that, we were having a conversation about the funding for 22 police officers. Some people in other jurisdictions, the 23 schools paid for the resource officers. 24 jurisdictions don't pay for them at all. Ours paid

50 percent. With our staffing shortages and our budget

2.5

shortfalls that were coming in 2020, the decision was made that especially once COVID hit -- it was kind of a no-brainer for me -- that we were going to pull them out if CPS couldn't fund it.

MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. Chief, please don't think I'm being rude or disrespectful, but I kind of feel like you're telling me that you keeping somebody at the airport to push the snow around, cut the grass, all of that, but not keep officers at the school.

CHIEF JONES: That -- those two things don't match up. Here's -- I mean, you have public safety officers who are not police officers. They do a policing function at the airport, but they wouldn't operate -- until they go through our field training program, they don't go respond to calls in the city, they don't operate police cars in a pursuit, they don't -- we wouldn't put a public safety officer in a school. Likewise, we wouldn't just put them on the street as police officers in the city. They're two different jobs.

Mostly what they do at the airport is fire and medical stuff. So I have to separate those two things. I don't even have that -- that staffing pool is not available for me to police the city as a police officer. Two different job functions, two different job titles, two different job descriptions, two different

retirement systems. There's a lot of play there.

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

2 When COVID hit and I knew that we were going to at least half of the time have most of the kids 3 outside of the school and they were projecting the 5 numbers, we had a really good idea that most kids would be learning from home, or virtually most of the time this 6 7 Having four police officers in brick-and-mortar 8 buildings that were with very low occupancy did not make 9 sense if I could take those same police officers, ask 10 them to be community outreach unit officers, which they 11 did, and go interact with those same students who are at 12 risk who are now at home in neighborhoods, which is what They go follow-up with those kids that they 13 know need support, they're in the neighborhoods every 14 15 day.

That made more sense to me as a community policing model than having cops in a building that was just unoccupied, and it didn't make financial sense. With staffing, we had what I thought was the right decision. I also like the idea of having cops in schools. Not everybody does, but I do. It's not off the table, but the condition has to be right for us to do that.

MS. WILLIAMS: So you're hoping to go back to it?
CHIEF JONES: I hope so, but we have to get some

stuff worked out with money and we have to get some stuff 1 worked out with COVID. There are too many obstacles 2 3 right now. MS. WILLIAMS: So are you going to go back to the -- because how many resource officers did you have? 5 CHIEF JONES: Four. 6 7 MS. WILLIAMS: Are you intending to go back to 8 four again or are you trying to up that number? 9 CHIEF JONES: It depends on funding. If I can fund it somehow, then I would -- I wouldn't mind having a 10 11 cop at every school, me personally, but --12 MS. WILLIAMS: So how many officers are we short 13 right now? CHIEF JONES: On the street? 14 15 MS. WILLIAMS: Period. 16 CHIEF JONES: If you look at vacancies, I'd say we're somewhere around a dozen. If we look at vacancies, 17 18 medical leave, military leave, and COVID leave, we're 19 probably somewhere between 25 and 30 out of 173. 20 MS. WILLIAMS: So do you already know where you 21 want to put those dozen if you happen to get them? 22 CHIEF JONES: So what we have started doing is we have four neighborhoods right now that have the two 23 24 officers being assigned to those that we talked about 2.5 before. So we have the 16 subdivided beats and we're

starting to put two by two into those 16 subdivisions. 1 2 And as quickly as I can fill positions and have that staffing filled in those 16, I'm going to do that. 3 That doesn't mean that I won't take one here 5 and there and put them in schools or go try back to the four if we have them funded. If someone tells me 6 7 tomorrow we want to fund four police officers to go into 8 the high schools, it would be very difficult for me to 9 say no, nor would I want to, but I -- you know, I have a 10 process with my boss that I have to go through. 11 would make sense to me. 12 MS. WILLIAMS: Do you have the funding already 13 for those 12? CHIEF JONES: I do. 14 15 MS. WILLIAMS: So what -- why are those spots still vacant? 16 17 CHIEF JONES: Because people aren't applying. 18 How does the force advertise CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: 19 the vacant positions? Just on the city website? 20 CHIEF JONES: We go to job fairs, we've had 21 billboards, we -- we've worked with some community 22 groups, NAACP, and besides that, get kids to go to our 23 explorers program, through schools. That's part of it --24 that is another thing that is in the process of being 2.5 looked at to see how that can be even more community

collaborative.

2.5

It's difficult to get applicants right now.

About -- I want to say it was two months ago, looking at the timeline, but pretty recently, we had zero vacancies and we had a waiting list of people who wanted to work.

MS. WILLIAMS: So what changed?

CHIEF JONES: People retired, people were let go, people just decided they didn't want to work anymore as police officers. It's been a difficult year. We depleted that list, and now we're to the point where applicants are just trickling in. So we went to, really, having a lot of success for quite awhile to even changed HR's process.

We're interviewing every other month instead of doing it twice a year. We're doing it regularly trying to get smaller pools of applicants so we can do backgrounds and get them through. We have very few applications right now.

MR. BOYKIN: So my question is are you -- when you were doing successful and not doing successful now, are you-all doing the same style of advertising and marketing for that position or have you guys tried to change up your marketing and advertising to refresh?

CHIEF JONES: So keep in mind that this is a recent development. We had no vacancies. The

conversation once we had no vacancies changed when we had vacancies and that's been a pretty recent development. So that conversation has happened and that unit is responsible for coming up with a recruitment plan.

2.5

MS. WILLIAMS: So currently right now, you don't really -- do you have a recruitment plan or?

CHIEF JONES: Yeah, but it consists of the things that I just described to you. But like anything else, it can have a plan, but it has to be a working document. It can't be static in any of this. Policy, implementation, training, any of that. It has to evolve and not having applicants, for whatever reason that is, we don't know what that reason is. We can speculate. At some point, you have to evolve so that you're attracting people who want to be in this for whatever reason, but I will tell you that in the past, other people have decided to lower requirements.

MS. WILLIAMS: Right. Which they are.

CHIEF JONES: And I'm not someone who favors that. I think that it's okay to have different requirements and meet this or this or this to where it meets our standards, meets the community's standards. But once you start lowering standards, there's a strong potential, not always, but to lower the quality of applicants that you get.

And you know, I want the number of 1 2 applicants to go up, but I also want the quality of applicants to go up. So we have to look at would our 3 requirements, do they fit? Do they fit with policing 4 5 And those are all conversations that we've had 6 very recently and you can anticipate some changes there 7 as we move forward. I don't know what those are yet, but 8 we are talking about that. 9 MR. BOYKIN: Are you-all working on a process 10 and -- well, I guess you-all already are on obtaining 11 minorities in that applications? 12 CHIEF JONES: Yeah, that's part of our 13 discussion. We want the police department to look like the city we serve, period. 14 15 MS. WILLIAMS: Chief, I have a question for you. How many minorities do you have in leadership roles, 16 17 positions, in the upper positions, upper management? 18 CHIEF JONES: Upper management, not many. 19 MS. WILLIAMS: Why? 20 CHIEF JONES: There are a lot of things behind 21 I will tell you that it starts with recruiting 22 minorities into police officer roles. I don't think that 23 CPD has done a great job with that over the years, and 24 what that's resulted in is you have a smaller pool of

minority officers to pick from when you're picking

2.5

command positions, which is for me, the most important reason to get that recruitment up now so that we have people who move from officer to sergeant to lieutenant, to assistant chief. I just haven't seen a lot of that movement and you can -- and I'm sure you know who some of our minority officers are.

2.5

If you have conversations with them, there's just not a lot of motivation to move up once you have reached retirement because a lot of people are saying, you know what, I'm done being a police officer. And that sometimes takes me going to talk with an individual and saying "I don't know if you're the best applicant for this position, but please at least consider it, consider staying, this is -- this is a change that you can make. This is something that you can really make a difference doing."

And that has had some impact, at least in those conversations, in trying to get promoted in supervisory positions. But even if one person does that, that's a success for me, but in the long-term, if we don't have a larger pool to have those conversations with, we're affected. So that -- that's a conversation I have weekly. That that has to improve. I don't know what that's going to look like yet. If I told you what it was going to look like, I'd be lying.

```
MS. WILLIAMS: So I know you may not know the
 1
 2
     answer to this, but I'm just going to answer it -- ask it
     because I know it's probably an HR question, and I know
 3
     you can get the number back to me, but percentage-wise,
 5
     how many minority -- how many minority officers do you
 6
     think you have?
 7
              CHIEF JONES: I don't -- I don't have a number
 8
     for you.
 9
              MS. WILLIAMS:
                             20 percent?
10
              CHIEF JONES: I'm sorry?
11
              MS. WILLIAMS: 20 percent, 30 percent?
12
              CHIEF JONES: I would be guessing if I told you.
13
     I would guess somewhere between 10 to 15 percent, but I
14
     don't know. I really don't know for sure.
15
              MS. WILLIAMS: That's extremely low.
              CHIEF JONES: And it could be lower than that,
16
17
     Ms. Williams.
                    I don't know.
                                   I don't know for sure.
18
     You're not going to get me to disagree that it's a
19
     problem.
20
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: We touched on this briefly at
21
     the last meeting, Chief Jones. Were you able to take a
22
     look at the draft that Ms. Gomez up there put together
23
     for that community organization program?
24
              CHIEF JONES:
                            I did.
2.5
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Do you have any thoughts on
```

it?

CHIEF JONES: I kind of mentioned that earlier. Some of that is in line with discussions we've already had moving to this total cop model, so I won't talk anymore about that. There's some of it that -- there's some assumptions made that are incorrect, but I'd rather just have that conversation with her since it's in draft form, at least give my opinion. But there are some promising things as far as that document for sure. One of the things that's referenced in there is an ethic program from another jurisdiction.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: From New Orleans, I think?

CHIEF JONES: Yeah. And I would like to look at that and see what that is and what their outcomes have been. You get in the habit of looking at something and we've done this in law enforcement and other places, too, but I don't want to get into a position where I'm looking at something that sounds really good and looks flashy and makes us feel good and then learn later that they're just poor outcomes. And I've seen a lot of that over the years. I just want to make sure that whatever we do has good outcomes and that there's some validation to it. And I think that it might, I just want to look at it, though.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Do we have any further

questions for the chief?

2.5

MR. HACKWORTH: You mentioned regarding
Ms. Williams' question about why we don't have very many,
if at all, minority officers in leadership positions.
You mentioned recruitment struggles and then personal
considerations when taking on a role when they're nearing
retirement. Are there any other things? Because you
mentioned there were a lot.

CHIEF JONES: Oh, yeah, I'm sure there are other things.

MR. HACKWORTH: Okay.

CHIEF JONES: A lot of those -- COVID is one variable. I mean, we had COVID, and we had people that I can't interview in person or I hire them over Zoom. For me, that has slowed me down because I want to meet someone face-to-face, and I get to have a conversation with them about how came here, what I expect.

And I think I told you in the last meeting, I fire people before I hire them. I tell them what I expect of them when they come and if they're not here for the right reasons, just there's the door. Some people don't agree with political elections. Some people don't agree with demonstrations, or they do agree with demonstrations. We've had people apply or withdraw based on an election. You know, people have told me that

anyway. I haven't seen it, but I don't see them if they 1 2 withdraw. MR. HACKWORTH: Like a city-based election or? 3 CHIEF JONES: I've had people bring up city 5 council. I've had people bring up senate races. 6 had people bring up presidential races. And those are 7 all -- to answer, it is a personal consideration. 8 think a lot of that is personal considerations for 9 people. May not be the same considerations I would have, 10 but I've had people voice that stuff to me and that's --11 I can control some things. There are a lot of things I 12 can't, and I'm trying to focus on the things that we can control and I don't know that we know what all those are 13 14 yet. 15 MR. HACKWORTH: I understand the difficulty that 16 COVID has brought, especially when it comes to 17 leadership. I have a question: Do most of the people 18 who rise into the ranks of leadership, is that an 19 external hire or is that an internal process? 20 CHIEF JONES: It's an internal process. 21 MR. HACKWORTH: How long does that usually take 22 for an officer to gain leadership positions? 23 CHIEF JONES: Really depends. I've had people 24 that are four- and five-year cops that become sergeants, 25 which is a leadership position in our organization,

probably the most important position in our organization is sergeant. I think I was at 10 or 12 years when I hit lieutenant, and then I skipped a rank to be the chief and I was at 20 years then. We have patrol officers who have never wanted to supervise anybody. And I'd say that there's a large portion of officers that way who just don't want to be sergeants. They like going out and serving people all day. They don't want to supervise anyone. There's just so many variables in that.

MR. HACKWORTH: So it takes a few years?

CHIEF JONES: It can take a few years to a decade. It just depends on if they're prepared to be a supervisor.

MR. HACKWORTH: Okay.

CHIEF JONES: I have some people who see things as very concrete. I'm very concrete about some things, but there are a lot of things that I have to meet in the middle. I supervise and think to problem solve to get there and some people aren't ready until they're ten years in. Some people are ready when they're two years in. It just depends on the person.

MR. HACKWORTH: Appreciate that. It seems like it's a longer-term plan of yours -- is it a longer-term plan of your to get more representative leadership?

CHIEF JONES: Absolutely.

1 MR. HACKWORTH: Okay.

2.5

Outside of the organization. If I would have an opening in a command position I would consider going outside. Part of that is organizationally, structurally, there are a lot of challenges to teaching someone all of those processes that come in our building. I mean, this is an example. You know, someone else, they would be completely foreign to them if they were a police officer somewhere else, probably. So that's a consideration, too, but nothing that I'm not open to.

MR. HACKWORTH: Do you have a plan for making that happen?

CHIEF JONES: Yes.

MR. HACKWORTH: Or do you -- like, do you have a plan to increase leadership positions, to increase minority leadership positions?

CHIEF JONES: Well, starts with recruiting minorities.

MR. HACKWORTH: Okay.

CHIEF JONES: Empowering police officers to make decisions so that you're opening leaders instead of officers that just go through this process of going call to call to call and taking reports. I need police officers that can solve problems, and once I have that, I

have this very large pool of potential supervisors. 1 2 those officers have to look like our community, otherwise I will not have enough minority -- there will not be -- I 3 don't even like using the term "minority." I don't think 4 5 that our command staff or our supervisory staff will be 6 diverse enough without a strong diverse officer pool for 7 those candidates. 8 MR. HACKWORTH: So do you have a plan? 9 CHIEF JONES: It starts with recruiting. 10 Do you have a recruiting plan? MR. HACKWORTH: 11 CHIEF JONES: I just discussed how that's in 12 development. 13 Okay. Okay. Cool. MR. HACKWORTH: 14 CHIEF JONES: But the other part of that is if I 15 had to go outside and get a specific skill set, that 16 might be something that we consider also, but that's 17 something I would have to think long and hard about. 18 MS. WILLIAMS: I mean, we do it for our city 19 manager, so. 20 CHIEF JONES: Sure. Yeah, I don't disagree. I'm 21 not opposed to it, sure. 22 MR. HACKWORTH: Awesome. Earlier -- earlier you 23 mentioned how you narrowed a lot of goals for the 24 upcoming year. I really liked hearing that. I'm glad 2.5 that you-all are doing that. I have a quick question

because you said that you would share the training report 1 2 with the board. Will those narrowed goals be included in 3 that training report? CHIEF JONES: Well, I don't know if they would be 5 part of a training report, but once it's developed, I 6 have no problem sharing it with the board. 7 MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. That would be awesome. 8 Because I think it would be helpful to kind of -- to be 9 able to see those goals and then the outcomes of those 10 qoals. 11 And then do any of those goals relate to 12 traffic stops, vehicle stops? I don't know. I'll have to look at 13 CHIEF JONES: They're in draft form. I haven't had them 14 them. 15 presented to me yet. But I've had conversations about it 16 and tried to get some direction. 17 MR. HACKWORTH: Are you opposed to sharing those goals prior to -- well, after they're no longer drafts 18 19 but prior to the completion of the total training report? 20 CHIEF JONES: Those goals are not going to be 21 part of the training report, so once we have them -- once 22 I have city manager and HR's approval, I don't have a 23 problem with sharing. 24 Okay. Awesome, awesome. MR. HACKWORTH: Because I'm just curious to know if any of your goals include 25

trying to decrease vehicle stop disparities in our city. 1 2 CHIEF JONES: That's something that we've talked I would suspect that that's somehow incorporated, 3 about. I just haven't seen it yet. 4 5 MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. I look forward to seeing 6 that. 7 And then do you have -- you mentioned -- or 8 -- yeah -- philosophical changes as well. What philosophical changes are you talking about. 9 10 CHIEF JONES: So there has been a lot of 11 conversation about what community policing is. And the 12 community outreach is -- the main goal is to open lines 13 of communication. And there are several things that are 14 objectives that the community outreach unit has and I can 15 send those to you. 16 MR. HACKWORTH: Please. Thank you. 17 CHIEF JONES: But it's really getting people on 18 board with the idea that not everything is an enforcement 19 They're here for service delivery, educating

And then also modifying policy to deal with issues that are outside -- and I tell cops when I first hire them, I expect you to make mistakes and I can live

officers as to what services there are that we can be

and think outside of the box.

referrals to, empowering them to make decisions that --

20

21

22

23

24

25

with mistakes, if you're doing it for the right reason, if you're doing it with a kind heart. Not that you don't have to follow policy, but I can live with those and we can learn from those and we can grow from those. And I tell every officer that I hire this. But when you make decisions out of anger, because you feel slighted in some way, you know, contempt of cop, people call it, that then you're going to make decisions that we can't live with.

And those types of things have been reenforced when officers are taking people to treatment, going to the food bank and getting boxes of food and taking them to people because they don't have food in their house. Forming relationships with people that are unlikely to be in a relationship with -- professional relationship with police officer because the officer is doing something left of enforcement action. And getting officers to understand how important that is to do that consistently is important to the philosophy of community policing and we really try to reenforce that.

I have officers more and more come up to me and they're proud of something that they've done. We really try to show that as a strength when a lot of -- in the past, that might have been seen as a weakness for a police officer to do something that was soft. So that's kind of a -- it's a turn in how we view things and look

at it in a different way.

2.5

MR. HACKWORTH: I actually saw it yesterday over on Broadway. Whoever was in -- whoever was driving car 842 yesterday, there was an individual who I regularly see at Loaves and Fishes Soup Kitchen. And it -- I'm assuming that they were doing something that you just talked about. The officer was left of enforcement a little bit because it didn't look -- the individual didn't look like they were being searched or being seized but more so helped. This was after ambulance and a fire truck were also out there, so I assume that it was for a good reason. And so if -- if that was what they were doing, something left of center of enforcement, I want to commend you and also the driver of that -- that car yesterday.

CHIEF JONES: Okay. I'll have to check on that.

MR. HACKWORTH: I don't know -- yeah, I don't know the circumstances of it. I -- just from my understanding, it looked like it was a good instance of, you know, protecting the community.

Now, I've got another question. And I was wondering if you could just quickly walk me through what the policy process is. I think I shot you an e-mail and it was forwarded over today but it was later in the day and everyone was really busy probably. Yeah, could you

just walk me through the process of creating police policy?

2.5

CHIEF JONES: So we have Sergeant Dochler, who I mentioned before, who is in charge of policy and development and provision. And he is going through a process with CALEA, which is an accreditation firm that most police agencies use to get accredited. So he looks at our current policies and their standards to see if we're within those.

Now, CALEA doesn't dictate how or what we do in every instance. They have some base things that they do mandate, but they would be commonsense things for any police agency. Bob's job is to make sure, one, that our policy is in line with CALEA and that we are doing the -- I'm trying to remember the terminology he used -- but basically, the accountability part of that, to make sure that we are meeting those benchmarks on our own policy because we don't always. And he's a person who reviews that and says "Hey, we're not doing this and we're supposed to be." So if he sees something that needs to be changed, he is going to change that policy.

That's one way that a policy is going to get changed. So if there's something that we're not meeting a CALEA requirement or it doesn't fit the way that we're doing business, it evolves from that -- our trainings

evolve from it because we've missed it in policy. It's usually something -- some obscure policy. It's not the major ones, usually. Then Bob will bring that to my attention.

2.5

There's other times that we see that officers are consistently doing what we view as being right, and it violates a policy somehow. I can give you an example that happened to me several years ago.

I pulled up on a car that was stalled out at the connecter, car wouldn't start, woman was on her way to work, she had two kids in the car, was supposed to meet family at her job where they were supposed to pick up the boys and she was going to go to work. She was stuck there, didn't have anybody coming to help her, and I used my police car -- I had an unmarked Impala, but still had a radio and mics in it.

And I recall at the time, we couldn't use jumper cables from her car because there was the potential of frying to her car if it was done improperly. I feel pretty confident with jumper cables. I'm out trying to jump-start her car. Policy violation number one. That didn't work, so she was able to get a hold of family, came and got the boys, and I said, "Hey, we got her car started for a minute." And I said, "If you can go to Walmart, we'll get a car battery for you." So we

go to Walmart. I walk in, and I buy her a car battery from Walmart. Policy violation number two at the time.

We come out of Walmart, there's nobody to put the battery in. I feel pretty confident I can put a car battery in. So some guy's walking who has a construction truck with panels on it and "Hey, can I borrow a couple tools?" And we put the battery in the car. Violation number three.

Car still didn't start, it was something other than the battery, and I drove her to work.

Violation number four. And then I went in and talked to her boss and gave her an excuse for being late to work.

I was told by my supervisor great job, you violated some policies. And that's an example where we're trying to do the right thing, we're trying to take care of people, we're trying to be guardians of our own community and help the people who are vulnerable, but it violated the policy. And when we see those types of things, we have to be able to change in the policy so those things can be done. That's another way that policy is going to get changed.

Another is we have something occurring that doesn't violate policy, but it's not to the standard that we expect. And we have to change policy to meet the standard that we expect and the training. And so once

we've determined those things, it goes to Bob. Bob comes up with the language to meet whatever goal it is, and then it goes to the commander that's over the training unit. He looks at it and then it goes to a legal review and then legal review sends it to me.

Once it comes to me, oftentimes I make changes to it to make sure that it's meeting our standards. Once I sign off on it, it goes back to Bob. Bob gets a few of them or half a dozen of them, and he'll set a public meeting so that people can come view them and give input. He makes whatever revisions based on that input.

A lot of times he does it right in the meeting, which you've seen, and then it comes back to me for a final review. And then I send it to the city manager's office. Actually, I send it to HR first. HR looks at it, makes sure it's compliant with Chapter 19, Chapter 21, and that it's -- it meets its requirements of the city and under city code, and then it goes to the city manager who signs off on it and then it becomes policy. So that's the process.

MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. Those policies, those draft policies that we were sent today, have those already gone through public comment?

CHIEF JONES: They have not.

1 MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. So the early January one Okay. Very good, awesome. 2 that Toni said earlier? CHIEF JONES: And I don't know that Policy 300 3 4 will be part of that meeting. That's something that city 5 manager is going to decide, whether it just goes to council because that will be the public input or if it 6 7 goes to that process. So I will follow my boss's lead on 8 But I'm not sure where that's going to land, just 9 full transparency. 10 MR. HACKWORTH: Yeah, yeah, I appreciate that. 11 The one thing that confuses me about that, and I know 12 that there's nothing you can do because it's your boss who would make that decision, is Policy 300 is probably 13 14 the more contentious of the policies that would be 15 reviewed and, I mean, does city council have the power to 16 amend those policies at all? 17 CHIEF JONES: They have the -- they have the 18 power to direct the city manager to take things into 19 account --20 MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. 21 CHIEF JONES: -- when developing those policies. 22 MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. Okay. The one thing I 23 worry about is it goes to city council instead of going 24 to that prior public comment process and it goes to

public comment at city council. People have a lot to

2.5

```
say, but there's not enough time to really think through
1
 2
     it thoroughly and review what the public actually feels
    or thinks about the policy. And then it just kind of
 3
    haphazardly gets rushed through, and it's not in the best
 4
 5
     interest of what many of our community members think.
 6
     That's my worry. I don't know if there's much that you
 7
     can do about that.
 8
              CHIEF JONES: No.
 9
              MR. HACKWORTH: It's also just one person's
10
            But --
    worry.
11
              MR. BOYKIN: It's more than one.
12
              CHIEF JONES: I will say that there's been a lot
    of conversation from council and there's been a lot of
13
    public back and forth. And probably more involvement in
14
15
     council than I would have in one of those sessions, quite
16
     frankly. I'm not sure how that's going to shake out.
17
              MR. HACKWORTH:
                              Neither am I. We'll have to see.
18
     I hope it works out. But you know, you never know.
19
                    I have another question for you, and you're
20
     a member of the CPOA; right?
21
              CHIEF JONES: Yes.
22
              MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. All right. Are all police
23
     officers members of the CPOA?
24
              CHIEF JONES: Well, being a -- paying dues to
2.5
     CPOA --
```

```
MS. WILLIAMS: Can you explain what those letters
1
 2
    mean?
              CHIEF JONES: Oh, Columbia Police Officer's
 3
    Association. So for legal defense for police officers,
 4
 5
     to have a legal defense plan, you have to go through your
     local chapter of the FOP. You can't sign up for that --
 6
 7
    excuse me -- another way, so even officers who aren't
 8
     active in CPOA will pay dues to CPOA to be part of the
9
     legal defense plan. So...
10
              MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. How many officers would
11
    you say are a part of CPOA? Like, do you have a
12
    percentage estimate?
13
              CHIEF JONES:
                            I don't.
             MR. HACKWORTH:
14
                              70?
15
              CHIEF JONES: I would be guessing. I don't know.
16
             MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. That's fair. Okay. Well,
17
     I've got another question, then. Because I was trying to
18
     familiarize myself with it and so I was looking through
19
     the website. Have you been on the website before?
20
              CHIEF JONES:
                            Whose?
21
              MR. HACKWORTH:
                              The Columbia Police Officer's
22
    Association website.
23
              CHIEF JONES: I don't think so.
              MR. HACKWORTH: You don't -- you've never been on
24
25
     it?
          Okay. That makes -- that's fair. So I was looking
```

through it and in the "about us" section, it says that one of the missions of it is to "foster public support for its members." And then lower, it says, and I quote, "Nothing will stop me from placing you in a steel cage with bars." And then it goes on, it says, "I am fate with a badge and a gun."

CHIEF JONES: Where is this at?

2.5

MR. HACKWORTH: It's in the "about us" section of the Columbia Police Officer's Association, and I thought that was kind of concerning. It reads like members of that organization who are also members of the Columbia Police Department are out to get citizens. Do you think it sends that message? Are your police officers out to get citizens?

CHIEF JONES: I am walking a fine line in talking about a union, so that's not something that I'm willing to do without legal advice.

MR. HACKWORTH: Uh-huh.

CHIEF JONES: I don't want to put the city in a bad position by giving my personal opinion about what's posted on the union's website as the person who is in negotiations with them regularly, almost daily. Yeah, I'm not willing to have that conversation.

MR. HACKWORTH: Yeah, yeah, that's okay. I understand.

To me, it reads like you're out to get 1 2 people and I know that when you say community policing is good policing, that's not what you mean. 3 But when citizens of our community read this on the website and 4 they see that black residents are four times more likely 5 6 than expected based on proportion to be arrested, it kind 7 of not only -- you're not only saying that, but you're --8 it seems like you're walking --9 CHIEF JONES: Don't say "you're." 10 MR. HACKWORTH: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I know 11 you -- not you. But --12 CHIEF JONES: Well, the difference actually needs 13 to be made that I have no control over a union by federal 14 and state law, so things that are -- I don't control the 15 union as much as I would like to sometimes. That is not 16 something I can control. I can control the behaviors of 17 people while they're at work and set my expectations. 18 this line of going through what CPOA does is really not 19 part of this conversation with me. It just puts me in a 20 position to talk about things that I don't think I can 21 talk about legally. 22 MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. 23 CHIEF JONES: And I'm not here to defend CPOA. Ι 24 do enjoy having a legal defense plan through FOP and

I'm -- and I'm comfortable in that. I'm not a voting

2.5

member of CPOA. I don't get to go to CPOA's meetings and 1 2 hear their debate about whatever topics they're talking about. So some differentiation needs to be made in that, 3 4 and that's just not a conversation I'm willing to have 5 here as to what my opinion is of communications that CPOA 6 has. 7 Okay. Yeah. I understand. MR. HACKWORTH: I'm 8 sorry. 9 CHIEF JONES: I'll be responsible for mine. 10 MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. I don't mean to -- yeah, I 11 don't mean to say that you're responsibile for what's on 12 CPOA's website. I just -- I thought that was concerning. 13 I thought I would air that out. CHIEF JONES: I understand that you're airing 14 15 that, but you're airing that in a conversation with a 16 police chief after saying "are you a member of CPOA?" 17 And making no qualifications as to what that might mean. 18 So I do take exception to that and I hope you understand 19 that. 20 MR. HACKWORTH: Uh-huh. 21 MR. BOYKIN: I have a question. You said you're 22 not a voting member. Is that by choice or is that by how 23 the -- how the system works? That's how that works. 24 CHIEF JONES:

Okay.

MR. BOYKIN:

25

MS. WILLIAMS: I have -- I'm sorry, y'all. Y'all know I talk a lot. But I have a question. So since we're getting all of this community policing up and going and I've worked at social -- the social work field the majority of my life, has Columbia Police Department ever had money set aside so that when you run into a situation of someone who needs a box of food or you run into a situation where somebody needs a battery, that you can fill out a piece of paper to take money from this funding to address that issue and concern?

2.5

CHIEF JONES: We have never had access to taxpayer dollars to do that, but I can tell you what happens regularly is police officers will either find a resource like the food bank that will give us food and they'll go get it. We actually have emergency food boxes in our office because of our partnership with the food bank.

But if they don't have access to that, they will pay for it out of their pocket. And if they can't get someone to shoulder, I've seen multiple officers pay for hotel rooms. You'll never hear about that because they wouldn't tell you. They wouldn't tell me. I personally have taken groceries to a mom living in the hotel with her kids.

MS. WILLIAMS: But do you think that that would

be --1 2 CHIEF JONES: I don't think the tax -- I don't 3 think that we can. Rose may be able to answer that 4 better than me. That might be a legal question, but I 5 don't know that we can use taxpayer dollars to do that. 6 There are organizations --7 MS. WILLIAMS: Are there grants out there that 8 can do that? 9 CHIEF JONES: Well, I don't think so. 10 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Do you want me to --11 CHIEF JONES: What we do have is we had a local 12 bank that was willing to reimburse officers, that came up 13 with a fund to reimburse officers. 14 MS. WILLIAMS: So what happened? Does that still 15 exist, or... 16 The officers paid out of pocket and CHIEF JONES: 17 they never turned in receipts because they didn't want 18 to -- they didn't want to be recognized and be made a big 19 That's what happened. They just did it and ate 20 the cost. And I would see it happen over and over again. 21 MS. WILLIAMS: Because, you know, being in social 22 work for most of my life, I think that that is a -- I 23 know, Rose, we probably can't legally do that, but it 24 just seems like it is a good solution. I mean, if they're out there with the citizens and they see an 25

emergency need -- because working with agencies, 1 2 sometimes there's just no funding. Sometimes you got to go through this hoop, 3 this hoop, this hoop, and if there is money that's set 4 aside so that the citizen that you run up on that is 5 6 having an emergency situation, you can just write a piece 7 of paper, have the chief sign off on it and say, "Yes, 8 we're going to take money from this pool over here to 9 help the citizen." 10 CHIEF JONES: And I don't disagree. I will tell 11 that you one of the obstacles is officers don't want the 12 recognition. They don't do it for the recognition, so 13 they'll just pay for it out of pocket. I've been guilty 14 of that myself. I've seen officers carry gas cans in 15 their cars. Policy violation, by the way. I, myself, 16 used to carry a gas can in my car so that I could go buy

MS. WILLIAMS: But if you have this type of money over here --

20 CHIEF JONES: I don't disagree. I just don't 21 know --

MS. WILLIAMS: -- do all that.

17

18

19

22

23

24

2.5

people gas.

MS. WIBBENMEYER: If I can weigh in, the city -- city funds have fees for that purpose. There are designated fee funds that are budgeted specifically for

programming support and grants for social service agencies. Most of that is run out of two different departments. So the city does fund a lot both with city funds as well as state and federal funds of social service programs that the police can refer people to and the other city staff can refer people to. So just because his officers don't have access just to a pot of money, the city does use both city and state, federal funds to provide support to different community organizations for housing, for food --

2.5

MS. WILLIAMS: But none of that pot of money is given to the police department, so at 2 o'clock in the morning when no agencies are open, that they can take money from that pot of money?

MS. WIBBENMEYER: That is correct. It is not set up that way. Just like I can't just get city funds and hand it out to anyone. But we do have agreements in place in order for the funding to go to these other agencies. They have performance requirements and expectations including some -- for, like, homeless sheltering in the winter that includes -- you know, we pay certain amount per bed per night. So there are resources. It might not be instantaneous. I can't guarantee you that they'll always be open. But there are resources that are available.

MS. WILLIAMS: Trust me, I know. I have over 30 years in social service, so. But it just seems like, to me, that is an easy solution. And I don't know if somebody needs to sit and write a grant or something. There has to be money out there somewhere to do that. And I understand your officers don't want recognition, but at the end of the day, it is a great solution to issues that come up again on a daily basis.

2.5

CHIEF JONES: I agree, Ms. Williams.

MS. WILLIAMS: Because yes, Columbia is rich with resources, but sometimes those resources are not available.

MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Chief Jones, thank you for being here. I just have a question about Policy 300, about I was reading the discharging firearms out of a moving vehicle. And I know that there are community groups and various authorities who think that this is an incredibly dangerous policy to have and to still, you know, allow. So I was wondering why you might think our community needs to have that policy or if that's a policy that is being considered to be changed, anything like that, just your thoughts.

CHIEF JONES: So we are not supposed to shoot into cars. But I will give you an example of a time where I personally would shoot into a car. If I had a

clear shot at somebody who was getting ready to shoot 1 2 someone else that was in the same car, I might fire into So it's -- you have to think of it in a context 3 a car. outside of just shooting at a car going by. That is not 5 an appropriate use of that type of force. But an 6 outright ban would limit our ability in some situations 7 to do what we had to do to stop something that was 8 life-threatening to another person. 9 MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: It sounds like in that scenario that you're talking about, the vehicle wouldn't 10 11 be moving, though. Is this specific to a moving vehicle? 12 CHIEF JONES: You don't know that. I don't know 13 that. 14 MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Okay. Sorry, I thought the 15 scenario you were laying out was the --CHIEF JONES: I'm just saying that would be one 16 17 scenario that -- just off the top of my head, and I'm 18 sure there are more. And I think that's why most agencies have a policy that's similar to ours that 19 20 prohibits it except in very narrow circumstances. 21 the best answer I have for you. 22 MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Thank you. 23 MR. BOYKIN: On the same topic of that, so if

something like this was to happen, who makes the final

decision on whether or not it was acceptable use of the

24

2.5

force for the situation at hand?

2.5

CHIEF JONES: In deadly force, specifically? So in a deadly force scenario, it would be investigated by the internal affairs unit. The investigators, Sergent Alpers, they would go out and they would do a review for the internal affairs side. In officer-involved shootings, we have a practice of calling an outside agency, whether that's going to be Boone County, Highway Patrol, FBI.

Someone will come out and investigate that as a criminal matter because if we shoot somebody, then it's homicide by definition, and it's either something that we would charge or we wouldn't. So we'd have someone else come in and do the criminal side of that, and then we do an independent internal affairs investigation that is completely separate from that criminal investigation. So there are two investigations that take place.

For the internal portion of that, once the investigator goes through it and they find all of the facts, then it goes through a full chain-of-command review. And then sometimes we will pull in use-of-force experts from a training unit who have been trained in deadly force review and they'll come and look at it and give us suggestions or make -- help us make findings.

So we'll go through the sergeant, the 1 2 lieutenant, the assistant chief, and then me. And then I will make the finding of the internal reviews to -- well, 3 you know what the findings are. And then criminal 5 investigation, that would play out however that played 6 out. 7 MR. BOYKIN: Is the criminal investigation only 8 with side arms or is that with any deadly force? 9 CHIEF JONES: It would be with any deadly force. Now, that would be with any deadly force or serious 10 11 injury. But not every -- back up -- not every deadly 12 force application would go through a criminal process. 13 MR. BOYKIN: But it would always go through an 14 internal process? 15 It will always go through internal CHIEF JONES: 16 process. Officer-involved shootings will almost -- I 17 can't think of a time where they wouldn't go -- go to 18 criminal process. I don't like to say always, but I can't think of a time when they wouldn't. 19 20 MR. PIERSON: I've got a question for you, Chief. 21 So I'll try to keep it brief. I see you've been out 22 there for a little over an hour and a half now so I 23 definitely appreciate your endurance. I think we all do. 24 Looking forward to 2021.

Is there any solid line of effort,

2.5

objective, or policy within your department that you feel this body could assist with in regard to, let's say, revision, development, implication. And if so, what would that be.

2.5

CHIEF JONES: You know, I'm going to express a frustration that I have with a lot of -- not here, but with a lot of boards and committees. And you know, I want things to move faster and we get bogged down in a review and debate that kind of goes into analysis/paralysis overtime, and it feels like we're kicking it down the road.

I would much rather have a conversation when something doesn't look right or feel right, whether we agree or disagree, when those things do your -- just like any other citizen really. But when you, as a group, come together saying, you know, "We really need to look at foot pursuits." Ms. Williams brought that up. I said, "Okay. We'll look at foot pursuits." It was -- I mean, yeah, we'll do that.

But what really frustrates me is when we get into this rut of, well, that's so-and-so's job, and that's so-and-so's job, early on when I had the vehicle -- or I'm sorry -- when I had the review meetings for policy. There was some offense taken by this board, and it was vocalized to me that, you know, it's our job

to make policy recommendations. And my response to that 1 2 was "No, it's everybody in our community's responsibility to make policy recommendations." It doesn't mean you 3 can't, and I won't take it just as seriously as the 4 5 person who's standing over at the street corner playing 6 guitar. I think we all have a responsibility to do that. So what I would ask in that vein is that if 7 8 you're going through policy and something doesn't look 9 right or you have a question about it or you think, you 10 know what, people get frustrated by this and it's not a 11 policy violation when you do X, Y, or Z, but it could be 12 improved, I would really like this board to come forward 13 and say "Maybe this can be improved. Can you look at 14 improving this?" You may not have the answer, but it 15 would at least give me a recommendation of something to 16 look at. So nothing in particular. We're under a 17 constant review, but if you see something, say something. 18 MR. PIERSON: Sure. I appreciate you. 19 you. 20 CHIEF JONES: Thanks. 21 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Are there any further 22 questions for Chief Jones? 23 MS. WILLIAMS: Not right now. 24 CHIEF JONES: Thank you. CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yeah, thank you again, Chief. 25

1	Thank you for coming by and speaking with us.
2	MS. WILLIAMS: Chief, are you leaving?
3	CHIEF JONES: I hope so.
4	MS. WILLIAMS: Later on down in the agenda, I
5	have some questions for you.
6	CHIEF JONES: Okay. I'll be back.
7	MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Next item on our agenda is the
9	approval of the minutes from our November 11th, 2020,
10	meeting. Has everyone been able to review those minutes?
11	And has everyone had a chance to review the meeting
12	transcript? Is there a motion to approve the minutes in
13	the transcript?
14	MR. BOYKIN: Wayne, motion to approve.
15	MS. GOMEZ: Gomez, second.
16	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: All in favor of approving the
17	minutes to the transcript say aye.
18	(Unanimous voice vote of approval.)
19	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Any opposed?
20	MR. FISHER: I will abstain since I was not here.
21	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Andrew has abstained. The
22	minutes and transcript are approved.
23	Next up, the reports positive connections
24	and ride along. Anything for the board to share?
25	MR. HACKWORTH: I met with Mr. Roy Lovelady a

couple days ago just to get their perspective on policing 1 2 in our community to learn a little bit more. And then I've also been in contact -- because last time Carley and 3 I talked about -- a little bit about data and some 4 So I met with -- with a gentleman whose name 5 is now slipping my mind -- over at public health's IT, I 6 7 believe. He was -- he was the -- a gentleman who built 8 the public health dashboard, so just to gain some 9 perspective on it from him as well as learn how to use 10 ArcGIS to build a dashboard. 11 MR. BOYKIN: And I participated in one of the 12 City of Columbia's trainings that they have, which this 13 one was on racial bias. And I thought it was pretty 14 interesting, so I've already signed up for the rest of

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Thank you, Alex. Thank you, Wayne. Was there anything further from the board for --

the year for all of the trainings that they have for the

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

city.

MR. HACKWORTH: I just want to say the gentleman's name for the record and because I think he deserves to have a little bit of recognition at least for building that public health dashboard. His name his Dan Rose.

MS. WIBBENMEYER: He's actually the city's GIS manager. He's not in the health department.

Thank you for the clarification, 1 MR. HACKWORTH: 2 Rose. MS. WIBBENMEYER: And just so you know, there are 3 ESRA [phonetic], which is over our chain program that we 4 5 use for GIS. They have user conference recently and 6 there are some cities that are putting kind of equity 7 dashboards together in addition to, like, police 8 transparency dashboards. So we've -- I've watched the video on that and we're exploring that with Dan Rose as 9 10 well. 11 MR. HACKWORTH: Awesome. 12 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: All right. And moving on to old business. First up, the supplement to 2019 annual 13 14 report. Last I saw, we're waiting for the December IA 15 report to be printed out. Is that what we're waiting on? 16 MR. FISHER: Should be ready next month. 17 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Should be ready next month? 18 MR. FISHER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: So we will come back to it 19 20 next month. 21 And then for community-oriented solution 22 program from Ms. Carley Gomez. Carley, if you want to 23 speak anymore about it? 24 Sure. I just added a couple of MS. GOMEZ: 2.5 things based off the discussion with the board last time.

Thinking about bringing in all the police officers eventually and just working with those who are newly hired, thinking about outcomes eventually to -- and I really think that as there have been more articles talking about sort of the stakeholders listening to our process and how difficult it's been for people as, you know, changes aren't really made or things aren't happening fast enough; right, which I know isn't, you know, anyone's fault necessarily either.

2.5

But I do believe that a program like this could -- could help deal with some of those issues that are coming up by just asking the same questions over and over again rather than giving, you know, people a chance to really come together and learn. So I would be interested in thinking about next steps, or board thinking about next steps. I don't know what all of your thoughts are. Sorry I can't be there in person with you today.

MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: I would add that I think one of the great strengths of this particular program is its specific outcomes. It's far more so than anything I've seen or heard. I feel really strongly about this program, actually. I'd like to be a part of working on it and helping, so I also wanted to offer myself in that role.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I would just echo what Heather 1 2 said. I think you have a great start, Carley, and I hope you continue to work on it, build on it, and communicate 3 with the chief. And I really do want to see something 5 come of it. 6 MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Carley, for all the 7 work you've put into it. 8 MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: One of the things, too, I 9 find really remarkable about it is the -- the lengths 10 that she provides to successful programs, the ways in 11 which she is building off of those programs as a means of 12 making our community better and making our community 13 policing process really work. 14 MS. WILLIAMS: I agree. 15 Thanks, I really appreciate it. MS. GOMEZ: 16 know, I would be happy to have us maybe think of next 17 steps for this or, you know, what your thoughts are in 18 that direction, too. CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: 19 I know I still need to give 20 you that contact information for people over at the 21 University that I think would be useful for helping you 22 map this out. I apologize for being late on getting that 23 to you.

No problem. I look forward to

MS. GOMEZ:

getting those names.

24

25

Rose, if we're interested in pursuing 1 2 something like this, are there involved next steps that 3 you can recommend, too? I believe the chief has MS. WIBBENMEYER: 5 indicated that he would like to meet with you and talk 6 about it, so I think that's a good first step. Ultimately, you could pass a motion to send a 7 8 recommendation to the police chief and the city manager, 9 or you could do it as a report to counsel. 10 Thanks, Rose. MS. GOMEZ: 11 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I would probably recommend 12 that you have that talk with Chief first before you send it to council, to have that taken care of first. 13 14 I agree. I think that will be really MS. GOMEZ: 15 valuable. And I also think that contacting those law 16 professors that Mr. Pringle was talking about would be 17 great, too. So maybe I can put those two things on the 18 list for this month and bring it back to you-all on 19 January? 20 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. 21 MS. WIBBENMEYER: The only other suggestion I 22 would have is you might want to look around for a grant. 23 MS. GOMEZ: Okay. 24 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Where maybe the city could 25 apply for a grant to fund it.

```
MS. GOMEZ: All right. Sounds good. I'll add
1
 2
     that as well.
              MS. WIBBENMEYER: Carley, I can do that legwork.
 3
 4
              MS. GOMEZ: Oh, thank you.
                                 So we have talked to Chief, I
 5
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE:
 6
    have to get names to you, and we'll also be -- you know,
 7
     I just -- I forgot what we just said.
 8
              MS. WIBBENMEYER:
                                Grants.
 9
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Grants, there we go.
              MS. GOMEZ: All right. Thanks.
10
11
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: All right. So we have audits.
12
    Andrew -- Sergeant Alpers, and I had a meeting last
13
            Still going forward.
    month.
14
              MR. FISHER: Yeah, I think -- maybe now we need
15
     to get together and figure out who we want to ask.
16
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yeah. I would anticipate that
17
    will probably be after the holidays.
18
              MR. FISHER:
                           Okay.
19
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And that would be a good next
20
     step. So we'll keep everyone updated on how the audit is
21
     going.
22
                    Next status update on the foot pursuit
23
     training, request for video and curriculum. I believe we
     covered a lot of that with the chief. The curriculum is
24
     still in development. As to the video, have we -- are we
25
```

```
going to be getting that video anytime soon? We talked
 1
 2
     about that in March.
                    Sergeant Alpers, is that still coming to us?
 3
              SERGEANT ALPERS: I think Chief addressed that.
 5
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Did he?
 6
              MR. BOYKIN: He wants both of them together.
 7
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And then also training
 8
     calendar request?
 9
              MS. WILLIAMS: He talked about that, too.
10
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE:
                                 Yeah. Report to council,
11
    proposed changes in Chapter 21?
12
              MS. WIBBENMEYER: That will be on the 12/21
13
     council agenda, all of the changes.
14
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: All right. I think that's why
15
     I included the 21 days and also the waiver of costs.
16
              MS. WIBBENMEYER: Yes.
17
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Next item, disparity index and
18
     related data. Does anyone have -- I quess what were we
19
     doing with that one, Rose?
20
              MS. WIBBENMEYER: It was a placeholder because
21
    you-all wanted a status update.
22
              MS. WILLIAMS: Right.
23
              MS. WIBBENMEYER: I'm not sure if Carley's still
24
     there, but Carley --
2.5
              MS. GOMEZ: I lost -- my internet connection is
```

unstable, so I missed -- that's why I turned off my 1 2 camera. Sorry. MS. WILLIAMS: We were talking about disparity 3 index and related data. 4 MS. GOMEZ: 5 I see. Okay. Yeah, so I think that 6 really still relates to the traffic stop committee and those conversations. If we can -- if one of us is going 7 8 to be going to that next meeting anyway, I think that is 9 a good place to start with something of that information 10 and get moving on that as well. 11 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Okay. So fair for us to just 12 tie that in to whatever happens with us with the vehicle riding -- vehicle stop committee? 13 14 MS. GOMEZ: Yeah, and even if it doesn't, we have 15 enough information that are specific things that we want to look into. Like, I remember that the numbers of odor 16 17 searches, you know, findings that when conducting because 18 of the odor search were low, so we can start digging 19 deeper into some of that data with what we have now, if 20 you-all are interested. 21 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: As a board, do we want to 22 start looking at that? Do we want to wait? What's 23 everyone thinking? 24 MR. HACKWORTH: I don't see anything wrong with starting to look into it right now. Maybe I'm not 2.5

```
looking deeply enough, but I don't see any harm.
 1
 2
              MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA:
                                    I agree.
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Okay. Well, then I think
 3
 4
     did -- that sounded like you volunteered for that, Alex.
 5
              MR. HACKWORTH:
                              It sounds like I did. No, I'd be
 6
     happy to. Yeah.
 7
                          I will send you an e-mail with some
              MS. GOMEZ:
 8
     of the information that I was specifically looking at if
     that would be helpful to you.
 9
10
              MR. HACKWORTH: Yeah, I would really appreciate
11
            Thank you, Carley -- or Ms. Gomez.
12
              MS. GOMEZ: Yeah.
13
              MR. HACKWORTH: So just really quick while we're
14
     on the topic of vehicle stop committee, if we don't -- I
15
     mean, are we going to nominate someone to go?
16
              MR. BOYKIN: I thought we kind of did that last
17
     week. Did we do that last week -- last month?
18
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: We pretty much made Carley our
19
    point person.
20
              MS. GOMEZ: But -- but Mr. -- is it
     Mr. Hackworth, right? I know that you were interested
21
22
     possibly in doing that and I would be (Zoom audio drop)
23
     if you were interested, since I'll be continuing to work
24
     on that, and on the programming, too.
2.5
                              Yeah, I am interested.
              MR. HACKWORTH:
```

MS. GOMEZ: You are interested? 1 Great. 2 MR. HACKWORTH: I'm interested in attending, but I don't want to take something away from you if you're 3 4 also interested. MS. GOMEZ: 5 No worries. I can -- you know, I can 6 always work with you or, you know, continue on with the 7 program that I'm working on. So -- so that's great if 8 you are interested. 9 MR. HACKWORTH: Yeah, I am. 10 MR. BOYKIN: And that would end up better anyways 11 because I know, like, probably, you have the next 12 so 12 it would make it easier that way. Definitely, it would be very helpful. 13 MS. GOMEZ: 14 Thank you. 15 Okay. Yeah. Most definitely. MR. HACKWORTH: 16 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: All right. Then that leads us 17 to the next status update that we covered during the 18 preceding comments, recommendation letter regarding 19 adding a member. We'll look out for an invite to the 20 vehicle stop committee next meeting, and then I think 21 we're going to send Alex when we get that invite? 22 MR. HACKWORTH: I also can just go to the next 23 one if we don't get the invite as well, just so we have a 24 member there, if that's okay with you-all. Not to act as 25 a representative, but to kind of get --

1	MR. PIERSON: I think that would be great. I
2	mean, honestly just attendance in general I think as a
3	baseline should be a general expectation anyway, just as
4	a good-practice move for us. Even if we don't nominate a
5	member, the panel board should attend.
6	MR. HACKWORTH: Yeah. Just in the hopes that it
7	does get
8	MS. WILLIAMS: And that will show them that we
9	really do want to be there.
10	MR. HACKWORTH: Right.
11	MR. PIERSON: Maybe we want one or two of them to
12	attend our meeting as well. I think I think
13	MS. WILLIAMS: Right.
14	MR. PIERSON: that's mutually supportive
15	objectives in a lot of ways.
16	MR. HACKWORTH: And I think it's something we
17	should all be encouraged to do.
18	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yeah. Well, congratulations
19	Alex for the
20	MR. PIERSON: Alex, I'm glad you're doing it.
21	You're the real adult in the room.
22	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And our final status update on
23	old business had to do with Policy 300, which we did
24	discuss during our encounter with the chief. 300.11.4,
25	that right now seems to be with the city council, so

we'll wait whatever decision they make. 1 2 MS. WILLIAMS: I make a recommendation that we take a ten-minute break before we go into new business. 3 MR. BOYKIN: And before new business, we move that public comment, too, so is that before new business? 5 6 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yes. Yes, I am, I think, for 7 our reporter, I would like for us to take a break before 8 we get into more comments. I think that would be smart, 9 yeah. 10 She's nodding her head yeah. 11 (Laughter.) 12 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Do we need to make a motion 13 for that, Rose? 14 MS. WIBBENMEYER: I think you can just take a 15 break. 16 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Okay. So, what, ten minutes? 17 MS. WIBBENMEYER: That will be 8:05. (A BREAK WAS HELD.) 18 19 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: All right. I have 8:05 and 20 we'll go back on the record. Also, we've been instructed 21 by a few audience members to please -- to board members 22 to speak as clearly as you can in the mic. With our 23 masks on it's a little more difficult than usual for 24 people to understand us. But yeah, at this time, we will 2.5 now open the floor to general comments by the public.

Would anyone like to speak? 1 MS. SHAW: Good morning. 2 MS. WILLIAMS: Please state your name. 3 4 MS. SHAW: My name is Rebecca Shaw. Do you need 5 my address as well? I just had a question. Because new business, will we be able to give any comments on new 6 7 business items following them? MS. WIBBENMEYER: 8 I think usually when we move 9 public comments to earlier in the meeting, you also do 10 comments at the end at the normal place as well. 11 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yes. Then I have no problem 12 doing it again. When we're in the course of 13 MS. WIBBENMEYER: reviewing the appeal, we will not allow comments for the 14 15 appeal. 16 MS. SHAW: Okay. So the appeal is with the 2020-0007? 17 18 So if you would like MS. WIBBENMEYER: Correct. 19 to comment before or after during regular public comment, 20 you can, but during the review, that initial review point 21 part is just a paper- and video-based review. If they 22 want to hear from witnesses later, then they schedule 23 another meeting and those people are invited to come that 24 they choose to invite to come.

Okay.

MS. SHAW:

2.5

MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: My intention for asking for 1 2 the agenda to be changed in that way was actually so that we could hear from members of the public. 3 I completely appreciate that. MS. SHAW: I know 5 you're trying to move the timeline up, so. So I am the complainant in that appeal, and I have comments. 6 7 you rather I state them now or following? 8 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I would kind of rather hear 9 them before we discuss. 10 MS. WILLIAMS: Why did you file a complaint? I'll dive right in. 11 MS. SHAW: Okay. 12 actually, it wasn't a formal complaint to the police. 13 saw a video that was posted online. Have you-all seen 14 the video in question, the September 2nd traffic stop? 15 Okay. 16 So I actually wrote an e-mail to my council members, all of the council, the mayor, and Chief Jones 17 18 and Sergeant Hestir. And he actually forwarded that e-mail on to internal affairs for an internal 19 20 investigation request. So I wasn't aware that it was 21 turned into a complaint until Sergeant Hestir responded 22 back to me and said I've sent this on to IA for 23 investigation. 24 MS. WILLIAMS: How long did you -- how long did it take for you to get a response back? 2.5

CHIEF JONES: Sergeant Hestir replied the same day and some council members did as well. I actually have -- let me give you the copy. I have a timeline of everything.

2.5

MS. WILLIAMS: I would love to see that. Thank you.

MS. SHAW: I also have the copy of the response from IA and the e-mails that was sent. So initially, my inquiry into the video was really about why was this right and why was this wrong. I, as a person of the public, saw that and I thought, man, I've been working kind of in different channels for years now on what is community policing and what should our police do during stops to help build that trust between the black community and CPD.

And seeing that -- seeing that video, it was -- it was very apparent that, to me -- it was very apparent to me that that stop could have gone much differently. That officer did not show respect to that young man that was in the driver's seat. And this was almost the exact kind of situation that is explained to us over and over by black community members saying we get stopped all the time. And whether it was a traffic violation or a signal violation or a license plate light out, no matter what, we're pushed by the police. They

```
want to get into the car. They want to search things.
 1
 2
     It goes beyond a normal traffic stop.
              MS. WILLIAMS: So let me ask you a question:
 3
 4
     When you got the response from the police chief on the
 5
     10th that it was not a discourteous, disrespectful
 6
     treatment citizen/employee, what was your attitude?
 7
     Because it -- you sent it on the 4th.
 8
              MS. SHAW: Uh-huh.
 9
              MS. WILLIAMS: You got a response six days later.
10
              MS. SHAW: I got a response -- no, that's next
11
     month; right?
12
              MS. WILLIAMS: Oh, yeah, yeah, yeah.
13
             It sure is. Sorry about that. No, it's -- you
14
     sent the response September 4th, you got a response
15
     November the 10th. Sorry, y'all.
16
              MS. SHAW: Correct.
17
              MS. WILLIAMS: So what was your attitude?
18
              MS. SHAW: One, it shouldn't have taken that long
19
     to do this investigation. Two, information needed to be
20
     given to the public. Three, I did not agree that those
     individuals in that vehicle were not treated
21
     disrespectfully, which is why I filed the appeal.
22
23
                    I also had further questions and the chief's
24
     release of the community briefing video did not address
2.5
     part of the e-mail that was sent. He addressed the
```

specific questions that were asked, but in the context of my e-mail was also a question of why -- what seems to me to be racial bias in the stop, so the Policy 330, I believe it is.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: 323 -- 322 for searches and 340 for discourteous and disrespectful treatment.

MS. SHAW: So for the posting following it, so it would be 341, I believe, is discrimination, and that was not addressed at all. And when I actually asked the chief, you know, who decides what policies are looked at in these internal affairs investigations, he said, "Well, we do." So I see a problem there in that the police are policing themselves yet again.

And his response was, you know, we don't expect a citizen to file three more policies and direct us and say you broke policies X, Y, Z. And I understand that, but when a complaint is brought up and issued in a way whenever we are dealing with community policing and overpolicing of black communities in our city, that should also be addressed. So I was also curious, after the release of the community briefing video, I started an attempt at getting body cam videos, dash cam videos --

MS. WILLIAMS: Did you ever get the dash cam?

MS. SHAW: I'm sorry?

MS. WILLIAMS: Did you get the dash cam?

```
I did finally. So two of the
 1
              MS. SHAW:
 2
    officers --
                             Can you send it to the board?
 3
              MS. WILLIAMS:
              MS. SHAW: Yeah, I can send it to the board.
              MS. WILLIAMS:
 5
                             I've never seen it.
 6
              MR. FISHER: Yeah, it's a part of the file.
 7
                             I'm talking about from the
              MS. WILLIAMS:
 8
    original -- did you get the dash cam of when the vehicle
 9
     car did the violation?
              MS. SHAW: No, ma'am. So as the dash cam video
10
11
    does not start until the car is at Burlington --
12
              MS. WILLIAMS: Okay.
13
              MS. SHAW: -- and her --
14
              MS. WILLIAMS: But you never got the observation
15
    of the actual violations?
16
              MS. SHAW: No, ma'am.
17
              MS. WILLIAMS: Did you request that?
                         I did. I asked for all of the dash
18
              MS. SHAW:
19
     cam footage for this, and what I got was a small snippet
20
     starting -- starting at Heriford and they were pulled
     over on Clark Lane. So there's about 15 seconds of where
21
22
     the police vehicle is actually following his vehicle.
23
              MS. WILLIAMS: Did you follow -- follow back up
24
     on that to ask where's the actual footage?
2.5
                         It is not available. The dash cam
              MS. SHAW:
```

comes on when the lights come on. 1 2 MS. WILLIAMS: Did that -- did somebody tell you that? 3 That's what I have been told. MS. SHAW: 5 MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. 6 MS. SHAW: So that is part of my issue is that --7 so special order policy 10671 was issued by the chief and 8 it states that every traffic stop conducted by a CPD 9 officer which does not result in any custody arrest shall 10 be concluded with a written warning or citation delivered 11 to the operator. There is no written warning or citation 12 issued during this traffic stop. 13 And this was a special policy issued because 14 of COVID because the chief did not want extra traffic 15 stops happening to protect his officers and the public. 16 So the moving violations that this gentleman was pulled 17 over for, there is no record of them. 18 Also --19 MS. WILLIAMS: Wait, wait, wait, wait. 20 because there was no citations written, there's actually 21 no record other than the dash cam and the body cam? 22 I have not been provided any proof of MS. SHAW: 23 moving violations that the Officer Wolfe stated in the 24 Policy 300.6, Using Force or Responding to Resistance, so Policy 300 states "Vesting officers with 25

the authority to use reasonable force and to protect the public welfare requires monitoring, evaluation, and a careful balance of all interests."

2.5

Policy 300.6 states, "Officers shall use only that amount of force that appears reasonably necessary given the facts and circumstances at the time of the event to accomplish a lawful objective. The reasonableness of force will be judged from the perspective of a reasonable officer on the scene at the time of the incident." There's a lot of leeway to these definitions.

Law enforcement officers are given -- you know, it's a personal choice and it's a perspective for them what -- what constitutes force. The driver of the vehicle was polite and calm throughout the encounter yet was still detained: The detention of the passenger in this vehicle seems unreasonably supported.

Policy 300 states that "Deescalation techniques shall be applied prior to use of force." I did not see any deescalation techniques prior to

Ms. Jones being placed in handcuffs. Looking at the factors used to determine use of force, the passenger did not seem to be a threat.

Policy 306.2 and 306.5, handcuffing and restraints, this policy states "Restraint devices shall

not be used to punish, display authority, or as a show of 1 2 force." And that handcuffs or plastic cuffs "may be used only to restrain a person's hands to ensure officer's 3 safety." Again, I'm uncertain how the actions of the 4 5 passenger or the driver with respect to officer safety, and I do feel that the restraints were used in a manner 6 7 of displaying authority, particularly with the passenger. MS. WILLIAMS: Well, in the video -- correct me 8 9 if I'm wrong, but he says she was moving too much and 10 that was a threat, even though she had a cell phone in 11 both hands. It --12 MS. SHAW: Yes, ma'am. And her hands were 13 occupied by phones and in the video, if you look 14 carefully, as she's -- she is walking away, but Officer 15 Hayes, who is the officer on the passenger side, tells her to step out of the vehicle. He does not ask her to 16 stand next to the vehicle. He does not ask her not to go 17 18 anywhere. He does not give her further instructions. 19 MS. WILLIAMS: Well, the first officer made the 20 statement that she could stay in the car, but then 21 another officer asked her to get out the car. That's 22 what I saw. 23 MS. SHAW: Yes, ma'am. 24 There was a "step over here" CHAIRMAN PRINGLE:

25

statement, but yeah.

Officer Hayes' video was quite 1 MS. SHAW: Yeah. 2 difficult to get. I'm not sure if you've been able to. So this task force that they were involved with involved 3 both the CPD officer and a MU PD officer. 5 MS. WILLIAMS: So you have the -- you have the 6 video footage --7 T do. MS. SHAW: 8 MS. WILLIAMS: -- of the interaction of the body 9 cam with the young lady? I do. 10 MS. SHAW: 11 MS. WILLIAMS: Can you send it to us? 12 I have it with me, if you want to see MS. SHAW: She is standing still when she is 13 But yes, I can. asked what are my options, and Officer Wolfe comes over 14 15 to the interaction at that point and grabs her arm and There's no discussion about let's take it 16 cuffs her. 17 easy or let's calm down or maybe have a seat or, you 18 know, let's go sit in the car. There's no deescalation 19 in that moment. It seems that he's pretty perturbed by 20 that point. 21 Policy 322, search and seizure, Officer 22 Wolfe states that while the driver is out of the car 23 being detained, that he seen marijuana shakes in the floorboard of the car.

The still photo from the body cam footage

24

2.5

supplied by CPD shows unidentified debris between the driver's door and seat. This would be difficult to see with a closed door. The investigation didn't appear to demonstrate actions that seek to establish the validity of the probable cause Officer Wolfe declared or how he could have seen it with the door closed.

2.5

The chief wants us to trust his officers but ultimately the public needs transparency and proof to have that same trust. Was Officer Wolfe's questions on his probable cause a solution to the stop? Do photos exist of the shake substance as evidence, and was the substance tested? Does a written report of this traffic stop exist, which indicates the reason for the search with supporting evidence of the officers findings?

We do have video from all three officers on the scene now. Only one out of three officers said that he seen shakes on the floor. Not once does anybody say that they smell marijuana. Officer Hayes, who is dealing with the passenger side of the vehicle, never states when he is searching through the vehicle following the detainment that he sees shakes in the car. His video shows the backseat of the vehicle as he's searching through boxes and looking through the car. Not once does he agree with Dr. -- excuse me -- Officer Wolfe that he sees any sort of marijuana.

I will say, Ms. Shaw, in 1 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: 2 Detective Hayes' video, he does say that some material was shake. He does say it. 3 MR. PIERSON: As he's handing her his business 5 card, he says "Look on the floorboard, it is everywhere." 6 Something to that effect. 7 MS. SHAW: Officer Wolfe said that or Officer 8 Hayes? 9 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Hayes. MS. SHAW: Okay. I find it strange that as the 10 11 officer is going through the car, he doesn't agree with 12 the other officer on the side of the car. CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: He does also when he's 13 14 searching Miss Jones' car, he calls what looks like dirt, 15 he does refer to it as kind of shake. 16 I am not going to say yes or no that 17 there's shake in the car. I don't know. 18 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yeah, me either. 19 But the question to me is was it ever MS. SHAW: 20 asked of Officer Wolfe what his probable cause was? 21 was his reasoning for getting into that car? Could he 22 clearly see that shake in the car from his standpoint or 23 was this a pretext stop where they pulled a young black 24 man over and they said I see marijuana, therefore I'm

going to search the car? This is the violent crimes task

2.5

force. Were they there to give him a traffic citation or were they there to look for a weapon in the vehicle?

This is the kind of stop that is detrimental to the trust between Columbia police officers and the black community.

2.5

I want to point out, too, that during the stop, at 1:59 in the video, this is the first time the officer asks to come out, to step out. At 2:17, the driver states his intent to record. At 2:30, the officer states, "Step out or I'll pull you out." At 2:33, he opens the door. 30 seconds. 30 seconds between the first ask and the door opening. Which somehow built to an escalation of get out or I'll pull you out.

Police called this "ask, tell, make" during the community briefing video. I would call this impatience or maybe anger at being asked to record. I really think that it's a blind spot and policing today officers would expect an immediate compliance to step out of the vehicle of a young, 20-something black man who has watched community members die in videos being asked to step out of a vehicle.

The driver's hands were visible the entire time. He remained polite and was asking a question, which was only answered after he was detained and in cuffs. And he was told that his vehicle would be searched.

I've spoken to the chief on this matter and we have different opinions. I think 15 seconds to allow a person to record isn't asking much to help build back the trust between CPD officers and citizens, which is what everybody claims to want. If people are not fearful of being pulled over for a traffic stop, it greatly reduces the chance of a negative or dangerous interaction between them and the officer.

Final thoughts for the chief and the board, the public statement from the chief saying that there was nothing wrong with this traffic stop allows the trauma of the black and brown communities in Columbia to be disregarded. Trust takes years to build, seconds to break, and forever to repair. Every break in trust sets us back.

The challenge is to develop the skills to build that trust and that starts with communication.

Putting out a community briefing video closes the door of communication. You are shutting off one entire side of that discussion. I think that this should have been an open and public discussion from the start.

I think it shouldn't have taken three months for us to get the video released. I think when our council members and the public asked for the release of that video, I think it should have been given freely. I

think we need to look at a policy that makes it necessary that body cam footage is released to the public immediately following issues like this. This is something that we can do to move forward in building trust between our people and our police. And I will take any questions you have.

2.5

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: When you saw the full video, Ms. Shaw, did that alleviate any of your concerns from the initial video, from the Facebook video, or did that make your concerns worse?

MS. SHAW: I waited to -- I waited to get the full video before appealing to your board because I wanted to have the full story. I recognize that something that's put out on social media can be a brief clip of a full moment. What I saw during the full video was a respectful young man who was patient with the officer, I felt, and I did -- the officer showed him respect as well up until a point.

I think as soon as that officer's -- I think as soon as the officer felt challenged and whether that was by him asking to video the encounter or making him wait a little while to comply, I think you saw a change in that officer's disposition. And I felt that at that point, he was disrespectful.

MS. WILLIAMS: Ms. Shaw, I appreciate the fact

that it moved your heart and that you filed a report 1 2 because this mayhem never even came before the board. So as a citizen, I appreciate you taking the time. 3 MS. SHAW: Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Any further questions for 6 Ms. Shaw? Yeah, thank you again for coming before us, 7 Ms. Shaw. And also thank you for looking into the 8 policies like that. 9 MS. SHAW: I -- actually, that's another question So there is no direct e-mail address for this 10 I have. 11 board. Everything had to go through Rose, which I don't 12 think is an issue except in the fact that as a general person of the public, I was not really sure how to get a 13 14 message to you. 15 If you go to the city's web MS. WIBBENMEYER: page and you go to the citizen's police review board, and 16 17 there's a drop down that takes you to the transcripts, 18 minutes, and agendas. The very bottom one is contact us. 19 You just hit that, fill it out, it comes to me and one of 20 the other people --21 It would be helpful to have that same 22 link on the page because whenever I looked for the appeal 23 information on the city's website, it took me to a page

So on the appeal page?

that only had a phone number to call, so...

MS. WIBBENMEYER:

24

25

MS. SHAW: Just do a search for an appeal for 1 2 CPRB. 3 MS. WIBBENMEYER: But that's where you want the contact us? 4 5 MS. SHAW: Yep. 6 MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Any further comments from the 8 public? 9 Please state your name. 10 MS. JONES: Hello, my name is Tenacious Jones and 11 I'm a graduating senior at Lincoln University and I'm 12 graduating in the criminal justice and my minor is sociology, and I'm also the person that -- the female 13 that appeared in that video. And I'm not here for any --14 15 for you guys to answer any questions, I just want to make 16 some comments to you guys about how I felt during -- or 17 how I feel -- felt during and after that stop, basically. 18 So in -- oh, let me mention this: Also, I 19 worked for the Department of Corrections four years so I 20 also know a little bit about police training, and I know 21 I know everything about -- I wouldn't say I my rights. 22 know everything. I know a lot about how a stop's 23 supposed to go or how far you can infringe on my rights or whatever. So excuse me. 24 25 MS. WILLIAMS: It's all right. Take your time.

MS. JONES: Sorry. So in the midst of that time, Breonna Taylor. Like, that's all I need to say. Breonna Taylor. In the midst of that time, a black woman was killed in her home sleeping and nobody answered for that. Nobody answered for that. I just got pulled over and put into cuffs.

2.5

I'm here at this board. This is the farthest it's ever went because personal experiences, this is the first time it's happened to me. I have five brothers. It's not the first time it's happened to my brothers. To my boyfriend, this is not the first contact to him, that's why he was so calm. That's the first time something like that happened to me as to the extreme of being put in the back of a police car. So for all of this to be going on, I don't trust the police at all.

I don't even look for -- like, this board, I respect y'all, everybody on here because I understand why y'all here, but it's, like, I understand that there's still Pandora's box to when the police can pick and choose when they want to go about policy and when they don't.

Because I just heard the chief stand up here and say he don't always follow policy directly from this.

Like, I don't understand why policy is not something that you cannot go outside of because what is to regulate on

when you cannot and can follow policy. Like, I don't understand that at all. That's one thing, like, the whole purpose is -- of what I'm saying basically is the setup of the police, the laws, the setup of their policies.

Like, you don't need more training. You need to change the policy. It's not the training. The training is not it. It's not training, because on that stop, like you said, it was MU police. So you were training someone. So it was a sergeant, it was a regular police, and then you were training someone, so this is how you train somebody. This is how you go out and show the next person that it's okay. So I don't agree with that at all because this -- this is your training.

MS. WILLIAMS: So one of the officers there was being trained.

MS. JONES: The MU police, that's why we can't get his body cam. That's what I was told. So I'm not going to say that's fact. I was told he was in training at this moment.

MS. WILLIAMS: And who was the training officer, do you know?

MS. JONES: The officer that was at the window.

Not the sergeant. The officer that was at the window.

They was in the car together. That's the whole reason

they pulled up because he's training him or something 1 2 like that. That's why he had a whole different card and all of that, that's what I was told. 3 And that's basically all I have to say is I 5 don't trust the system. I don't look for -- I know you-all are doing your best with the community, but it's 6 7 like this has been going on since how long with the 8 police in the community? I don't think my incident --9 because I'm still here and I'm breathing. I'm alive. Ι 10 believe that is the full-on blessing I can say, me and my 11 boyfriend, that we did not die in that situation. 12 feel like that's sad that that is the biggest 13 accomplishment that we can have. 14 MS. WILLIAMS: So what do you feel should have 15 been different? What should have been different 16 MS. JONES: 17 during the stop? The respect. The respect. After that, 18 like, do your job. We going to give you what you need. 19 Why you want to -- the respect. Respect. Number one. 20 Just because you are police, authority -- being the 21 authority, we understand. We're giving you respect. 22 Like, why -- why can't you respect people with authority? 23 You can still have authority and give people respect. That's the main thing. That's all I have to say. 24 2.5 MS. WILLIAMS: I'm going to tell you something.

```
There was a statement that was made that I was highly
1
 2
    offended.
 3
              MS. JONES: Yes, ma'am.
              MS. WILLIAMS: And it really bothered me that it
    was said.
 5
 6
              MS. JONES:
                          Uh-huh.
 7
              MS. WILLIAMS: That when the officer said she's
 8
    acting crazy.
9
              MS. JONES:
                          She's acting a fool. That was it.
10
              MS. WILLIAMS:
                             That's exactly it.
11
              MS. JONES: I'm not going to speak to her because
12
     she's acting a fool.
                             Yeah, that bothered me.
13
              MS. WILLIAMS:
                         Yeah. That bothered me, too, ma'am.
14
              MS. JONES:
15
     That bothered me a lot. Because I was acting a fool?
16
    Let me say something. I am a black woman in America.
17
    don't have no room to be calm and nice and assertive all
18
     the time because I'm me and that's who I am. But other
19
    people see black women, if they're not calm, if they're
20
    not nice, this if they're not smiling. The only thing
21
     they see is danger. I protect myself. Nobody else
22
    protect me.
23
                    My coping mechanism, my -- that is my
24
     safety. My anger, my fear, my yelling, my crashing out
```

is my safety mechanism. And just because it's not the

2.5

```
same as the next person or the next person because we're
 1
 2
     all different does not mean that I still -- I don't know
     what I'm doing. It doesn't mean that I broke any laws.
 3
                    I didn't break any laws. That does not mean
 5
     that the ten natural-born rights that I am given when I
 6
     came out of my mother -- I'm not a felon.
                                                I did nothing
 7
            We did -- were going to get food. We had laundry
 8
     clothes on and we're all on the TV. We did nothing
 9
     wrong.
10
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE:
                                 Now, Ms. Jones?
11
             MS. JONES:
                          Yes, sir?
12
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Irrelevant what we can or
13
     can't do, what would make this right in your eyes?
14
              CHIEF JONES: What would make this right? I
15
     don't know. I don't know. I can't comment to that. I
     don't know.
16
17
              MS. WILLIAMS: Because you can't turn back time.
18
              MS. JONES:
                         Exactly.
19
              MS. WILLIAMS:
                             Yep.
20
              MS. JONES:
                          Thank you.
21
              MR. HACKWORTH: Do you think that when the
22
     officer said, "Step out of the car or I'm going to pull
23
     you out of the car, " it escalated it unnecessarily?
24
              MS. JONES: Yes, of course. That right there, we
25
    knew what was going on.
```

Do you think that the stop would 1 MR. HACKWORTH: 2 have gone differently had it been given different tenure, that directive? 3 MS. JONES: Yes, of course, even if he would have 5 just asked us the question of "I see marijuana shake" right then and there, he would have got out the car. 6 7 Even right then and there, because you're the -- you're 8 the officer with the badge, the gun, the everything. 9 Like, we're not going anywhere, so we would have gotten 10 out of the car, and it would have just continued. 11 Like --12 MR. HACKWORTH: Which I believe was told to the 13 driver about eight minutes or something later. 14 When he got out of the car and he MS. JONES: 15 opened the door. And -- oh, and on the review board, 16 they said the driver said he's not a miracle -- a 17 marijuana card. He didn't say yes, he didn't say no. 18 said there's no marijuana in the car. I don't get it. 19 There's a lot of -- the video is very 20 disrespectful. It's like they're going to play with you, 21 then laugh in your face. Like, that's basically how I 22 feel and they're putting the police and black people --23 like we're going to act like we care and we're going to 24 laugh in your face afterward. Because that's what it is.

MR. BOYKIN: Are -- you're talking about the

25

debriefing video; correct? 1 2 MS. JONES: Yes. MS. WILLIAMS: Did you -- since it was a traffic 3 4 violation, did you even expect to have to get out the 5 car? 6 MS. JONES: No, no -- I mean, no, not at all. 7 But like I said, knowing -- like I said, I worked at 8 Department of Corrections almost a year, I quit or 9 whatever, but I know -- like when I was walking away from 10 the car, if you're going to bend down and search this 11 car, why would you want me standing right there in front 12 of the car? It's, like, you're getting in the car. you want me standing right by the car while you're 13 14 getting down? Like, I'm going to the back of the car. 15 Like I said, this is not my first rodeo. I know how this goes. Like -- and I'm not a criminal. 16 17 MR. PIERSON: Ms. Jones, I really do appreciate 18 you kind of lending your perspective to this group here. 19 I'm just kind of curious, I just want to kind of rephrase 20 Mr. Pringle's question. If you were this individual --21 I'm sorry, the -- let's say the officer on the driver's 22 side and passenger side, if you were that individual's 23 supervisor, what would be your -- what would you do to 24 kind of rectify the situation? 2.5 MS. JONES: If I was the supervisor -- the

supervisor in that situation and my officer was acting 1 2 just like he did? Sure, yeah. 3 MR. PIERSON: MS. JONES: I would have did -- well, hold on. 5 would have waited until he got him out the car and we would have addressed -- I would have addressed him, like, 6 7 after -- while he was searching or whatever. At the end 8 of that stop, we would have explained everything. 9 would have talked, we would have came to the 10 conclusion -- the transparency, that's it. At the end of 11 the stop, right then and there, we would have never been 12 here. If he was transparent with us, like, you know 13 what, I am completely sorry. I just did this, this, and 14 You know what, accountability, literally, 15 accountability, that's all. 16 But that stop went exactly like it did and 17 at the end when I said, "Show it to me, where is the 18 shake?" Because, like I said, one of them saw it on the 19 floorboard. And if there is, I said, "Show it to me. 20 Where is it? I need to see it. I need to see it. 21 want to see it. You're claiming -- you just put me in 22 handcuffs. You just searched my purse, took my purse off 23 of me and searched my purse. I want to see the marijuana 24 shake that you're claiming. Show it to me." 25 MS. WILLIAMS: What -- what was your thought when

```
you drove away with no citation?
 1
 2
              MS. JONES: I don't think I had real thoughts.
                                                               Ι
     don't think I had real -- I can't really truly say
 3
     anything about that.
 5
              MS. WILLIAMS: Because that was the original
 6
     reason why you got pulled over.
 7
              MS. JONES: Yeah.
 8
              MS. WILLIAMS: For violating a law.
 9
              MS. JONES: Yes.
10
              MS. WILLIAMS: But you drove off with no warning,
11
     no citation, no nothing.
12
              MS. JONES: And you guys said the -- I'm not from
13
     Columbia because I told you I go to Lincoln. We were
14
     stopped on Clark; right?
15
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE:
                                 Yes.
16
              MS. JONES: And you said the video starts where?
17
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: At Heriford and Burlington.
18
                          I'm sorry, what was that?
              MS. JONES:
19
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Heriford and Burlington.
20
              MS. JONES: Yes, so that's what I'm saying.
                                                            So
21
     you're saying what was I thinking about them even
22
     stopping us basically, right?
23
              MS. WILLIAMS: No, the fact that he said you
     broke --
24
2.5
              MS. JONES:
                          The law?
```

MS. WILLIAMS: Your boyfriend broke the law. 1 2 MS. JONES: Uh-huh. MS. WILLIAMS: And I think he named off two or 3 4 three. 5 MR. BOYKIN: Three. 6 MS. WILLIAMS: Three. But you drove off with no 7 citation. 8 MS. JONES: When we were on Heriford Road before 9 the stop sign, I seen the police behind us. I said, 10 "It's the police behind us." He said, "Okay, baby." 11 I know he didn't do anything because as soon as they put 12 their lights on, it was "Oh, here we go again," because 13 we already knew what was coming. Because we were already 14 aware of the police. 15 So it was like he didn't even focus what you're doing. It was, "you do something?" Like, I'm 16 17 asking him because I'm not driving. So I'm, like, did 18 you do -- what did we do that's wrong? Like, so that was 19 the conversation because if you were speeding, you didn't 20 stop at a stop sign, and you were swerving, I don't think 21 the police would let you drive that long before they 22 turned their lights on and to see. 23 Because, you know, that is, like you said in 24 the video, the main causes of Missouri traffic laws or

something. So wouldn't you stop me before I get on this

25

1	busy overpass and go over to the car lane if I run a stop
2	sign at Heriford Road? I don't know.
3	MR. HACKWORTH: How long were they following you
4	from the time that you recognized they were behind you?
5	MS. JONES: I don't know. I can't really say
6	that. I just know like, right before we got to the
7	stop sign, I looked up and saw them, so I don't know. We
8	literally lived down the street, so
9	MS. WILLIAMS: So so they started following
10	you at the stop sign that they accused him of running?
11	MS. JONES: Yes. There's no more stop sign.
12	There's a stop light. There's, like, a yield and then we
13	crossed over an overpass. We're coming out here, right
14	here, we get to the end of Paris Road. And after you get
15	to Heriford, it's Clark Lane right here. We went over
16	around to Clark Lane and they got like, pulled us over
17	on Clark Lane.
18	MS. WILLIAMS: So did the chief ever talk to you?
19	CHIEF JONES: I haven't spoken to anyone.
20	MS. WILLIAMS: Do you know if the chief talked to
21	your boyfriend?
22	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: He's shaking his head no.
23	MS. WILLIAMS: So nobody ever talked to you?
24	MS. JONES: No. No.
25	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Did you ever consider filing a

```
complaint yourself?
 1
 2
              MS. JONES: As of this right here and where we
 3
     are at and where we are now, yes, I am considering it.
     Very much so.
 5
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And Carley, I see you have
 6
     your mute off.
 7
                          I just -- I just want to say I really
              MS. GOMEZ:
 8
     appreciate you being here and willing to walk through
 9
     what happened and your experience. I know that I can
10
     only imagine how much that takes, and I'm just really
11
     grateful that you came here tonight to share your
12
     experiences.
13
              MS. JONES:
                          Thank you.
14
              MS. WILLIAMS:
                             Thank you.
15
              MS. JONES:
                          Thank you, guys, for the time and the
16
     space.
             Thank you.
17
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Thank you, Ms. Jones.
18
                    Any further comment?
19
              MS. WILLIAMS:
                             Is it possible -- Mr. Lovelady is
20
     talking -- that I can hear from the gentleman?
21
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Please state your name in the
22
     mic.
23
              MR. ANNOOR:
                           My name is Kamal AnNoor.
24
              MS. WILLIAMS: Did the chief ever talk to you?
25
              MR. ANNOOR:
                           No, ma'am.
```

MS. WILLIAMS: When did you first see the police?

MR. ANNOOR: So she let me know that there was
the police behind me, but like she said, I was, like,

"Okay." Like, I'm not doing anything wrong. Everything
is legal on my car, everything. I didn't -- I said,

"Okay, I'm aware." But like she said, there's just one
stop sign on Heriford Road. I'm not from Columbia, but
there's one stop sign on Heriford Road.

If I was speeding, if I was swerving in the middle lane, if I ran a stop sign, why would you wait until I get all the way through Paris, the busy road, all the way down Clark Lane to pull me over. I know how it goes. You sit behind me. You wait until I do something wrong and then you pull me over.

But I didn't do anything wrong, so you needed probable cause to blame me to pull me over and that's why you said there was marijuana shake in the car. And that's why I said, "Oh, my God," because I knew it was something. This is not my first rodeo. It happens. They need something to search the car. You didn't smell marijuana, anything, so you have to say -- and I asked the officer, I said, "Officer, why do you want me to step out of the car?" That's all I wanted to know. If you would have told me anything, okay, I will step out the car. Just why? Why do you want me to step out of the

For my safety. I want to feel safe, too. 1 car? 2 MS. WILLIAMS: So he asked you to step out of the car for his safety? 3 MR. ANNOOR: I mean, I don't know why he asked me 5 to step out of the car. That's all I wanted to know. 6 Why? 7 MS. WILLIAMS: Did you just expect to get a 8 citation and move on? 9 MR. ANNOOR: Something. And that's what I'm trying to figure out. Like, why didn't I get a warning, 10 11 and I didn't even hear anything else about the speeding, 12 I didn't hear anything about the lane violation or anything after the stop. After the weed, marijuana 13 shake, I didn't hear anything about that. Like, that was 14 15 initially why he pulled me over, but nothing. Like, not a citation, warning, anything. 16 So at that point when I didn't get a 17 citation, I already knew, okay, well, you just hooktry 18 19 That's basically what you just did. Like, I already 20 knew right then and there. Like, I have no criminal 21 record. Like, and that's what I was trying to get him to 22 understand. 23 I'm like, sir, I'm not a criminal. Why are 24 you treating me like a criminal? I'm treating you with no disrespect. And it's like he wanted me to escalate 25

the situation. He wanted me to get angry, he wanted me 1 2 to -- he was trying. That's why he was saying, "I will pull you out the car." 3 I was, like, "Okay, here we go." And like 4 5 she was saying, this time of day, like, I'm a black man. You can't tell me that. Like, right now, it's trauma. 6 7 Like, I'm scared for my life. You know what I'm saying? 8 And I'm not the only one in this car. My lady is in this 9 I got to care for her, too; right? You know what 10 I'm saying? That's... 11 MS. WILLIAMS: I have another question for you. 12 So when you were in the backseat of the car and he kind 13 of flipped his tone and you became buddy or whatever it 14 was he called you, how did that make you feel? 15 MR. ANNOOR: I mean, I didn't buy it. Like I said, I knew what it was, and I wanted to get out, and I 16 17 wanted my lady to get out of the vehicle as well. 18 wanted to play that game, I was going to play the game 19 Like, just -- I already knew you pulled me 20 over. You profiled me. I'm not a criminal.

Like, that's what I really wanted him to understand. Sir, I'm not a criminal, don't treat me like a criminal. I'm ready to go. So I knew that being angry and all that wouldn't -- wasn't going to help the situation, so I wanted to move this situation as fast as

21

22

23

24

25

I could forward, so that's why I was talking to him and 1 2 all that, like, sir, can you just, like -- and after that conversation, you go "Okay. I'll put you out of the 3 handcuffs." That was that, and we was on our way. Like, 5 but... 6 MS. WILLIAMS: What would you feel should have 7 changed and what do you feel needs to be done to fix 8 this? 9 MR. ANNOOR: Harassment. Like, I'm tired of being harassed. This is not the first time. Like, I 10 11 don't like being -- I'm doing everything right. 12 sit behind me and wait until I do something wrong. and then you pull me over and then you just make 13 14 something up. Like, stop treating me like a criminal. 15 Like, okay, when you get behind me, you read my tags and all that. You see I have a valid driver's 16 license. You can see the information about me already. 17 18 Like, don't -- don't try to make me a criminal, you know 19 what I'm saying. Like, I do everything the right way. I 20 was raised with respect. I was raised to be respectful 21 no matter who it is. Be respectful. 22 And in that situation, I was so respectful. 23 And, like, even if I would have raised my voice or told him "No, I'm not getting out of the car," I don't know 24

how the situation would have went.

2.5

MS. WILLIAMS: Right, because I -- there was --1 2 there was a conversation that he had with that young lady, and then there was a conversation that he had with 3 you that made both my eyebrows go up. When he said to 5 you, "Everybody smokes in your family but you." 6 MR. ANNOOR: Heehee, yeah. 7 MS. WILLIAMS: Exactly. 8 MR. ANNOOR: I know -- I know how they play the 9 So like I said, I just played along with them. 10 Like, I wasn't even going to say "Okay, you being smart 11 with me, " and we'd just argue. No. I'm going to let Okay, you got that off, I'm going to let you go 12 13 here, okay. Go right ahead. It was funny to you, okay. 14 I'm not laughing at the situation. Like, you just 15 profiling me. And how do you -- y'all feel? It's three 16 officers with three guns, and it's two of us. Like, I don't understand. Like -- I don't understand why I'm put 17 18 in handcuffs. I don't understand why she put in 19 handcuffs. I don't understand. 20 MS. WILLIAMS: Do you feel that you did anything 21 that was threatening? 22 MR. ANNOOR: Nothing. Nothing at all. 23 If we asked him "Why do I have to step out the car?" 24 Then something is really wrong. Like, I should be able

to ask the officer why do you want me to step out the

2.5

car. Officer, just tell me why.

2.5

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: If you were -- if the officer would have just answered your question, would you have stepped out of the car?

MR. ANNOOR: Immediately. Immediately. Like I said, this is not my first rodeo. I know how this goes. Like, I told him, you can check my background. I've never been arrested, so why do I want to be put in handcuffs? All my life, I tried to get away from this, and here I am, like, you know what I'm saying. I don't like this. I'm being treated like a criminal, and I've tried to live my life the right way.

MS. WILLIAMS: How did -- how did this affect your job with the video?

MR. ANNOOR: I don't -- this is all I hear at my job, and I'm a manager. For the record, I'm a manager at Walmart, and I hear this all the time. Like, this is all I hear, every day, every day, every day, every day, every day, every day, every day. Like, and to the point where it's trauma to me. Like, to the point where I can't -- I can say I can drive down the street, I see a police, and I can say, like, that day -- I can say oh, I'm out here not worried about the police, because I'm not doing anything wrong. But I can't say that because hey, they can pull you over and tell you to

step out the car and put your hands up just for nothing 1 2 and search your car. MR. BOYKIN: I have two questions. Do you feel 3 4 like any of those three violations you actually 5 committed? None. And that's what I want to 6 MR. ANNOOR: 7 Just show me the dash cam where I swerve, I ran a see. 8 stop sign, and I was speeding. Show me anything like 9 that. Like, anything. Just -- and I will -- and I 10 will -- okay. Like, I know for a fact I didn't do 11 anything wrong and that's why, when he pulled me over, I 12 was, like, okay, I'm waiting on his excuse because I know I didn't do anything wrong. And when he said I see 13 marijuana shake, I said, "Oh, my God, there it is. 14 15 it is." 16 MR. BOYKIN: My second question is in your 17 opinion, what was it on the floorboard? 18 MR. ANNOOR: There's -- I don't smoke. There has 19 never been, like, never been nothing in that car. something he just said. It's dirt. It could have been 20 21 anything. He didn't take it to a lab and say "Okay, this 22 has been tested for marijuana." He just said, "Oh, 23 that's marijuana shake." And that's just -- how is that 24 okay to say? You can just look in a car and say "Hey, I 2.5 see marijuana, step out the car, let me search your car."

There went my rights. They're stripped now. Like, this 1 2 is my car, but I got to step out because you just said you seen it. And the picture they showed, the screen 3 4 shot, come on. I'm not even going to talk about that. 5 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Did you ever consider filing a 6 complaint yourself? 7 MR. ANNOOR: Yes, sir, I will. And like I said, 8 this -- all this, it happened three months later? See, I 9 didn't know nothing about this. I just happened to --10 MS. WILLIAMS: Did you know the police review 11 board existed? 12 MR. ANNOOR: No, ma'am. No, ma'am. Like, I --13 like I said, I wasn't contacted by anybody or anything. So three months later, boom, it's a body cam. I was, 14 15 like... 16 MR. BOYKIN: You said you were new to Columbia. 17 So how long have you been here in Columbia? 18 MR. ANNOOR: Probably two years, if that. 19 MR. HACKWORTH: I'm confused how the officer was 20 able to see the alleged shake. 21 MR. ANNOOR: And he didn't mention marijuana 22 shake until I got out the vehicle and opened my car. 23 MR. HACKWORTH: Right. 24 MR. ANNOOR: Like, he couldn't say "Oh, I see marijuana shake" when I asked him because I wanted to 25

know what's your probable cause for me to step out the 1 2 car? What's your probable cause? I knew you wanted to search my car when you told me to step out the car. 3 4 knew what was in there. When you put my hands on my 5 back, why you searching? What were you searching me for? 6 What did I do? Like, you know what I'm saying? 7 MR. HACKWORTH: Yeah. And I -- from what I've 8 seen, multiple officers have said different things about 9 the location of the alleged shake, too. Which I'm 10 concerned about the fact that there's no record of these 11 moving violations.

MR. ANNOOR: Nothing.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MR. HACKWORTH: And I don't understand the Constitutional law behind this, but I've been looking up pretext stops a little bit. If the traffic violation was, like, legal, if you actually did have a moving violation, then that pretextual stop is Constitutional. But there's no record that you actually did that. They didn't issue a warning. They didn't leave you a citation.

MR. ANNOOR: Show me. Just show me.

MR. HACKWORTH: There's no body cam. There's no dash cam.

MR. ANNOOR: Show me. If I was speeding, show me. But I just don't speed. Knowing a police officer

let me drive that fast, or run a stop sign, and drive all 1 2 across Paris and all the way down here before you decide to pull me over? I don't see it. 3 MR. HACKWORTH: Do you feel like that builds trust between the police and the black community? 5 6 CHIEF JONES: Exactly. There's no trust there. 7 Like, why should I call the police for my safety if 8 they -- what, they going to harass me? They going to 9 detain me? Like, they supposed to be there for our 10 safety, but I don't feel safe. When I see them, I'm, 11 like "Oh, here come the police again." Like, I don't 12 know if I'm going to be stopped. Like, so... 13 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Can I also ask you what I 14 asked Ms. Jones. Irrespective of what we can and can't 15 do, is what would make this right for you, sir? 16 MR. ANNOOR: Honestly, I don't -- I don't know at 17 this moment. All this stuff is still new to me. 18 We're -- we're going forward with -- we going to try to 19 file a complaint and everything like that. To make this right, I don't know because I don't think -- I don't even 20 21 know if anything will change because, like I said, this 22 been going on for so long. I don't know if anything will 23 change, but it's just, like, respect. Like she said, 24 respect. Come on, like, you don't have to -- I was so 25 respectful. Like, anybody can see I was so respectful.

Like, so that's all. 1 2 MR. HACKWORTH: How did you feel when he directed you that he was going to pull you out of the car? 3 4 MR. ANNOOR: I was scared. Like, everything --5 you got to understand, the time we're in right now, all 6 these videos we're watching right now. And in that 7 moment when he said "Pull you out of the car," I was 8 scared. Not just for me, for her. I'm scared. Okay? 9 Now, this is getting -- okay, this is getting out of hand. And I asked him just one question: 10 11 Sir, tell me why am I stepping out the car. That's all. 12 Just tell me why am I stepping out of the car? If I felt 13 I done something wrong, okay, but I felt I done nothing 14 wrong. And I feel so -- I'd done nothing wrong. 15 shouldn't feel like -- you know what I'm saying? 16 shouldn't feel like I did anything wrong because I didn't 17 do anything wrong at all. 18 MR. HACKWORTH: Yeah, that's a quick ten-second 19 explanation versus three months of having to live through 20 this trauma daily. 21 MR. ANNOOR: Exactly. Exactly. 22 Thank you for coming up and MR. HACKWORTH: 23 speaking. 24 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Any further questions for 2.5 Mr. AnNoor?

MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: No. 1 Just thank you 2 sincerely for being here. 3 MR. ANNOOR: Thank you, all. MS. GOMEZ: Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Please state your name in the 6 mic. 7 MR. LOVELADY: My name is Roy Lovelady and I'm 8 the president of People's Defense. 9 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Hold on. 10 MS. WIBBENMEYER: I was going to say before you 11 go much further, just remember you're on public comment 12 and that you haven't gotten to the review yet. 13 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yes. 14 MR. LOVELADY: I'm not going to spend much time 15 on that. I'm actually -- I just have a few things that I would like to talk about. First off, to kind of address 16 17 that situation but not speak directly to it. If -- I've 18 been coming here since June, and I've been telling you 19 guys about how Columbia says that it's ahead of the 20 curve, but yet I tell you all the time about how black 21 and brown people get pulled over, and yet this is how 22 we're treated. So this time you've actually gotten a 23 chance to see it on camera. 24 This isn't anything new to us. And by them not filing a complaint, it's maybe they didn't know about 25

the process because everybody doesn't know about the complaint process or everybody doesn't know to -- if you file a complaint with the chief or the police system, it goes through them in order to decide if it even ends up here.

2.5

So I don't want you guys to think that because they didn't follow the process, that it was some type of problem or it was going to be overlooked because a lot of people are speaking and making sure that their story gets heard. Because the very next couple of days after I sent it to you guys along with another video -- so I sent you guys two instances that happened within one weekend.

So one thing that I did want to make mention of is earlier, I was at last month's conversation -- I mean meeting and I didn't speak just because I was so overwhelmed with a whole bunch of information. But last time, we were talking about choke holds and the chief used -- when he talked about use of force, it's an us or them. And then tonight he used it and he said it's not an us against them. So it's, like, we have to be careful when we're saying statements because I like to write everything down and I made sure to write that. It's an us against them.

Like when you walk in and it's a hot-threat

situation, it's an us against them. I would like to think that that mentality is taken into most situations. It's, like, no matter what I do right or what I do wrong, it's us against them.

I bought a brand-new car and I got pulled over so many times and constantly asked whose car is this? Whose car? Can I not afford this car? Could this not be my car? And how -- if I'm not the polite person that I am and know how to address the situation, I could be a person that's dead.

So that -- and then another thing that I would like to talk about is the community outreach. How are we effectively reaching out to the black and brown communities? Because we're hosting meetings -- or they're hosting meetings, but how are the minority being -- receiving the information, getting the information, making sure that they can be a part of so that their voices can be heard? Because I'm black and brown and I haven't had any information or I haven't seen anything to be a part of and I think that my voice speaks just as loud as anybody else in this community.

The community policing, constantly, I hear the police officers say that community policing is just good policing. Well, didn't they get more money for next year to create a program for community policing? And

right now the demo or the demonstration that they have so
far is just putting more officers in high-crime areas?

I don't think surveillancing the black and
brown people with more police officers is going to be the
solution to the problem. And if that's the solution to
the problem, try in the white areas first. Why do we
have to be the crash dummies? I mean, sometimes role

have to be the crash dummies? I mean, sometimes role reversal is great just to see the data. And if we're so

9 data-driven and change is made by data, then role
10 reversal is okay sometimes. Let's try it the oppo

reversal is okay sometimes. Let's try it the opposite

11 | way sometimes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Oh, also training. So I heard you guys say about training. I mean, I haven't read the policy, but I kind of heard training for choke holds. I was at the last meeting, per year, they said that they get 28 hours of time for training. So -- but when it was constantly asked how do we implement -- how do we put implicit bias training in, it was, like, "We don't have time for that."

And as you-all are sitting here, I think everybody on this board was in attendance when they said, "We don't have time to implement extra training." What we have is what we have. So yet, when we're talking about the choke hold and in order to make it okay or to justify why we should keep the choke hold, now we're going to make more time for training, but we can't make

more time for implicit bias? 1 2 So that sends a message to me as a law-abiding citizen. Like, oh, we prioritize what we 3 choose to prioritize. And so to make sure that we're 4 able to continue to do choke holds, then we can get some 5 6 type of training, even if it's two minutes, 15 minutes, 7 it's training because we went over it. But we have to be 8 mindful of the message that we are sending to our people, 9 to all citizens, because to me, that was a slap in the 10 face. Because I'm fighting against choke holds. 11 Basically, I would like to say -- I would 12 like to end by saying we have a problem with our policing Not -- not every police officer is bad. 13 14 every police officer is good. But we must take the time 15 to weed them out. One bad apple can spoil them all, and we must weed out those bad apples. Would any of you like 16 17 to eat bad apples? 18 MS. WILLIAMS: No. 19 MR. LOVELADY: Or do you throw them away? 20 shouldn't we create some type of process to weed out the 21 bad apples? Thank you. 22 MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Mr. Lovelady, may I ask one 23 question? 24 MR. LOVELADY: You may.

MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Would you be willing to

25

```
look at Ms. Gomez's community policing plan and perhaps
1
 2
    give us some feedback on it?
              MR. LOVELADY: I would love to look at that plan.
 3
    Yes, I would.
 4
              MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Okay. We'll get that to
 5
 6
    you.
 7
              MR. LOVELADY: Okay. Thank you.
 8
              MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Lovelady. Thanks
9
     for coming back.
10
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Any further public comment?
11
                    Please state your name in the mic.
12
              MS. STEWART: Hello, my name is Anna Stewart.
13
     I'm also a member of the People's Defense. And I just
14
    wanted to ask about the access to these meetings.
15
    understanding that these are not live streams the way
16
     city council meetings are because they are not recorded
17
     and accessible to the public after the meeting? After
18
     last month's meeting, my husband reached out to the news
19
    people who were here requesting video, the cop's video,
20
     of the entire meeting, but I guess they only have the
21
     clips that they had and don't store the rest. Is there a
22
    way that we can make sure that these meetings are
23
     accessible to the public?
24
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: They -- right now, currently,
25
    all we have is the transcripts post meeting.
```

MS. STEWART: Okay.

2.5

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: As to actually streaming, I think that would be a budget thing.

MS. WIBBENMEYER: That's a city council decision because years ago, when the board first started, all the meetings were broadcast on the city channel. And then at some point, I can't remember which year, but the board at that time, they were -- they had two main concerns. One was that some people felt uncomfortable coming to the meetings because they were going to be televised and they wanted to make it more available to people to feel comfortable to say things that sometimes will be very personal to them.

There are other concerns, some of the other members were concerned about how much expense was involved in producing and broadcasting. And so the board at that time passed a motion to send report to council to request that council stop the recording. And council received that report and at that point decided to stop the recording.

So basically, if that was going to change, it would take council action to -- because there is a budget impact. There would have to be additional staff. So the last time it came up, the -- there was money put aside to hire a court reporter to prepare transcripts

because they thought that would then provide the
transparency, but yet at some level protect the people's
privacy when they came here to talk.

MS. STEWART: That makes sense and I will bring it up with council, but in the meantime, we intend to go ahead and since people do live stream, my husband and I intend to go ahead and record these meetings from this point forward, so I just wanted to let you-all know that. And I appreciate your time. Thank you.

Do you have any questions?

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: No, I think KOMU, they are streaming this whole meeting tonight, I believe, and I think sometimes Race Matters, Friends have also streamed live, I believe, too.

MS. STEWART: Yeah, I believe it's done. It's just not usually located in a consistent place. And I think, unfortunately, what we hear so often is people not making complaints for a myriad of reasons. Partly out of -- it's just a traumatic, difficult process. I just want to move on from these types of things. They're worried about retaliation. But all too often, it's just because people don't know how to engage and where to make that first step.

And I do think that increased transparency across the board in a time we're asking police to please

be much more transparent with the public, making sure 1 2 that the public has as many opportunities to engage as possible is really important in this current climate. 3 And also the People's Defense policy team would be very 4 5 interested in working with you, Ms. Gomez, if you would 6 like any assistance looking over your proposal. 7 MS. GOMEZ: I would really love that and 8 appreciate that, for sure. 9 MS. STEWART: Absolutely. Many hands make light 10 work, so... MS. GOMEZ: Yes, definitely. So if, you know, we 11 12 could send it to Roy or we get your information to Rose, 13 we can send it to you, too. MS. STEWART: 14 That sounds great. 15 MS. WIBBENMEYER: It's also tied into this 16 agenda, so that's also an easy way to find it. If you go 17 to the agenda, there's a link. 18 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: There's a link on the website. 19 We will have it right away. The current draft. 20 MR. BOYKIN: Is that the current version you sent us, Rose? 21 22 I don't know if it's the MS. WIBBENMEYER: 23 updated version because the updated version came today. 24 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: It's not the one from today. Yeah, and that's what I can tell as 25 MS. GOMEZ:

But my e-mail is right at the top of that document 1 well. 2 if you do look at the agenda, the attachment, too. MS. STEWART: Perfect. 3 Thank you. MS. GOMEZ: Thank you. 4 5 MS. WIBBENMEYER: And the board did receive a 6 similar request from Kathy Jensen [phonetic] via e-mail 7 and that was forwarded out. 8 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Thank you for speaking, 9 Ms. Stewart. 10 MS. STEWART: Thank you. 11 MR. HACKWORTH: Rose, would that be something --12 you mentioned in an e-mail briefly that we could send a 13 report over to the board, a request? MS. WIBBENMEYER: Basically, if the board passed 14 15 a motion to make a report to council, that would be how 16 you would go about that. 17 MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. MS. WIBBENMEYER: I don't know if you want to do 18 19 it tonight or whether you want me to put it on an agenda 20 for next month to have additional public input because 21 there was -- there was a lot of debate on it originally, 22 so it's really up to you how you want to proceed. 23 MR. HACKWORTH: Yeah. 24 MS. WIBBENMEYER: But if you decide to proceed,

it's a motion to send report to council to recommend, and

2.5

then whatever it is you want to recommend. 1 2 MR. HACKWORTH: Yeah, while I would love to see 3 something like that happen as soon as possible, it would probably be the best thing to get as much public input on that decision. 5 6 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Do you want me to put it on 7 next month's agenda? 8 MR. HACKWORTH: Yes, please. 9 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Okay. I'll put it as a new business item. 10 11 MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you. 12 MR. BOYKIN: I know my personal opinion and I think it would be very beneficial, even if it's just for 13 14 our current state pandemic from COVID until we can have 15 more people in the audience and people feel more 16 comfortable going out, the video might be more beneficial 17 at that current time because of that. 18 MR. HACKWORTH: Yeah. Rose, I have another 19 question attached to it. If we were to pass a motion to 20 create a report to send to council, that would only get 21 sent to council if we ended up approving it at the next 22 meeting after we get public comment? 23 MS. WIBBENMEYER: You don't need to pass a motion 24 to create. You would just need to do one. So basically, 25 a motion to send a report to council to recommend and

whatever it is you're going to recommend. And then the 1 2 chair and vice chair would kind of come up with what the letter of that -- that would be. Or the other way you 3 4 could do it, if you were really particular about how you 5 wanted that report to be worded, someone could volunteer 6 to draft a suggested report, and then we can put that on 7 the agenda next month, get public comment, and then there 8 would be motion at that time. 9 MR. HACKWORTH: I think that would speed the process up a little bit, but I don't know what other --10 11 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: It doesn't take long to draft 12 it. 13 MR. HACKWORTH: It doesn't? Okay. 14 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I think the first one I had to 15 forward on, it took staff, like, two days. 16 MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. So it's not like a 17 thorough, like, mini-page report? It's just a -- it's a 18 request. 19 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Often they're letters, if you 20 want to think of them that way. 21 MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. Thank you. 22 MS. WIBBENMEYER: They can be long. Like, your 23 annual report will be quite long, but something as minor 24 as that, it's a letter with justification.

I appreciate that. Thank you,

MR. HACKWORTH:

2.5

1	all.
2	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Any further public comments?
3	Comments from the board?
4	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Did you want to do this now or
5	at the end of the meeting?
6	MR. BOYKIN: I would like to move the board
7	comments probably to the end or before close.
8	MS. WIBBENMEYER: I'm sorry, I missed that
9	motion.
10	MR. BOYKIN: Motion to move our public or our
11	members and staff comments to after.
12	MS. WIBBENMEYER: I think the only thing we moved
13	forward was the public comments.
14	MR. BOYKIN: Yeah.
15	MS. WIBBENMEYER: So I don't think we have to
16	actually put a motion in.
17	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: We will move on to new
18	business. First up, the 2020-0007 appeal brought by
19	Ms. Shaw. We have heard a lot tonight. Everyone had a
20	chance to review
21	MS. WIBBENMEYER: You have your first steps of
22	making sure everyone's reviewed all materials followed by
23	the jurisdictional.
24	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Has everyone reviewed the case
25	files shared?

1	
1	BOARD MEMBERS: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Moving on. Jurisdictional
3	issues.
4	MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: No.
5	MR. BOYKIN: I don't think there's a
6	jurisdictional issue.
7	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And then, Rose, do I move
8	to
9	MS. WIBBENMEYER: There would be motion to find
10	there was jurisdiction.
11	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Do we have jurisdiction in
12	Ms. Shaw's appeal?
13	MS. WILLIAMS: I make a motion.
14	MR. HACKWORTH: I'll second it. Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And Rose, this would be a
16	voice vote?
17	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Because you have masks, if you
18	could role call it, that would be great. And I have
19	Ms. Williams made the motion, Alex seconded; right?
20	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yes. I can do a role call
21	vote for this one. The motion is we have jurisdiction
22	over Ms. Shaw's complaint. Mr. Boykin?
23	MR. BOYKIN: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Mr. Fischer?
25	MR. FISHER: Yes.

1	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Ms. Gomez?
2	MS. GOMEZ: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Ms. Heckman-McKenna?
4	MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Mr. Hackworth?
6	MR. HACKWORTH: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Mr. Pierson?
8	MR. PIERSON: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And Mr. Pringle, aye.
10	Ms. Williams?
11	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: All right. And I believe the
13	chief's decision was unfounded when it came to the
14	complaint. I come to the board for our thoughts on the
15	chief's decision.
16	MS. GOMEZ: I think it's incorrect. I think that
17	in the Section 16.255.2 for policies for enforcing
18	marijuana offenses, you're not supposed to be detained in
19	our city for having marijuana if you're an adult, so I
20	think right off the bat, he shouldn't have been detained.
21	And I think that there was no reason for her to be put in
22	handcuffs. You know, she wasn't the person with
23	marijuana or that they were accusing to have marijuana.
24	And yeah, so I think pretty straightforward that this
25	should never have happened.

MS. WILLIAMS: You know, my biggest issue is that there's no record. I have an issue with that.

2.5

MR. FISHER: Can I interject just real quick?
Can I make this easier? So we kind of have three things to move through on this. The first is response to the two decisions in the letter, alright, so for searches and discourteous behavior. Second, we can identify other violations after we get to those decisions. And then third, we can suggest right policy and those things. So I think to kind of keep us on track, if we move in that direction.

MS. WILLIAMS: So do we feel that they were discourteous? I do. Extremely.

MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: I agree, very much so. The question "Why am I stepping out of the vehicle," it's absurd to me that that would be considered confrontational. I think it's a very reasonable question to ask. So to not get an answer to that and then to be threatened to be pulled out of your car is completely inappropriate. That was beyond rude.

MS. WILLIAMS: And then the search, I feel that -- I mean, I always bring this up because I don't know what stage it's at, if it still exists, don't exist, but at a certain point there was a consent search card, that was never brought up. And I just feel that standing

```
from where he was standing, there was -- I just can't see
1
 2
    how it's humanly possible to see shake from where he was
     standing. So it kind of feels like to me, he was
 3
    possibly reaching. You know, if you go to the city, they
 5
     call it freecasing, and I kind of feel like that that was
 6
    possibly a situation where he was trying to find
 7
     something because I still got in my car today trying to
 8
     see on the side of my floorboard, behind my floorboard,
9
     and I couldn't do it. So I just don't feel that the
10
     search was legit. And the fact that they never brought
11
    backup the actual violations that he supposedly did.
12
              MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Frankly, as well, the close
13
    up images that we received of the supposed shake.
14
              MS. WILLIAMS: You couldn't really tell if it was
15
    a weed.
              MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: It looked like crumbs to
16
17
    me.
18
              MS. WILLIAMS:
                            Right.
19
              MR. BOYKIN: I also noticed --
20
              Sorry, Carley, go ahead.
21
              MS. GOMEZ: I was just going to say -- I'm sorry,
    my internet cut out for a second. Are we still
22
23
     discussing the discourteous findings first?
                             If you need to go back, you can.
24
              MS. WILLIAMS:
              MS. GOMEZ: Oh, I just wanted to comment too
25
```

that, you know, I thought she was being treated discourteously as well, you know, yeah.

MR. BOYKIN: I wanted to bring up this one because I was confused by reading it, but policy 322.74 says "The trunk of a vehicle cannot be searched solely for the purpose of an in-custody incident to a lawful arrest incident. If probable cause exists for a specific item that is believed to be located in the trunk of the vehicle, the trunk may be opened and searched without a warrant." But the trunk was opened and searched, but there wasn't a specific item to be found in the trunk, so I didn't know if that was an added --

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Well, I do think they were making -- they were searching for more marijuana. I don't have as much of a problem with the reason for the stop and the search. I'm with you, Cornell. I can see that being permitted upon a stretch. My big thing is in that video, there is none -- no justification in my eyes whatsoever, for either Mr. or Ms. Jones to have ever been put in handcuffs. That, to me, is the single biggest problem. Even if they did want to search the shake, I mean, I'm not going to doubt the officer on, you know, if he specifically saw that. He saw the shake, he's an officer, he's better trained on that than I am. But I didn't see any reason why those two needed to be put in

handcuffs. It just wasn't there. 1 2 MS. WILLIAMS: I agree. I don't understand how she was viewed as a threat, meaning that she was going to 3 use those cell phones as weapons? 4 5 MR. BOYKIN: I don't understand how this is 6 threatening. 7 MS. WILLIAMS: It's not. To me, it was not. 8 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Especially, I mean, Detective 9 Wolfe came up and said, "You have two options." 10 said, "One option," and then she was put in handcuffs. 11 MS. WILLIAMS: Handcuffs. Immediately. He never 12 even told her what her two options were. 13 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: That's unacceptable. 14 MR. HACKWORTH: No chance to comply. 15 MS. WILLIAMS: Yeah. And then, you know, the 16 other is for me is that for the chief doing investigation 17 and he never talked to them, I have an issue with that, 18 that he never picked up a phone to talk to either one of 19 And I don't know if the chief -- I'm not 20 trying to speak for him, but I don't know if the chief 21 never picked up the phone to contact them because they 22 weren't the ones who filed the original complaint, but it

seemed like to me that if you're doing an investigation,

somewhere along the line, you going to talk to the two

people that were put in handcuffs.

23

24

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Well, I don't think this is chief Jones doing the investigation himself.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MS. WILLIAMS: But somewhere, he signed off on it.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: The investigator contacting of some sort.

MS. WILLIAMS: Right. I personally feel --

MS. GOMEZ: I also think he acted -- sorry.

MS. WILLIAMS: I personally feel somebody owes these two people an apology. And I know that's not going to fix it, but somebody clearly needs to apologize to them. I don't know if -- because the one thing I didn't hear the chief say earlier is that, you know, he talks about this, but it bothered me that in the training, he didn't say, "Well, I don't want this to be the reason why you do something." But the one word I didn't hear him say was "I don't want your biases to be the reason why you do something." Could it have been his biases that caused him to pull them over? I don't know. But clearly something happened and then the fact that there is no dash cam that I have been able to see of him originally breaking the laws and when did this police officer decide that "Oh, I'm not going to give you a citation" when clearly you said I broke three laws, but you don't give me a citation for the laws that I supposedly broke.

you're just going to throw those out the window and not 1 2 even address those anymore? MR. BOYKIN: Or even written warning. 3 4 MS. WILLIAMS: Exactly. 5 MR. BOYKIN: If there's a verbal warning, there 6 wasn't a written warning. 7 MS. WILLIAMS: There was nothing. So you just 8 going to decide, "Okay, I'm going to let you break these 9 three laws and send you on your way." 10 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Oh, but that was the officer 11 exercising his discretion, chose not bring any charges. 12 That is in an officer purview. But yeah, I just keep 13 going back to --MS. WILLIAMS: But it would have justified 14 15 something at least. It would have put something on 16 record. But for you to pull -- and I'm being honest 17 I put myself in that situation, and if you're 18 going to pull me over for breaking these three laws, then 19 you're going to put -- have me get out of my car, you're 20 going to put me in my handcuffs -- and sometimes we, as 21 people, have to put on somebody else's shoes. And no,

I'm not being aggressive, I'm being very passionate.

I don't you to miss label me. But at the end of the day,

I'm going to put my shoes on that they had on that day.

And that first of all, I already see that you following

22

23

24

Then the next thing, I'm already in fear that you me. following me because I don't know what's going to happen. Then I see three police officers. You telling me all I did was broke some traffic laws violations? Then the next thing you asking me to get out of my car. I still got their shoes on, y'all. You ask me to get out of my Then the next thing, you putting me in handcuffs for traffic violations. And the next thing you telling me that I got shake in my car. This situation seems from going bad to extremely worse and all they're doing is asking why, what happened, what I did. Nobody, out of these three officers, felt the need to explain anything Nobody took time. And sometimes all it does is to them. take time, take a pause for the cause, and nobody took any pause for the cause to respect them as human beings. MR. BOYKIN: Yes. And the other thing I wanted

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to add, you said about his discretion, there is a special order, 2500, and it says that every traffic stop conducted by a CPD officer shall be concluded with a written warning or citation delivered to the operator. So technically with him not writing a warning, he did not follow through policy.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I was more referring to the fact that he didn't write a ticket. That's what I was going with.

1	MS. WILLIAMS: Exactly.
2	MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: I'd like to mention again
3	that we're missing the dash cam footage of the supposed
4	traffic violations.
5	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Well, do we have anymore
6	comments about our thoughts on discourtesy level the
7	level discourtesy or the search itself?
8	MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Regarding the search it
9	itself, I'd just like to point out again that per our
10	policies, people are not supposed to be detained for
11	marijuana and that's exactly what happened.
12	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: But what are you looking at,
13	Heather, that says that?
14	MR. BOYKIN: It's in the city council ordinances.
15	MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Yeah.
16	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I'm looking at
17	MR. BOYKIN: 16.255.2(b).
18	MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Yeah, it says "that person
19	shall not be required to post bond, suffer arrest, be
20	taken into custody for any purpose, nor detained for any
21	reason other than the issuance of a summons, suffering
22	incarceration, suffer loss of driver's license or any
23	other punishment or penalty other than the issuance of a
24	summons. And if found guilty, a fine of up to \$250."
25	MS. GOMEZ: And the officer did say that, like,

in the interview, that they detained someone, that they 1 2 detained them for the shake, which you're clearly not allowed to do. 3 MR. BOYKIN: And he clearly said that in the 5 actual -- or in his body cam, too, that he was being 6 detained because he saw shake in the car, and that's why 7 he detained them. 8 MS. GOMEZ: Yeah. 9 MR. BOYKIN: Which again, I don't understand why none of it was taken and confirmed whether it was or was 10 11 not. 12 MR. HACKWORTH: My question on that, then, 13 Mr. Pringle, I know you're an attorney. I don't know if there are any people with a JD on our board. Does that 14 15 city ordinance supercede towards the amount of considerations? 16 17 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Well, no. No. It also doesn't supercede state law. 18 MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. 19 20 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: But also it has to do with a 21 misdemeanor of 35 grams or less. At the time, the 22 officer didn't know how much marijuana they could or 23 could not have had, so I don't think we'd necessarily say

that they have no right to arrest him because the officer

-- was it wrong? I think it was wrong.

24

MS. WIBBENMEYER: The ordinance was adopted by the voters. It was a voter initiative petition that was then on the ballot and adopted by the voters. I believe it was in 2004. Because the city's jurisdiction is limited to -- like, the language in that is directed at police officers and the municipal prosecutor, that ordinance is enforceable against CPD officers but not MU PD officers. So just know that, but this was an action by CPD officer while the MU PD officer was riding with him. CPD officers are bound to follow that ordinance.

2.5

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I guess how does that play well with state law?

MS. WIBBENMEYER: Well, originally when the ordinance was passed, there was a line in there that said, basically, something to the effect of this shall be the lowest priority of the police department. So, like, lower than a seatbelt ticket. There was some — the police didn't like that. Some of the defense attorneys also didn't like the fact that suddenly all these cases were being deferred and not prosecuted. So the police and the defense bar got together and they negotiated some revisions and now I think it goes on being more to the effect of a lower priority. Back when this was passed, I was city prosecutor. We told CPD at that time that they had to follow this ordinance. MU PD knew that that

was the will of the people, but that they weren't necessarily bound by it. The public thought that it had been decriminalized. So the ordinance is what it is, and it's the will of the people. Later reviewed by council, changed a little bit, but still as written.

2.5

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: So it just sounds like a pond of gray area.

MS. WIBBENMEYER: I mean, basically, the enforcement mechanism is if an officer doesn't follow it, there's discipline is possible. So whether or not officers are following it or not or -- I mean, that's really up to CPD to supervise their officers compliance with the code requirements. Misconduct under the ordinance in Chapter 21 is defined as any violation of law: State, federal, local law, any violation of any city wide policy or police department policy or something like that. So it covers all of those areas, covers the policies, it covers the provision in Chapter 16.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: For 35 grams or less.

MS. WIBBENMEYER: For 35 grams or less, and there is an exception. One of the things that was added after the voters adopted it was those exceptions. I don't have it in front of me, if you give me a minute, but there's some exceptions with -- with regard to -- I think it's felony --

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yeah. Preceding ten years,
Class A misdemeanor. Yeah, I think it's got four
exceptions.

MS. WIBBENMEYER: Yeah. So been found guilty of

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a felony within preceding 10 years, found guilty in state court of a Class A misdemeanor other than misdemeanor of marijuana possession or misdemeanor in possession of marijuana, paraphernalia within the preceding five years, or who's been found guilty in state or municipal court, or misdemeanor marijuana possession on two or more prior occasions within the preceding five years, or who are arrested on suspicion of any felony or misdemeanor offense chargeable only under state law arriving from the same facts of circumstances of the alleged marijuana offense. So if the officer wanted to deviate from what is suggested in this ordinance, they would have needed to have checked to see if any of those applied. And at least what I saw in the file, I didn't see anything that indicated that they were proceeding under the exception, but I may have missed it.

MS. GOMEZ: I didn't see that they were proceeding under -- to check it --

MS. WILLIAMS: Carley, you cutoff. Carley, you cutoff.

MS. GOMEZ: Sorry, my internet is going in and

1	out again. (Zoom interruption.) (Inaudible.)
2	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: You cutoff all again, too.
3	MS. GOMEZ: Sorry, don't worry about it.
4	MS. WILLIAMS: You know, what I want to remind
5	the board is that this is a situation that could be
6	possibly happening way more than what we think. This one
7	just happened to be recorded and posted on social media.
8	So it could be happening a lot more and we are not aware
9	and people are just not reporting it, but it truly could.
10	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Sergeant Alpers or Chief Jones,
11	does something like this get picked up in the traffic
12	stop data reporting? Like, was there a report done on
13	this where just to address the concerns she just
14	expressed knowing whether or not there are these stops,
15	whether it's a traffic stop but then there's no tickets
16	issued? Does it get picked up or not?
17	CHIEF JONES: It would be in CAD whether or not
18	there was a ticket issued.
19	MS. WIBBENMEYER: So when you pull your reporting
20	for your traffic stop committee, would this be one of the
21	matters that would be reported? Can you answer verbally
22	so CHAIRMAN PRINGLE reporter can get it down?
23	CHIEF JONES: Yes.
24	MS. WIBBENMEYER: So there's at least that, if
2.5	you understand where I'm going with regard to your

1	question.
2	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, I do.
3	MS. WIBBENMEYER: So. Thank you.
4	MR. BOYKIN: I know we talked a lot about not
5	having that dash cam. Is there thought of necessity to
6	have it and to try to request for it or do you think
7	MS. WILLIAMS: I would like to see it.
8	MR. BOYKIN: It's not even a necessity to report
9	to make that as part of our recommendations.
10	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I don't necessarily think we
11	need it to move forward.
12	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Does it even exist?
13	CHIEF JONES: What dash cam? The initial
14	violation?
15	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: To see them rolling the stop
16	sign.
17	CHIEF JONES: Doesn't exist.
18	MS. WIBBENMEYER: You may want to explain why so
19	people understand why.
20	CHIEF JONES: So if the officer doesn't manually
21	turn it on, turn the lights on, hit speed, or get
22	involved in a crash, the camera won't pick up anything
23	past that 30 second buffer. So if a violation occurred
24	at X intersection, they didn't pull the vehicle over
25	until one mile later and 30 seconds has elapsed in there

```
and they turn their camera on -- or turn their lights on,
1
 2
     then it's only going to pickup that 30 seconds prior.
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Kind of like how the body cams
 3
 4
    work, too?
 5
              CHIEF JONES: Right. So the body camera -- I'm
 6
     sorry, the patrol car footage that you have in your case
 7
     file is what's available.
 8
              MR. BOYKIN: Another question I have, though, is
 9
    he documented the speed. Is that put somewhere that that
10
     speed was documented somewhere or is that also not --
11
              CHIEF JONES: I believe in CAD notes it says that
12
     the speed was 30 or --
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: 40 in a 30. Correct.
13
              CHIEF JONES: Which also documented --
14
15
              MS. WILLIAMS: And did he document how he knew
16
     that?
17
              CHIEF JONES: No.
18
              MR. BOYKIN: That wasn't documented on which
19
     option -- like, because I know there's two ways of
20
     detecting speed. It wasn't documented on which one he
21
     used? Obviously, he was moving, so he could use the
22
     still --
23
              CHIEF JONES: Are you talking about if he
24
     documented it -- documented it by visualization or with a
2.5
    radar?
```

1	MS. WILLIAMS: Correct.
2	CHIEF JONES: No, that's not documented anywhere
3	with any traffic stop
4	MS. WILLIAMS: Does this officer have a radar in
5	his vehicle?
6	CHIEF JONES: Unless they write a summons that
7	says how they documented that speed, or how they gained
8	that speed. You're asking me if that officer has radar
9	in his vehicle. I don't know. We have 60 cars. I can
10	make a generalized assumption that he has the radar in
11	his vehicle, but I do not have a definitive
12	MS. WILLIAMS: And if that officer has a radar in
13	his vehicle, is he a trained officer to use that radar?
14	CHIEF JONES: Again, you're asking questions that
15	I'm not prepared to answer. You're asking me about an
16	individual officer. We have 175 sworn officers, and
17	you're asking me if this officer is trained in radar.
18	I'm not prepared to answer questions like without
19	MS. WILLIAMS: Because I know at a police review
20	meeting that we had that several officers are not trained
21	in using their radar because of cost.
22	CHIEF JONES: Okay.
23	MS. WILLIAMS: So that's why I asked that
24	question.
25	CHIEF JONES: Okay. If it's a request that

you're asking, I can provide the documentation that he's 1 2 been certified in radar. But just because he's been certified in radar doesn't mean an officer can't make a 3 visualization of speed and use that as a suspicion to 4 stop a vehicle for speeding. 5 6 7 MS. WILLIAMS: No, I was just asking. 8 CHIEF JONES: Anything else? 9 MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: I do have a general -- a 10 general question to bring up which probably doesn't 11 relate to this particular conversation regarding this 12 case, but it seems to be potentially a bigger problem the way that the dash camera is handled given how easy it 13 would be for an officer to evade having the traffic 14 stop -- or having the traffic violations on camera. 15

MR. HACKWORTH: Yeah, I agree with you. That's one thing that I've constantly just been wondering over the last few months, just in general. I don't know what considerations go into why body cam footage only goes on during citizen interactions or other things versus always being on. Same thing with dash cam footage. I'm sure I just don't understand that. Sergeant Alpers, when it comes to, like --

MR. FISHER: It's storage.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HACKWORTH: Storage thing? Maybe cost

considerations. Yeah, that's what I figured. 1 2 MS. WILLIAMS: And battery life. MR. HACKWORTH: Battery life. In my ideal world, 3 there's no such thing as battery life, but. 4 5 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: At this time, does the board feel like we need any further information? Witnesses to 6 call before we make a decision on this? 7 8 MR. BOYKIN: I don't think so. 9 MR. HACKWORTH: Mr. Pringle, if we're about to move into making a decision, there's one more thing that 10 11 I had a concern with. It was -- it was with the internal affairs interview of the officer and when I was listening 12 to it, it felt more just like a deposition that would 13 14 support any future litigation of the officer instead of a 15 thorough questioning of if they did violate any policy. 16 And I -- internal affairs is their thing that they do, 17 but it felt like a quick little six-minute conversation, 18 get in and get out, let's just get this on paper and get 19 you out of here. That's a concern I have that I just 20 wanted to get on the record. 21 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I guess, then, for that, we 22 just need a more thorough, like this is the first charge. 23 MR. HACKWORTH: Yeah. 24 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Just more of a roadmap than a 25 general conversation. Is that what you're thinking?

1	MR. HACKWORTH: I don't know what the solution
2	would be in general, but something more thorough where
3	it's really trying to get a feel for the the chain of
4	events and the decisions behind those and the intent of
5	the officer.
6	MS. WILLIAMS: Did you feel like they were
7	policing themselves?
8	MR. HACKWORTH: Absolutely. Oh, oh, wait. Well,
9	when you say policing yourselves, would you expand on
10	that, please?
11	MS. WILLIAMS: Well, that they're doing their own
12	investigation and they're just basically saying we're
13	just going to do the motions and then correspond no
14	findings because we're investigating ourselves, policing
15	ourselves.
16	MR. HACKWORTH: Yes, I felt like it was going
17	through the motions.
18	MR. BOYKIN: Just to have documentation.
19	MS. WILLIAMS: What did you say?
20	MR. BOYKIN: I felt like it was just they did
21	this so they could have documentation.
22	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Well, then, at this time, does
23	anyone want to make a move, a motion?
24	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Before you make a motion, I ask
25	that you make the first motion with whatever you're going

If you're going to continue it to next month's 1 to do. 2 meeting, that's fine. That's a motion that we can handle. If you're going to make a recommendation of the 3 police chief, then make that motion with regard to the 4 5 appeal and then deal separately on any policy or training by separate motion, if that makes sense. 6 7 MS. WILLIAMS: So Rose, can we make a motion for 8 a mediation meeting? 9 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Yeah. If you want to refer it

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

for mediation, that would be a motion to -- to refer the case to mediation and then yeah, that can be handled.

And then if you have policy and training recommendations, those can be handled by a separate motion. So basically, you take care of the appeal first and then go on to any policy or training, if you have any, by a separate motion.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And for the mediation, it would involve our mediator that we have on --

MS. WIBBENMEYER: The city has a contract with a local mediator. That person would be notified provided information. They would then reach out to both the Complainant and the officer. Each party would be told what mediation is and they would ask -- be asked if they want to participate. If both parties agree to participate, then they would schedule the mediation. If

a party declined mediation, the mediator would let me 1 2 know that a party has declined mediation and then the matter would be put back on your agenda and it would 3 become an active appeal again. If the parties were able 4 5 to mediate, then we resolve the issue within the mediation, then the mediator will let us know that as 6 7 well and then that would close out the appeal without it 8 coming back to you as an appeal that you would make 9 recommendation on. 10 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And because of the way this 11 appeal was filed, would this mediation just involve 12 Ms. Shaw? 13 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Yeah. Usually, yes, it would 14 be the Complainant and the officer. I mean, I quess the 15 mediator can look at it and say they want it to involved 16 these other people, but it would be -- I mean, it's 17 designed to be between the Complainant and the officers. 18 MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: But perhaps if Ms. Jones 19 and -- I'm sorry, I can't remember your last name. 20 MR. ANNOOR: AnNoor. 21 MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: If they put in a complaint, 22 then potentially it could go to mediation with them? 23 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Right. They'll just be a 24 little out of sync timing wise. So the police chief has

the ability to refer any complaints into mediation and

that would go to the same mediator selected by the board. 1 2 So if they filed a complaint, he could say "Well, the board referred Ms. Shaw's appeal to mediator, I'm going 3 to refer these complaints to a mediator, too, " but he 5 wouldn't be required to do that. 6 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: If we chose to go mediation, 7 could we move to have Ms. Jones and Mr. AnNoor included in that mediation? 8 9 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Honestly, I'd have to pull up the mediation and the contract. I don't know the answer. 10 11 I would think yes, but I would be guessing. I would need 12 to look at the contract and the mediation program design 13 that the board approved. 14 MS. GOMEZ: But in the meantime, we can make a 15 motion about the findings; right? 16 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Well, usually if the board is going to refer it to mediation, the board with refer it 17 to mediation without making a finding. 18 19 MS. GOMEZ: I see. So if we want to make a 20 finding, then -- then we can't do mediation? 21 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yeah, our finding would send it back to the chief to make -- to do -- to look at it 22 23 again. MR. BOYKIN: What's the outcome of mediation? 24

What's the goal of a mediation and the outcome for that?

MS. WIBBENMEYER: Well, the nice thing about 1 2 mediation is the mediator helps both parties come to their own resolution, talk through the issue, and they 3 can create and craft their own resolution. So when 4 mediation works, it's very effective and people are 5 6 very -- usually very happy because they have a better 7 understanding of each other's positions and what 8 happened. If the parties -- let's say the parties agree 9 to mediate, but they're unable to reach a resolution, then that would also still come back to you. 10

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: So I'm kind of prone to the mediation approach because then -- I mean, if the parties chose to go that way and if we could pull off adding Ms. Jones and Mr. AnNoor to it as well, it would let them be able to tell what they told us to officer Wolfe and kind of make him -- maybe something good would come of it. I don't know.

MS. WILLIAMS: He would be able to put on their shoes.

(Unreportable crosstalk.)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MS. WIBBENMEYER: I just checked the ordinance. It is between the complainant and the police officer or community service aide and so I don't -- I don't think you can just add them. I think it would have to be -- they would also have had to have filed a complaint, or

file a complaint and either the police or you-all would 1 2 then refer that into mediation. MS. WILLIAMS: Well, they got -- and they still 3 have time to file a complaint. 4 5 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Yeah, the complaints have to be 6 filed within one year. 7 8 MS. WILLIAMS: Right. 9 MR. HACKWORTH: Rose, I have a quick question. 10 Let's say that multiple people file a complaint regarding 11 the same exact incident. Can these complaints be 12 stitched together like Supreme Court cases can? Since they're covering, potentially, the same thing? 13 14 MS. WIBBENMEYER: It really depends upon how 15 quickly things come in. 16 MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. So in the past, I know like the 17 MS. WIBBENMEYER: 18 first -- the first year the board heard cases, there 19 were, I think, three complaints filed on the same incident. And the board had to kind of, based upon when 20 they were filed, I think they took two up at the same 21 22 time because they were filed just right at the same time 23 and we took the other one up separately because it was

filed at a different date. So that one year window, you

could have -- like, you can have things spaced out pretty

24

far then, you know, when you want to have one person not getting a resolution of their complaint if -- you wouldn't necessarily know if someone was going to file in the future. Does that make sense?

MR. HACKWORTH: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. WIBBENMEYER: So its kind of one of those things where you would take them each as they come so that you then are timely responding to the public and to the officer on whatever your recommendation is on that. Now, with regard to mediation, I mean, if you did pass a motion to refer the case to mediation and then shortly thereafter if, say, someone else complained and it went to the police department and the chief said, you know, I'm going to now refer this to mediation because the board's referred this other one, in theory, that could potentially be all one mediation or it could be two separate mediations or three, depending upon how -- or four, however many complainants there are. The mediator would sort that out as to, you know, what's they could accommodate in the context of that. ordinances -- the ordinance is based off the mediation program design that the board created and it is very specific about the Complainant and the police officer.

MR. BOYKIN: I have a question. If we were to make a motion to request Officer Wolfe to come to the

next meeting to get more information. And if somebody 1 2 was to put in a new complaint in that current time, then it would get filed in time to where it would be after 3 that if we were to do mediation on that time. You could 4 5 probably put both complaints at the same time? Is that a 6 possibility? 7 I think it is a possibility. MS. WIBBENMEYER: 8 It would really depend upon how quickly the police 9 department processed the new complaint. Was the new 10 complaint raising the same issues or not? You know, if 11 the new complaint in on par with what they've already 12 investigated, it might be pretty quick. If the new 13 complaint raises other issues, then they may have to 14 investigate other issues. So that might slow it down 15 from coming back. 16 MR. BOYKIN: And then the other question is, so 17 if there's two individuals that want to file a complaint, 18 can they file a complaint together to save time? 19 MS. WIBBENMEYER: They can. And we've had that

MR. BOYKIN: Because my thought was to promise to have Officer Wolfe come so we can actually ask him questions because I know we had questions anyways about how he actually got to see it, you know, those processes, extend it for next month. That opportunity does not

We've had a whole family file a complaint.

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

before.

rely -- you know, give the other people an opportunity to 1 2 file their complaints to be added to it, so if we do go to mediation, it can be -- do what we actually want in 3 the mediation with them. MR. PIERSON: That seems like a reasonable way to 5 reduce the bureaucratic road block. I'm kind of curious 6 7 on what would be our intent on speaking with Officer I think that needs to be outlined. I don't know 8 Wolfe? 9 if it necessarily needs to be, I'm just curious, in my 10 opinion. I feel like it probably should just so we can 11 understand what we're hoping to gain from that 12 conversation with him. CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: No, that's fair. 13 14 MR. PIERSON: I'm just curious. 15 MR. HACKWORTH: I would be curious to see what 16 reasons Officer Wolfe had for detaining those -- both of 17 you. 18 If you are going to invite MS. WIBBENMEYER: 19 Officer Wolfe, you would have -- you would need to pass a 20 motion in that regard and then I would send an 21 invitation. As you're thinking through that, think also 22 if there are any other witnesses you want to hear from, 23 including either the complainant or the people involved

because we would also then send them invitations as well.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Obviously Detective Hayes and

24

25

Sergeant Sinclair. 1 2 MR. HACKWORTH: And there was a bystander nearby; right? 3 4 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yeah, we had a guy --5 MS. WILLIAMS: And Rose, can we -- I don't know if we can, but I'm thinking we can, get the body cam from 6 7 the MU? 8 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: We have that. 9 MR. BOYKIN: It's on file. 10 MS. WILLIAMS: Oh, that's right. 11 MR. BOYKIN: And it does say that the next 12 meeting or a special meeting with a dates and time of the 13 meeting specified. So is that a possibility? I don't 14 know what we have going on in January already, but that's 15 a thought. MS. WIBBENMEYER: Usually, it is possible to do a 16 17 special meeting. I can't guarantee you I can find you a 18 room because of the social distancing. This is -- this 19 is the in-demand room and with the turn out you had 20 tonight, I don't think we could go to another room. 21 think if you do want to invite witnesses back, you would 22 probably want it for your next meeting in January and 23 then as you suggested, or someone suggested, if anyone 24 else wants to file a complaint on this, that would then 2.5 give them time to do that and for some of the process to

work through before it comes back. 1 2 MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: I've noticed again that Ms. Gomez has been trying to speak. 3 MS. GOMEZ: Thank you. Okay. I just have a 5 quick question. So if we were to put forth a motion that 6 we recommend the police chief reconsider their original 7 decision and find the complaint to be sustained, would 8 that prevent us from, in the future, if we get the 9 complaint again, you know, from different complainants, 10 could we not then, you know, encourage them to mediate? 11 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Yeah, I mean, you would be 12 taking them up each independently. So yes, you could do 13 that. Thanks, Rose. I quess I feel 14 MS. GOMEZ: Okay. 15 I would be inclined to, you know, have a finding, if we have one tonight, and then, you know, allow for the other 16 17 opportunity if there are other complaints. 18 MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: I have with agree with 19 I've been trying to figure out how to articulate 20 it and you did that. 21 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: So unless someone truly has 22 questions that they think Officer Wolfe's answer will 23 change your decision right now, I know myself, there 24 isn't anything. But... MR. PIERSON: I agree with you. I'm in the same

1	boat.
2	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I think we have a pretty
3	complete case file, in my opinion.
4	MR. PIERSON: Yeah.
5	MS. GOMEZ: Well, I'll make a motion, then. So
6	I'll make a motion that for the board to recommend to the
7	police chief that he reconsider his original decision and
8	find that the complaint is sustained.
9	MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: I second.
10	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Can you do a roll call vote on,
11	this please?
12	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yes.
13	MR. FISHER: And this is for both findings?
14	MR. PIERSON: So this is for both findings. This
15	is not for we're not parsing this out between the
16	search?
17	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: No.
18	MR. PIERSON: Okay. Gotcha.
19	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Mr. Boykin.
20	MR. BOYKIN: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Mr. Fischer.
22	MR. FISHER: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Mr. Hackworth.
24	MR. HACKWORTH: Aye.
25	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Ms. Gomez.

1	MS. GOMEZ: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Ms. Heckman-McKenna.
3	MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Mr. Pierson.
5	MR. PIERSON: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Mr. Pringle, yes.
7	Ms. Williams.
8	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: All right. Passed
10	unanimously. We'll send a letter to the chief to
11	reconsider.
12	Next up an our new business moonlighting and
13	fatigue.
14	MS. WILLIAMS: I had some questions for the
15	chief. I had some questions for the chief. Sorry for
16	keeping you here so long, Chief. I know it's been a long
17	day. But I was fortunate enough last year to go to a
18	MACo conference and I sat in on officer about officers
19	fatigue due to moonlighting. And last month I had asked
20	for some numbers on how many officers moonlight for
21	Columbia Police Department and because I am concerned
22	about fatigue. And do you have those numbers?
23	CHIEF JONES: I don't.
24	MS. WILLIAMS: Because I did ask for them last
25	month. Because I would still like to know how many of

your officers --1 2 CHIEF JONES: Just to clarify, so are you asking -- that's not a clear-cut question and I'll 3 4 explain why. Officers get authorized to work off duty and then --5 6 MS. WILLIAMS: And how many hours are they 7 authorized to be able to work? 8 CHIEF JONES: So that's -- I'm trying to 9 remember. I think it's 20 hours is what they're limited 10 to. But if -- there's a couple things that I'm going 11 to -- I need to clarify before I get numbers. Are you 12 asking how many people are authorized to work or are you 13 asking how many -- I can probably pull a report and tell you how many officers work what, but there are officers 14 15 that may work one off duty assignment a year. There are some that work --16 17 MS. WILLIAMS: What my concern is that you've got 18 officers working all these hours for Columbia Police 19 Then they're moonlighting and when are they Department. 20 resting in order to be able to come back and fully 21 function for Columbia Police Department? 22 CHIEF JONES: Correct. And I understand --23 MS. WILLIAMS: That they're not extremely tired, that they're not exhausted, because I know that based 24 2.5 upon the training that I had in MACo that can play a

major role in the decisions that they make, in the 1 2 responses that they do, and it's -- the conference really -- I mean, it's a year later and I'm still focused 3 on it. So I need to know what -- how many police 5 officers currently, right now, are moonlighting for 6 Columbia Police Department. 7 CHIEF JONES: Okav. 8 MS. WILLIAMS: Because you, yourself, said that 9 the word tired tonight, that, your officers are tired. 10 CHIEF JONES: Okay. So I still need 11 clarification. So an officer may work four hours in a 12 year, an officer may be an officer that has some ongoing assignment that they work four hours a week or ten hours 13 14 a week. What exactly --15 MS. WILLIAMS: How many of your officers are working that 20 hours, currently, right now? Maxing out 16 17 on that? 18 CHIEF JONES: I don't know the answer to that. 19 quess what I'm asking is what -- what information do you 20 want pulled, and I can try to run a report. Because it's 21 not as simple as saying who works off duty, because 22 someone may -- I don't think that gets too your question. 23 Someone may have worked three hours or four hours of off

duty in March and hasn't worked any since then. So what

information can I try to pull for you that would be

24

relevant to what your concern is? I understand the 1 2 concern. MS. WILLIAMS: Right. So pull what you need to 3 4 pull to address my concern. 5 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: For -- I take it, like, how 6 many officers weekly. 7 MS. WILLIAMS: Yep. 8 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Like, how many officers 9 weekly? You want to know about that? 10 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Is it possible -- to make your 11 life easier, what sort of reporting do you have out like 12 that? 13 I don't know the answer to that. CHIEF JONES: 14 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Okay. 15 CHIEF JONES: But I would assume that we can pull some reports, that's why I'm asking specifically. If I'm 16 17 going to go ask someone, what would get to the heart of 18 your question? 19 MS. WILLIAMS: And concern. 20 CHIEF JONES: And I don't want to guess what you 21 think is relevant. I need to know from you what is 22 relevant so I can ask for that. I'm not trying to be 23 snide. I just -- I need to know what that is if I'm 24 going to ask for it because I don't want to assume. 2.5 if there's a way that you can maybe send me that,

something specific that you're looking for after giving 1 2 it some thought, that would be helpful to me. Fair? MS. WILLIAMS: That's fair. 3 CHIEF JONES: Okay. 5 MS. WILLIAMS: I'm concerned. 6 CHIEF JONES: I understand. You're also, again, 7 this is one of those issues that is part of collective 8 bargaining that I can't talk a whole lot to. So I 9 understand the concern. I share the concern. MR. FISHER: Well, since you're up here real 10 11 quick, I just thought of a question. It kind of came to 12 mind with the idea of moonlighting. How often, if you 13 know in hours per month or something, that someone like 14 internal affairs has to work, like a road or a case or 15 something like that? Does that -- I mean, with your, you 16 know, your staffing issues, do you pull -- we used to call them back office folks -- to the road, you know, 17 18 when a shift is low or something. 19 CHIEF JONES: So you're asking how often I have 20 to pull someone from their regular assignment to work the 21 road? 22 MR. FISHER: Does that happen often? Internal 23 affairs, I guess specifically. 24 CHIEF JONES: It does not happen often. Sergeant

Alpers in particular chooses to go work the road from

25

time to time. A few hours a month, I would say, just so 1 2 that he can observe what people are doing and have some relevant experience and stay relevant as he's working 3 this assignment off of the road. But it's not -- now, 4 there are other assignments with COVID recently that 5 6 we've had to have little shifts, but it's pretty sparse. 7 I mean a handful of times, I've had to have that happen. 8 (Unreportable crosstalk.) 9 CHIEF JONES: It hasn't been yet, but that is 10 actually part of our tiered plan to pull people out of 11 specialty assignments to work the road if we get to a 12 staffing shortage that requires it. MR. FISHER: Okay. 13 MS. WILLIAMS: And how much money do you maybe 14 15 spend in a year on overtime? 16 I would have to look. This year's CHIEF JONES: 17 been different. 18 MS. WILLIAMS: Due to COVID? 19 CHIEF JONES: Yeah. 20 MS. WILLIAMS: Do you think you're spending less? CHIEF JONES: Well, and demonstrations. 21 22 we've spent a lot more. Some of the demonstrations we 23 have officers working their 16-hour limit days on end, so 24 we've spent a lot of money on overtime this year. don't know the figure, but it's been high. 2.5

MS. WILLIAMS: So if you've got officers doing all this overtime and then they're moonlighting, hmm.

CHIEF JONES: They weren't during that period,

4 but --

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MS. WILLIAMS: So if an officer is working overtime, they cannot moonlight?

CHIEF JONES: I'd have to look at the policy. There is a requirement that they can only work a certain amount of hours per day, regardless of whether that's with us or off duty. During COVID, especially the first part of COVID, before we knew all of the information we know now about masks and gloves and eye protection, I stopped all off duty until we knew more. recently, within the last month or two, allowed them to start working off duty again. And I just put a restriction on that that if they work off duty, not that it's relevant to this conversation, but that they work off duty, they have to supply their own N95 mask and wear it as part of the general order. So I would say there's probably a lot less off duty occurring now. I don't know that pulling the report right now is going to give you the information you want. It might, just in suggestion looking at off duty preCOVID, might be more beneficial when you give me that language because I think that would probably give you a better idea of how much people were

1	working preCOVID. We could probably do one for both and			
2	show you since March until now what people have worked.			
3	But there's a period in there where people aren't working			
4	any because I prohibited it.			
5	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Anything further on the			
6	moonlighting?			
7	MS. WILLIAMS: Rose?			
8	MS. WIBBENMEYER: I was just going to say			
9	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE reporter might need a break.			
10	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: So yeah, I think we'll take			
11	another ten-minute break for our court reporter and we'll			
12	come back at 10:17.			
13	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: It's 10:17. We'll go back on			
14	the record. Still at new business, but Heather, do you			
15	have something you want to say?			
16	MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Carley, do you have			
17	something you want to say?			
18	MS. GOMEZ: I would like to move to the rest			
19	of the agenda, including closed session on to next			
20	month's agenda because I have some stuff that I want to			
21	talk about in regards to all of it.			
22	MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: I second.			
23	MR. BOYKIN: So we're moving closed session and			
24	the last two options to the next meeting?			

MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Yes.

25

1	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And Heather, you seconded it?					
2	MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Yes.					
3	MS. WILLIAMS: I do know we have someone who					
4	wants to say something.					
5	MR. BOYKIN: I would say my own my only					
6	concerned about that my only concern about that is					
7	this closed session one was something that was possibly					
8	last month but was, like, right before like, right					
9	before we had our meeting, so now we're going to be					
10	pushing it for two months to go through that. That would					
11	be my only concern by that and that we went ahead and did					
12	this appeal, which came after this appeal. That would be					
13	my only concern.					
14	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Well, so we have pushed back a					
15	complaint that I got pushed back three months, so					
16	MR. BOYKIN: It's just that we went ahead and					
17	finalized one appeal that came in after this one so I					
18	feel that it's not fair to this.					
19	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yeah. The one that had the					
20	media coverage?					
21	MR. BOYKIN: Yeah.					
22	MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: I will say that for us to I					
23	think have a good discussion, we probably need to be a					
24	little more rested, so that would be my counter.					
25	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Well, I'm fine with doing a					

voice vote -- a roll vote on this to see if we do want to 1 2 move both. Is there any more -- is there any further discussion? 3 MR. FISHER: Well I just got a question about the case that we did. Are we going to talk about other 5 violations and policy ideas for that? Because I heard a 6 7 couple different people mention that, you know, they saw 8 other things wrong with it. Personally, me, I'd talk 9 about the policy on traffic stop seem to be violated, so 10 I didn't know if we were going to address that now or 11 push that off, I guess, as well. 12 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I think we want -- we will 13 probably do that tonight since we sent something to the chief. So we want to finish off with that and then push 14 15 everything else off? 16 MS. WILLIAMS: We do have someone here who has 17 some comments. 18 They are very short. I promise. SPEAKER: 19 MR. HACKWORTH: Do we have to do anything 20 considering that we motioned and then seconded that? CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Well, yeah, we do need a 21 22 voting on that since it's been seconded. 23 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Right. 24 MR. FISHER: We can move that stuff, but talk 2.5 about other stuff.

1	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Is there any more discussion					
2	about the motion before the board right now to move the					
3	remainder of new business and the closed session to next					
4	month needed? Any further discussion?					
5	MR. HACKWORTH: I would I don't know if I					
6	would have to amend this, but it's a suggestion to send a					
7	beneficial communication from the board to the the					
8	Complainant maybe explaining giving a reason why we					
9	did this and just letting them know that we did this.					
10	MS. WIBBENMEYER: We we do notify them of all					
11	the settings and resettings.					
12	MR. HACKWORTH: Okay.					
13	MS. WIBBENMEYER: The complainants and the					
14	officers are communicated to. They all get notice.					
15	MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. Thank you. I didn't know.					
16	Sorry.					
17	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: All right. Mr. Boykin.					
18	MR. BOYKIN: No.					
19	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Mr. Fischer.					
20	MR. FISHER: Yes.					
21	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Ms. Gomez.					
22	MS. GOMEZ: Yes.					
23	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Mr. Hackworth.					
24	MR. HACKWORTH: Aye.					
25	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Ms. Heckman-McKenna.					

1	MS. HECKMAN-MCKENNA: Yes.				
2	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Mr. Pierson.				
3	MR. PIERSON: Yes.				
4	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Mr. Pringle, yes.				
5	Ms. Williams.				
6	MS. WILLIAMS: No.				
7	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: So what's that, 6-2.				
8	MS. WIBBENMEYER: And two noes.				
9	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: 6-2 in favor of moving				
10	remainder of new business and our closed session to next				
11	month's meeting.				
12	And then to hear this last bit of public				
13	comment, Rose, do we have to make a motion to reopen				
14	public comments?				
15	MS. WIBBENMEYER: I think you can just go into				
16	general comments by public members and staff.				
17	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Okay. Backup, general				
18	comments from staff or public.				
19	MR. LOVELADY: Hi, my name is Roy Lovelady. And				
20	I only have a few brief comments. And I'd just like to				
21	say that I come here a lot and I listen to what the				
22	officers and the people are talking about here. And one				
23	thing tonight, I was just making note of how many times				
24	that Chief Jones said communication and he said it 15				
25	times, just tonight alone. But yet he's not to say he				

is hindering you guys, but he's stretching out the process of different boards to be able to communicate effectively with each board in order to move the system further down the line instead of just kicking the can. So if he comes before you guys and say communication at least 15 times, I think that you-guys should try to hold him accountable to no communication barriers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another thing is traffic stops and body They don't seem to be working effectively cameras. unless they're for the police officers because I was -- I can testify to my own situation where when I needed the police body cams and they had them not. But just because I had my phone recording, I was able to -- to beat my case. But whenever it does not work for them, the body cams and the dashboard cams always seem to be missing because it was, like, six cops within my incident and none of the body cameras or none of the dashboard cameras were available. So I think you should try to make some type of motion or definitely try to be able to observe body cams in some way, shape, form, or fashion. Police, police, police. So what I'm saying is the police --CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: One question about the body cams.

MR. LOVELADY: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Was your request within the

90 days of your incident?

2.5

MR. LOVELADY: Yes. Yes, it was.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Okay.

MR. LOVELADY: So the last thing is police policing police which is, like, how do you -- which goes into my next topic as well which errs on trust. How can we trust the system who's -- that's built on, like, them recommending themselves? So by the time that it even makes it back here, I hear you guys saying a lot that you have to refer it back to Chief Jones. So it's like the police is policing themselves, how do we trust that system?

To me, it seems the judicial system is broken and it works only when it needs to work. So how can I trust that system? And so that's something that I really would like to -- I'm not saying that I have an answer on how to fix it, but as a board who, like, who has some sort of a power, what can we do to change a system that polices itself? Because I don't really think that you can change a system when you -- when you have a system in line that says, hey, this thin blue line, don't cross over to this side. And these are the same people that are policing themselves. So those are my last few comments. And if you guys have any questions, I'm here.

MR. HACKWORTH: I really agree with you on that

last point, and do you think that's something that the 1 2 People's Defense policy team and you would be interested in working on? I would --3 MR. LOVELADY: I would love to. I would love to. 5 MR. HACKWORTH: Because, I mean, when we talked, 6 we both agreed, like, at some points, we sit here in this 7 judicial nature, but we have no power to really judge. We recommend. And I would like to see us move in a 8 9 direction where we can actually add a little bit more 10 accountability to the police because you don't combine a 11 jury -- I'm missing the point. I lost my point. 12 essentially, you don't judge your own self. 13 MR. LOVELADY: It is late. But these points are 14 very important. 15 MR. HACKWORTH: I agree. 16 MR. LOVELADY: They are very, very important. 17 Like, you don't see kids recommending themselves. 18

Like, you don't see kids recommending themselves. So you don't have a student that tells another student no, stop. If that happens, then the person above them recommends them both. So Chief Jones has the power to stop recommends at him, if that makes sense. If I'm viewing the system correctly, any complaint has to go through the officers, then up the chain of command, then to the chief who makes the decision.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then if the -- if it's continued on,

then it can come here. And then even after that, it goes 1 2 back to him. Same with ordinances and policies. So it continues to go through one particular man who has so 3 4 much power. And if you guys really listen to him, he 5 answers every question that you ask in a roundabout way. 6 So how effective are we listening to what he's saying. 7 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Well, he does still have to 8 answer to the city manager. Even the --MR. LOVELADY: Yeah, but the city manager's in 9 10 his back pocket. 11 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: So we're saying that they're 12 colluding? MR. LOVELADY: In my opinion, allegedly. 13 There 14 we go. Is that covered? 15 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Well, you got the city manager 16 and city council and it will take the city council to 17 change. 18 MR. LOVELADY: Yes, but he has the ability -- I 19 came here and told you guys something, everybody was in 20 agreeance with it. He came back and had a discussion 21 about it, half of you guys changed your mind. Half of you guys changed your mind. 22 23 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: What was that? CHIEF JONES: Choke holds. You voted and I can 24 25 guarantee you right now --

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: No, I did. When he discussed 1 2 it with me, he's right. It's the same thing as us saying that an officer hits him with a brick the way --3 MR. LOVELADY: Yeah, but have you listened to the 5 stories that he's told and why he would hit you with a 6 brick because -- just like he stood here and said that it 7 is not an us versus them. In that particular instance, 8 he said it is an us versus them and I have to make it 9 home. He, himself. 10 MS. WILLIAMS: I remember that conversation. 11 MR. LOVELADY: He said he, himself needs to make 12 it home. What about the process of deescalating? And I understand that it's a use-of-force situation, but if we 13 14 jump straight to that, then we're not doing any justice. 15 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I will say an example of 16 someone trying to slit someone's throats. 17 MR. LOVELADY: True. 18 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I mean, that --19 MR. LOVELADY: He also went to a choke hold to 20 somebody slitting somebody's throat when the person with 21 the knife is still within inches of him. So you go to 22 put a choke hold on somebody with a knife, but you never 23 took the knife out the person's hand? Make sense? 24 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I think at that point, he was

trying to save someone's life, not disarming a person.

25

Okay. Well, then --1 MR. LOVELADY: 2 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: That's what an officer does. MR. LOVELADY: He could have tased. He could 3 4 have maced. MR. BOYKIN: There's other holds that can be 5 6 Like removing the hand away from it. 7 MR. LOVELADY: But I guess the point that I mean, 8 so even in the situation, I mean, that he continues to 9 say it, it still does not justify, in my opinion. 10 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yeah, I think everyone's 11 entitled to their opinion. 12 MR. LOVELADY: Yeah. So if we take away choke holds, the first thing we do is go to guns. That's the 13 other thing. So if you take away our choke holds, we go 14 15 to guns, and we don't have enough time to implement 16 implicit bias into training. Oh, but so you don't take away our choke holds, we're going to make some time to 17 18 implement training for a choke hold, but if you do your 19 research on choke hold, you must be trained on a choke 20 hold for at least five days to apply the correct choke 21 hold. And that is without the person struggling. 22 And I've done my own research. So you can 23 squint your eyes, I'm telling you, I'm not bringing stuff 24 to the board that I'm not doing research on because even -- I'm a person who reads, basically, you just did this, 25

1 which means, like, yeah; right. In my opinion, once 2 again, my opinion, so. MR. BOYKIN: The chief did say the training 3 wasn't on how to do them, but to recognize what they are, 4 which still doesn't make sense to me either, but. 5 6 MR. LOVELADY: So as long as it says that we're 7 doing training on them now, then we're covered our butts. 8 MS. WILLIAMS: Because he told me they didn't 9 have time to do bias training. 10 MR. LOVELADY: Yeah, that was the last meeting. 11 Repetitively, he said it. I'm just saying. So police 12 get to break the laws whenever they want to and it's They get to change an ordinance whenever they want 13 to and it's okay. So we send a message that it is us 14 15 against them. The exact message that he said that it's not. So we have to be mindful as a board of what we're 16 17 really putting out. Any questions? 18 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Well, Mr. Lovelady, you were 19 talking earlier about -- I quess, you do think the 20 complaint system is broken? 21 I do. MR. LOVELADY: 22 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: The complaint system for the 23 community? 24 MR. LOVELADY: I do. You can't even track your

2.5

complaint.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yeah, no, there's a lot that can be fixed about the whole system, but I guess I also just -- even before this summer's demonstrations, what was your nod how many people knew, you know, where you could file a complaint against the police officer? MR. LOVELADY: Oh, I knew I could file a complaint. I filed complaints before. CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Not just you, but the community.

2.5

MR. LOVELADY: I don't think the community is well aware of how to file a complaint. When I reached out to those people -- not to just call them people, but when I reached out to them this summer and I told them who I was, it wasn't until just tonight that they just met me. And they was like "Oh, my God, that was you going to battle for us." And I was trying to give them the process of how to do this themselves.

But it's just -- they just became interested because they -- as you heard, both of them stand here and say they do not trust the system. And the police is their last option. Where if you get into something, I can guarantee you, police may be your first option and I stand here reiterating exactly what they said, even though I'm very vocal, the police will always be my last option.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: So how would you propose that, 1 2 I guess, a complaint process that's truly equitable? What do you see that being? 3 MR. LOVELADY: For one, I would go back to the 5 point where I just said, the police policing themselves. There has to be a board that looks at these complaints 6 7 that maybe involves Chief Jones. But he can't have the 8 know all, be all, say all. Like, he's the judge, the 9 jury, and the executioner in my opinion. 10 MS. WILLIAMS: Because there are boards that 11 complaints go to the board instead of the police chief. 12 MR. FISHER: There are boards that hire. There are plenty of different 13 MR. LOVELADY: processes and I'm not saying that I have a specific 14 15 answer, but I just want to raise awareness that hey, 16 guys, we've got to be looking at some of these things. 17 MS. WIBBENMEYER: If you-all want to look at 18 restructuring how the board is organized, I'd recommend 19 you take a look at that committee report, which goes 20 through why they structured it the way they did. 21 not to -- and the process is difficult to explain to 22 people, it's somewhat confusing, so I think there are 23 opportunities for improvement. 24 But this is one of the most heavily vetted 25 ordinances that I have ever seen in the long time I've

worked for the city. They went through a lot of public process when they initially put it in place, so before you go too far down the path, just refresh your memory of the early days of what they set up and why because then -- because usually the question then from council is why do we need to make this change.

So it would be helpful if you are familiar with the history and then explore, like, the MACo website what other -- and how other boards have commissioned -- how other civilian oversight processes are structured.

Anything that you would want to do with any sort of major restructuring would require the ordinance be changed. More than likely, if you're talking about shifting who's receiving complaints, who's investigating complaints, that will be a budgetary impact, and so...

MR. LOVELADY: They got a lot of money.

MS. WIBBENMEYER: It's probably timing-wise, you're probably at a good time to start exploring it. The city budget process for next fiscal year will start picking up usually around January, February, so if -- if you did want to look at that, you could, but I would start with familiarizing yourself with the history of the -- the committee to decide if there would be a review board. You can still get that on the city's website. I can send that to you.

1 MR. LOVELADY: Please.

MS. WIBBENMEYER: And that will give you kind of the history and I think that's a good starting place as you kind of explore the other models and what they looked at before and why they decided what they did.

MR. LOVELADY: Last but not least, and then I promise I'll leave you guys. Action items. There's a lot of talk, but there's a lot less action. So I can sit here as a person and tell you I've done this, I've done that. And then if I requitted myself, you can see that I've done the action. So I have a plan.

So many times, the police officers stand here and they don't have a real plan and the things that they do present to you guys, it's just to appease or please the people that's bothering them at that moment. I don't think that it's true action.

Once again, like the video for foot pursuits, I'm not saying that I know what it looks like, but it's a just a video that they're calling training. It's just enough to appease. But on that note, I'm just going to go home. Thank you guys for your time. I said I was going to keep it brief.

CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Thank you, Mr. Lovelady.

MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you, have a good night and get home safe.

MR. LOVELADY: Likewise. 1 2 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I think the last thing Andrew said, what do we -- any further policy or stuff we want 3 to talk about that has to do with Ms. Shaw's complaint? 4 MR. FISHER: So I would want the chief to 5 6 investigate violation of traffic stop policy, at least. 7 I mean, you-all saw use of force or something along those 8 lines. 9 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: We had a lot of policies written down. Do we want to send a recommendation to the 10 11 chief that he also expands his investigation into 12 internal policies? Do you recall them? 13 MR. FISHER: Someone mentioned the trunk, that 14 being one. 15 MR. BOYKIN: That's 322.7(b)(4). I believe 16 that's it. 17 MR. HACKWORTH: I believe there's also another 18 one that says -- an outside source raised to me. 19 had large concerns. They're a criminal defense attorney. 20 They have large concerns about the police officer, the 21 medication that was in the purse. They had concerns about the officer reading that, putting it out, letting 22 23 it be visible on the camera, and so, I mean, maybe we can 24 recommend that the police chief look into that as well. 2.5 I don't know what specific policy --

MS. WILLIAMS: Her HIPAA. Violating her HIPAA. 1 2 MR. HACKWORTH: Yeah. I would recommend the police look into that, but --3 That's a federal violation. MS. WILLIAMS: 5 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: But HIPAA doesn't apply to 6 police officers. 7 MR. HACKWORTH: But they released it to the 8 public. 9 MS. WILLIAMS: He didn't have to be able to show her medical like that. 10 11 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I know on the -- the video 12 they blurred out her medication, so I mean, it's just 13 kind of caught in their body cam. There's no way for 14 them not to catch that, I guess. 15 MR. BOYKIN: I quess. I didn't look at what they 16 got released and what we saw. 17 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I know -- didn't Ms. Shaw also 18 cite the discrimination policy, 3.1. 19 MS. WILLIAMS: Uh-huh, she did. 20 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I would say we should add 21 that. 22 MS. WILLIAMS: Rose has those papers. 23 MR. BOYKIN: Not to mention that the special order 20-500-1, where it says they were supposed to --24 2.5 they have to leave a written warning.

```
There was no expiration date
 1
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE:
 2
    or anything on there.
              MR. BOYKIN: Nope. And it was signed before
 3
 4
    this.
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: That's the COVID-related
 5
 6
    policy.
 7
              MR. BOYKIN: That's the COVID policy and it's
 8
     still an act right now. And then we have the city
 9
     ordinances, too, which was 15.255.2(b).
10
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Was there anything else from
11
    Ms. Shaw that anyone can recall that we're missing?
12
              MS. WILLIAMS: I'd have to look at the papers,
13
    but Rose has them.
14
              MS. WIBBENMEYER:
                                They're coming back.
15
             MS. WILLIAMS: Oh, no, you can look at them.
16
             MS. WIBBENMEYER: Her big questions --
17
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Oh, no, I was talking about
18
     from her testimony today. Nothing from that. Sorry.
19
     She was reading quite a few.
20
              MS. WILLIAMS: She read basically that.
21
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I don't recall her listing.
22
     Oh, wait, yeah, this is the one she sent around. I was
23
     just going to let you -- I'm thinking of the Google
    drive.
24
2.5
                    Yeah, 306.2 and 306.5, handcuffing and
```

```
restraints.
1
 2
             MS. WILLIAMS: Discourteous. It's on the first
 3
    page.
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Then she also threw in 306.6.
 5
    Using force and responding to resistance.
 6
             MS. WILLIAMS: Rose, you're going to send that
 7
    out to all of us; right?
8
             MS. WIBBENMEYER: Send you that?
 9
             MS. WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
10
             MS. WIBBENMEYER: Yes.
11
             MS. WILLIAMS: Okay.
12
             MS. WIBBENMEYER: 300.6.
             CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: 306.6. That was force --
13
             MS. WIBBENMEYER: 306.6.
14
15
             CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Sorry, 300.6. And then 306.2
    and 306.5.
16
17
             MR. FISHER: And then Rose, if you're ready, I'll
18
    give you my numbers.
19
              MS. WIBBENMEYER:
              MR. FISHER: 506.4.2.5.
20
21
             MS. WIBBENMEYER: Okay. 506.4.2.5.
22
             MR. FISHER: Yeah. I think it's a point.
                                                         And
23
    then 506.6.
             MR. BOYKIN: Which ones are those?
24
25
             MR. FISHER: Those are the traffic stops
```

They're talking about introducing yourself and 1 policies. 2 answering questions from the person being pulled over. CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Anything further to add to our 3 letter to the chief concerning Ms. Shaw's complaint? 4 5 MR. BOYKIN: I guess I make a motion to adjourn. 6 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Did you want to make a motion 7 with regard to adding all that? 8 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yes, we need to do that. 9 Alex? 10 MR. HACKWORTH: So when it comes to us pushing 11 back or when it comes to Policy 322 as it was brought 12 forward in the complaint, does that only relate to the --13 like, what instance of that stop does that specifically 14 relate to? Is that a more general thing? Because I do 15 think that there might have been a privacy violation under the Fourth Amendment when it comes to the search of 16 17 the purse and pulling out private information like 18 medication. 19 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: So that -- wouldn't that be 20 more of a courtroom thing we're talking about if the 21 search turns into a lawful arrest, they can search that 22 purse for shake? 23 MS. WIBBENMEYER: I mean, it really comes down to 24 whether or not there's a violation of law, policy, rule, or regulation either in the police department, the city, 25

state, or federal government. So it could even be 1 2 Constitutional violations. It can also constitute misconduct. 3 So you're kind of serving in the role of the 5 fact finder, and it's how you interpret the facts that you have in the context of the law as to whether or not 6 7 you think they are violations, if that makes sense. 8 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yeah, yeah. I mean, me 9 personally, my biggest gripe with the whole thing was 10 they never should have been put in handcuffs. I will 11 give the officer discretion for thinking they could do a 12 search if they thought they saw marijuana. But I guess, 13 Alex --14 MS. WILLIAMS: But that's just your opinion; 15 right? 16 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: That's just my opinion. 17 the board wants to throw in a Fourth Amendment, I quess 18 the chief -- yeah, Chief Jones doing his own 19 investigation about a Fourth Amendment violation. MS. WIBBENMEYER: I mean, it's part of his 20 21 supervisory duties to make sure his officers are acting 22 within the law. Now, whether -- I mean, he may find that 23 they are, you may -- an individual person can disagree. It's really -- misconduct includes all of that. 24 2.5 I will say the policy itself CHAIRMAN PRINGLE:

can't be less lenient. It can only be stricter than the 1 2 Fourth Amendment, so that's kind of why, I guess, I don't think we need to go that route because the policy 3 can't -- if the policy -- if we think the policy itself 4 5 is in violation of the Fourth Amendment, that's one thing. But if he violated the Fourth Amendment, he 6 7 definitely violated that policy. 8 MR. HACKWORTH: Makes sense. 9 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Okay. MR. BOYKIN: So I make a motion to add all of 10 11 those policies to our revisions to Chief Jones on our 12 appeal. 13 MR. FISHER: I second. MS. WIBBENMEYER: Andrew, was that you? 14 15 MR. FISHER: Yes. 16 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I will just do a voice for 18 this one. All those in favor, say aye. 19 THE BOARD: Aye. 20 MR. HACKWORTH: I was going to ask if we could 21 amend that and add 322.4 search protocol. Is that 22 already in there? 23 MR. BOYKIN: I think that's already in there. 24 MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. I'm sorry. My apologies,

25

Mr. Boykin.

1	MR. BOYKIN: That's 322.7(b)(4), which is more
2	specific into the search of the car.
3	MR. HACKWORTH: I think there's a I personally
4	think that there's a privacy concern with this stop, but
5	I don't know a specific provision I should say.
6	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: I guess just once an officer
7	does a stop and search, your privacy's already being
8	invaded because they stopped you, they've detained you,
9	and they're searching you. So I guess I'm just trying to
10	figure out what is is it just simply that they took
11	that pill bottle out of her purse?
12	MR. HACKWORTH: I think that there could have
13	been a privacy interest of the individual within that
14	within that property that could be violated.
15	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: So part of that, when an
16	officer detains a person, like, that stuff, yeah, you
17	don't have private rights on anything on your person to
18	answer to. That's just the way it is.
19	MR. HACKWORTH: Okay.
20	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: It's more about if that search
21	and seizure itself was illegal.
22	MR. HACKWORTH: Okay. I'll concede that, then.
23	CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Are we being too vague on
24	that, Rose? You can correct me.
25	MS. WIBBENMEYER: It's whatever you want to do on

```
this one.
1
 2
              MR. HACKWORTH: Sorry for being difficult, y'all.
 3
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: You're good. But if you want
 4
    to throw that on there now, if it really is getting to
 5
    you, let's do it.
 6
              MR. HACKWORTH:
                             Yeah, yeah, fine. Though can I
     just do 322.3, just searches in general?
 7
 8
              MR. FISHER: That's what we decided on.
 9
              MR. HACKWORTH: I'm so sorry. Okay. I'm good.
10
     Sorry, guys.
11
              MS. WIBBENMEYER: So what are we doing?
12
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: We're voting on Wayne's
13
    motion.
14
              MS. WIBBENMEYER: Okay. I got that.
15
              MS. WILLIAMS: So now all we've got to do is vote
     that on?
16
17
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Yes.
18
              MR. BOYKIN: Correct.
19
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: And so I think we'll do the
20
    voice votes again. All those in favor, say aye.
21
              THE BOARD: Aye.
22
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: Any opposed? No opposed.
23
              (Unanimous voice vote for approval.)
24
              MS. WILLIAMS: Do you need to do a roll call
2.5
    because of masks?
```

```
1
              MS. WIBBENMEYER: No. I think we have it. Do we
 2
    have it? No one voted against it; right? No one
 3
     abstained? Okay. So I think we have it down.
              MR. FISHER: I move to adjourn.
 4
              MR. BOYKIN: I'll second.
 5
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: All those in favor of
 6
 7
     adjourning? Do I have to do a roll vote or can I do a
     voice vote?
 8
 9
              MS. WIBBENMEYER: You can just call for a voice
10
     vote.
11
              CHAIRMAN PRINGLE: All those in favor of
12
     adjourning say aye.
13
              THE BOARD: Aye.
14
              (Unanimous voice vote for approval.)
15
              (Proceedings concluded at 10:52 p.m.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss:
3	COUNTY OF WARREN)
4	
5	I, JENNIFER L. BOSH, Registered Professional
6	Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Court Reporter,
7	CCR Number 1108, the officer before whom the foregoing
8	matter was taken, do hereby certify that the witness/es
9	whose testimony appears in the foregoing matter was duly
10	sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness/es was
11	taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter
12	reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am
13	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of
14	the parties to the action in which this matter was taken,
15	and further that I am not a relative or employee of any
16	attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor
17	financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the
18	action.
19	
20	$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} A_i \cap A_i \cap A_i$
21	Junear Bash
22	Court Reporter
23	
24	

Index: \$250..add

	100:18	322.7(b)(4) 196:15	163:25 188:18
\$	2004 152:4	203:1	Absolutely 3:16
\$250 150:24	2019 25:7 77:13	322.74 145:4	48:25 136:9 161:8
4230 130.24	2020 36:1 75:9	323 92:5	abstain 75:20
1	2020-0007 88:17	330 92:3	abstained 75:21
40 00 40 44 40 40 0	140:18	340 92:6	205:3
10 33:12 44:13 48:2 154:5	2021 7:25 72:24	341 92:8	absurd 143:16
100 12:5	21 58:18 82:11,15	35 151:21 153:19,20	acceptable 70:25
10671 94:7	153:14		access 23:18,22 65:11,18 68:7 133:14
10:17 180:12,13	24 18:22	4	accessible 133:17,23
10:52 205:15	25 38:19	40 157:13	accommodate
10th 91:5,15	2500 149:18	4th 91:7,14	167:20
11th 75:9	28 131:15		accomplish 95:7
12 39:13 48:2 85:11	2:17 100:7	5	accomplishment
12/21 82:12	2:30 100:8	50 35:25	107:13
15 30:19 33:10,11	2:33 100:9	506.4.2.5 199:20,21	account 7:1,21 59:19
44:13 93:21 101:2	2nd 89:14	506.6 199:23	accountability 3:8 4:3 7:3,4 55:16
132:6 184:24 185:6	3		112:14,15 187:10
15.255.2(b) 198:9		6	accountable 185:7
16 38:25 39:1,3 153:18	3.1 197:18	6-2 184:7,9	accreditation 55:6
16-hour 178:23	30 33:11 38:19 44:11 69:2 100:10 156:23,25	60 158:9	accredited 55:7
16.255.2 142:17	157:2,12,13		accused 115:10
16.255.2(b) 150:17	300 21:21 30:1 59:3,	7	accusing 142:23
173 38:19	13 69:14 86:23 94:25 95:18	70 12:5 61:14	act 85:24 110:23
175 158:16	300.11.4 21:15 86:24	70 12.5 61.14	198:8
18 12:5	300.6 94:24 95:4	8	acted 147:8
19 58:17	199:12,15	242	acting 108:8,9,12,15 112:1 201:21
1:59 100:6	306.2 95:24 198:25	842 54:4	action 53:16 134:22
	199:15	8:05 87:17,19	152:8 195:7,8,11,16
2	306.5 95:24 198:25 199:16	9	actions 96:4 98:4
2 68:12	306.6 199:4,13,14		active 18:6 61:8 163:4
20 44:9,11 48:4 174:9	322 92:5 97:21 200:11	90 186:1	activity 25:10
175:16	322.3 204:7		actual 93:15,24
20-500-1 197:24	322.4 202:21		144:11 151:5
20-something		ability 20:2 70:6	add 78:19 81:1 149:17 165:24 187:9 197:20

Index: added..arque

200:3 202:10.21

added 6:14 77:24 145:12 153:21 169:2

adding 6:6 85:19 165:13 200:7

addition 77:7

additional 26:24 134:23 137:20

Additionally 12:10

address 28:20 29:11 65:10 88:5 91:24 103:10 128:16 130:9 148:2 155:13 176:4 182:10

addressed 82:4 91:25 92:9,20 112:6

adjourn 200:5 205:4

adjourning 205:7,12

adopted 152:1,3 153:22

adult 86:21 142:19

advertise 39:18

advertising 40:21,23

advice 30:12 62:17

affairs 24:2 25:6 71:4. 6,15 89:19 92:11 160:12,16 177:14,23

affect 122:13

affected 43:22

afford 130:7

afterward 110:24

agencies 55:7 67:1 68:2,13,19 70:19

agency 55:13 71:8

agenda 4:10,11,12 5:6,10,18 75:4,8 82:13 89:2 136:16.17 137:2. 19 138:7 139:7 163:3 180:19,20

agendas 103:18

aggressive 148:22

agree 9:12 46:22,23 69:9 73:14 79:14 80:14 84:2 91:20 98:24 99:11 106:13 143:14 146:2 159:16 162:24 165:8 171:18, 25 186:25 187:15

agreeance 188:20

agreed 187:6

agreements 33:9 68:17

ahead 22:14 121:13 128:19 135:6,7 144:20 181:11,16

aide 165:23

air 64:13

aircraft 34:11

airing 64:14,15

airplane 33:1

airport 8:3 31:10,11, 14 32:2,6,10,18,24 33:1,8,21 34:15,20 35:3 36:8,13,20

Alex 3:11 76:17 84:4 85:21 86:19,20 141:19 200:9 201:13

alive 107:9

alleged 124:20 125:9 154:14

allegedly 188:13

alleviate 102:8

allowed 151:3 179:14

Alpers 24:14,16,18, 20 26:14 27:6 29:16, 19 71:5 81:12 82:3,4 155:10 159:22 177:25

alright 143:6

ambulance 32:18 54:10

amend 59:16 183:6

202:21

amended 5:8.10

Amendment 200:16 201:17,19 202:2,5,6

America 108:16

amount 68:22 95:5 151:15 179:9

analysis 25:7

analysis/paralysis 73:10

analyzed 17:22

Andrew 75:21 81:12 196:2 202:14

anger 53:6 100:15 108:24

angry 119:1,23

animals 33:17

Anna 133:12

Annoor 116:23,25 117:2 118:4,9 119:15 120:9 121:6,8,22 122:5,15 123:6,18 124:7,12,18,21,24 125:12,21,24 126:16 127:4,21,25 128:3 163:20 164:7 165:14

annual 77:13 139:23

answering 200:2

answers 19:11 27:8 188:5

anticipate 42:6 81:16

anymore 28:10,12 40:8 45:5 77:23 148:2 150:5

anyone's 78:9

anytime 23:8 82:1

apologies 202:24

apologize 79:22 147:11

apology 147:10

apparent 90:17,18

appeal 18:9 88:14,15, 16 89:6 91:22 103:22, 25 104:1 140:18 141:12 162:5,14 163:4,7,8,11 164:3 181:12,17 202:12

appealing 102:12

appearances 12:6

appeared 104:14

appears 95:5

appease 195:14,20

apple 132:15

apples 132:16,17,21

applicant 43:12

applicants 40:2,11, 16 41:12,25 42:2,3

application 72:12

applications 40:18 42:11

applied 95:19 154:17

apply 32:10 46:24 80:25 190:20 197:5

applying 39:17

approach 165:12

approval 4:11 5:2,16 51:22 75:9,18 204:23 205:14

approve 4:12 5:5,7, 10 75:12,14

approved 5:18 75:22 164:13

approving 75:16 138:21

Arcgis 76:10

area 32:7 153:7

areas 7:11 8:6 25:17 131:2,6 153:17

argue 121:11

Index: arm..biggest

arm 97:15 attempt 92:22 27:11 37:24 38:4.7 **basically** 17:21 55:16 104:17 106:3 107:4 39:5 44:4 58:8.14 **armed** 26:1 attend 3:5 86:5,12 60:14 72:11 75:6 110:21 113:22 118:19 arms 72:8 attendance 86:2 77:19 80:18 87:20 132:11 134:21 137:14 131:20 89:22,25 93:23 101:3, 138:24 152:15 153:8 **Army** 4:1 15 105:14 109:17 161:12 162:13 190:25 attending 85:2 111:14 125:5 133:9 198:20 arrange 11:22 144:24 148:13 152:23 attention 56:4 **basis** 69:8 arranged 12:8 163:3,8 164:22 165:10 attitude 16:7 91:6,17 bat 142:20 168:15 170:21 171:1 arrest 94:9 145:7 174:20 177:17 180:12, 150:19 151:24 200:21 **attorney** 151:13 **battery** 56:25 57:1,4, 13 181:14,15 186:9,10 196:19 5,7,10 65:8 160:2,3,4 arrested 63:6 122:8 188:2.10.20 193:4 attorneys 152:18 154:12 198:14 200:11 **battle** 192:16 arriving 154:13 attracting 41:14 background 122:7 **beat** 20:6 26:19 articles 78:4 audience 87:21 185:13 backgrounds 40:17 138:15 articulate 171:19 beats 11:23 25:9 backseat 98:22 **audio** 84:22 38:25 119:12 **Asian** 25:11 beauty 17:8 audit 81:20 **backup** 26:24 144:11 asks 100:7 184:17 audits 81:11 **bed** 68:22 assertive 108:17 authorities 69:17 **bad** 62:20 132:13,15, behalf 8:21 assigned 38:24 16,17,21 149:10 behavior 143:7 authority 95:1 96:1,7 assignment 25:24 **badge** 62:6 110:8 107:20,21,22,23 behaviors 63:16 174:15 175:13 177:20 bag 32:22 178:4 authorized 174:4,7, **beings** 149:15 12 baggage 32:25 assignments 178:5, believed 145:8 aware 19:6 89:20 11 bags 32:21 Benchmark 27:18 114:14 117:6 155:8 assist 73:2 **balance** 19:12,19 192:11 benchmarks 13:11 95:3 assistance 32:18 55:17 awareness 193:15 136:6 **ballot** 152:3 bend 111:10 awesome 50:22 51:7, assistant 9:17 43:4 ban 70:6 24 59:2 77:11 **beneficial** 138:13,16 72:2 **bank** 53:11 65:14,17 awhile 21:16 25:20 179:23 183:7 Association 61:4,22 66:12 31:19 40:12 **bias** 7:19 8:8 76:13 62:9 **bar** 152:21 **aye** 5:1,15 75:17 92:3 131:17 132:1 **assume** 54:11 190:16 191:9 142:6,9 172:24 183:24 bargaining 177:8 176:15,24 202:18,19 204:20,21 bias-free 7:19 205:12,13 barriers 185:7 assuming 54:6 **biases** 147:17,18 **bars** 62:5 assumption 158:10 ayes 5:4 **big** 66:18 145:17 **base** 55:11 assumptions 45:6 198:16 В **based** 4:7 13:10 22:3 ate 66:19 **bigger** 159:12 23:4 46:24 58:11 63:6 attached 138:19 **baby** 114:10 77:25 166:20 167:21 **biggest** 107:12 143:1 174:24 attachment 137:2 145:20 201:9 back 6:24 16:12 20:16 21:5 23:2,20 24:13 baseline 86:3

billboards 39:21

bit 6:8 32:3 54:8 76:2, 4,21 104:20 125:15 139:10 153:5 184:12 187:9

black 25:11 63:5 90:14,22 92:19 99:23 100:4,18 101:12 105:3 108:16,19 110:22 119:5 126:5 128:20 130:13,18 131:3

blame 117:16

blast 18:7

blessing 107:10

blind 100:16

block 14:5 169:6

blue 186:21

blurred 197:12

board 3:3,6,10 4:6 5:24 8:17,18,21,22 9:9 10:1,9,15 18:9,18 20:17 21:14 23:17,25 51:2,6 52:18 73:24 74:12 75:24 76:18 77:25 78:15 83:21 86:5 87:21 93:3,4 101:9 102:12 103:2, 11,16 105:7,16 110:15 124:11 131:20 134:5, 7,16 135:25 137:5,13, 14 140:3,6 141:1 142:14 151:14 155:5 160:5 164:1,3,13,16, 17 166:18,20 167:22 172:6 183:2,7 185:3 186:17 190:24 191:16 193:6,11,18 194:24 201:17 202:19 204:21 205:13

board's 167:15

boards 73:7 185:2 193:10,12 194:9

boat 172:1

Bob 27:16 56:3 58:1, 8,9

Bob's 55:13

body 18:21 19:8 73:2 92:22 94:21 97:8,25 102:2 106:18 124:14 125:22 151:5 157:3,5 159:19 170:6 185:8, 12,14,17,20,22 197:13

bogged 73:8

bond 150:19

boom 124:14

Boone 71:8

borrow 57:7

boss 39:10 57:12 59:12

boss's 59:7

bother 28:3

bothered 108:4,13, 14,15 147:14

bothering 195:15

bottle 203:11

bottom 103:18

bought 130:5

bound 152:10 153:2

box 52:22 65:7 105:19

boxes 53:11 65:15 98:23

boyfriend 105:11 107:11 114:1 115:21

Boykin 29:25 40:19 42:9 60:11 64:21,25 70:23 72:7,13 75:14 76:11 82:6 84:16 85:10 87:4 110:25 114:5 123:3,16 124:16 136:20 138:12 140:6, 10,14 141:5,22,23 144:19 145:3 146:5 148:3,5 149:16 150:14,17 151:4,9 156:4,8 157:8,18 160:8 161:18,20 164:24 167:24 168:16, 21 170:9,11 172:19,20 180:23 181:5,16,21 183:17,18 190:5 191:3 196:15 197:15,23 198:3,7 199:24 200:5 202:10,23,25 203:1 204:18 205:5

boys 56:13,23

brand-new 130:5

break 14:21 87:3,7, 15,18 101:14 109:4 148:8 180:9,11 191:12

breaker 15:10

breaking 147:22 148:18

breathing 107:9

Breonna 105:2

brick 189:3.6

brick-and-mortar 37:7

bridge 3:6

briefing 91:24 92:21 100:14 101:18

briefly 9:14 44:20 137:12

bring 3:2 4:5 22:15 47:4,5,6 56:3 80:18 135:4 143:22 145:3 148:11 159:10

bringing 78:1 190:23

brings 34:14

broad 6:18

broadcast 134:6

broadcasting 134:16

Broadway 54:3

broke 92:16 109:3 113:24 114:1 147:24, 25 149:4

broken 186:14 191:20

brothers 105:10,11

brought 3:15,25

18:18 47:16 73:17 92:17 140:18 143:25 144:10 200:11

brown 101:12 128:21 130:13,19 131:4

buddy 119:13

budget 35:25 134:3, 23 194:19

budgetary 194:15

budgeted 67:25

buffer 156:23

build 13:12,13 76:10 79:3 90:14 101:3,13, 17

building 37:17 49:7 76:22 79:11 102:4

buildings 37:8

builds 126:4

built 76:7 100:11 186:7

bunch 129:17

bureaucratic 169:6

Burlington 93:11 113:17,19

burn 14:22

bus 20:15

business 4:15,25 55:25 77:13 86:23 87:3,4,5 88:6,7 99:4 138:10 140:18 173:12 180:14 183:3 184:10

businesses 11:23

busy 54:25 115:1 117:11

butts 191:7

buy 15:21 57:1 67:16 119:15

bystander 170:2

C

cables 56:18,20

CAD 155:17 157:11

cage 62:4

CALEA 27:15,18 55:6,10,14,24

calendar 7:25 82:8

call 13:8 32:23 49:23, 24 53:7 100:14 103:24 126:7 141:18,20 144:5 160:7 172:10 177:17 192:12 204:24 205:9

called 100:13 119:14

calling 71:7 195:19

calls 26:20 31:17 36:15 99:14

calm 95:15 97:17 105:12 108:17,19

cam 19:8 92:22,23,25 93:8,10,19,25 94:21 97:9,25 102:2 106:18 123:7 124:14 125:22, 23 147:21 150:3 151:5 156:5,13 159:19,21 170:6 197:13

camera 83:2 128:23 156:22 157:1,5 159:13,15 196:23

cameras 185:9,17

cams 18:21 157:3 185:12,15,20,23

candidates 50:7

cans 67:14

capacity 31:21 34:19

car 54:3,14 56:9,10, 11,15,18,19,21,24,25 57:1,5,8,9 67:16 69:25 70:2,3,4 91:1 93:9,11 96:20,21 97:18,22,24 98:21,23 99:11,12,14, 17,21,22,25 105:14 106:25 109:22,23 110:6,10,14,18 111:5, 10,11,12,13,14 112:5 115:1 117:5,17,20,23, 25 118:1,3,5 119:3,8, 9,12 120:24 121:23 122:1,4 123:1,2,19,24, 25 124:2,22 125:2,3 127:3,7,11,12 130:5,6, 7,8 143:19 144:7 148:19 149:5,7,9 151:6 157:6 203:2

card 99:5 107:2 110:17 143:24

care 17:20 57:16 80:13 110:23 119:9 162:14

careful 95:3 129:21

carefully 96:14

Carley 76:3 77:22 79:2,6 81:3 82:24 84:11,18 116:5 144:20 154:23 180:16

Carley's 82:23

carry 67:14,16

cars 36:16 67:15 69:24 158:9

case 140:24 157:6 159:12 162:11 167:11 172:3 177:14 182:5 185:14

cases 152:19 166:12, 18

catch 24:8 197:14

categories 6:17

Catherine 3:3

caught 197:13

caused 147:19

cell 96:10 146:4

center 54:13

certified 159:2.3

chain 77:4 161:3 187:23

chain-of-command 71:21

chair 10:10,15 139:2

CHAIRMAN 3:2 4:10, 18,20,24 5:3,9,15,17 9:24 10:24 20:4,9 21:13 22:1,5,22 23:7, 15 27:10,23 28:9,12, 21 29:13,21 30:24 31:9,12 32:9,13 33:4 34:2,6 39:18 44:20,25 45:12,25 74:21,25 75:8,16,19,21 76:17 77:12,17,19 79:1,19 80:11 81:5,9,11,16,19 82:5,7,10,14,17 83:11, 21 84:3,18 85:16 86:18,22 87:6,12,16, 19 88:11 89:8 92:5 96:24 99:1,9,13,18 102:7 103:5 104:7 109:10,12 113:15,17, 19 115:22.25 116:5. 17,21 122:2 124:5 126:13 127:24 128:5, 9,13 133:10,24 134:2 135:11 136:18,24 137:8 139:11,14 140:2,17,24 141:2,7, 11,15,20,24 142:1,3,5, 7,9,12 145:13 146:8, 13 147:1,5 148:10 149:23 150:5,12,16 151:17,20 152:11 153:6,19 154:1 155:2, 22 156:10,15 157:3,13 160:5,21,24 161:22 162:17 163:10 164:6, 21 165:11 169:13,25 170:4,8 171:21 172:2, 12,17,19,21,23,25 173:2,4,6,9 176:5,8 180:5,9,10,13 181:1, 14,19,25 182:12,21 183:1,17,19,21,23,25 184:2,4,7,9,17 185:22, 25 186:3 188:7,11,15, 23 189:1,15,18,24 190:2,10 191:18,22 192:1,8 193:1 195:23

196:2,9 197:5,11,17,

20 198:1,5,10,17,21 199:4,13,15 200:3,8, 19 201:8,16,25 202:9, 17 203:6,15,20,23 204:3,12,17,19,22 205:6,11

challenge 101:16

challenged 102:20

challenges 49:6

chance 4:11 75:11 78:13 101:7 128:23 140:20 146:14

change 5:6 17:5 25:4 40:23 43:14 55:21 57:19,24 102:22 106:7 126:21,23 131:9 134:21 171:23 186:18, 20 188:17 191:13 194:6

changed 14:15 29:9 31:15 32:4 40:6,12 41:1 55:21,23 57:21 69:21 89:2 120:7 153:5 188:21,22 194:13

channel 134:6

channels 90:12

chapter 58:17,18 61:6 82:11 153:14,18

charge 55:4 71:13 160:22

chargeable 154:13

charges 148:11

check 21:4 22:13 24:11 33:16 54:16 122:7 154:22

checked 154:17 165:21

checking 32:21

checks 32:23 34:11

chief 5:19,21,22 6:4 7:13,23 8:16 9:4,12, 17,24 10:10 11:2,3,5, 8,13,15 13:18 14:3 16:3.9 17:10.18.23 18:4 19:6,12 20:7,8,15 21:4,8,17,22 22:2,6,25 23:10,14,22 24:3,10, 13,14,15,17,19,22,24 25:15 26:5,10 27:8,11, 14 28:3,11,15,22 29:15,17,22 30:7 31:2, 11,14 32:11,16 33:7 34:4,10,14 35:10,14, 18 36:5,10 37:25 38:6, 9,14,16,22 39:14,17, 20 40:7.24 41:7.19 42:12,15,18,20 43:4 44:7,10,12,16,21,24 45:2,13 46:1,9,12 47:4,20,23 48:3,11,15, 25 49:2,14,18,21 50:9, 11,14,20 51:4,13,20 52:2,10,17 54:16 55:3 58:25 59:3,17,21 60:8, 12,21,24 61:3,13,15, 20,23 62:7,15,19 63:9, 12,23 64:9,14,16,24 65:11 66:2,9,11,16 67:7,10,20 69:9,13,23 70:12,16 71:2 72:2,9, 15,20 73:5 74:20,22, 24,25 75:2,3,6 79:4 80:4,8,12 81:5,24 82:4 86:24 89:17 90:1 91:4 92:10 94:7,14 98:7 101:1,9,10 105:22 109:14 115:18,19,20 116:24 126:6 129:3,18 146:16,19,20 147:2,13 155:10,17,23 156:13, 17,20 157:5,11,14,17, 23 158:2,6,14,22,25 159:8 162:4 163:24 164:22 167:13 171:6 172:7 173:10,15,16,23 174:2,8,22 175:7,10, 18 176:13,15,20 177:4,6,19,24 178:9, 16,19,21 179:3,7 182:14 184:24 186:10 187:20,23 188:24 191:3 193:7,11 196:5, 11,24 200:4 201:18 202:11

chief's 91:23 142:13. 15

choice 64:22 95:13

choke 22:3,6 30:4,18 129:18 131:14,23,24 132:5,10 188:24 189:19,22 190:12,14, 17,18,19,20

choose 88:24 105:20 132:4

chooses 177:25

chose 148:11 164:6 165:13

Cincinnati 30:25 31:1

circumstances 54:18 70:20 95:6

circumvent 20:1

154:14

CIT-RELATED 8:7

citation 94:10,11 100:1 113:1,11 114:7 118:8,16,18 125:20 147:23,25 149:20

citations 94:20

cite 197:18

cities 27:18 77:6

citizen 16:6 67:5,9 73:15 92:15 103:3 132:3 159:20

citizen's 3:6 103:16

citizen/employee 91:6

citizens 3:3 11:12 16:10 18:23 19:10 62:12,14 63:4 66:25 101:4 132:9

city 3:19 11:16,17 17:10 22:13 36:15,19, 23 39:19 42:14 47:4 50:18 51:22 52:1 58:15,19,20 59:4,15, 18,23,25 62:19 67:23, 24 68:3,6,8,16 76:12,

16 80:8.24 86:25 92:19 133:16 134:4,6 142:19 144:4 150:14 151:15 152:24 153:16 162:19 188:8,9,15,16 194:1,19 198:8 200:25

city's 76:24 103:15,23 152:4 194:24

city-based 47:3

city-wide 6:15

citywide 12:1

civilian 194:10

CJIS 24:16,18,19,20, 24 25:2

claim 33:1

claiming 112:21,24

claims 101:5

clarification 77:1 175:11

clarify 174:2,11

Clark 93:21 113:14 115:15,16,17 117:12

Class 154:2.6

cleaner 31:4

clear 70:1

clear-cut 174:3

climate 136:3

climb 32:25

clip 102:15

clips 133:21

clock 16:2,3,6

close 140:7 144:12 163:7

closed 26:12 98:3,6 180:19,23 181:7 183:3 184:10

closes 101:18

clothes 109:8

code 58:19 153:13

collaborative 40:1

collective 177:7

colluding 188:12

Columbia 3:18 17:9 32:18 35:6,7 61:3,21 62:9,11 65:5 69:10 100:4 101:12 113:13 117:7 124:16.17 128:19 173:21 174:18, 21 175:6

Columbia's 76:12

combine 187:10

comfortable 63:25 134:12 138:16

command 6:11 13:18 43:1 49:4 50:5 187:23

commander 27:21 28:19 33:25 58:3

commend 54:14

comment 4:25 58:24 59:24,25 87:5 88:19 109:15 116:18 128:11 133:10 138:22 139:7 144:25 184:13

comments 4:14 23:23 85:18 87:8.25 88:6,9,10,14 89:6 104:7,16 140:2,3,7,11, 13 150:6 182:17 184:14,16,18,20 186:24

commissioned 194:9

committed 123:5

committee 10:9,11, 13,17,20 26:9,11 83:6, 13 84:14 85:20 155:20 193:19 194:23

committees 73:7

common 12:18 13:23

commonsense

55:12

communicate 18:13 79:3 185:2

communicated 183:14

communicating 7:18

communication

6:18 7:17 11:8,11,12 12:25 20:23 52:13 101:17,19 183:7 184:24 185:5,7

communications 11:9 20:18 64:5

communities 92:19 101:12 130:14

community 3:7,14, 20,24 4:14 6:7,22,23, 24 7:2 8:8 9:1,2,7,9,10 11:19,24,25 13:4,24, 25 14:6 15:7,14 17:15 19:4 20:6 23:7 25:12 32:6 37:10,16 39:21, 25 44:23 50:2 52:11, 12,14 53:18 54:20 57:17 60:5 63:2,4 65:3 68:9 69:16,20 76:2 79:12 90:13,15,22 91:24 92:18,21 100:4, 14,19 101:18 107:6,8 126:5 130:12,21,22, 23.25 133:1 165:23 191:23 192:9,10

community's 41:22 74:2

community-oriented 77:21

company 3:19

compared 25:12

complainant 89:6 162:22 163:14,17 165:22 167:23 169:23 183:8

complainants

167:18 171:9 183:13

complained 167:12

complaint 89:10,12, 21 92:17 116:1 124:6 126:19 128:25 129:2,3 141:22 142:14 146:22 163:21 164:2 165:25 166:1,4,10 167:2 168:2,9,10,11,13,17, 18,20 170:24 171:7,9 172:8 181:15 187:22 191:20,22,25 192:5,7, 11 193:2 196:4 200:4, 12

complaints 23:18,23 24:1 29:1 135:18 163:25 164:4 166:5, 11,19 168:5 169:2 171:17 192:7 193:6,11 194:14,15

complete 172:3

completely 49:9 71:16 89:4 112:13 143:19

completion 51:19

compliance 100:17 153:12

compliant 24:21,24 25:2 33:12,18 58:17

compliments 23:19 24:1

comply 102:22 146:14

components 7:17

concede 203:22

concern 65:10 160:11,19 174:17 176:1,2,4,19 177:9 181:6,11,13 203:4

concerned 30:2 125:10 134:15 173:21 177:5 181:6

concerns 10:18 102:8,10 134:8,14 155:13 196:19,20,21

concluded 94:10 149:19 205:15

conclusion 112:10

concrete 48:16

condition 37:22

conducted 94:8 149:19

conducting 83:17

conference 77:5 173:18 175:2

confident 56:20 57:4

confirmed 151:10

confrontational

confused 124:19 145:4

confuses 59:11

confusing 193:22

congratulations 86:18

connecter 56:10

connection 82:25

connections 11:22 75:23

consent 143:24

consideration 47:7 49:10

considerations 46:6 47:8,9 151:16 159:19 160:1

considered 30:18 49:2 69:21 143:16

consistent 135:16

consistently 6:12 53:18 56:6

consists 41:7

constant 15:15 74:17

constantly 130:6,22 131:16 159:17

constitute 201:2

constitutes 95:14

Constitutional 125:14,17 201:2

construction 57:6

contact 76:3 79:20 103:18 104:4 105:11 146:21

contacted 124:13

contacting 80:15 147:5

contempt 53:7

contentious 59:14

context 70:3 92:1 167:20 201:6

continue 27:5 32:8 79:3 85:6 132:5 162:1

continued 110:10 187:25

continues 188:3 190:8

continuing 84:23

contract 27:15 35:8, 10 162:19 164:10,12

contracts 33:9

control 47:11,13 63:13,14,16

conversation 8:14 10:16 13:7 15:11 16:16 22:16,17 25:21 35:21 41:1,3 43:22 45:7 46:16 52:11 60:13 62:23 63:19 64:4,15 73:12 114:19 120:3 121:2,3 129:15 159:11 160:17,25 169:12 179:17 189:10

conversations 12:6 18:11 23:2 27:4 42:5 43:7,18,21 51:15 83:7

Cool 50:13

cop 13:9,10 38:11 45:4 53:7

cop's 133:19

coping 108:23

cops 31:5 37:17,20 47:24 52:24 185:16

copy 90:3,7

Cornell 145:16

corner 74:5

correct 16:15 68:15 88:18 91:16 96:8 111:1 157:13 158:1 174:22 190:20 203:24 204:18

Corrections 104:19 111:8

correctly 187:22

correlation 25:9

correspond 161:13

cost 66:20 158:21 159:25

costs 82:15

council 17:11 22:7, 15,17,20,24 23:6 30:8 47:5 59:6,15,23,25 60:13,15 80:13 82:10, 13 86:25 89:16,17 90:2 101:24 133:16 134:4,17,18,22 135:5 137:15,25 138:20,21, 25 150:14 153:4 188:16 194:5

counsel 22:9 30:11 80:9

counter 181:24

County 71:8

couple 19:14 23:16 26:3 57:7 76:1 77:24 129:10 174:10 182:7

court 134:25 154:6,9 166:12 180:11

courtroom 200:20

cover 33:22

coverage 14:20 181:20

covered 81:24 85:17 188:14 191:7

covering 166:13

covers 153:17,18

COVID 8:4,11 12:3 35:18 36:2 37:2 38:2, 18 46:12,13 47:16 94:14 138:14 178:5,18 179:10,11 198:7

COVID-RELATED 198:5

CPD 19:2 31:13 34:8 42:23 90:15 94:8 97:4 98:1 101:4 149:19 152:7,9,10,24 153:12

CPOA 60:20,23,25 61:8,11 63:18,23 64:1, 5.16

CPOA's 64:1,12

CPRB 104:2

CPS 36:4

craft 165:4

crash 131:7 156:22

crashing 108:24

crazy 108:8

create 130:25 132:20 138:20,24 165:4

created 12:21 13:17 167:22

creating 55:1

crimes 25:24,25 99:25

criminal 24:25 71:11, 14,17 72:4,7,12,18 104:12 111:16 118:20, 23,24 119:20,22,23 120:14,18 122:11 196:19

criteria 6:6,21,25

cross 186:22

crossed 115:13

crosstalk 165:20

178:8

crumbs 144:16

cuffs 96:2 97:16 100:24 105:6

curious 51:25 92:20 111:19 169:6,9,14,15

current 16:12 24:8 55:8 136:3,19,20 138:14,17 168:2

curriculum 9:15,19 10:6 16:13 81:23,24

curve 128:20

custody 94:9 150:20

custom 16:21

customer 7:15 8:25 32:5

cut 36:8 144:22

cutoff 154:23,24

155:2

D

daily 62:22 69:8 127:20

Dan 76:22 77:9

danger 108:21

dangerous 69:18 101:7

dash 92:22,23,25 93:8,10,18,25 94:21 123:7 125:23 147:21 150:3 156:5,13 159:13.21

dashboard 76:8,10, 22 185:15,17

dashboards 77:7,8

data 76:4 82:18 83:4, 19 131:8,9 155:12

data-driven 131:9

date 23:1,11 166:24 198:1

dates 170:12

day 16:14 37:15 48:8 54:24 69:7 90:2 119:5 122:18,19,22 148:23, 24 173:17 179:9

days 76:1 82:15 91:9 129:10 139:15 178:23 186:1 190:20 194:4

dead 130:10

deadly 71:2,3,24 72:8,9,10,11

deal 31:17,20 52:23 66:19 78:11 162:5

dealing 92:18 98:18

debate 30:7 35:19 64:2 73:9 137:21

debriefing 111:1

debris 98:1

decade 48:12

decades 16:22

December 6:25 77:14

decide 59:5 126:2 129:4 137:24 147:22 148:8 194:23

decided 40:8 41:16 134:19 195:5 204:8

decides 92:10

decision 10:20 36:1 37:20 59:13 70:25 87:1 134:4 138:5 142:13,15 160:7,10 171:7,23 172:7 187:24

decisions 49:22 52:21 53:6,8 143:6,8 161:4 175:1

declared 98:5

declined 163:1,2

decrease 52:1 104:19 111:8 152:16 developed 51:5 199:2 153:16 167:13 168:9 decriminalized developing 13:11 discourteously 173:21 174:19,21 153:3 59:21 145:2 175:6 200:25 deep 27:24 development 20:19 discourtesy 150:6,7 department's 23:18 28:23 40:25 41:2 discretion 148:11 **deeper** 83:19 departments 17:16 50:12 55:5 73:3 81:25 149:17 201:11 68:3 deeply 84:1 **deviate** 154:15 discrimination 92:8 **depend** 168:8 deescalating 189:12 devices 95:25 197:18 deescalation 7:19 depending 167:17 dictate 55:10 discuss 86:24 89:9 95:18.20 97:18 **depends** 38:9 47:23 **die** 100:19 107:11 discussed 50:11 **defend** 63:23 48:12,21 166:14 189:1 **difference** 4:3 16:17 depleted 40:10 **defense** 61:4,5,9 discussing 28:24 43:15 63:12 63:24 128:8 133:13 deposition 160:13 144:23 136:4 152:18,21 187:2 differentiate 16:24 196:19 derived 16:9 discussion 22:23 differentiation 64:3 42:13 77:25 97:16 deferred 152:20 describe 21:25 differently 17:6 90:19 101:20.21 181:23 **defined** 153:14 182:3 183:1,4 188:20 descriptions 36:25 110:2 definition 71:12 deserves 76:21 **difficult** 8:11 18:2 discussions 45:3 39:8 40:2,9 78:6 87:23 definitions 95:11 design 164:12 167:22 disparities 52:1 97:2 98:2 135:19 definitive 158:11 193:21 204:2 disparity 25:16 82:17 designated 67:25 83:3 degree 3:22 13:2 14:4 designed 163:17 difficulty 47:15 dispatching 33:16 delivered 94:10 digging 83:18 **detain** 126:9 display 96:1 149:20 detained 95:16 97:23 **Dillon** 3:12,13,17 displaying 96:7 delivery 52:19 100:23 142:18,20 **direct** 59:18 92:15 150:10,20 151:1,2,6,7 demo 131:1 103:10 disposition 102:23 203:8 directed 127:2 152:5 disregarded 101:13 demonstrate 98:4 detaining 169:16 direction 51:16 79:18 disrespect 118:25 demonstrating detainment 98:21 14:15 143:11 187:9 disrespectful 36:6 detains 203:16 directive 110:3 91:5 92:6 102:24 demonstration 131:1 detecting 157:20 110:20 directly 9:18 105:23 128:17 disrespectfully demonstrations **Detective** 99:2 146:8 14:12 46:23,24 169:25 91:22 dirt 99:14 123:20 178:21,22 192:3 distancing 170:18 detention 95:16 **disagree** 44:18 50:20 demonstrators determinations 23:4 67:10,20 73:14 201:23 dive 27:24 89:11 14:19 diverse 50:6 determine 95:22 disarming 189:25 department 7:4 discharging 69:15 **Dochler** 27:16 55:3 11:12 12:12,13 13:21, determined 58:1 22 15:2 17:21 20:10, discipline 153:10 detrimental 100:3 doctor 21:18.20 11 25:19 27:12 34:13 35:6 42:13 62:12 65:5 discourteous 91:5 document 41:9 45:9 **develop** 13:20 101:16 68:12 73:1 76:25 92:6 143:7,13 144:23 137:1 157:15

documentation 103:10 137:1.6.12 ended 138:21 **eventually** 7:6 78:2,3 159:1 161:18.21 e-mails 90:8 ends 129:4 everyday 16:6 documented 157:9, **Eagle** 18:19 endurance 72:23 everyone's 140:22 10,14,18,20,24 158:2, 190:10 enforceable 152:7 earlier 9:16 45:2 **evidence** 98:11,14 50:22 59:2 88:9 documents 28:2 enforcement 3:7 129:15 147:13 191:19 31:20 45:16 52:18 evolution 11:18 dollars 65:12 66:5 53:16 54:7,13 95:12 early 35:18 59:1 73:22 **evolve** 27:5 41:11,14 door 46:21 98:2,3,6 153:9 194:4 56:1 100:10,11 101:18 **ease** 12:3 enforcing 142:17 110:15 evolves 55:25 **easier** 31:4 85:12 engage 135:22 136:2 doubt 145:22 exact 90:21 166:11 143:4 176:11 enjoy 3:20 63:24 191:15 dozen 38:17,21 58:9 easy 69:3 97:17 ensure 96:3 examples 19:25 draft 23:1,3 27:19 136:16 159:13 exception 64:18 28:5 44:22 45:7 51:14 entire 33:20 100:21 eat 132:17 58:23 136:19 139:6,11 101:19 133:20 153:21 154:19 echo 79:1 **drafts** 51:18 **entitled** 190:11 exceptions 153:22, educating 52:19 24 154:3 drawn-out 18:10 environment 16:13 effect 99:6 152:15.23 excited 7:5 drive 114:21 122:21 equalizers 11:17 126:1 198:24 effective 165:5 188:6 **excuse** 57:12 61:7 equitable 193:2 98:24 104:24 123:12 driver 54:14 95:14 effectively 130:13 **equity** 29:4 77:6 96:5 97:22 100:8 185:3,9 excuses 19:21 110:13,16 errs 186:6 **effort** 72:25 executioner 193:9 driver's 90:20 98:2 escalate 118:25 efforts 16:11 exercising 148:11 100:21 111:21 120:16 escalated 109:23 150:22 **elapsed** 156:25 exhausted 174:24 escalation 7:20 driving 54:3 114:17 election 46:25 47:3 exist 66:15 98:11.13 100:12 143:23 156:12,17 **drop** 84:22 103:17 elections 46:22 **ESRA** 77:4 **existed** 124:11 drove 57:10 113:1,10 else's 148:21 essentially 187:12 114:6 exists 143:23 145:7 emergency 65:15 establish 98:4 due 173:19 178:18 67:1,6 **expand** 161:9 estimate 61:12 dues 60:24 61:8 employees 34:16 **expands** 196:11 ethic 45:10 dummies 131:7 empowering 49:21 expansion 9:15 52:21 evade 159:14 duties 201:21 **expect** 46:17,20 encounter 86:24 evaluated 13:9 52:25 57:24,25 92:15 duty 174:4,15 175:21, 100:17 111:4 118:7 95:15 102:21 24 179:10,13,15,16, evaluation 6:15 7:9 18,20,23 encourage 171:10 95:2 expectation 86:3 evaluations 6:7 expectations 63:17 encouraged 86:17 Ε 68:20 **end** 5:25 69:7 85:10 **event** 95:7 88:10 112:7,10,17 expected 63:6 **e-mail** 54:23 84:7 events 20:7 161:4 115:14 132:12 140:5,7 89:16,19 91:25 92:2 **expense** 134:15 148:23 178:23

experience 116:9 178:3 experiences 4:7 105:8 116:12 experts 71:23 expiration 198:1 **explain** 18:16 19:16, 19,20,22 61:1 149:12 156:18 174:4 193:21 explained 18:23 90:21 112:8 explaining 183:8 explanation 18:23 127:19 explore 194:8 195:4 explorers 39:23 exploring 77:9 194:18 exposed 14:3 express 73:5 expressed 155:14 **extend** 168:25 external 11:13 47:19 extra 94:14 131:21 **extreme** 17:16 105:13 **extremely** 18:1 44:15 143:13 149:10 174:23 eye 179:12 eyebrows 121:4 eyes 109:13 145:18 190:23 F

FAA 33:19 face 3:12 110:21,24 132:10

face-to-face 46:16 Facebook 102:9 fact 102:25 103:12 106:19 113:23 123:10 125:10 144:10 147:20 149:24 152:19 201:5

factors 95:22 **facts** 71:21 95:6 154:14 201:5

fair 35:3 61:16,25 83:11 169:13 177:2,3 181:18

fairs 39:20 **familiar** 194:7

familiarization 30:22

familiarize 30:12 61:18

familiarizing 194:22

family 56:12,23 121:5 168:20

farthest 105:8 **fashion** 185:20

fast 78:8 119:25 126:1

faster 73:8

fate 62:5

fatigue 173:13,19,22

fault 78:9

favor 5:1,15 75:16 184:9 202:18 204:20 205:6,11

favors 41:19

FBI 71:9

fear 108:24 149:1

fearful 101:5

February 194:20

federal 63:13 68:4,8 153:15 197:4 201:1

fee 67:25

feedback 133:2

feel 17:9 19:18 36:6 45:19 53:6 56:20 57:4

73:1,13 78:22 96:6 104:17 107:12,14 110:22 118:1 119:14 120:6,7 121:15,20 123:3 126:4,10 127:2, 14,15,16 134:11 138:15 143:12,21,25 144:5,9 147:7,9 160:6 161:3,6 169:10 171:14 181:18

feeling 22:23 feelings 29:7 feels 60:2 73:10 144:3 fees 67:24 felon 109:6

felony 153:25 154:5, 12

felt 11:6 102:17,20,23 104:16,17 127:12,13 134:9 149:12 160:13, 17 161:16,20

female 104:13

fence 33:16

field 31:21 36:14 65:4

fight 27:2

fighting 132:10

figure 81:15 118:10 171:19 178:25 203:10

figured 160:1

file 89:10 92:15 93:6 126:19 129:3 154:18 157:7 166:1,4,10 167:3 168:17,18,20 169:2 170:9,24 172:3 192:5,6,11

filed 91:22 103:1 146:22 163:11 164:2 165:25 166:6,19,21, 22,24 168:3 192:7

files 140:25

filing 115:25 124:5 128:25

fill 39:2 65:9 103:19

fill-in 31:23

filled 39:3

final 58:15 70:24 86:22 101:9

finalized 7:25 181:17

finalizing 6:6 8:13

finally 93:1

financial 37:18

find 65:13 71:20 79:9 99:10 136:16 141:9 144:6 170:17 171:7 172:8 201:22

finder 201:5

finding 72:3 164:18, 20,21 171:15

findings 71:25 72:4 83:17 98:14 144:23 161:14 164:15 172:13,

fine 62:15 150:24 162:2 181:25 204:6

finish 182:14

fire 31:16 32:16,17,18 36:20 46:19 54:10 70:2

firearms 69:15

firm 55:6

fiscal 194:19

Fischer 141:24 172:21 183:19

Fisher 4:9,23 5:11,14 23:16,24 24:5,12 25:5 26:3,8 27:7,9 75:20 77:16,18 81:14,18 93:6 141:25 143:3 159:24 172:13,22 177:10,22 178:13 182:4,24 183:20 193:12 196:5,13 199:17,20,22,25 202:13,15 204:8 205:4

Fishes 54:5 fit 42:4 55:24 five-year 47:24 fix 29:11 120:7 147:11 186:17 fixed 192:2 flashy 45:18 **flight** 33:11 **flipped** 119:13 floor 87:25 98:17 floorboard 97:24 99:5 112:19 123:17 144:8 fly 20:21 21:5 focus 47:12 114:15 focused 11:5 175:3 focusing 7:11 folks 177:17 **follow** 53:3 59:7 93:23 105:23 106:1 129:7 149:22 152:10, 25 153:9 **follow-up** 37:13 **food** 53:11,12 65:7, 14,15,16 68:10 109:7 **fool** 108:9,12,15 **foot** 7:22,23 9:6,7,12 10:4 73:17,18 81:22 195:17

> 157:6 159:19,21 FOP 61:6 63:24 force 7:20 25:7,9,10 26:2,7 29:2 39:18 70:5 71:1,2,3,24 72:8,9,10, 12 94:24 95:1,5,8,14, 19,22 96:2 97:3 100:1 129:19 196:7 199:5,13 foreign 49:9

footage 93:19,24

97:6,25 102:2 150:3

forever 101:14 forget 29:23 forgot 81:7

form 27:13 45:8 51:14 185:20

formal 89:12

formally 14:2 formation 11:18

Forming 53:13

forms 6:15,16,17

fortunate 173:17

forum 22:20

forward 35:8 42:7 52:5 72:24 74:12 79:24 81:13 102:4 120:1 126:18 135:8 139:15 140:13 156:11 200:12

forwarded 54:24 89:18 137:7

foster 62:2

found 145:11 150:24 154:4,5,9

four- 47:24

Fourth 200:16 201:17,19 202:2,5,6

frankly 60:16 144:12

freecasing 144:5

freely 101:25

frequency 26:6

Friends 135:13

front 10:14 16:21 22:11 23:6 111:11 153:23

frustrated 74:10

frustrates 73:20

frustration 73:6

frying 56:19

full 33:5 59:9 71:21 102:7,12,13,15

full-on 107:10

fully 18:14 174:20

function 12:11 32:2, 10,14,20 33:23 34:21 36:13 52:19 174:21

functions 36:24

fund 36:4 38:10 39:7 66:13 68:3 80:25

funded 39:6

funding 34:24 35:21 38:9 39:12 65:9 67:2 68:18

funds 67:24,25 68:4, 9.16

funny 121:13

future 8:24 160:14 167:4 171:8

G

gain 47:22 76:8 169:11

gained 158:7

game 119:18 121:9

gap 3:7

gas 67:14,16,17

gave 57:12

general 86:2,3 87:25 103:12 159:9,10,18 160:25 161:2 179:19 184:16,17 200:14 204:7

generalized 158:10

gentleman 76:5,7 94:16 116:20

gentleman's 76:20

Geoff 5:19

get all 117:11

GIS 76:24 77:5

give 6:4 18:15 19:24 20:17,24 26:15 45:8 56:7 58:11 65:14 69:24 71:25 74:15 79:19 88:6 90:3 96:18 100:1 107:18,23 133:2 147:23,24 153:23 169:1 170:25 179:21, 24,25 192:16 195:2 199:18 201:11

giving 62:20 78:13 107:21 177:1 183:8

glad 4:7 50:24 86:20

gloves 179:12

goal 12:18 52:12 58:2 164:25

goals 6:14,22 20:22 50:23 51:2,9,10,11,18, 20.25

God 117:18 123:14 192:15

Gomez 44:22 75:15 77:22,24 79:15,24 80:10,14,23 81:1,4,10 82:25 83:5,14 84:7,11, 12,20 85:1,5,13 116:7 128:4 136:5,7,11,25 137:4 142:1,2,16 144:21,25 147:8 150:25 151:8 154:21, 25 155:3 164:14,19 171:3,4,14 172:5,25 173:1 180:18 183:21,

Gomez's 133:1

good 13:24 14:1 20:24 32:7 34:1,15 37:5 45:18,19,22 54:12,19 59:2 63:3 66:24 80:6 81:1,19 83:9 88:2 130:24 132:14 165:16 181:23 194:18 195:3,24 204:3,9

good-practice 86:4

Google 23:24 198:23

Gotcha 172:18

government 201:1

grabs 97:15

graduating 104:11,

grams 151:21 153:19, 20

grant 69:4 80:22,25

grants 33:9 66:7 68:1 81:8,9

grass 33:15 34:9,18 36:8

grateful 116:11

gray 153:7

great 42:23 57:13 69:7 78:20 79:2 80:17 85:1,7 86:1 131:8 136:14 141:18

greatly 101:6

gripe 201:9

groceries 15:22 65:23

grocery 15:21

group 11:17 73:15 111:18

groups 39:22 69:17

Grover 3:4

grow 53:4

guarantee 68:24 170:17 188:25 192:22

guardians 57:16

guardianship 14:6

guess 4:20 5:20 21:14 24:7,12 25:5,13 27:12,23 31:9 32:11 42:10 44:13 82:18 133:20 152:11 160:21 163:14 171:14 175:19 176:20 177:23 182:11 190:7 191:19 192:2 193:2 197:14,15 200:5 201:12,17 202:2 203:6,9

guessing 44:12 61:15 164:11

guilty 67:13 150:24 154:4,5,9

guitar 74:6

gun 15:25 32:22 62:6 110:8

guns 121:16 190:13, 15

guy 26:14 170:4

guy's 57:5

guys 10:18,21 30:3 40:22 104:15,16 113:12 116:15 128:19 129:6,11,12 131:12 185:1,5 186:9,24 188:4,19,21,22 193:16 195:7,14,21 204:10

Н

habit 45:15

Hackworth 3:11 5:12 46:2,11 47:3,15,21 48:10,14,22 49:1,12, 15,20 50:8,10,13,22 51:7,17,24 52:5,16 54:2,17 58:22 59:1,10, 20,22 60:9,17,22 61:10,14,16,21,24 62:8,18,24 63:10,22 64:7,10,20 75:25 76:19 77:1,11 83:24 84:5,10,13,21,25 85:2, 9,15,22 86:6,10,16 109:21 110:1,12 115:3 124:19,23 125:7,13,22 126:4 127:2,18,22 137:11,17,23 138:2,8, 11,18 139:9,13,16,21, 25 141:14 142:5,6 146:14 151:12.19 159:16,25 160:3,9,23

161:1,8,16 166:9,16 167:5 169:15 170:2 172:23,24 182:19 183:5,12,15,23,24 186:25 187:5,15 196:17 197:2,7 200:10 202:8,20,24 203:3,12, 19,22 204:2,6,9

half 37:3 58:9 72:22 188:21

half-hour 29:22

hand 68:17 71:1 127:10 189:23 190:6

handcuffing 95:24 198:25

handcuffs 95:21 96:2 112:22 120:4 121:18, 19 122:9 142:22 145:20 146:1,10,11,25 148:20 149:7 201:10

handful 178:7

handing 99:4

handle 162:3

handled 159:13 162:11,13

hands 96:3,11,12 100:21 123:1 125:4 136:9

haphazardly 60:4

happen 22:17 38:21 49:13 66:20 70:24 138:3 149:2 177:22,24 178:7

happened 18:19 41:3 56:8 66:14,19 105:9, 10,13 116:9 124:8,9 129:12 142:25 147:20 149:11 150:11 155:7 165:8

happening 18:11 78:8 94:15 155:6,8

happy 7:7 8:25 79:16 84:6 165:6

harass 126:8

harassed 120:10

Harassment 120:9

hard 50:17

harm 84:1

Hayes 96:15 98:18 99:8,9 169:25

Hayes' 97:1 99:2

he'll 27:16,19 58:9

head 70:17 87:10 115:22

health 76:8,22,25

health's 76:6

hear 16:19 64:2 65:21 88:22 89:3,8 116:20 118:11,12,14 122:15, 17,18 130:22 135:17 147:13,16 169:22 184:12 186:9

heard 26:18 78:22 105:22 129:10 130:18 131:12,14 140:19 166:18 182:6 192:19

hearing 50:24

heart 53:2 103:1

Heather 79:1 150:13 180:14 181:1

heavily 193:24

Heckman-mckenna

4:13,22 69:13 70:9,14, 22 78:19 79:8 84:2 89:1 104:6 128:1 132:22,25 133:5 141:4 142:3,4 143:14 144:12,16 150:2,8,15, 18 159:9 163:18,21 171:2,18 172:9 173:2, 3 180:16,22,25 181:2, 22 183:25 184:1

Heehee 121:6

HELD 87:18

helped 11:18 54:10

helpful 51:8 84:9 10 188:24 190:5.13. 135:6 improves 12:20 85:13 103:21 177:2 14.17 improving 74:14 194:7 ı holidays 81:17 in-custody 145:6 helping 78:24 79:21 **home** 15:8 37:6,12 **in-demand** 170:19 **IA** 28:22 29:18 77:14 helps 165:2 105:4 189:9,12 89:22 90:8 195:21,25 **INA** 18:7.8 Heriford 93:20 **IACP** 27:18 homeless 68:20 113:17,19 114:8 inappropriate 115:2,15 117:7,8 143:20 ice 15:10 homicide 71:12 hesitant 30:10 Inaudible 155:1 idea 12:24 13:1 20:24 **honest** 148:16 37:5.20 52:18 177:12 **Hestir** 8:1 9:17 89:18, incarceration 150:22 honestly 17:16 86:2 179:25 21 90:1 126:16 164:9 inches 189:21 ideal 160:3 hey 55:19 56:23 57:6 **hooktry** 118:18 incident 95:10 107:8 122:25 123:24 186:21 ideas 13:20 182:6 145:6,7 166:11,20 193:15 hoop 67:3,4 185:16 186:1 identify 143:7 **high** 29:1 39:8 178:25 hope 37:25 60:18 **inclined** 171:15 illegal 203:21 64:18 75:3 79:2 high-crime 131:2 include 22:9 51:25 **images** 144:13 **hopes** 86:6 high-risk 25:25 26:1 included 51:2 82:15 **imagine** 116:10 hoping 12:20 34:23 higher 26:2 29:2 164:7 37:24 169:11 immediately 18:25 **highly** 108:1 includes 68:21 102:3 122:5 146:11 horrible 17:3 201:24 Highway 71:8 **impact** 43:17 134:23 **hosting** 130:14,15 including 68:20 194:15 hindering 185:1 hot-threat 129:25 169:23 180:19 **Impala** 56:15 **HIPAA** 197:1,5 hotel 65:21.24 incorporate 8:5 impatience 100:15 **hire** 46:14,19 47:19 hour 72:22 incorporated 52:3 52:25 53:5 134:25 **implement** 7:8 17:5 193:12 hours 18:22 131:15 incorporating 8:8 131:17,21 190:15,18 174:6,9,18 175:11,13, hired 11:9 78:3 incorrect 45:6 142:16 implementation 16,23 177:13 178:1 41:10 Hispanic 25:11 179:9 increase 3:8 49:16 implication 73:3 **history** 194:8,22 house 53:13 increased 135:24 195:3 **implicit** 7:19 8:7 **housing** 68:10 incredibly 69:18 131:17 132:1 190:16 **hit** 36:2 37:2 48:2 how's 20:9 independent 71:15 103:19 156:21 189:5 **important** 9:9 12:21 HR 44:3 58:16 independently 15:9 16:10 21:11 43:1 **hits** 189:3 171:12 48:1 53:17,18 136:3 **HR's** 40:13 51:22 **hmm** 179:2 187:14,16 index 82:17 83:4 hugged 14:22 hold 3:23 15:25 16:7 improperly 56:19 individual 43:11 30:18 56:22 112:4 **human** 15:22 18:5 54:4.8 111:20 158:16 **improve** 14:17 29:3 128:9 131:23,24 185:6 149:15 201:23 203:13 43:23 189:19,22 190:18,19, humanly 144:2 20,21 individual's 111:22 **improved** 74:12,13 **hurt** 17:3 **holds** 22:3,6 30:4 individuals 91:21 improvement 17:1 129:18 131:14 132:5, husband 133:18 193:23 168:17

information 12:11, 17,18 19:15,16 25:18 79:20 83:9,15 84:8 91:19 103:23 120:17 129:17 130:16,17,19 136:12 160:6 162:21 168:1 175:19,25 179:11,22 200:17

informed 3:4

infringe 104:23

inhibit 20:2

initial 88:20 102:9 156:13

initially 90:8 118:15 194:2

initiative 152:2

injury 72:11

input 10:20 22:21 58:11,12 59:6 137:20 138:4

inquire 28:18

inquired 9:16

inquiry 90:9

insights 4:6

instance 54:19 55:11 189:7 200:13

instances 129:12

instantaneous 68:23

instructed 87:20

instructions 96:18

instructors 8:5

intend 135:5,7

intending 38:7

intends 20:25

intent 100:8 161:4

169:7

intention 89:1

intentionally 7:21

interact 37:11

interaction 97:8,15 101:7

interactions 26:16, 17 159:20

intercycling 3:19

interest 22:7 60:5 203:13

interested 21:23 22:10,12,18 27:22 78:15 80:1 83:20 84:21,23,25 85:1,2,4,8 136:5 187:2 192:18

interesting 76:14

interests 95:3

interject 143:3

internal 11:13 24:2 25:6 26:21 47:19,20 71:4,6,15,19 72:3,14, 15 89:19 92:11 160:11,16 177:14,22 196:12

internally 6:10 13:10 27:20

internet 82:25 144:22 154:25

interpret 201:5

interpreted 6:19

interruption 155:1

intersection 156:24

interview 46:14 151:1 160:12

interviewing 40:14

introduce 3:10,13 13:12

introducing 200:1

invaded 203:8

investigate 71:10 168:14 196:6

investigated 71:3 168:12

investigating 161:14 194:14

investigation 71:16, 17 72:5,7 89:20,23 91:19 98:3 146:16,23 147:2 161:12 196:11 201:19

investigations 26:21 71:17 92:11

investigator 71:20 147:5

investigators 71:4

invitation 169:21

invitations 169:24

invite 10:22,25 85:19, 21,23 88:24 169:18 170:21

invited 88:23

involve 162:18 163:11

involved 25:25 80:2 97:3 134:16 156:22 163:15 169:23

involvement 60:14

involves 193:7

ironed 8:14

Irrelevant 109:12

Irrespective 126:14

issuance 150:21,23

issue 19:1 65:10 94:6 103:12 125:19 141:6 143:1,2 146:17 163:5 165:3

issued 92:17 94:7,12, 13 155:16,18

issues 18:25 32:24 52:24 69:8 78:11 102:3 141:3 168:10, 13.14 177:7.16

item 75:8 82:17 138:10 145:8.11 items 25:8 88:7 195:7

J

January 23:13 59:1 80:19 170:14,22 194:20

JD 151:14

Jefferson 3:19

Jensen 137:6

job 11:20,22 34:1 36:24,25 39:20 42:23 55:13 56:12 57:13 73:21,22,25 107:18 122:14,16

jobs 36:19

jog 29:23

Jones 5:20 6:4 7:13, 23 9:4,12 10:10 11:2, 8,13,15 13:18 16:3,9 17:18,23 18:4 19:6,12 20:8,15 21:4,8,17,22 22:2,6,25 23:10,14,22 24:3,10,13,15,17,19, 22,24 25:15 26:5,10 27:8,14 28:3,11,15,22 29:15,17,22 30:7 31:2, 11,14 32:11,16 33:7 34:4,10,14 35:10,14, 18 36:10 37:25 38:6,9, 14,16,22 39:14,17,20 40:7,24 41:7,19 42:12, 18,20 44:7,10,12,16, 21,24 45:2,13 46:9,12 47:4,20,23 48:11,15, 25 49:2,14,18,21 50:9, 11,14,20 51:4,13,20 52:2,10,17 54:16 55:3 58:25 59:3,17,21 60:8, 12,21,24 61:3,13,15, 20,23 62:7,15,19 63:9, 12,23 64:9,14,24 65:11 66:2,9,11,16 67:10,20 69:9,13,23 70:12,16 71:2 72:9,15 73:5 74:20,22,24 75:3, 6 89:17 90:1 95:21 104:10 105:1 106:17,

23 107:16 108:3.6.9. 11,14 109:10,11,14, 18,20,24 110:4,14 111:2,6,17,25 112:4 113:2,7,9,12,16,18,20, 25 114:2,8 115:5,11, 19,24 116:2,13,15,17 126:6,14 145:19 147:2 155:10,17,23 156:13, 17,20 157:5,11,14,17, 23 158:2,6,14,22,25 159:8 163:18 164:7 165:14 173:23 174:2. 8,22 175:7,10,18 176:13,15,20 177:4,6, 19.24 178:9.16.19.21 179:3,7 184:24 186:10 187:20 188:24 193:7 201:18 202:11

Jones' 99:14

jot 29:23

judge 187:7,12 193:8

judged 95:8

judgment 19:20

judicial 186:13 187:7

jump 189:14

jump-start 56:21

jumper 56:18,20

June 128:18

jurisdiction 45:11 141:10,11,21 152:4

jurisdictional 140:23 141:2.6

jurisdictions 35:22,

jury 187:11 193:9

justice 24:25 104:12 189:14

justification 139:24 145:18

justified 148:14

justify 19:18 131:24 190:9

K

Kamal 116:23

Kansas 3:22

Kathy 137:6

keeping 36:7 173:16

kicking 73:11 185:4

kids 15:18,20 37:3,5, 13 39:22 56:11 65:24 187:17

killed 105:4

kind 3:14,17 9:25 10:2 13:17,23 15:10 17:8 20:13,21 22:22 27:25 29:13 36:2,6 45:2 51:8 53:2,25 60:3 62:10 63:6 73:9 77:6 84:16 85:25 89:8 90:12,21 99:15 100:3 111:18, 19,24 119:12 128:16 131:14 139:2 143:4,10 144:3,5 157:3 165:11, 16 166:20 167:6 169:6 177:11 195:2,4 197:13 201:4 202:2

Kitchen 54:5

knew 37:2 109:25 114:13 117:18 118:18, 20 119:16,19,23 125:2,4 152:25 157:15 179:11,13 192:4,6

knife 189:21,22,23

knowing 111:7 125:25 155:14

KOMU 135:11

L

lab 123:21

label 148:23

lady 97:9 119:8,17 121:3

land 10:13 59:8

lane 93:21 115:1,15, 16,17 117:10,12 118:12

language 31:4 58:2 152:5 179:24

large 48:6 50:1 196:19,20

larger 43:21

late 57:12 79:22 187:13

Lateral 30:13

laugh 110:21,24

laughing 121:14

Laughter 87:11

laundry 109:7

law 3:7 18:5 45:16 63:14 80:15 95:12 113:8,25 114:1 125:14 151:18 152:12 153:15 154:13 200:24 201:6, 22

law-abiding 132:3

lawful 95:7 145:6 200:21

laws 106:4 109:3,4 114:24 147:22,24,25 148:9,18 149:4 191:12

laying 70:15

lead 26:25 59:7

leaders 49:22

leadership 42:16 46:4 47:17,18,22,25 48:24 49:16.17

leads 35:5 85:16

learn 45:19 53:4 76:2, 9 78:14

learning 37:6

leave 34:25 38:18 125:19 195:7 197:25

leaving 75:2

leeway 95:10

left 53:16 54:7,13

legal 23:2,4 27:20 30:11 58:4,5 61:4,5,9 62:17 63:24 66:4 117:5 125:16

legally 63:21 66:23

legit 144:10

legwork 81:3

lending 111:18

length 12:14 27:6 33:15

lengths 79:9

lenient 202:1

letter 85:18 139:3,24 143:6 173:10 200:4

letters 61:1 139:19

letting 183:9 196:22

level 13:14 135:2 150:6,7

levity 4:6

Lexipol 27:12,13,14

license 90:24 120:17 150:22

lieutenant 43:3 48:3 72:2

life 65:5 66:22 119:7 122:9,12 160:2,3,4 176:11 189:25

life-threatening 70:8

light 90:24 115:12 136:9

lights 33:14 94:1 114:12,22 156:21 157:1

Likewise 36:17 196:1

limit 70:6 178:23

limitations 12:16

18:14 19:23

limited 152:5 174:9

Lincoln 104:11 113:13

lines 12:25 52:12 196:8

link 103:22 136:17,18

list 5:24 9:25 11:21 21:10,11,18 22:11 23:25 26:22 40:5,10 80:18

listen 184:21 188:4

listened 189:4

listening 9:1 78:5 160:12 188:6

listing 198:21

literally 21:8 112:14 115:8

litigation 160:14

live 15:16 52:25 53:3, 8 122:12 127:19 133:15 135:6,14

lived 115:8

living 3:20 65:23

Loaves 54:5

local 61:6 66:11 153:15 162:20

located 135:16 145:8

location 125:9

long 11:16 18:10 47:21 50:17 89:24 91:18 107:7 114:21 115:3 124:17 126:22 139:11,22,23 173:16 191:6 193:25

long-term 20:23 43:20

longer 51:18

longer-term 48:23

looked 26:6 30:1

39:25 54:19 92:10 103:22 115:7 144:16 195:4

lookout 10:25

loss 150:22

lost 23:17,18 82:25 187:11

lot 5:23 7:16,17 9:11 14:12,14 17:14 28:16 30:9 31:5,15 32:4 33:13 34:16 37:1 40:12 42:20 43:4,8,9 45:20 46:8,12 47:8,11 48:17 49:6 50:23 52:10 53:22 58:13 59:25 60:12.13 65:2 68:3 73:6,7 81:24 86:15 95:10 104:22 108:15 110:19 129:9 137:21 140:19 155:8 156:4 177:8 178:22,24 179:20 184:21 186:9 192:1 194:1,16 195:8 196:9

lots 6:19

loud 130:21

love 3:23 35:17 90:5 133:3 136:7 138:2 187:4

Lovelady 75:25 116:19 128:7,14 132:19,22,24 133:3,7, 8 184:19 185:24 186:2,4 187:4,13,16 188:9,13,18 189:4,11, 17,19 190:1,3,7,12 191:6,10,18,21,24 192:6,10 193:4,13 194:16 195:1,6,23 196:1

low 37:8 44:15 83:18 177:18

lower 41:16,24 44:16 62:3 152:17,23

lowering 41:23

lowest 152:16

lurch 34:25

lying 43:25

М

maced 190:4

MACO 173:18 174:25 194:8

made 5:13 6:9 11:7 22:2 31:5 36:2 37:16 45:6 63:13 64:3 66:18 78:7 84:18 96:19 108:1 121:4 129:23 131:9 141:19

main 52:12 107:24 114:24 134:8

maintenance 34:13,

major 56:3 175:1 194:12

majority 65:5

make 4:3 8:23 10:20 15:14 16:11,25 17:11 23:3 33:2,14 34:7,10 37:8,18 39:11 43:14, 15 45:21 49:21 52:21, 25 53:5,8 55:13,16 58:6,7 59:13 71:25 72:3 74:1,3 85:12 87:1,2,12 100:13 102:10 104:15 109:13, 14 119:14 120:13,18 126:15,19 129:14 131:23,25 132:4 133:22 134:11 135:22 136:9 137:15 141:13 143:4 156:9 158:10 159:3 160:7 161:23, 24,25 162:3,4,7 163:8 164:14,19,22 165:16 167:4,25 172:5,6 175:1 176:10 184:13 185:18 189:8,11,23 190:17 191:5 194:6 200:5,6 201:21 202:10

makes 19:9 30:16 45:19 58:11,17 61:25

70:24 102:1 135:4 162:6 186:9 187:21,24 201:7 202:8

making 19:21 33:15, 16 49:12 64:17 79:12 102:21 129:9 130:17 135:18 136:1 140:22 145:14 160:10 164:18 184:23

man 90:11,20 99:24 100:18 102:16 119:5 188:3

manage 10:19 24:25

management 42:17,

manager 17:11 22:13 50:19 51:22 58:20 59:5,18 76:25 80:8 122:16 188:8,15

manager's 58:16 188:9

managing 34:1

mandate 55:12

manner 96:6

manpower 33:5

manually 156:20

map 79:22

March 82:2 175:24 180:2

marijuana 97:23 98:18,25 99:24 110:5, 17,18 112:23 117:17, 21 118:13 123:14,22, 23,25 124:21,25 142:18,19,23 145:14 150:11 151:22 154:7, 8.10.14 201:12

marketed 14:8

marketing 40:22,23

mask 179:18

masks 87:23 141:17 179:12 204:25

Index: match..motion

match 36:11 155:21 191:1 164:14 25 153:9 171:10

material 99:2 materials 140:22 matter 16:5 71:11 90:25 101:1 120:21 130:3 163:3 matters 135:13 **Maxing** 175:16 **mayhem** 103:2 mayor 89:17 meaning 146:3 means 17:24 79:11 meantime 135:5 measure 7:3.4 measures 34:8 mechanics 30:21 mechanism 108:23. media 14:20 20:12 102:14 155:7 181:20 mediate 163:5 165:9

mediation 162:8,10, 11,17,23,25 163:1,2,6, 11,22,25 164:6,8,10, 12,17,18,20,24,25 165:2,5,12 166:2 167:10,11,14,16,21 168:4 169:3,4

mediations 167:17

mediator 162:18,20 163:1,6,15 164:1,3,4 165:2 167:18

medical 31:18 32:17 36:21 38:18 197:10

medication 196:21 197:12 200:18

meet 4:7 25:1 41:21 46:15 48:17 56:12 57:24 58:2 80:5

meeting 3:2,5 5:21,22 7:14 8:2 10:16,23 13:6 18:18 19:25 21:6 22:10,12,19,24 23:8, 12 28:7,23 29:11,14 30:20 44:21 46:18 55:17,23 58:7,10,14 59:4 75:10,11 81:12 83:8 85:20 86:12 88:9. 23 129:16 131:15 133:17,18,20,25 135:12 138:22 140:5 158:20 162:2,8 168:1 170:12,13,17,22 180:24 181:9 184:11 191:10

meetings 6:12 22:1,3 29:12,14,18 64:1 73:23 130:14,15 133:14.16.22 134:6.10 135:7

meets 41:22 58:18

member 10:9 60:20 64:1,16,22 85:19,24 86:5 133:13

members 3:10 11:24 15:7 25:11,12 60:5,23 62:3,10,11 87:21 89:3, 17 90:2,22 100:19 101:24 134:15 140:11 141:1 184:16

memory 29:23 194:3

mentality 130:2

mention 104:18 124:21 129:14 150:2 182:7 197:23

mentioned 7:14 26:3 45:2 46:2.5.8 50:23 52:7 55:4 137:12 196:13

message 20:14 62:13 103:14 132:2,8 191:14,15

Messina 11:16

met 24:1 75:25 76:5 192:15

mic 87:22 116:22 128:6 133:11

microscope 17:17

mics 56:16

middle 48:18 117:10

midst 105:1.3

mile 156:25

military 38:18

mimicking 13:15

mind 38:10 40:24 76:6 177:12 188:21,22

mindful 132:8 191:16

mine 64:9

mini-page 139:17

minor 104:12 139:23

minorities 42:11,16, 22 49:19

minority 42:25 43:6 44:5 46:4 49:17 50:3,4 130:15

minute 56:24 153:23

minutes 30:19 33:10, 11 75:9,10,12,17,22 87:16 103:18 110:13 132:6

miracle 110:16

misconduct 153:13 201:3,24

misdemeanor

151:21 154:2,6,7,10, 12

missed 56:1 83:1 140:8 154:20

missing 150:3 185:15 187:11 198:11

mission 4:4 6:22 13:21

missions 62:2

Missouri 114:24

mistakes 52:25 53:1

model 37:17 45:4

models 195:4

modifying 52:23

mom 65:23

moment 15:25 97:19 102:15 106:20 126:17 127:7 195:15

money 38:1 65:6,9 67:4,8,18 68:8,11,14 69:5 130:24 134:24 178:14,24 194:16

monitoring 95:2

month 3:11.13 40:14 77:16,17,20 80:18 81:13 84:17 91:11 137:20 139:7 168:25 173:19.25 177:13 178:1 179:14 181:8 183:4

month's 129:15 133:18 138:7 162:1 180:20 184:11

months 12:5 40:3 101:22 124:8.14 127:19 159:18 181:10,

moonlight 173:20 179:6

moonlighting

173:12,19 174:19 175:5 177:12 179:2 180:6

morning 21:9 68:13 88:2

mother 109:6

motion 4:12,20,24 5:10,13 75:12,14 80:7 87:12 134:17 137:15, 25 138:19,23,25 139:8 140:9,10,16 141:9,13, 19,21 161:23,24,25

offenses 142:18

offer 31:21 78:24

162:2.4.6.7.10.13.16 164:15 167:11.25 169:20 171:5 172:5,6 183:2 184:13 185:19 200:5,6 202:10 204:13 motioned 182:20 motions 161:13,17 motivation 43:8 move 3:9 4:22.25 5:5. 7 42:7 43:3.8 73:8 86:4 87:4 88:8 89:5 102:4 118:8 119:25 135:20 140:6.10.17 141:7 143:5,10 156:11 160:10 161:23 164:7 180:18 182:2.24 183:2 185:3 187:8 205:4 moved 3:18 5:11 14:25 103:1 140:12 movement 43:5 moving 4:14 8:1 45:4 69:16 70:11 77:12 83:10 94:16,23 96:9 125:11,16 141:2 157:21 180:23 184:9 **mowing** 34:17 **MU** 97:4 106:9.17 152:7,9,25 170:7 multiple 65:20 125:8 166:10 municipal 152:6 154:9 mute 116:6 mutually 86:14 myriad 135:18

Ν

N95 179:18 **NAACP** 39:22 name's 3:17 **named** 114:3

names 79:25 81:6 narrow 7:1 70:20 narrowed 50:23 51:2 natural-born 109:5 nature 31:16 187:7 **nearby** 170:2 nearing 46:6 necessarily 78:9 151:23 153:2 156:10 167:3 169:9 necessity 156:5,8 neck 30:14,18 needed 11:6 25:8 91:19 117:16 145:25 154:16 183:4 185:11 negative 101:7 negotiated 152:21 negotiations 62:22 neighborhoods 37:12,14 38:23 network 32:3 **newly** 78:2 news 18:24 133:18 **nice** 108:17,20 165:1 night 68:22 195:24 no-brainer 36:3 nod 192:4 nodding 87:10 noes 184:8 nominate 84:15 86:4 **normal** 88:10 91:2 **note** 14:10 184:23 195:20 notebook 21:9

noted 25:7

157:11

notes 29:14,15,17

nothing's 29:20 notice 183:14 noticeable 33:4 noticed 144:19 171:2 notified 162:20 **notify** 183:10 November 75:9 91:15 **number** 12:4 38:8 42:1 44:4,7 56:21 57:2,8,11 103:24 107:19 **numbers** 25:16,17 37:5 83:16 173:20,22 174:11 199:18 0 objectives 52:14 86:15 obscure 56:2 obtaining 42:10 occasions 154:11 occupancy 37:8

objective 73:1 95:7 observation 93:14 observe 178:2 185:19 obstacles 38:2 67:11 occupied 96:13 **occurred** 156:23 occurring 57:22 179:20 October 11:22 odor 83:16,18 offended 108:2 offenders 26:1 offense 73:24 154:13, 15

offered 31:22 office 58:16 65:16 177:17 officer 4:2 7:1 12:11 14:20 25:17 26:23 27:1 29:4 36:17,24 42:22 43:3,10 47:22 49:9 50:6 53:5,15,24 54:7 90:19 94:9,23 95:9 96:5,14,15,19,21 97:1,4,14,21 98:5,9, 18,24 99:7,11,12,20 100:7,8 101:8 102:17, 20 106:21,23,24 108:7 109:22 110:8 111:21 112:1 117:22 121:25 122:1,2 124:19 125:25 132:13,14 145:22,24 147:22 148:10,12 149:19 150:25 151:22, 24 152:9 153:9 154:15 156:20 158:4,8,12,13, 16,17 159:3,14 160:12,14 161:5 162:22 163:14 165:15, 22 167:9,23,25 168:22 169:7,16,19 171:22 173:18 175:11,12 179:5 189:3 190:2 192:5 196:20,22

officer's 61:3,21 62:9 96:3 102:19,23

201:11 203:6,16

officer-involved 71:6 72:16

officers 6:10 7:5 11:23 12:9,23 13:3,9, 20 14:12,18 15:4 16:4, 13 20:6 25:23 26:4 31:15 32:1,14 33:21, 22 34:2,16 35:7,20,22, 23 36:9,12,18 37:7,9, 10 38:5,12,24 39:7 40:9 42:25 43:6 44:5 46:4 48:4,6 49:21,23, 25 50:2 52:20 53:10, 17,20 56:6 60:23 61:4,

Index: official..people

7,10 62:13 65:13,20 66:12,13,16 67:11,14 68:7 69:6 78:1 93:2 94:15,25 95:4,12 98:7, 14,15,16 100:4,17 101:4 106:15 121:16 125:8 130:23 131:2,4 149:3,12 152:6,7,8,10 153:11,12 158:16,20 163:17 173:18,20 174:1,4,14,18 175:5,9, 15 176:6,8 178:23 179:1 183:14 184:22 185:10 187:23 195:12 197:6 201:21

official 5:20

oftentimes 58:6

ongoing 175:12

online 28:4 89:13

open 5:23 49:11 52:12 68:13,24 87:25 101:21

opened 110:15 124:22 145:9,10

opening 12:25 49:3, 22 100:11

opens 100:10

operate 36:13,15

operating 14:14

operator 94:11 149:20

opinion 45:8 62:20 64:5 123:17 138:12 169:10 172:3 188:13 190:9,11 191:1,2 193:9 201:14,16

opinions 101:2

opportunities 136:2 193:23

opportunity 168:25 169:1 171:17

opposed 5:3,17 27:2 50:21 51:17 75:19 204:22

opposite 131:10

option 146:10 157:19 192:21,22,25

optional 31:22

options 97:14 146:9, 12 180:24

order 3:3 68:18 94:7 129:4 131:23 149:18 174:20 179:19 185:3 197:24

ordinance 151:15 152:1,7,10,14,25 153:3,14 154:16 165:21 167:21 191:13 194:12

ordinances 150:14 167:21 188:2 193:25 198:9

organization 44:23 47:25 48:1 49:3 62:11

organizationally 49:5

organizations 66:6 68:10

organized 193:18

oriented 15:14

original 93:8 113:5 146:22 171:6 172:7

originally 137:21 147:21 152:13

Orleans 45:12

other's 165:7

outcome 17:3 164:24,25

outcomes 4:5 26:25 29:1 45:14,20,22 51:9 78:3.21

outlined 169:8

outreach 6:22 11:10, 24 12:1 20:6,11 23:8 24:11 37:10 52:12,14 130:12

outreaching 11:19

outright 70:6

overlooked 129:8

overpass 115:1,13

overpolicing 92:19

overseen 24:25

oversight 194:10

overtime 31:24 73:10 178:15,24 179:2,6

overwhelmed 129:17

owes 147:9

Ρ

p.m. 205:15

pages 11:21 21:9

paid 35:23,24 66:16

pandemic 138:14

Pandora's 105:19

panel 86:5

panels 57:6

paper 65:9 67:7 160:18

paper- 88:21

papers 197:22 198:12

par 168:11

paragraph 25:7

paramedic 32:19

paraphernalia 154:8

Paris 115:14 117:11 126:2

parsing 172:15

part 15:7 34:11 39:23 42:12 49:5 50:14 51:5, 21 55:16 59:4 61:8,11 63:19 78:23 88:21 91:25 93:6 94:6 130:17,20 156:9 177:7 178:10 179:11,19 201:20 203:15

participate 15:17 162:24,25

participated 76:11

participating 8:9

parties 21:24 22:10, 12,19 27:22 162:24 163:4 165:2,8,12

Partly 135:18

partnering 14:7

partnership 65:16

partnerships 12:24 13:12

parts 8:1

party 162:22 163:1,2

pass 80:7 138:19,23 167:10 169:19

passed 134:17 137:14 152:14,23 173:9

passenger 95:16,22 96:5,7,15 98:19 111:22

passionate 148:22

past 6:14 15:6 41:16 53:23 156:23 166:17

path 194:3

patient 102:16

patrol 48:4 71:9 157:6

pause 149:14,15

pay 7:6 35:24 61:8 65:19,20 67:13 68:22

paying 60:24

PD 97:4 152:8,9,25

penalty 150:23

people 8:8,12 12:6 14:1,2,7 15:1,19 17:19 18:24 19:1,3,14,15,16, 18 26:1 27:1 30:12

Index: people's..policy

31:6 34:24 35:22 39:17 40:5,7,8 41:14, 16 43:3,9 46:13,19,21, 22,24,25 47:4,5,6,9, 10,17,23 48:8,15,19, 20 52:17 53:7,10,12, 13 57:16,17 58:10 59:25 63:2,17 67:17 68:5,6 74:10 78:6,13 79:20 87:24 88:23 101:5 102:5 103:20 107:22,23 108:19 110:22 128:21 129:9 131:4 132:8 133:19 134:9,11 135:6,17,22 138:15 146:25 147:10 148:21 150:10 151:14 153:1,4 155:9 156:19 163:16 165:5 166:10 169:1,23 174:12 178:2,10 179:25 180:2,3 182:7 184:22 186:22 192:4,12 193:22 195:15

people's 128:8 133:13 135:2 136:4 187:2

percent 35:25 44:9, 11,13

percentage 61:12

percentage-wise 44:4

perfect 16:16 137:3

performance 7:2,6 68:19

perimeter 32:23 33:16

period 38:15 42:14 179:3 180:3

permitted 145:17

person 13:13 27:16 43:19 46:14 48:21 55:18 62:21 70:8 74:5 78:17 84:19 90:10 101:3 103:13 104:13 106:13 109:1 130:8,10 142:22 150:18 162:20 167:1 187:19 189:20, 25 190:21,25 195:9 200:2 201:23 203:16, 17

person's 60:9 96:3 189:23

personal 46:5 47:7,8 62:20 95:13 105:8 134:13 138:12

personally 19:4 38:11 65:23 69:25 147:7,9 182:8 201:9 203:3

perspective 76:1,9 95:9,13 111:18

perturbed 97:19

petition 152:2

philosophical 52:8,9

philosophy 6:10 13:21 53:18

phone 10:11 96:10 103:24 146:18,21 185:13

phones 96:13 146:4

phonetic 77:4 137:6

photo 97:25

photos 98:10

pick 42:25 56:12 105:19 156:22

picked 146:18,21 155:11,16

picking 42:25 194:20

pickup 157:2

picture 13:2 18:24 19:5 124:3

piece 65:9 67:6

Pierson 3:12,16,17 72:20 74:18 86:1,11, 14,20 99:4 111:17 112:3 142:7,8 169:5, 14 171:25 172:4,14,18 173:4,5 184:2,3

pill 203:11

PIO 12:10

place 13:16 14:16 26:16 27:4 33:9 68:18 71:18 83:9 88:10 135:16 194:2 195:3

placeholder 82:20

places 8:3 14:14 45:16

placing 62:4

plan 6:24 20:18,23 25:14 41:4,6,9 48:23, 24 49:12,16 50:8,10 61:5,9 63:24 133:1,3 178:10 195:11,13

planning 30:5

plastic 96:2

plate 90:24

play 15:18 25:14 37:1 72:5 110:20 119:18 121:8 152:11 174:25

played 10:11 72:5 121:9

playing 74:5

plenty 193:13

pocket 65:19 66:16 67:13 188:10

podium 5:20

point 7:8 12:15 28:18 34:15 40:10 41:13 84:19 88:20 97:15,20 100:5 102:18,24 118:17 122:20,21 134:7,19 135:8 143:24 150:9 187:1,11 189:24 190:7 193:5 199:22

points 9:15 10:6 187:6,13

police 3:3,6 7:1 11:23 12:12,13 13:21,22 15:2 17:10,16,21 32:1 33:22 35:6,20,22 36:12,16,18,23 37:7,9

39:7 40:9 42:13.22 43:10 49:9.21.24 53:15,24 55:1,7,13 56:15 60:22 61:3,4,21 62:9,12,13 64:16 65:5, 13 68:5,12 77:7 78:1 80:8 89:12 90:13,25 91:4 92:12 93:22 100:4,13 102:5 103:16 104:20 105:14,15,19 106:4,9,11,17 107:8, 20 110:22 114:9,10, 14,21 117:1,3 122:22, 23 124:10 125:25 126:5,7,11 129:3 130:23 131:4 132:13. 14 135:25 147:22 149:3 152:6,16,18,20 153:16 158:19 162:4 163:24 165:22 166:1 167:13,23 168:8 171:6 172:7 173:21 174:18, 21 175:4,6 185:10,12, 20,21 186:4,5,11 187:10 191:11 192:5, 20,22,24 193:5,11 195:12 196:20,24 197:3,6 200:25

polices 186:19

policies 14:14 21:18, 23 27:11,17,19,24 28:4 55:8 57:14 58:22, 23 59:14,16,21 92:10, 15,16 103:8 106:5 142:17 150:10 153:18 188:2 196:9,12 200:1 202:11

policing 6:7,23,24 7:2,20 13:4,12,24,25 14:1,6,13 32:6,15,16 36:12 37:17 42:4 52:11 53:19 63:2,3 65:3 76:1 79:13 90:13 92:13,18 100:16 130:22,23,24,25 132:12 133:1 161:7,9, 14 186:5,11,23 193:5

policy 16:17,23 17:5 21:21 22:8 23:8,12 27:16 28:1,23,25 29:8,

9.18 30:1 31:3.5 41:10 52:23 53:3 54:23 55:2, 4,14,17,21,22 56:1,2, 7,21 57:2,18,19,20,23, 24 58:21 59:3,13 60:3 67:15 69:14,18,20 70:19 73:1,24 74:1,3, 8,11 86:23 92:3 94:7, 13,24,25 95:4,18,24, 25 97:21 102:1 105:20,23,24 106:1,7 131:13 136:4 143:9 145:4 149:22 153:16 160:15 162:5,12,15 179:7 182:6,9 187:2 196:3,6,25 197:18 198:6,7 200:11,24 201:25 202:3,4,7

polite 95:15 100:22 130:8

political 46:22

pond 153:6

pool 36:22 42:24 43:21 50:1,6 67:8

pools 40:16

poor 4:5 26:25 29:1 45:20

portion 11:24 48:6 71:19

position 12:20,21 40:22 43:13 45:17 47:25 48:1 49:4 62:20 63:20

positions 39:2,19 42:17 43:1,19 46:4 47:22 49:16,17 165:7

positive 75:23

possession 154:7,10

possibility 168:6,7 170:13

possibly 21:2 84:22 144:4,6 155:6 181:7

post 133:25 150:19

posted 62:21 89:13 155:7

posting 92:7

pot 68:7,11,14

potential 41:24 50:1 56:19

potentially 159:12 163:22 166:13 167:16

power 15:25 16:8,9 59:15,18 186:18 187:7,20 188:4

PR 20:7

practice 16:22 71:7

practices 27:17

praised 13:10

preceding 85:18 154:1,5,8,11

precovid 179:23 180:1

prepare 26:20 134:25

prepared 26:15 48:12 158:15,18

presence 33:8

present 20:19 21:3 195:14

presented 6:24 51:15

president 128:8

presidential 47:6

pretext 99:23 125:15

pretextual 125:17

pretty 6:17 7:5 40:4 41:2 56:20 57:4 76:13 84:18 97:19 142:24 166:25 168:12 172:2 178:6

prevent 171:8

previous 4:7

Pringle 3:2 4:10,18, 20,24 5:3,9,15,17 9:24 10:24 20:4,9 21:13 22:1,5,22 23:7,15 27:10,23 28:9,12,21

29:13,21 30:24 31:9, 12 32:9,13 33:4 34:2,6 39:18 44:20,25 45:12,

25 74:21,25 75:8,16, 19,21 76:17 77:12,17, 19 79:1,19 80:11,16 81:5,9,11,16,19 82:5,

7,10,14,17 83:11,21 84:3,18 85:16 86:18,

22 87:6,12,16,19 88:11 89:8 92:5 96:24

99:1,9,13,18 102:7 103:5 104:7 109:10,12

113:15,17,19 115:22, 25 116:5,17,21 122:2

124:5 126:13 127:24

128:5,9,13 133:10,24

134:2 135:11 136:18, 24 137:8 139:11,14

140:2,17,24 141:2,7,

11,15,20,24 142:1,3,5,

7,9,12 145:13 146:8,

13 147:1,5 148:10

149:23 150:5,12,16

151:13,17,20 152:11

151.15,17,20 152.

153:6,19 154:1 155:2,

22 156:10,15 157:3,13

160:5,9,21,24 161:22 162:17 163:10 164:6,

21 165:11 169:13,25

170:4,8 171:21 172:2,

12,17,19,21,23,25 173:2,4,6,9 176:5,8

100:5 0 10 12 101:

180:5,9,10,13 181:1,

14,19,25 182:12,21 183:1,17,19,21,23,25

184:2,4,7,9,17 185:22,

25 186:3 188:7,11,15,

23 189:1,15,18,24

190:2,10 191:18,22 192:1,8 193:1 195:23

196:2,9 197:5,11,17,

20 198:1,5,10,17,21

199:4,13,15 200:3,8,

19 201:8,16,25 202:9,

17 203:6,15,20,23

204:3,12,17,19,22

205:6,11

Pringle's 111:20

printed 77:15

prior 14:3 35:20 51:18,19 59:24 95:19, 20 154:10 157:2

priorities 12:22

prioritize 132:3,4

priority 29:6 152:16, 23

privacy 135:3 200:15 203:4,13

privacy's 203:7

private 200:17 203:17

proactive 18:1

probable 98:5,10 99:20 117:16 125:1,2 145:7

problem 11:9 28:10, 14 44:19 48:18 51:6, 23 79:24 88:11 92:12 129:8 131:5,6 132:12 145:15,21 159:12

problems 10:4 49:25

proceed 137:22,24

proceeding 154:19, 22

proceedings 3:1 205:15

process 6:6 7:9 12:3 13:8 18:12 20:1 21:23 27:20 28:1 30:5 35:16 39:10,24 40:13 42:9 47:19,20 49:23 54:23 55:1,6 58:21 59:7,24 72:12,14,16,18 78:6 79:13 129:1,2,7 132:20 135:19 139:10 170:25 185:2 189:12 192:17 193:2,21 194:2,19

processed 168:9

processes 18:6 49:7 168:24 193:14 194:10

produced 9:13,18

producing 134:16

professional 30:25 protecting 54:20 123:11 128:21 130:5 Q 53:14 143:19 175:20 200:2 protection 179:12 professors 80:16 **pulling** 179:21 200:17 **protest** 14:19 **Qs** 17:25 profiled 119:20 punish 96:1 protocol 202:21 qualifications 64:17 punishment 150:23 profiling 121:15 protocols 14:25 quality 41:24 42:2 program 13:9 36:14 purpose 67:24 106:3 **proud** 53:21 question 25:6 28:17 145:6 150:20 39:23 44:23 45:11 30:2 32:12 35:5 40:19 77:4,22 78:10,20,23 **provide** 4:6 68:9 **purse** 112:22,23 42:15 44:3 46:3 47:17 85:7 130:25 164:12 135:1 159:1 196:21 200:17.22 50:25 54:21 60:19 167:22 provided 94:22 203:11 61:17 64:21 65:2 66:4 programming 68:1 162:20 69:14 72:20 74:9 88:5 pursuing 80:1 84:24 89:14 91:3 92:2 99:19 provision 55:5 pursuit 7:22,23 9:6,7, 100:22 103:9 110:5 programs 68:5 153:18 203:5 12 10:4 36:16 81:22 111:20 119:11 122:3 79:10,11 provisions 22:8 123:16 127:10 132:23 **pursuits** 73:17,18 progress 11:9 138:19 143:15.17 **Ps** 17:25 195:18 151:12 156:1 157:8 prohibit 30:23 **public** 4:25 12:5,11 **purview** 148:12 158:24 159:10 166:9 prohibited 180:4 167:24 168:16 171:5 18:11 22:15.16.21 push 6:10 19:15 32:8 31:15 32:1,9,14,16 174:3 175:22 176:18 prohibits 70:20 36:8 182:11,14 33:20 34:15,21 35:7 177:11 182:4 185:22 **project** 15:5,7 pushed 90:25 181:14, 36:11,17 58:10,24 188:5 194:5 59:6,24,25 60:2,14 15 projecting 37:4 questioning 160:15 62:2 76:6,8,22 87:5,25 **pushing** 32:5 34:18 projects 76:5 88:9,19 89:3 90:11 questions 12:7 23:4, 181:10 200:10 91:20 94:15 95:2 98:8 16 29:25 46:1 74:22 **promise** 168:21 101:10,21,24 102:2 **put** 18:21 23:5 24:10 75:5 78:12 91:23 92:1 182:18 195:7 103:13 104:8 128:11 28:8 35:20 36:16,18 98:9 102:6 103:5 133:10,17,23 136:1,2 promising 45:9 38:21 39:1,5 44:22 104:15 123:3 127:24 137:20 138:4,22 139:7 57:4,7 62:19 79:7 135:10 158:14,18 promote 13:3 80:17 102:14 105:5,14 140:2,10,13 153:2 168:23 171:22 173:14, promoted 43:18 167:8 184:12,14,16,18 112:21 114:11 120:3 15 186:24 191:17 194:1 197:8 121:17,18 122:8 123:1 198:16 200:2 **prone** 165:11 125:4 131:17 134:24 publicizing 20:10 **queue** 25:18 137:19 138:6,9 139:6 proof 94:22 98:8 publicly 34:5 140:16 142:21 145:20, quick 19:2,10 50:25 property 203:14 25 146:10,25 148:15, 84:13 127:18 143:3 **pull** 16:11 36:3 71:22 17,19,20,21,24 157:9 160:17 166:9 168:12 proportion 63:6 100:9.12 109:22 163:3.21 165:18 171:5 177:11 117:12,14,16 119:3 proposal 13:5 136:6 168:2,5 171:5 179:15 120:13 122:25 126:3 quickly 20:3,5 39:2 189:22 194:2 201:10 propose 193:1 127:3.7 147:19 54:22 166:15 168:8 puts 63:19 148:16,18 155:19 proposed 21:15 **quit** 111:8 156:24 164:9 165:13 82:11 **putting** 10:8 24:8 174:13 175:25 176:3, **quote** 62:3 77:6 101:18 110:22 prosecuted 152:20 4,15 177:16,20 178:10 131:2 149:7 191:17 prosecutor 152:6,24 **pulled** 56:9 93:20 196:22 R 94:16 99:23 101:6 protect 94:15 95:1 105:5 107:1 113:6 108:21,22 135:2 **Race** 135:13 115:16 118:15 119:19

Index: races..report

races 47:5.6 reasonableness record 76:20 87:20 reiterating 192:23 95:8 94:17.21 100:8.15 racial 76:13 92:3 relate 51:11 159:11 101:3 118:21 122:16 reasoning 99:21 200:12,14 radar 157:25 158:4,8, 125:10,18 135:7 143:2 10,12,13,17,21 159:2, reasons 46:21 135:18 148:16 160:20 180:14 related 31:18 82:18 169:16 83:4 recorded 133:16 Rebecca 88:4 radio 56:16 155:7 relates 83:6 raise 193:15 recall 56:17 196:12 recording 134:18,20 relationship 53:14, 185:13 198:11,21 raised 11:4 120:20,23 196:18 receipts 66:17 records 24:25 relationships 13:13 53:13 recruiting 42:21 **raises** 168:13 **receive** 137:5 49:18 50:9,10 release 12:17 91:24 raising 168:10 **received** 134:19 92:21 101:24 recruitment 41:4,6 144:13 ran 117:10 123:7 43:2 46:5 released 101:23 receiving 130:16 rank 48:3 102:2 197:7,16 194:14 rectify 111:24 ranks 47:18 relevant 176:1,21,22 recent 40:25 41:2 reduce 26:6 169:6 178:3 179:17 **ratio** 25:10 reduces 101:7 recently 26:18 40:4 rely 169:1 42:6 77:5 178:5 reach 10:22 162:21 reenforce 53:19 remainder 183:3 165:9 179:14 reenforced 53:10 184:10 recognition 67:12 reached 10:10 43:9 **refer** 68:5,6 99:15 remained 100:22 133:18 192:11,13 69:6 76:21 162:9,10 163:25 reaching 130:13 recognize 16:20,25 remarkable 79:9 164:4,17 166:2 102:13 191:4 144:4 167:11,14 186:10 remember 23:1 31:1 read 63:4 120:15 recognized 66:18 33:12 55:15 83:16 referenced 45:10 115:4 128:11 134:7 163:19 131:13 198:20 referrals 52:21 174:9 189:10 reading 30:3 69:15 recommend 20:17 145:4 196:22 198:19 referred 164:3 167:15 remind 25:4 155:4 80:3,11 137:25 138:1, 25 139:1 171:6 172:6 reads 62:10 63:1 referring 22:4 149:23 removing 190:6 187:8 193:18 196:24 190:25 197:2 refresh 40:23 194:3 renewed 35:8,11 ready 14:21 23:5 recommendation regard 73:2 153:24 reopen 184:13 48:19,20 70:1 77:16, 10:17 74:15 80:8 155:25 162:4 167:10 repair 101:14 17 119:23 199:17 85:18 87:2 162:3 169:20 200:7 163:9 167:9 196:10 real 86:21 113:2.3 Repetitively 191:11 regular 32:15 88:19 143:3 177:10 195:13 recommendations 106:10 177:20 rephrase 111:19 reality 15:15 74:1,3 156:9 162:12 regularly 28:23 29:11 replied 90:1 recommended 31:2 reason 24:4 41:12,13, 40:15 54:4 62:22 report 6:3 7:7 8:18 15 43:2 53:1 54:12 65:13 recommending 26:15 51:1,3,5,19,21 98:13 106:25 113:6 30:25 186:8 187:17 regulate 29:10 77:14,15 80:9 82:10 142:21 145:15,25 105:25 98:12 103:1 134:17,19 recommends 147:15,17 150:21 137:13,15,25 138:20, 187:19,21 regulation 200:25 183:8 25 139:5,6,17,23 reasonable 95:1,9 reconsider 171:6 reimburse 66:12,13 155:12 156:8 174:13 143:17 169:5 172:7 173:11 175:20 179:21 193:19

Index: reported..sat

reported 155:21 19 176:11 85:25 164:5 179:8 199:5

reporter 87:7 134:25 155:22 180:9,11 reporting 155:9,12,

reports 23:5 49:24 75:23 176:16

representative 48:24

request 81:23 82:8 89:20 93:17 134:18 137:6.13 139:18 156:6 158:25 167:25 185:25

requesting 27:25 28:1,13 133:19

require 194:12

required 150:19

requirement 55:24

requirements 25:1 41:17,21 42:4 58:18 68:19 153:13

requires 95:2 178:12

requitted 195:10

research 190:19,22,

resettings 183:11

resident 3:17

residents 63:5

resistance 94:25

resolution 165:3,4,9 167:2

resolve 163:5

resource 35:7,23 38:5 65:14

resources 12:2 18:5 68:23,25 69:11

respect 90:19 96:5 102:18 105:17 107:17, 19.21.22.23 120:20 126:23,24 149:15

respectful 102:16 120:20,21,22 126:25

respond 36:15

responded 89:21

responding 26:20 94:24 167:8 199:5

response 19:2,10 32:17 74:1 89:25 90:7 91:4,9,10,14 92:14 143:5

responses 175:2

responsibile 64:11

responsibilities 34:17

responsibility 74:2,6

responsible 41:4 64:9

rest 76:14 133:21 180:18

rested 181:24

resting 174:20

restrain 96:3

restraint 30:14,19 95:25

restraints 95:25 96:6 199:1

restriction 179:16

restrictions 18:5

restrictive 31:3

restructuring 193:18 194:12

result 94:9

resulted 42:24

retaining 31:16

retaliation 135:21

retired 40:7

retirement 37:1 43:9 46:7

reversal 131:8,10

review 3:3,6 28:6 58:4,5,15 60:2 71:5, 22,24 73:9,23 74:17 75:10,11 88:20,21 103:16 110:15 124:10 128:12 140:20 158:19 194:23

reviewed 25:8 59:15 140:22,24 153:4

reviewing 25:17 88:14

reviews 55:18 72:3

revised 10:6

revision 30:2 31:6 73:3

revisions 58:11 152:22 202:11

rich 69:10

ride 75:24

riding 83:13 152:9

rights 104:21,23 109:5 124:1 203:17

rise 47:18

risk 19:15 37:12

road 73:11 114:8 115:2,14 117:7,8,11 169:6 177:14,17,21,25 178:4,11

roadmap 160:24

rodeo 111:15 117:19 122:6

role 46:6 78:25 131:7, 9 141:18,20 175:1 201:4

roles 42:16,22

roll 9:20 20:25 172:10 182:1 204:24 205:7

rolling 156:15

room 5:25 17:1 20:16 86:21 108:17 170:18. 19,20

rooms 65:21

Rose 5:5 9:25 10:25 27:10 28:17 66:3,23 76:23 77:2,9 80:1,10 82:19 87:13 103:11 136:12,21 137:11 138:18 141:7,15 162:7 166:9 170:5 171:14 180:7 184:13 197:22 198:13 199:6,17 203:24

roundabout 188:5

route 202:3

Roy 75:25 128:7 136:12 184:19

rude 36:6 143:20

rule 200:24

run 19:14 65:6,7 67:5 68:2 115:1 126:1 175:20

running 115:10

runway 33:14,17

runways 34:19

rushed 60:4

rut 73:21

S

sad 107:12

safe 118:1 126:10 195:25

safety 14:24 31:15,18 32:2,3,10,14,16 33:13, 21 34:11,15,21 36:11, 17 96:4,5 108:24,25 118:1,3 126:7,10

salt 26:13

sample 27:17 31:3

sat 8:17 21:8 173:18

saturated 16:14

save 168:18 189:25

scared 119:7 127:4,8

scenario 70:10,15,17 71:3

scene 95:9 98:16

schedule 10:7 88:22 162:25

school 15:20 35:20 36:9,17 37:4 38:11

schools 15:19 35:7, 16,23 37:21 39:5,8,23

scope 6:18

Scott 29:15

screen 124:3

search 83:18 91:1 97:21 98:13 99:25 104:1 111:10 117:20 123:2,25 125:3 143:21,24 144:10 145:16,21 150:7,8 172:16 200:16,21 201:12 202:21 203:2, 7,20

searched 54:9 100:25 112:22,23 145:5,9,10

searches 83:17 92:5 143:6 204:7

searching 98:20,22 99:14 112:7 125:5 145:14 203:9

seat 90:20 97:17 98:2

seatbelt 152:17

seconded 4:21 141:19 181:1 182:20, 22

seconds 93:21 100:10 101:2,13 156:25 157:2

section 62:1,8 142:17

security 32:19 34:11

seek 98:4

sees 55:20 98:21,25

seized 54:9

seizure 97:21 203:21

selected 164:1

self-initiated 25:10

semi-annual 5:21

senate 47:5

send 27:19 33:7 52:15 58:15,16 80:7,12 84:7 85:21 93:3,4 97:11 134:17 136:12,13 137:12,25 138:20,25 148:9 164:21 169:20, 24 173:10 176:25 183:6 191:14 194:25 196:10 199:6,8

sending 132:8

sends 58:5 62:13 132:2

senior 104:11

sense 13:23 19:9 31:5 37:9,16,18 39:11 135:4 162:6 167:4 187:21 189:23 191:5 201:7 202:8

separate 36:21 71:16 162:6,13,15 167:17

separately 162:5 166:23

September 89:14 91:14

sergeant 24:14,16, 18,20 25:22 26:14 27:5 29:16,19 43:3 48:2 55:3 72:1 81:12 82:3,4 89:18,21 90:1 106:10,24 155:10 159:22 170:1 177:24

sergeant's 26:22

sergeants 47:24 48:7

Sergent 71:4

serve 4:1 42:14

service 7:16 8:25 12:24 14:5 32:5 52:19 68:1,5 69:2 165:23

services 52:20

serving 48:8 201:4

session 22:9 180:19, 23 181:7 183:3 184:10

sessions 60:15

set 8:19 50:15 58:10 63:17 65:6 67:4 68:15 194:4

sets 101:14

setting 22:16

settings 183:11

setup 106:4

severity 26:7

shake 60:16 98:11 99:3,15,17,22 110:5 112:18,24 117:17 118:14 123:14,23 124:20,22,25 125:9 144:2,13 145:21,23 149:9 151:2.6 200:22

shakes 97:23 98:17, 21

shaking 115:22

shape 185:20

share 9:21 12:18 51:1 75:24 116:11 177:9

shared 9:25 140:25

sharing 51:6,17,23

Shaw 88:2,4,16,25 89:4,11 90:7 91:8,10, 16,18 92:7,24 93:1,4, 10,13,16,18,25 94:4,6, 22 96:12,23 97:1,7,10, 12 99:1,7,10,16,19 102:8,11,25 103:4,6,7, 9,21 104:1,5 140:19 163:12 197:17 198:11

Shaw's 141:12,22

164:3 196:4 200:4

sheltering 68:21

sheriff's 25:19

shift 6:10,12 30:19 31:24 177:18

shifting 194:14

shifts 178:6

shoes 148:21,24 149:6 165:19

shoot 69:23,25 70:1 71:11

shooting 70:4

shootings 71:7 72:16

short 31:24 38:12 182:18

short-term 20:21

shortage 178:12

shortages 35:25

shortfalls 36:1

shortly 167:11

shot 54:23 70:1 124:4

shoulder 65:20

show 6:12 9:10 15:4 27:2 53:22 86:8 90:19 96:1 106:12 112:17, 19,24 123:7,8 125:21, 24 180:2 197:9

showcase 15:4

showed 19:5,9 102:17 124:3

showing 30:16

shows 98:1,22

shutting 101:19

side 14:10 19:17 71:6, 14 72:8 96:15 98:19 99:12 101:19 111:22 144:8 186:22

sign 27:21 58:8 61:6 67:7 114:9,20 115:2,7,

Index: signal..state

10.11 117:7.8.10 **slit** 189:16 sounded 84:4 spending 178:20 123:8 126:1 156:16 **slitting** 189:20 sounds 25:2 26:8 spent 178:22,24 **signal** 90:24 45:18 70:9 81:1 84:5 **slow** 168:14 **spoil** 132:15 136:14 153:6 signed 76:14 147:3 **slowed** 46:15 **spoken** 101:1 115:19 198:3 **Soup** 54:5 **slowly** 34:23 **spot** 100:16 signs 58:20 **source** 196:18 **small** 93:19 **spots** 39:15 silence 23:17 **space** 116:16 smaller 40:16 42:24 **squad** 33:20 similar 25:15 29:22 **spaced** 166:25 70:19 137:6 **smart** 87:8 121:10 **squint** 190:23 spaces 8:2 **simple** 175:21 **smell** 98:18 117:20 staff 6:11 13:18 34:19 **sparse** 178:6 35:1 50:5 68:6 134:23 simply 26:25 203:10 **smiling** 108:20 **speak** 9:11 77:23 139:15 140:11 184:16, sincerely 128:2 **smoke** 123:18 87:22 88:1 108:11 128:17 129:16 146:20 Sinclair 170:1 **smokes** 121:5 **staffing** 32:3 35:15, 171:3 25 36:22 37:19 39:3 single 145:20 **snide** 176:23 **SPEAKER** 182:18 177:16 178:12 **sir** 109:11 118:23 **snippet** 93:19 speaking 8:21 75:1 **stage** 143:23 119:22 120:2 124:7 127:23 129:9 137:8 **snow** 34:18 36:8 126:15 127:11 185:24 stakeholders 78:5 169:7 so-and-so's 73:21. sit 69:4 97:18 117:13 stalled 56:9 speaks 130:20 120:12 187:6 195:8 **stand** 96:17 105:22 social 20:12 65:4 **special** 6:2 94:7,13 site 23:24 192:19,23 195:12 66:21 68:1,4 69:2 149:17 170:12,17 197:23 **sitting** 20:16 131:19 **standard** 57:23,25 102:14 155:7 170:18 specially 8:4 standards 27:15 **situation** 16:5 18:17, sociology 104:13 19 19:4 65:6,8 67:6 41:22,23 55:8 58:8 specialty 178:11 **soft** 53:24 71:1 90:21 107:11 **standing** 74:5 97:13 111:24 112:1 119:1,25 **specific** 6:7,21 25:23 **solely** 145:5 111:11,13 143:25 50:15 70:11 78:21 120:22,25 121:14 solid 72:25 144:1,3 122:19 128:17 130:1,9 83:15 92:1 145:7,11 144:6 148:17 149:9 167:23 177:1 193:14 standpoint 99:22 solution 66:24 69:3,7 155:5 185:11 189:13 196:25 203:2,5 77:21 98:10 131:5 **start** 41:23 56:10 57:9 190:8 161:1 specifically 67:25 79:2 83:9,18,22 93:11 **situations** 70:6 130:2 71:2 84:8 145:23 **solve** 48:18 49:25 101:21 179:15 194:18, 176:16 177:23 200:13 19.22 six-minute 160:17 somebody's 189:20 speculate 41:13 **started** 11:21 12:22 **skill** 50:15 someone's 189:16, 15:6 25:16 29:6 38:22 **speed** 19:23 125:25 25 skills 101:16 56:24 92:21 115:9 139:9 156:21 157:9, 134:5 something's 19:24 skipped 48:3 10,12,20 158:7,8 159:4 **starting** 26:21 39:1 sort 78:5 98:25 147:6 **slap** 132:9 167:19 176:11 186:18 83:25 93:20 195:3 speeding 114:19 sleeping 105:4 194:12 117:9 118:11 123:8 **starts** 42:21 49:18 125:24 159:5 slighted 53:6 50:9 101:17 113:16 sorts 31:25 slipping 76:6 spend 128:14 178:15 **state** 25:1 63:14 68:4, **sound** 19:21 8 88:3 89:7 104:9

116:21 128:5 133:11 138:14 151:18 152:12 153:15 154:5,9,13 201:1

stated 94:23

statement 96:20,25 101:10 108:1

statements 129:22

states 94:8,25 95:4, 18,25 97:22 98:19 100:8,9

static 41:10

status 10:2 81:22 82:21 85:17 86:22

stay 96:20 178:3

staying 43:14

steel 62:4

step 80:6 81:20 96:16, 24 100:7,9,17,20 109:22 117:22,24,25 118:2,5 121:23,25 123:1,25 124:2 125:1, 3 135:23

stepped 122:4

stepping 127:11,12 143:15

steps 6:9 78:15,16 79:17 80:2 140:21

Stewart 133:12 134:1 135:4,15 136:9,14 137:3,9,10

stitched 166:12

stone 8:20

stood 189:6

stop 10:9 18:19 52:1 62:4 70:7 83:6,13 84:14 85:20 89:14 90:18 91:2 92:3 94:8, 12 98:10,13 99:23 100:3,6 101:6,11 104:17 106:9 107:17 110:1 112:8,11,16 114:9,20,25 115:1,7,

10,11,12 117:7,8,10 118:13 120:14 123:8 125:17 126:1 134:18, 19 145:16 149:18 155:12,15,20 156:15 158:3 159:5,15 182:9 187:18,20 196:6 200:13 203:4,7

stop's 104:22

stopped 24:3,19 90:23 113:14 126:12 179:13 203:8

stopping 113:22

stops 25:16 51:12 90:14 94:15 125:15 155:14 185:8 199:25

storage 159:24,25

store 15:21 133:21

stories 189:5

story 102:13 129:10

straight 189:14

straightforward 142:24

strain 33:5,23,25

strange 99:10

stranglehold 30:13

stray 16:11

stream 135:6

streamed 135:13

streaming 134:2 135:12

streams 133:15

street 25:24 36:18 38:14 74:5 115:8 122:22

street-level 25:22

strength 13:4 14:8 53:22

strengths 78:20

stretch 145:17

stretching 185:1

stricter 202:1

stripped 124:1

strong 41:23 50:6

strongly 78:22

structurally 49:5

structured 193:20 194:10

struggles 46:5

struggling 190:21

stuck 56:14

student 187:18

students 37:11

stuff 8:7,8,12 15:13 20:4 21:10 25:25 28:13 31:17 34:22 36:21 38:1 47:10 126:17 180:20 182:24, 25 190:23 196:3 203:16

style 40:21

subdivided 38:25

subdivisions 39:1

substance 98:11.12

succeed 35:4

success 11:25 40:12 43:20

successful 4:4 40:20 79:10

suddenly 152:19

suffer 150:19,22

suffering 150:21

suggest 4:13 10:15 143:9

suggested 139:6 154:16 170:23

suggestion 80:21 179:22 183:6

suggestions 8:24 29:19 71:25

summer 192:13

summer's 192:3

summons 150:21,24 158:6

supercede 151:15,18

supervise 48:5,8,18 153:12

supervises 12:10

supervisor 11:10 25:22 26:13,18 48:13 57:13 111:23,25 112:1

supervisors 50:1

supervisory 43:19 50:5 201:21

supplement 77:13

supplied 98:1

supply 33:21 179:18

support 37:14 62:2 68:1,9 160:14

supported 17:10 95:17

supporting 98:14

supportive 13:1 86:14

supposed 13:6 55:20 56:11,12 69:23 104:23 126:9 142:18 144:13 150:3.10 197:24

supposedly 144:11 147:25

Supreme 166:12

surprised 15:19,20

surveillancing 131:3

suspect 52:3

suspicion 154:12 159:4

sustained 171:7 172:8

swerve 123:7

swerving 114:20 117:9

switch 24:5

sworn 158:16

sync 163:24

system 13:15 64:23 107:5 129:3 132:13 185:3 186:7,12,13,15, 19,20,21 187:22 191:20,22 192:2,20

systems 37:1

T

table 35:13,14 37:22

tag 10:11

tags 120:16

takes 7:16,20 43:11 48:10 101:13 103:17 116:10

taking 27:4 31:12,13 33:5,6,23 34:25 35:16 46:6 49:24 53:10,12 103:3 171:12

talk 6:8 7:10,15 14:6 16:14 25:4 30:1,9 43:11 45:4 63:20,21 65:2 80:5,12 115:18 116:24 124:4 128:16 130:12 135:3 146:18, 24 165:3 177:8 180:21 182:5,8,24 195:8 196:4

talked 4:16 5:23 6:5, 23 8:1 9:14,17 10:4,7 12:14 13:19 19:13 26:23 27:6 28:7,24 38:24 52:2 54:7 57:11 76:4 81:5 82:1,9 112:9 115:20,23 129:19 146:17 156:4 187:5

talking 10:6 14:7 16:17 19:7 20:5 25:5 30:11,19 42:8 52:9

62:15 64:2 70:10 78:5 80:16 83:3 93:7 110:25 116:20 120:1 129:18 131:22 157:23 184:22 191:19 194:13 198:17 200:1,20

talks 147:13

tased 190:3

task 97:3 99:25

tax 66:2

taxpayer 65:12 66:5

Taylor 105:2,3

teach 14:5

teacher 15:21

teaching 49:6

team 136:4 187:2

teams 15:18

teamwork 6:19

technically 149:21

techniques 95:19,20

televised 134:10

telling 36:7 128:18 149:3,8 190:23

tells 39:6 96:15 187:18

ten 48:19 87:16 109:5 154:1 175:13

ten-minute 87:3 180:11

ten-second 127:18

Tenacious 104:10

tenure 110:2

term 13:25 50:4

terminal 33:2

terminology 55:15

tested 98:12 123:22

testify 185:11

testimony 198:18

theory 167:15

thin 186:21

thing 12:9 16:1 21:11 29:5 39:24 57:15 59:11,22 106:2 107:24 108:20 129:14 130:11 134:3 138:4 140:12 145:17 147:12 149:1, 5,7,8,16 159:17,21,25 160:4,10,16 165:1 166:13 184:23 185:8 186:4 189:2 190:13,14 196:2 200:14,20 201:9 202:6

things 6:2,5,13,18 7:7,18 10:1 13:13 14:15,16,17 15:9,17 16:14,15,19 17:2,4,15 19:1,21,22 20:2,3,21, 23 21:10 26:24 28:24 29:6,12 30:17 31:25 33:3,13,14,18 34:18 35:2 36:10,22 41:7 42:20 45:9,10 46:7,10 47:11,12 48:15,16,17 52:13 53:9,25 55:11, 12 57:19,20 58:1 59:18 63:14,20 73:8, 14 77:25 78:7 79:8 80:17 83:15 91:1 125:8 128:15 134:12 135:20 143:4,9 153:21 159:20 166:15.25 167:7 174:10 182:8 193:16 195:13

thinking 20:13 78:1, 3,15,16 83:23 113:21 160:25 169:21 170:6 198:23 201:11

thinks 60:3

thought 4:5 11:4 30:12 35:16 37:19 62:9 64:12,13 70:14 76:13 84:16 90:11 112:25 135:1 145:1 153:2 156:5 168:21 170:15 177:2,11 201:12 **thoughts** 10:8 44:25 69:22 78:17 79:17 101:9 113:2 142:14 150:6

threat 95:23 96:10 146:3

threatened 143:19

threatening 121:21 146:6

threw 199:4

throat 189:20

throats 189:16

throw 20:15 132:19 148:1 201:17 204:4

ticket 149:24 152:17 155:18

tickets 155:15

tie 7:6 83:12

tied 136:15

tiered 178:10

time 11:16 12:2 14:11 16:20 20:17 33:2 34:3 37:3.6 56:17 57:2 60:1 69:24 72:17,19 76:3 77:25 87:24 90:23 95:6,10 100:6,22 103:3 104:25 105:1,3, 9,10,12 108:18 109:17 115:4 116:15 119:5 120:10 122:17 127:5 128:14,20,22 129:18 131:16,18,21,25 132:1,14 134:8,17,24 135:9,25 138:17 139:8 149:13,14 151:21 152:24 160:5 161:22 166:4,22 168:2,3,4,5, 18 170:12,25 178:1 186:8 190:15,17 191:9 193:25 194:18 195:21

timeline 21:1 40:4 89:5 90:3

timeliness 12:19 timely 167:8 **times** 18:2 56:5 58:13 63:5 130:6 178:7 184:23,25 185:6 195:12

timing 163:24

timing-wise 194:17

tired 120:9 174:23 175:9

titles 36:25

to-do 11:21

today 8:15 9:18 10:12 16:16 19:14 23:2 42:5 54:24 58:23 78:18 100:16 136:23,24 144:7 198:18

today's 3:5

told 14:23 22:25 43:24 44:12 46:18,25 57:13 94:4 100:24 106:18,19 107:3 110:12 113:13 117:24 120:23 122:7 125:3 146:12 152:24 162:22 165:15 188:19 189:5 191:8 192:13

tomorrow 25:4 39:7

tone 14:9 119:13

Toni 11:16 12:4,7,13, 15,20 20:15 23:10,13, 15 59:2

tonight 3:4 11:15 116:11 129:20 135:12 137:19 140:19 170:20 171:16 175:9 182:13 184:23,25 192:14

tools 57:7

top 70:17 137:1

topic 70:23 84:14 186:6

topics 64:2

total 13:9,10 45:4 51:19

touched 44:20

track 143:10 191:24

tracking 25:16

traffic 51:12 83:6 89:14 90:23 91:2 94:8, 12,14 98:12 100:1 101:6,11 111:3 114:24 125:15 149:4,8,18 150:4 155:11,15,20 158:3 159:14,15 182:9 185:8 196:6 199:25

train 30:10,23 106:12

trained 7:14 16:22 71:23 106:16 145:24 158:13,17,20 190:19

trainers 8:9

training 6:9,13 7:10, 12 8:9,18 9:7,12 10:5, 7 14:5 16:12 17:5 28:22 29:18 30:4,6,15 31:22 32:1 36:14 41:11 51:1,3,5,19,21 57:25 58:3 71:23 81:23 82:7 104:20 106:6,7,8,10,11,14,19, 21 107:1 131:12,13, 14,16,18,21,25 132:6, 7 147:14 162:5,12,15 174:25 190:16,18 191:3,7,9 195:19

trainings 8:20,22 9:2 55:25 76:12.15

transcript 3:1 75:12, 13,17,22

transcripts 103:17 133:25 134:25

transition 34:23

transparency 12:15, 17 59:9 77:8 98:8 112:10 135:2,24

transparent 9:8 18:1, 14,17 112:12 136:1

trauma 101:11 119:6 122:20 127:20

traumatic 135:19

treat 119:22

treated 91:21 122:11 128:22 145:1

treating 118:24 120:14

treatment 53:10 91:6 92:6

trickling 40:11

trim 34:10

troops 6:12

truck 54:11 57:6

trucks 34:17

true 189:17 195:16

trunk 145:5,8,9,10,11 196:13

trust 3:8 4:2 69:1 90:14 98:7,9 100:3 101:4,13,14,17 102:5 105:15 107:5 126:5,6 186:6,7,11,15 192:20

TSA 32:17,20,22 33:9

turn 53:25 109:17 156:21 157:1 170:19

turned 66:17 83:1 89:21 114:22

turns 200:21

TV 109:8

type 12:9 15:1 67:18 70:5 129:8 132:6,20 185:19

types 6:13 7:18 33:3, 17 34:18 53:9 57:18 135:20

typically 34:3

U

Uh-huh 62:18 64:20 91:8 108:6 114:2 197:19 199:9

ultimately 80:7 98:8

unable 165:9

unacceptable 146:13

unanimous 5:2,16 75:18 204:23 205:14

unanimously 173:10

uncertain 96:4

uncomfortable 134:9

undergraduate 3:21, 22

underlying 28:2,10,

understaffed 33:24

understand 13:2 15:23 16:6 31:5 32:11 34:6 47:15 53:17 62:25 64:7,14,18 69:6 87:24 92:16 105:17, 18,24 106:2 107:21 118:22 119:22 121:17, 18,19 125:13 127:5 146:2,5 151:9 155:25 156:19 159:22 169:11 174:22 176:1 177:6,9 189:13

understanding 54:19 133:15 165:7

understood 34:8

unfounded 142:13

unidentified 98:1

uniform 14:19 15:5, 19

union 62:16 63:13,15

union's 62:21

unit 6:22 11:19 12:1 37:10 41:3 52:14 58:4 71:4,23

University 3:22 79:21 104:11

unmarked 56:15 unnecessarily

109:23 unoccupied 37:18 unreasonably 95:17 unreportable 165:20 178:8 unstable 83:1 unsuccessful 4:4 upcoming 50:24 update 6:4 7:24 10:2, 8 21:13 23:21 81:22 82:21 85:17 86:22 updated 81:20 136:23 **updates** 31:9,11 uploaded 24:7 upper 42:17,18 use-of-force 26:25 71:22 189:13 use-of-force-related 8:7 user 77:5 usual 87:23 V **vacancies** 38:16,17 40:4,25 41:1,2

vacancies 38:16,17
40:4,25 41:1,2
vacant 39:16,19
vague 203:23
valid 120:16
validation 14:12
45:22
validity 98:4
valuable 80:15
variable 46:13
variables 48:9
Vascular 30:13
vast 20:12

vehicle 10:9 51:12 52:1 69:16 70:10,11 73:23 83:12,13 84:14 85:20 91:21 93:8,22 95:15,17 96:16,17 98:19,20,22 100:2,18, 20,24 119:17 124:22 143:15 145:5,9 156:24 158:5,9,11,13 159:5 vein 74:7

verbal 148:5 verbally 155:21 version 136:20,23 versus 16:7 127:19 159:20 189:7,8

vendor 7:15 27:14

Vesting 94:25 veteran 4:1 vetted 193:24 vice 139:2

video 9:13,18 15:10
18:21 19:5 77:9 81:23,
25 82:1 89:13,14 90:9,
16 91:24 92:21 93:10
94:24 96:8,13 97:1,6
98:15,21 99:2 100:6,
14 101:18,23,25
102:7,9,12,15,21
104:14 110:19 111:1
113:16 114:24 122:14
129:11 133:19 138:16
145:18 195:17,19
197:11
video-based 88:21

videos 92:22 100:19 127:6 view 8:19 15:11 53:25 56:6 58:10

viewed 146:3

viewing 187:21 violate 57:23 160:15

violated 57:14,18 182:9 202:6,7 203:14

violates 14:24 56:7 violating 113:8 197:1 violation 16:17,23 28:25 29:8 56:21 57:2, 8,11 67:15 74:11 90:24 93:9 111:4 118:12 125:15,17

153:14,15 156:14,23

201:19 202:5

196:6 197:4 200:15,24

violations 93:15 94:16,23 123:4 125:11 143:8 144:11 149:4,8 150:4 159:15 182:6 201:2,7

violent 99:25 virtually 37:6 visible 100:21 196:23 visualization 157:24

159:4 vocal 192:24 vocalized 73:25

voice 3:13 5:2,16 47:10 75:18 120:23 130:20 141:16 182:1 202:17 204:20,23 205:8,9,14

voices 130:18 volunteer 8:12 139:5 volunteered 84:4

vote 5:2,16 75:18 141:16,21 172:10 182:1 204:15,23 205:7,8,10,14

voted 188:24 205:2

voter 152:2

voters 152:2,3 153:22

votes 204:20

voting 63:25 64:22 182:22 204:12

vulnerable 57:17

W

wait 5:25 17:3,6 83:22 87:1 94:19 102:22 117:10,13 120:12 161:8 198:22

waited 102:11 112:5 waiting 10:5 21:14,16 26:24 27:1 40:5 77:14, 15 123:12

waiver 82:15 Walgreens 14:22

walk 54:22 55:1 57:1 116:8 129:25

walking 57:5 62:15 63:8 96:14 111:9

Walmart 56:25 57:1, 2,3 122:17

wanted 13:19 14:18 25:13 28:20 40:5 48:5 78:24 82:21 102:13 117:23 118:5,25 119:1,16,17,18,21,25 124:25 125:2 133:14 134:11 135:8 139:5 144:25 145:3 149:16 154:15 160:20

wanting 19:11

warning 94:10,11 113:10 118:10,16 125:19 148:3,5,6 149:20,21 197:25

warrant 145:10

waste 3:18

watched 77:8 100:19 watching 17:21 127:6

Wayne 75:14 76:18

Wayne's 204:12

ways 6:20 79:10 86:15 157:19

weakness 53:23

weapon 100:2

weapons 146:4

wear 179:18

web 103:15

website 39:19 61:19, 22 62:21 63:4 64:12 103:23 136:18 194:8, 24

websites 24:6

weed 118:13 132:15, 16,20 144:15

week 6:5 9:16 15:6 26:18 84:17 175:13,14

weekend 129:13

weekly 43:23 176:6,9

weigh 67:23

welfare 95:2

whatsoever 145:19

white 25:12 131:6

WIBBENMEYER

5:7,13 21:20 66:10 67:23 68:15 76:24 77:3 80:4,21,24 81:3,8 82:12,16,20,23 87:14, 17 88:8,13,18 103:15, 25 104:3 128:10 134:4 136:15,22 137:5,14, 18,24 138:6,9,23 139:19,22 140:4,8,12, 15,21 141:9,17 152:1, 13 153:8,20 154:4 155:10,19,24 156:3, 12,18 161:24 162:9,19 163:13,23 164:9,16 165:1,21 166:5,14,17 167:6 168:7,19 169:18 170:16 171:11 172:10 176:10,14 180:8 182:23 183:10,13 184:8,15 193:17 194:17 195:2 198:14, 16 199:8,10,12,14,19, 21 200:6,23 201:20 202:14,16 203:25 204:11,14 205:1,9

wide 153:16

Williams 4:16,19 6:2 7:10,22 8:16 9:6,23 11:3,11,14 13:15 15:23 16:4 17:14,19, 24 18:15 19:8 21:1,7 24:23 34:7,13 35:5,13, 17 36:5 37:24 38:4,7, 12,15,20 39:12,15 40:6 41:5,18 42:15,19 44:1,9,11,15,17 50:18 61:1 65:1.25 66:7.14. 21 67:18,22 68:11 69:1,9,10 73:17 74:23 75:2,4,7 79:6,14 80:20 82:9,22 83:3 86:8,13 87:2 88:3 89:10,24 90:5 91:3,9,12,17 92:23,25 93:3,5,7,12, 14,17,23 94:2,5,19 96:8,19 97:5,8,11 102:25 104:25 106:15, 21 107:14,25 108:4,7, 10,13 109:17,19 111:3 112:25 113:5,8,10,23 114:1,3,6 115:9,18,20, 23 116:14,19,24 117:1 118:2,7 119:11 120:6 121:1,7,20 122:13 124:10 132:18 133:8 141:13,19 142:10,11 143:1,12,21 144:14, 18,24 146:2,7,11,15 147:3,7,9 148:4,7,14 150:1 154:23 155:4 156:2,7 157:15 158:1, 4,12,19,23 159:7 160:2 161:6,11,19 162:7 165:18 166:3,8 170:5,10 173:7,8,14, 24 174:6,17,23 175:8, 15 176:3,7,19 177:3,5 178:14,18,20 179:1,5 180:7 181:3 182:16 184:5,6 189:10 191:8 193:10 195:24 197:1, 4,9,19,22 198:12,15, 20 199:2.6.9.11 201:14 204:15,24

Williams' 46:3

window 106:23,24 148:1 166:24

winter 68:21

wise 163:24

withdraw 46:24 47:2

witnesses 88:22 160:6 169:22 170:21

Wolfe 94:23 97:14,22 98:5,24 99:7,20 146:9 165:15 167:25 168:22 169:8,16,19

Wolfe's 98:9 171:22

woman 56:10 105:3 108:16

women 108:19

wondering 54:22 69:19 159:17

word 147:16 175:9

worded 139:5

work 3:6,18 18:12 23:20 32:7 40:5,8 56:11,13,22 57:10,12 63:17 65:4 66:22 79:3, 7,13 84:23 85:6 136:10 157:4 171:1 174:4,7,12,14,15,16 175:11,13 177:14,20, 25 178:11 179:8,16,17 185:14 186:14

worked 38:1,2 39:21 65:4 104:19 111:7 175:23,24 180:2 194:1

working 8:10,12 15:2, 6 33:15 41:9 42:9 67:1 78:2,23 85:7 90:11 136:5 174:18 175:16 178:3,23 179:5,15 180:1,3 185:9 187:3

works 60:18 64:23,24 165:5 175:21 186:14

world 14:15 17:7 160:3

worried 122:23 135:21 worries 85:5

worry 59:23 60:6,10 155:3

worse 102:10 149:10

worth 26:13

write 67:6 69:4 129:22,23 149:24 158:6

writing 149:21

written 94:10,11,20 98:12 148:3,6 149:20 153:5 196:10 197:25

wrong 27:11 83:24 90:10 96:9 101:11 109:7,9 114:18 117:4, 14,15 120:12 121:24 122:24 123:11,13 127:13,14,16,17 130:3 151:25 182:8

wrote 89:16

Υ

y'all 65:1 91:15 105:17,18 121:15 148:17 149:6 204:2

year 6:25 7:1 10:1,7 15:4 23:9,17,19 24:12 27:5 29:24 32:25 35:11,12 37:7 40:9,15 50:24 76:15 111:8 130:25 131:15 134:7 166:6,18,24 173:17 174:15 175:3,12 178:15,24 194:19

year's 7:9 178:16

yearly 8:17

years 4:2 8:16 12:14 42:23 45:21 48:2,4,10, 11,20 56:8 69:2 90:12 101:13 104:19 124:18 134:5 154:1,5,8,11

yelling 108:24

yesterday 54:2,4,15

Index: yield..zoom

yield 115:12		
you-all 4:8 6:16 40:21 42:9,10 50:25 80:18 82:21 83:20 85:24 89:13 107:6 131:19 135:8 166:1 193:17 196:7		
you-guys 185:6		
young 90:20 97:9 99:23 100:18 102:16 121:2		
z		
zoom 46:14 84:22 155:1		
Ī	1	