In the Matter of:

Citizens Police Review Board

TRANCRIPT

March 11, 2020



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

1	CITY OF COLUMBIA
2	CITIZENS POLICE REVIEW BOARD
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	Transcript of Meeting
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	March 11, 2020, 6:00 p.m.
13	City Hall, Council Chambers
14	701 East Broadway
15	Columbia, Missouri
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Reported by: Tracy Taylor, CCR 939
21	Tiger Court Reporting, LLC 111 East Broadway, Suite 310
22	Columbia, Missouri 65203 573.999.2662
23	
24	
25	

1	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
2	Delaney Catlettstout
3	Carley Gomez
4	Catherine Grover
5	Heather Heckman-McKenna
6	Travis Pringle
7	Nicole Seamon
8	Darryl C. Smith
9	Cornellia Williams
10	
11	ALSO PRESENT:
12	Rose Wibbenmeyer, Assistant City Counselor
13	Sgt. Scott Alpers, Columbia Police Department
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: It is 6:01 p.m. and I
   will call this meeting of the Citizens Police Review
 2
   Board to order. I will start that by taking a roll
 3
 4
    call of people in attendance today. First, Ms. Gomez?
 5
                 MS. GOMEZ:
                             Here.
 6
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Seamon?
 7
                 MS. SEAMON: Here.
 8
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Mr. Smith?
 9
                 MR. SMITH: Here.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Grover?
10
                 MS. GROVER: Here.
11
12
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Mr. Fisher is absent.
                 Mr. Pringle?
13
                 MR. PRINGLE: Here.
14
15
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Williams?
                 MS. WILLIAMS: Here.
16
17
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: And then
    Ms. Heckman-McKenna. Did I say that correctly?
18
                 MS. HECKMAN-MCKENNA: You did.
19
20
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: And then Delaney
    Catlettstout is here as well.
21
                 I know that we discussed last week about
22
23
    reading a mission statement at the beginning of the
24
   meeting. We do not yet have a mission statement, but
    if it is okay with the members of the Board, I will
25
```

```
read the purpose section in lieu of the mission
1
 2
    statement. All those in favor of reading the purpose
 3
    section, say aye.
                 (Voice votes for approval.)
 4
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: All those opposed?
5
 6
                 MR. SMITH:
                             Nay.
 7
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: All those abstaining?
8
                 MS. GROVER: Abstain.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So the purpose of this
9
    article is to provide -- or this article being this
10
   Board is to Board an external and independent process
11
12
    for review of actual or perceived police misconduct by
   police officers and community service aides, thereby
13
    increasing the police department's accountability to
14
15
    the community and community trust in the police
16
    department. That is the purpose of this Board.
17
                 The first thing on the agenda is the
    introductions. I would like to start by allowing
18
   Ms. Heckman-McKenna to do a brief introduction as she
19
    is the newest member of our Board. So if you want to
2.0
    take a few moments and tell us a little bit about you
21
    and what brought you to this Board.
22
23
                 MS. HECKMAN-MCKENNA:
                                       Sure.
   Heather Heckman-McKenna. I am a Ph.D. candidate in
24
25
    the English Department at Mizzou. And I was
```

```
interested in joining this Board because I feel very
1
 2
    strongly about our community, community safety and
   protection. I equally feel strongly about protecting
 3
    officers against unfair allegations. And I had some
 5
   bandwidth to take on the service, so.
 6
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: We are happy to have
 7
   you here and we're glad to have a new member of our
8
    team.
 9
                 So next on our agenda is the approval of
    agenda. Did everyone get a chance to look at it? And
10
    all those in favor of approving the agenda say so by
11
12
    aye.
                 (Unanimous voice vote for approval.)
13
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: All those opposed?
14
15
   All those abstaining?
16
                 All right. Next is the approval of the
17
    draft February 19th, 2020 meeting minutes.
    everyone have a chance to read the minutes and the
18
    transcript? Were there any changes that needed to be
19
20
    made to the minutes of the transcript?
                 All those in favor of approving the draft
21
   minutes in the meeting transcript say aye.
22
23
                 (Unanimous voice vote for approval.)
24
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: All those opposed?
   All those abstaining? All right. It looks like it
25
```

1	passed.
2	Next on the agenda is Reports, positive
3	connections and ride alongs. So has anyone had any
4	positive connections or participated in a ride along
5	since we last met?
6	MS. GOMEZ: I had a ride along last
7	Monday with Officer Meyer and it went well.
8	MR. PRINGLE: I sat in on the police
9	policy manual review meeting with the public on
10	February 27th. It was pretty again, the police
11	department's doing a good job updating their policies.
12	We went over a new policy that has to do with the
13	department's drone they have now. It was I know
14	sounding childish, but it was cool. The drone was
15	pretty cool.
16	SERGEANT ALPERS: I'm a drone operator if
17	you have questions on that team.
18	MR. PRINGLE: One of the eight?
19	SERGEANT ALPERS: Yeah.
20	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: And I have a ride
21	along scheduled for Friday, so I should be getting
22	that done hopefully.
23	MS. SEAMON: And I have a ride along
24	scheduled for tomorrow night.
25	MS. HECKMAN-MCKENNA: I have a ride along

```
scheduled for Monday.
1
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Sounds like we will
 2
   have lots of positive connections and ride alongs to
 3
 4
   report on next month.
5
                 Are there any other positive connections
6
   or ride alongs from members of the Board? Seeing
 7
   none, we will move into old business. The first part
8
   of old business that we have is a review of the bylaws
9
    and the proposed changes to the bylaws made by
   members. It looks like those were made by myself and
10
   Mr. Smith.
11
12
                 Do you have any preference on which ones
   we take up first? I quess we will just do it by the
13
14
    order that was in the form that was sent out and we
15
   will first look at the term limits for vice chair and
    chair. Has everyone had a chance to review the
16
17
   proposed changes to the bylaws? Would we like to open
18
    this up to discussion or amendment?
                 MS. GOMEZ:
                             I just have a question.
19
20
   many times can someone serve on this Board?
21
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I don't think that
    there's a limit.
22
23
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: We used -- we used to
   have term limits, but I think they were taken out.
24
2.5
                 MS. GOMEZ: The reason I'm asking is
```

```
because if the vice chair and chair can only serve one
1
 2
   year, what happens if the Board continues to be Board
   members and you run out of people that -- if it's
 3
    limited to chair and vice chair for one year?
                                    It's a good question.
5
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT:
6
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER:
                                   I mean, you can -- you
7
    can also -- I mean on both of these ideas, you can
8
   come to -- easily come to a situation where the only
9
   people who are willing to serve in that role are
   people who are not qualified because of the
10
    disqualifications that you're going to potentially
11
12
    create.
13
                 So Carley's familiar with Human Rights
    Commission because she serves in that role and for
14
15
   years we've had only like one or two people who have
    agreed to be vice and vice chair and only one person
16
17
    at a time who agrees to be the liaison. And usually
   people kind of have to be talked into taking on those
18
    extra duties.
19
20
                 So if you restrict it in however way you
   want to restrict, you know, be aware that you may have
21
   a situation where suddenly you don't have anyone that
22
23
   would meet the qualifications.
                                    I mean I like the
24
                 MS. GOMEZ: Yeah.
25
    concept. I think that like that's important to have
```

different people experiencing those roles and taking 1 2 part in that a lot. It was just the -- basically Rose is exactly -- talking about exactly why I was 3 concerned is because on the Human Right Commission there is an occasional time where it's a lot of extra 5 6 work so, you know, people aren't sure that they are 7 ready to take that position on. So that was -- that 8 was just my concern about that. 9 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: And I think that one of the issues that was brought up in the discussion 10 when we were having the discussion about term limits 11 12 was this issue of kind of a complacency with people not stepping up to be the leaders of the Board, which 13 14 I think there was some discussion about whether or not you should be on the Board if you're not willing to 15 take a leadership role. 16 17 MS. GOMEZ: Yeah. MS. CATLETTSTOUT: But I do understand 18 that since there aren't term limits on the amount of 19 20 time that you can serve as a Board member, I could see how it could potentially be an issue if you actually 21 run out of people to do it. 22 23 MS. GOMEZ: Yeah. I mean I do agree about the complacency and people who serve on the 24 25 Board should be willing to serve on the Board fully.

```
I just -- that was my concern with the number of
1
 2
   years.
 3
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Right.
                 MS. SEAMON: I wonder if we could amend
 4
5
    to say they can again be a chair or -- you know, after
6
   a year of not being or --
 7
                 MS. GOMEZ: Or a couple -- yeah, or even
   a couple years to give some time --
8
9
                 MS. SEAMON: Yeah.
                                     Right. To maybe --
   yeah, get some time in between and -- yeah, I don't
10
   know. Might just be a thought that would handle that
11
12
   concern.
                 MS. GOMEZ: Yeah. I really like that
13
14
    idea. Yeah.
15
                 MS. WILLIAMS: Since being here -- this
16
    is my second term. I think that there is always going
17
    to be a turnover. I don't think that you're going to
    run into a point where all of us are going to be here
18
    still ten years from now. Because life happens.
19
20
   people graduate from college, they move on, they get
   married, they get divorced. I mean life happens.
21
22
                 And I don't think that -- for me
23
   personally, I don't think there's a reason to change
24
   what we've already worked so hard, that took nine
25
   months to get in writing to all of a sudden change it
```

```
now. Because it did. It was a long process.
1
                 MS. GOMEZ: Yeah. I -- I wasn't privy to
 2
 3
    that. I'm sorry. Yeah, so I'm glad that you bring
    that up.
5
                 MR. PRINGLE: Well, I guess I'm just --
 6
   at this time I would just voice my continued
 7
    opposition to it. I think we're raising more points
 8
    about it that just to me, it doesn't make sense to put
 9
    this in place, so.
                 MR. SMITH: I concur.
10
11
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So are there any
12
    suggested amendments to this language? Seeing none,
   we will do a vote as is.
13
14
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Is there a motion?
15
   need to have a motion and then a second.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Is there a motion to
16
17
   vote on this proposed --
                 MR. SMITH: Motion to strike the language
18
    and leave the -- the bylaws as they are.
19
20
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Is there a second to
   Darryl's motion?
21
22
                 MS. WILLIAMS: Second.
23
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: All those in favor say
24
    aye.
25
                 (Voice vote for approval.)
```

```
1
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: All those opposed?
 2
   All those abstaining?
 3
                 MS. HECKMAN-MCKENNA: I'm going to
 4
   abstain.
5
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Do you need help with
   all that?
 6
 7
                 MS. GOMEZ: Wait. I didn't -- I didn't
8
   hear what just happened. Can we --
9
                 MS. WILLIAMS: It went by quick.
                 MS. GOMEZ: Yeah.
10
11
                 MS. WILLIAMS: So what happened was --
12
                 MS. GOMEZ: Did they say strike it like
13
    to go back to the way it was or to adopt this
14
    language?
15
                 MR. SMITH: Strike it.
16
                 MS. GOMEZ:
                             To get rid of it?
17
                 MR. SMITH:
                             Yes.
                 MS. GOMEZ: Okay. So to not move forward
18
   with this in any way?
19
20
                 MR. SMITH:
                             That's correct.
21
                 MS. GOMEZ: Okay. Then no. Can we redo
    that vote?
22
23
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: I think we need a new
   roll call vote because I -- I don't even know who
24
   seconded the motion.
25
```

1	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Cornellia. So there
2	was a motion by Mr. Smith to strike the language and
3	to keep the bylaws as is. The motion was seconded by
4	Ms. Williams. I will take a roll call vote to see if
5	this motion shall pass. I will start with Ms. Gomez.
6	Would you like to strike the language?
7	MS. GOMEZ: No.
8	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Heckman-McKenna?
9	MS. HECKMAN-MCKENNA: Abstain.
10	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Williams?
11	MS. WILLIAMS: No. Leave it as is.
12	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So that's a yes?
13	MS. WILLIAMS: Right. Yes.
14	MR. SMITH: So yes.
15	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. Thank you.
16	MS. GOMEZ: So getting rid of the idea
17	that we would have a term limit? Yes.
18	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So you want a term
19	limit and you do not?
20	MS. WILLIAMS: Right.
21	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Catlettstout
22	abstains.
23	MS. SEAMON: I abstain.
24	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Mr. Fisher?
25	MS. SEAMON: Mr. Fisher's not here.
,	4

```
MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Oh, okay.
1
 2
   Mr. Pringle?
 3
                 MR. PRINGLE:
                               Aye.
 4
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT:
                                   Ms. Grover?
 5
                 MS. GROVER: Aye.
 6
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: And Mr. Smith?
 7
                 MR. SMITH: Aye.
 8
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: With that, the motion
 9
   passes and we will move on to the amendment proposed
    by Mr. Smith. Has everyone had time to read that
10
    amendment?
11
12
                 MS. GOMEZ: Yes.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I will open it up for
13
    the Board to discuss whether or not any amendment
14
15
    should be made to the language and allow the author to
16
    speak on it.
17
                 MR. SMITH: Sure. Given that the
   previous amendment did not pass, I'm willing to
18
   withdraw the proposed amendment.
19
20
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Is there a motion?
                 MR. PRINGLE: Well, I guess first off, I
21
22
    just want to know how many of us here are certified?
23
                 MR. SMITH:
                             Three.
24
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Two, I believe.
25
   got yours too?
```

```
MS. WILLIAMS: I've already sent mine in.
1
 2
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: So hers is pending.
                 MR. SMITH: Right. So three. And the
 3
 4
   way it was structured, if everybody stayed on track,
   we would add a person every year. So that eventually
 5
    in three-year cycles we would always have -- within
 6
 7
    three years, the entire Board or at least a third of
    the Board would be certified.
8
9
                 So that within each cycle you would have
    a person getting certified. So with each NACOLE
10
    conference, you have another member that would be
11
12
    certified. So you'd have one recert and a new person
    that's certified and somebody else that is coming into
13
14
    the process. And so it would be this kind of
    revolving wheel where eventually -- I think it was
15
    within five years if I remember correctly -- the
16
17
    entire Board, if they stayed on track, would be
    certified.
18
                 MS. WILLIAMS: We would have had four,
19
20
   but we just lost one.
21
                 MR. SMITH:
                             Right.
22
                 MR. PRINGLE: And is this -- is this
23
    standard practice for N-- or recommended practice by
24
   NACOLE?
25
                 MR. SMITH: Pretty much. Because it
```

```
gives an exposure to what recommended practice and
1
 2
    standards are for civilian oversight of law
    enforcement.
 3
 4
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I want to speak in
 5
    favor of the amendment because I think it does go to
 6
    that complacency issue that you have -- to be a part
 7
    of this Board and to be leadership on the Board, you
 8
   have to go through this training. You want to have to
 9
    improve your training, your ability to serve on this
    Board. So I actually think that it's a good
10
    amendment.
11
12
                 But was there a motion on the table
13
    either way?
                 MR. SMITH: Well, I'll with-- I will
14
15
    withdraw my motion to withdraw.
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Well, I mean --
16
17
                 MR. SMITH:
                             It was never seconded.
18
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER:
                                   If you want to pass
    this, it would be a motion to pass.
19
20
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT:
                                    Right.
                 MS. SEAMON: I'll make a motion to pass.
21
22
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: I will put out one
23
    thing in regards to this. So far you've been able to
    keep on track because your budget has allowed this.
24
    If your budget gets cut, you might not be able to send
25
```

```
people to the conference. Or, for example, with this
1
 2
   virus thing, who knows how long it's going to take.
 3
                 Once again, you are -- you could always
 4
    factor in -- like in other words, if this is important
 5
    to you, as you're picking out who your officers are,
 6
   you can just factor that in when you're making your
   motions on those officers without then having it in
 8
    the bylaws. But if you want it in the bylaws, it
 9
   would be a motion to approve the language proposed to
    add this requirement to the bylaws.
10
                 MS. SEAMON: I'm okay with going ahead
11
12
    and making the motion to pass this lingo for the new
13
   bylaws.
                 MR. SMITH:
14
                             Second.
15
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Let's do a roll call
    vote again. I will start with Ms. Gomez.
16
17
                 MS. GOMEZ: Abstain.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Heckman-McKenna?
18
                 MS. HECKMAN-MCKENNA: Abstain.
19
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Williams?
20
                 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
21
22
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Delaney
23
    Catlettstout, that's me, abstain.
                 Ms. Seamon?
24
25
                 MS. SEAMON: Yes.
```

```
MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Mr. Pringle?
1
 2
                 MR. PRINGLE: Yes.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Grover?
 3
 4
                 MS. GROVER: Yes.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: And Mr. Smith?
 5
 6
                 MR. SMITH: Yes.
 7
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I didn't keep a tally.
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: I couldn't get any of
 8
 9
    it down so I was going to rely on the court reporter,
10
    so.
                 MS. WILLIAMS: Abstain, abstain, yes,
11
12
    abstain, yes, yes, yes, yes.
13
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: So it passes.
                                                   Is that
14
   what you're counting?
15
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Uh-huh. Motion passes
    and the Citizen Police Review Board will adopt the new
16
17
    amended language into their bylaws. Was there a
    section that that was going into?
18
19
                 MR. SMITH:
                             It's whatever I put in the
20
    e-mail.
21
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT:
                                    Okav.
22
                 MR. SMITH: I don't have it on hand.
23
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So that language, just
    to remind everyone, is that the chair and/or vice
24
25
    chair shall be NACOLE certified practitioner, have
```

1	oversight of CPO.
2	All right. So moving on is proposed
3	change oh, here proposed changes to the policy
4	regarding use of restraints, which is police policy
5	306, handcuffing and restraints. An amendment to the
6	policy has been authored by Ms. Seamon, so I will give
7	her a moment to introduce her amendment.
8	MS. SEAMON: Okay. So I find myself in a
9	unique position. Restraints are near and dear to my
10	heart just because I am a registered nurse. I have
11	ten years of experience using behavioral and
12	behavioral violent and non-violent restraints.
13	Literature says that our marginalized and most
14	vulnerable people are generally restrained more often.
15	Since the purpose of my amendment to this
16	policy is to ensure our citizens are being reas or
17	being reassessed appropriately and it and in turn,
18	it also protects our officers so that that lingo is in
19	the policy for them to know I need to reassess the
20	citizen in restraints. So with that being said, I
21	want to make a motion to amend the policy.
22	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Your motion would need
23	to be to make a recommendation to the police chief
23 24	to be to make a recommendation to the police chief MS. SEAMON: To the police chief.

```
1
   manager --
 2
                 MS. SEAMON: Sure.
 3
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: -- that the policy
 4
   would change, and you need to like read what you want.
5
                 MS. SEAMON: Oh, I got to do it all.
 6
   Okay. Let me pull it out then.
 7
                 MR. PRINGLE: Before we move, I have just
8
   a few questions.
9
                 MS. SEAMON: Yeah.
                 MR. PRINGLE: For the recommendation on
10
    306.10, so this is just kind of in the reports you
11
12
    want 15-minute check-ins, but not like actual real
    time checks. Right?
13
14
                 MS. SEAMON: I would like them to
15
    understand they need to reassess people every
    15 minutes when they're in restraints.
16
17
                 MR. PRINGLE:
                               Okay.
18
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Are there any other
19
    questions, comments about the proposed recommendation
20
    regarding police policy on the use of restraints?
21
                 MR. PRINGLE: Again -- sorry. Just one
   more question about 10.
22
23
                 MS. SEAMON: I changed it to 30 minutes
    actually.
24
25
                 MS. GOMEZ:
                             That's what I was wondering.
```

```
MS. SEAMON: The 15 minutes was the first
1
 2
    one. I changed it to 30 minutes because of some
    literature that I pulled up about -- with the Mental
 3
   Health Coalition and how often folks should be
 5
    reassessed.
 6
                 MR. PRINGLE: Okay. So 10 is supposed to
 7
   be 30, not 15?
                 MS. SEAMON: Correct. So I can read what
 8
9
    I wrote, if you want me to re-read it?
                 MR. PRINGLE: Yeah. That makes it
10
             I was just -- yeah, that kind of addresses my
11
    easier.
12
    concern.
                              Everything's 306.4. Right?
13
                 MS. GROVER:
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: 306.4.G.
14
15
                 MS. SEAMON: So I was going to add it
    to -- so the first one --
16
17
                 MS. GROVER: G only.
                 MS. SEAMON: -- was changed. Yeah, so
18
    306.4, and then I wanted to include the bullet point
19
20
    G. And what I wanted to amend is, Detainees may only
   be restrained for a period of 30 minutes following the
21
    initial 30 minutes. An officer trained in the use of
22
23
    restraints shall conduct a reevaluation on the need
    for continued use. If the officer determines that
24
    further use of restraint is required, the officer will
25
```

```
document the reasoning and the second 30-minute period
1
 2
   will begin. This process will continue until the
    detainee is no longer considered a threat to
 3
    themselves or others or restraints are no longer
 5
   necessary for the transportation of the detainee.
 6
                 MS. WILLIAMS: Question. So what do you
 7
    see an issue with making that suggestion?
 8
                 MS. SEAMON: My issue is the national
 9
    issue of people getting injured and dying in
    restraints. And so there's no lingo in the whole
10
   policy about reassessment of restraints and so that's
11
12
    why I want it in there. I'm not saying that CPD has a
   problem with that currently, but I don't want it to
13
   become a problem.
14
15
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: Documentation.
                 MS. WILLIAMS: That's what I was thinking
16
17
    too.
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: Documentation would be
18
   number one. Number two would be being on scene of a
19
20
    large scale incident; there are times that people are
    in -- restrained. And so the language says that, you
21
    know, the first 30 minutes should happen and then
22
    after that 30 minutes, we have to document why we kept
23
    them in restraints. And if --
24
2.5
                 MS. SEAMON: So there's nothing that says
```

```
that you have to document it.
1
 2
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: I thought it said you
   had to document.
 3
 4
                 MS. SEAMON: Oh, yeah. Okay.
                 MS. WILLIAMS: Because this is what --
 5
 6
    this is -- I'm picturing a scenario in my head. Let's
 7
    go back to a situation that happened at Eagle Stop on
 8
    a weekend, Friday night, Saturday night. You got
 9
    somebody shooting a gun. You got chaos going on. And
    it's not like it's going to take ten minutes to get
10
    all this taken care of. You're going to have to put
11
12
    some folks in restraints, deal with other issues
    that's going on. And it can be very chaotic.
13
14
                 And since I've never been there, did it,
15
    done that, I can understand how you may have to put
    somebody in restraints in the back of a patrol car, go
16
    over and assess this situation, take care of this
17
    situation. Because -- and especially downtown during
18
    the weekends with college students. You just don't
19
20
    have a lot of time where you can keep going back to
21
    assess.
22
                 Now, I understand the urgency of why you
23
    want to, but I also look at how things tend to
24
    sometimes happen in extremely large scale incidents.
25
   Because I'm telling you Eagle Stop was chaotic.
```

1	MS. GOMEZ: Could you document it
2	retroactively though in the same way that you have to
3	document everything retroactively afterwards? So it's
4	just a mental evaluation, make sure they're keeping
5	this in mind?
6	SERGEANT ALPERS: So and I understand
7	the concern on making sure that we're checking our
8	people. Because when the government seizes somebody
9	and I seize that person, that responsibility falls on
10	me. And they become my my issue. And as
11	Ms. Seamon can attest, she's probably held to the same
12	standard at the emergency room as well.
13	But just to answer Ms. Williams'
14	question, it will be documentation and it it could
15	come down to a manpower issue as well. And what she's
16	referring to for the members that don't know, we had a
17	large scale fight at a gas station where there were
18	MS. WILLIAMS: Hundreds.
19	SERGEANT ALPERS: a lot of people and
20	we had a lot of people restrained, it was a chaotic
21	scene. Low manpower and so
22	MS. WILLIAMS: A gun being fired by
23	while police were literally standing there.
24	SERGEANT ALPERS: And although this is my
25	opinion you know, the vetting process comes from

internally the chief and then the -- the Board and 1 2 then ultimately the city manager. Those would my issues that I could 3 4 foresee coming if one of our members didn't properly document each time, even though they walked up to the 5 car said hey, are you good? You know, are you okay? 6 7 You know, and doing a reassessment. The arbitrary 8 number sometimes made it -- make the job a little bit 9 harder. But again, I can certainly understand -- and Ms. Seamon knows as well where I'm coming from -- the 10 necessity of checking people that are restrained and 11 12 how important that is. MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I have kind of two 13 comments to go off of that. For one, I think that it 14 15 is really important to realize that it is the 16 government's duty to protect those that they seize. 17 Like when you are under -- when you are arrested by an officer, that officer has a duty to make sure that 18 nothing happens do you. 19 20 So in that situation with a hundred people and you just leave someone by themselves in the 21 car for 30 minutes and you don't know what's going on 22 23 in them -- with them because you haven't checked on them in 30 minutes, that is then a liability for the 24

State if something were to go wrong. And I think that

25

this policy puts it on the books that you have to at 1 2 least check in on that person every 30 minutes to make 3 sure --4 MS. WILLIAMS: There was so much stuff going on that evening that it -- it was -- picture you 5 6 got a mass of people in a small gas station and there are multiple -- I mean multiple fights breaking out 7 8 all at the same time. You got people who won't obey 9 police officer's directives, you got a person who literally fires a gun while the police are there 10 trying to break up all the fights that's going on. 11 12 And I'm talking about you short manpower with police officers. And it's not that they don't 13 14 want to go assess to see if that person that they had 15 in restraints is okay. It's just so much stuff is 16 happening at once that they don't have --17 MS. SEAMON: That's why we have to have policy that holds us accountable for how often we 18 should be checking in on people. So if my response in 19 20 the ER was that my night was chaotic and I had way too much chaos and way too many patients to take care of, 21 I just couldn't go and reassess the patient's 22 23 restraints, that's not an acceptable response. 24 MR. SMITH: But your standard in the ER, you're in the medical field and that's your main gig 25

versus law enforcement where the duty is to keep the 1 2 peace. MS. WILLIAMS: Uh-huh. 3 4 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: But when you detain 5 someone, it is then your duty to make sure that you keep them safe. In a situation like that, if I'm 6 handcuffed in the back of a car while there's fights and gunshots going off and I get shot because I can't 8 9 protect myself because I'm restrained, that's on CPD for not keeping me safe while under their detention. 10 11 So I think that this policy in a way also 12 helps CPD be protected from liability if something 13 were to go wrong. Because they can say I followed 14 this policy, I checked in on them when I was told that 15 I needed to check in on them. And when I checked in on them, they were okay. 16 17 Now, I understand that 30 minutes is an arbitrary -- not arbitrary, but obviously you did 18 research -- but is an arbitrary number that is hard 19 20 for officers to sometimes follow that. But the repercussions for checking in on someone accidentally 21 at 35 minutes will be a lot less than if they just 22 23 forget about the person that they've detained and something were to go wrong and sue the City. 24 This protects us from liability, it 25

1	protects us from lawsuits and it protects our
2	citizens. Because honestly I understand that CPD
3	is understaffed and that's not the fault of you or
4	anyone necessarily, but it's also not the fault of the
5	community. And we can't be punishing community
6	members because we don't have a full officer staff.
7	And I think that in this situation, if
8	you're detaining someone for longer than 30 minutes
9	because you don't have enough enforcement on the
10	scene, that's not that community member's fault.
11	MS. GOMEZ: Yeah. And I think too as far
12	as a recommendation goes, there could be alternative
13	language that's added for specific circumstances where
14	it's just not physically possible. It seems like a
15	good idea to have in the back of people's heads as
16	as a recommendation, you know, barring like
17	circumstances that like are out of control or certain
18	things that are happening. But I do think that it
19	seems like a reasonable recommendation.
20	MS. SEAMON: And as I'm sitting here and
21	we're processing, I'm thinking maybe we remove the
22	documentation portion of it. But just have lingo
23	included that says reassess every 30 minutes when
24	somebody is in restraints.
25	SERGEANT ALPERS: So I know that we're

talking about extreme circumstances, but just sitting 1 2 here -- and for the three of you that are riding in 3 the next few days, if you get an opportunity to see it this way and I'll -- we can what-if this all night 5 obviously. 6 MS. SEAMON: Uh-huh. Certainly. 7 SERGEANT ALPERS: But I think a very 8 small incident that occurs, right, so we go to a 9 domestic violence call where there's multiple people in the home. And generally there's two officers 10 there. And both officers go in, they make a probable 11 12 cause arrest based on evidence and so we take this person to the patrol vehicle and we place them in the 13 14 car. 15 And so now we've got to go in and process 16 the scene and we've got to speak to witnesses. So now 17 while -- and please put yourself in that person's shoes. We're talking to you, trying to get witness 18 The primary officer generally will do 19 statements. 20 those interviews and the back-up officer is there for one reason, and that's to keep the primary officer 21 safe, that's to keep everybody else in the house safe. 22 23 And so when my watch goes off in 30 minutes right in the middle of an interview, I've 24 25 got to say hold on one minute, walk out the door.

```
Both of us have to leave, we have to go check on
1
 2
    somebody, come back inside. And so now we've lost
    that advantage that -- please understand my wording
 3
   here -- the tactical advantage of being able to be in
5
    there continuing interviews and then --
 6
                 MS. WILLIAMS: Especially if it's a kid.
 7
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: -- and now we don't
8
    know what's going in the home, what dynamics have
 9
    changed in there. And please understand I'm not
    trying to argue for or against the policy change. I'm
10
    just trying to jog memory and think about what the
11
12
    potential issues could be going further.
                 MS. GOMEZ: Couldn't part of it just be
13
    though like a mental reevaluation so that people are
14
15
    thinking about this in the back of their head and
    having this -- is there sort of like any policy of
16
17
    training in the way of like how often people should be
    mentally assessing or thinking about the person who's
18
    in restraints?
19
20
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: Yeah.
                                          So when I was
    training people, I would simply tell them this is --
21
    this is your responsibility. Right? And so the --
22
23
    for the parents in the room, we get -- you know, we
    get these new kids and you haven't slept in three
24
   weeks and then you forget the kid in the backseat.
25
```

```
1
    That's not a good thing. Right?
 2
                 And so that's what I try to teach them.
    These become your -- your burden. This is your burden
 3
    to take care of and to make sure that they are safe
 5
    and that this is your responsibility. And we have
 6
    taken their rights away from them so they have become
 7
    our -- our baby.
 8
                 And so I think that's an ongoing process.
 9
    I don't think there's people that are sitting out
    there in patrol cars for hours on end without being
10
    talked to or checked on
11
12
                 MS. WILLIAMS: They're not going to let
   you sit -- let them sit there like that anyways.
13
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: Well, does it -- does
14
    it happen? Absolutely it happens. Have people killed
15
    themselves in the back of patrol cars? Yes. Have
16
17
   people tried to kill themselves in the back of patrol
18
    cars? Yes. Has it happened here? Yes. Does it
    happen at every other police department in the United
19
20
    States? Probably.
                 So it's just that -- it's a delicate
21
   balance on the reality of the situation and the
22
23
    gravity of where we're at, what we're doing at the
24
    time. So that would be -- again, I'm playing both
    sides of the coin --
25
```

MS. GOMEZ: Yeah.
SERGEANT ALPERS: on what the
arguments would be, what you could see it coming from.
MS. GOMEZ: Oh, sure. Definitely. I
think that all the points you're making make sense. I
just wonder in terms of another way of thinking about
it, just to remind people to evaluate or mentally
evaluate every 30 minutes. That's just another way of
saying check on the baby. Right?
And obviously there may be cases in which
that's not possible, but recommending that they be
thinking about it this way is something that we could
discuss as well if it can't be physically enacted or,
you know, it wouldn't pass that way.
MR. SMITH: Could you add like the old
Supreme Court standard "where practicable"?
SERGEANT ALPERS: Yeah. And again, I
understand where Ms. Seamon's coming from. We she
and I both have a job where we're, unfortunately, out
on the hook for a lot of things and we take on some
great responsibility with the jobs that we do. And
I'm not, you know, certain what everybody does on the
Board so please don't I'm not trying to marginalize
your jobs, but I get it.
And that's that's weighs heavy on

every officer's mind of I don't want to get sued, I 1 2 don't want somebody to die in my care and custody, I don't want them to get hurt in my care and custody. 3 It weighs on our minds. 4 5 MS. SEAMON: I also want to remind the 6 Board when we were reviewing this policy and Chief 7 Jones was here, he made the suggestion that I bring 8 this to the Board to then make the motion for them to 9 amend. So I think -- I just wanted to bring that to your attention as well for the people who maybe 10 weren't here. 11 12 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I just really think 13 that it's important to reevaluate restraints. And if 14 someone's in restraints for longer than 30 minutes, evaluate why that is. Why are they not being booked? 15 Why are they not being taken to a detention facility? 16 17 Why are they not in a position to be supervised? 18 Because when you're in restraints, you're in a really vulnerable situation. And if you're in 19 20 dangerous situation and you're in restraints and you can't do anything about that and you expect that the 21 person who has detained you and the institution that 22 23 has detained you, to protect you. 24 And I just think that it's important for us to remember that detainees are not convicted 25

```
criminals necessarily. They're people in the
1
 2
   community. A lot of the people that are in restraints
   aren't in restraints because of an ongoing crime or
 3
   offense. It might be because they're suicidal or
5
    they're having a mental health crisis.
6
                 So it's important to remember that these
 7
   are members of our community, not -- and I mean
8
   obviously criminals are members of our community as
9
   well, but these are vulnerable citizens that we need
    to make sure that when they're under the government's
10
    care, that they're being cared for.
11
12
                 MS. GOMEZ: Could part of the
   recommendation of this be that if like in certain
13
14
    circumstances when they're not able, they're mentally
    evaluating that they have to check on them 30 minutes
15
    later? Like is there room for -- how do you feel? Or
16
17
    is that too far of a change from what you were hoping
18
    to accomplish?
                 MS. SEAMON: I mean I like it.
                                                 I think
19
20
   we could maybe take some sort of break or something to
    rewrite it or -- I don't know how that works, but.
21
22
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: My thing -- don't they
23
   have to make a blue team? Is that what it's called?
   A blue team entry when they use restraints anyway?
24
25
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: That's at the clearance
```

```
of the call. So that's -- that's administrative
1
 2
   paperwork at the end of the call.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So could we just
 3
   document how long they were in restraints?
 4
5
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: We always do. So if I
6
   were to arrest somebody, my first radio call to the
 7
   communication center would be one in custody, or the
8
    cop lingo of 10-15, which means one in custody. And
9
    so that's radio documented through CAD and, you know,
   kept on the radio log.
10
                 So then we have -- because the 24-hour
11
12
    rule starts when you go into custody, regardless if
    it's in-house, if it's at the police department,
13
14
   wherever the case may be. So now from this time, we
   have 24 hours to charge them. But -- so we -- we have
15
16
   a time frame that we can use, a reference time frame.
17
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: And so what if the
    documentation was we arrested them at this time, they
18
   were in restraints from this time to this time, and
19
20
    then if that time is longer than 30 minutes, the
    officer --
21
22
                               It's already required.
                 MR. PRINGLE:
23
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: If the restraints are
    on for longer than 30 minutes, then on the back end,
24
25
    the officer provide a reason as to why that person was
```

```
restrained for longer than 30 minutes.
1
 2
                 MS. SEAMON: But there is no reassessment
             I remember reading 310 and there's no lingo
 3
    in 310.
    about them being reassessed. And that's what I
 5
   brought to Chief Jones initially. That's where I
   wanted it placed initially. But we moved it to the
 6
 7
    other section because of the documentation issue.
 8
                 MS. GROVER: I'm just not -- I don't love
 9
    the fixed time rule, the 30 minutes. I'm not -- I
    don't know of any other police departments that have a
10
    fixed time or anybody that has a fixed time. I'm just
11
12
   not in love with that language.
                 MS. GOMEZ:
                             I'm interested in the
13
14
    research.
15
                 MS. SEAMON: Uh-huh.
16
                 MS. GOMEZ: Do you think maybe you could
17
    share that research with us?
                 MS. SEAMON: Uh-huh.
18
                 MS. GOMEZ: You know, I would be --
19
20
    because it sounds like the 30-minute came from -- what
    were you saying?
21
                 MS. SEAMON: Mental Health Coalition.
22
23
                 MS. GOMEZ: Yeah. So I'd definitely be
    interested in that if we do end up putting this on old
24
25
   business next month. And that way we know, you
```

```
know --
1
 2
                 MS. SEAMON: Yeah.
                                     I'm a bit frustrated
    that I started talking about this in December and it's
 3
    taken this many months for any kind of policy change
    to happen. I am certainly willing to send the
 5
    research that I found, but this is part of what our
 6
 7
    community is also frustrated about. So I want to
 8
   mention that. And I'm happy to provide the research
 9
    that I have.
                               Well, if you went back to
10
                 MR. PRINGLE:
    306.10, like Delaney was talking about, throw in
11
12
    that -- the requirement that in their reports they
    document the duration of the community member being in
13
    restraints --
14
15
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: That's in there
16
    already. 306.10.A.
17
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Yeah.
                                           It would just
   be not how long they were, but why they were there for
18
    longer than 30 minutes.
19
20
                 MR. PRINGLE:
                               I guess that would just be
21
    in the arrest report in general.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Well, this would --
22
23
    well, it would be in the arrest report hopefully. But
24
    so say you get arrested and you put it in your report
    that they were detained for an hour and a half.
25
```

```
they would have to -- then in your report you should
1
 2
    say they were detained for an hour and a half.
   decided that they should continue to be detained
 3
   because I was not able to go to the car because there
   was an ongoing investigation. At an hour, I
5
   reassessed and believed -- or I went and checked on
6
 7
    them and they were doing fine so they continued to
8
   detain them.
9
                 And just on the back end, explain what
   your thinking was to keep someone detained in the back
10
    of your car or in handcuffs for longer than
11
12
    30 minutes.
13
                             Sergeant Alpers, wouldn't it
                 MR. SMITH:
14
    already be on the BWC when they do -- when they
15
    check -- go out to the car and look at somebody for
    that whole encounter?
16
17
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: It should be.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I mean if it's already
18
    there, then this change really shouldn't be all that
19
20
   big if it's things that the officer's already doing.
                 MS. GOMEZ: It would just be adding the
21
    information at the end. Yeah.
22
23
                 MS. SEAMON: Uh-huh.
24
                 MR. PRINGLE:
                               I guess when we move it,
    this isn't even automatically approving it.
25
```

```
still --
1
 2
                 MS. GOMEZ:
                             It's just a recommendation.
                 MR. PRINGLE: Yeah. So we should
 3
 4
   probably make sure that it's not conflicting with
5
    other policies and also actually -- I'd recommend
 6
    definitely including the research. Because I think we
   need to send more than just language if we really want
8
    this approved.
 9
                 MS. GOMEZ: But if we -- if we wanted to
   move on this tonight, could we recommend with research
10
    or like -- I mean could we make some sort of -- I mean
11
12
    is there a way to do like a sort vote or anything to
    see where people are standing?
13
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Well, right now you
14
15
   have a motion pending on the floor that has not been
16
    seconded.
17
                 MS. GOMEZ: What was the motion?
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: The motion I have --
18
   hopefully I have this right -- Nicole Seamon made a
19
20
    motion to send a report to the police chief and city
   manager to recommend that the police chief amend
21
    Policy 306.4, use of restraints, to include bullet
22
23
   point G. G, detainees may only be restrained for a
   period of 30 minutes. Following the initial
24
    30 minutes, an officer trained in the use of the
25
```

```
restraint shall conduct a reevaluation of the need of
1
 2
   conti -- for continued use.
                 If the officer determines that further
 3
 4
   use of the restraint is required, the officer will
5
    document their reasoning and a second 30-minute period
6
   will begin. The process will continue until the
   detainee is no longer considered a threat to
8
    themselves or others or restraints are no longer
9
   necessary for the transportation of the detainee.
                 Is that right?
10
                 MS. SEAMON: Correct.
11
12
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: And so I think -- I
    don't have that down that anyone seconded that motion.
13
14
    So if anyone wants to second that motion, then you
    could do a vote on that. But if you just want to kind
15
    of go -- not, but just hey, what is everyone thinking,
16
17
   you can go around and say what you're thinking without
   necessarily voting on it.
18
                 And then, you know, if -- if enough
19
   people think yeah, we -- well, first if anyone wants
20
    to second the motion, that's the easiest way to find
21
22
   out.
23
                 MS. GOMEZ: Okay. I'll second the
   motion.
24
2.5
                 SERGEANT ALPERS:
                                   I'm going to -- sorry
```

```
to interrupt. I'm going to put up on your screens.
1
 2
   And -- just to remember, I know there's more we got to
    talk about, but as far as CALEA goes, we do -- that --
 3
    the CALEA standard. Let's see here. Did you lose it?
 5
    Is it up now?
 6
                 MR. SMITH:
                             No.
 7
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: There it is.
 8
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Yay.
 9
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: So this is the CALEA
    standards 70.2.1, detainee restraint methods.
10
11
                 MR. SMITH: And CALEA is the nationally
12
    recognized model procedures?
13
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: Correct.
14
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: And if I can also add
15
    to that, in order to be certified by CALEA, your
    standards have to meet -- the city standards would
16
17
   have to meet the requirements that they set forth.
18
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Could your
    requirements be more stringent?
19
20
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: Correct. This just
    could give you some baseline about what CALEA
21
22
    recommends.
23
                 MR. PRINGLE: We already have a few
   policies out that we are more stringent, like bias
24
    through policing and I think SWAT team and stuff.
25
```

1	SERGEANT ALPERS: Several.			
2	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I have a proposed			
3	amendment to Nicole's language. When looking at it, I			
4	think one of the issues that we all have is where			
5	after it says the middle sentence, if the officer			
6	determines that further use of the restraint is			
7	required, the officer will document their reasoning			
8	and a second 30-minute period will begin. This			
9	process will continue until the detainee is no longer			
10	considered blah, blah.			
11	What if we struck out and a second			
12	30-minute period will begin and then just say when a			
13	detainee is no longer considered a threat, restraints			
14	are no longer necessary for essentially just take			
15	out the second 30-minute period will begin and then			
16	that takes out the need to actually do each individual			
17	30-minute period and it will allow a continued kind of			
18	reassessment, kind of like I was talking about.			
19	MR. SMITH: Don't we have to vote on the			
20	motion that's already that's been seconded before			
21	we can make an amendment?			
22	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Correct. Which we can			
23	do that, but. All right. Is there a second to			
24	MS. WILLIAMS: There's already been a			
25	second.			

```
1
                 MR. SMITH: It's already been seconded.
 2
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Who --
 3
                 MS. GOMEZ: I seconded it.
 4
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Oh, I'm sorry. All
 5
   right. Let's do a roll call vote. Ms. Gomez?
 6
                 MS. GOMEZ: Yes.
 7
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Heckman-McKenna?
 8
                 MS. HECKMAN-MCKENNA: Yes.
 9
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Williams?
                 MS. WILLIAMS: No.
10
11
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Catlettstout is a
12
   yes. Ms. Seamon is absent for the moment.
   Mr. Pringle?
13
14
                 MR. PRINGLE: Right now we're voting on
15
    the language as is for 306.4.G?
16
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Right. Yes.
17
                 MR. PRINGLE: As is, no.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Grover?
18
19
                 MS. GROVER: No.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Mr. Smith?
20
21
                 MR. SMITH: No.
22
                 MS. GOMEZ: So now you want to amend the
23
    language?
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Yes. You were a no?
24
25
                 MS. WILLIAMS: Uh-huh. Four no's, three
```

```
1
   yeses.
                 MR. PRINGLE: Nicole missed the -- I
 2
    guess we can call her vote and then it will be tied.
 3
 4
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: What happens with a
5
    tied vote?
 6
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: It doesn't pass.
 7
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So the motion does not
8
   pass.
9
                 MS. WILLIAMS: Well, you can't assume.
   You have to have a vote.
10
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: We already had a vote.
11
12
                 MS. WILLIAMS: She needs to cast her
13
   vote.
14
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Right. It didn't pass
15
    even with her not casting the vote and I was just
    wondering if we needed to re-vote, if it would make a
16
17
    difference and it doesn't.
18
                 So now we will move to any amendments.
   Are there any amendments on the floor?
19
20
                 MS. GROVER: I don't think that the
    amendment should go in 306.4.G. It says when deciding
21
22
    whether to use any restraint, officers should
23
    carefully balance officer safety concerns with factors
    that include, but are not limited to. Factors that
24
    include, but are not limited to. The amendment as is
25
```

```
isn't -- doesn't go in that section.
1
 2
                 MR. PRINGLE: I guess I'd rather it be on
   G in its own --
 3
 4
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I think that it might
    fit under 306.4.1. I think it essentially would just
5
 6
   be amending that language.
 7
                 MR. PRINGLE: Yeah. It would be just
8
    adding a few words.
9
                 MS. GROVER: Right. Which it says it
    should continuously weigh the safety interest at hand
10
    against the continuing -- I mean, we kind of already
11
12
   have it. Just that fixed 30 minutes is not -- it's a
   no on my end.
13
14
                 MR. PRINGLE: I would be open to
    30 minutes if we kind of went with what Darryl said,
15
    like when practical, check every 30 minutes.
16
17
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I think that we just
   need a report as to why they -- what is happening
18
    every 30 minutes and why this person is still detained
19
20
    for longer than 30 minutes. So that doesn't
   necessarily --
21
22
                 MS. GOMEZ: Right. Like one of the
23
    reasons could be that it wasn't practical. Like at
    the tail end, they could just write --
24
25
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Yes. So I think --
```

```
1
                 MS. GOMEZ: -- when practical, they were
 2
   assessed.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So I think what you're
 3
 4
    speaking --
                             The language is actually when
5
                 MR. SMITH:
6
   practicable, not practical.
 7
                 MS. GOMEZ: Thank you.
8
                 MR. SMITH: Practicable.
9
                 MS. GOMEZ: Practicable. Thank you.
10
                 MS. SEAMON: So I like what we're saying
   here because I think it speaks to transparency, to
11
12
   which our community has been unhappy about. And so if
   we can document why we're continuing to keep somebody
13
14
    in restraints, I think --
15
                 MS. WILLIAMS:
                                I don't think that it is
    right to take a whole different agency's rules and
16
17
   regulations and tie it to another agency's rules and
18
    regulations. I just don't agree with that. Because
    they are two separate agencies. They have those two
19
20
    separate rules and regulations for two separate
    different reasons.
21
22
                 And I don't think it's right to say okay,
23
    in the nursing field this is what we have to do. And
    so because in the nursing field, that's what we have
24
    to do, that's what the police department should be
25
```

```
1
            That's what law enforcement should be doing.
    doing.
 2
    I don't agree with that. I just don't.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Here's my --
 3
 4
                 MS. WILLIAMS: And I'm not trying to be a
 5
          I'm just saying it's not fair to the police
 6
    department to hold them to a standard that is totally
 7
   different situation, scenarios and they -- have them
   be held accountable in different situations.
 8
 9
    can't do that.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I think that this
10
    actually -- it's not holding them to the same
11
12
    standards as nurses. Obviously nurses are held to a
   much higher standard. But it does protect the police
13
14
   de--
15
                 MS. WILLIAMS: And I disagree with that.
    The police department are still held to a high
16
17
    standard as well.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: They are. And it
18
   protects them.
                    This policy would protect them from
19
20
    liability. If someone were to kill themselves in
    restraints, well they went inside to go investigate.
21
22
    I think too when Sandra Bland killed herself in jail,
23
    that was a major lawsuit because she was under the
    custody of a police department.
24
25
                 MR. SMITH: So what happens at 31 minutes
```

```
if the officer didn't step out?
1
 2
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Then a supervisor
   would have to evaluate if that was reasonable.
 3
 4
                 MR. SMITH: This creates more liability
 5
   because you have an arbitrary 30 minutes. No.
 6
                 MS. SEAMON: My concern is for the
 7
    citizens of this community who are being put in
 8
    restraints.
 9
                 MR. SMITH: The PB-- PD automatically has
    a constitutional responsibility and liability to
10
   protect people in their custody. And by putting an
11
12
    arbitrary time limit on it, it creates more liability,
    which is unjustified.
13
14
                 MS. SEAMON: Can we table maybe this
    discussion for now and move along or do something
15
16
    else?
17
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Motion to table until
    we have more to -- well, I guess I don't motion for
18
               Is there a motion on the floor? Do we want
19
    anything.
20
    to see more research?
                 MS. GOMEZ:
                             I'm -- I'm fine with more
21
    research. I'm also fine with an amendment that makes
22
23
    it so that it's transparent and not necessarily just
    about liability. But I think the community members
24
25
   probably have questions and would love to like, you
```

```
know, to know that this is something that's being
1
 2
   monitored and thought about, so --
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So what -- what -- so
 3
 4
    you said you were motioning for an amendment.
 5
                 MS. GOMEZ:
                             No, no. I was just ta-- you
 6
    said do you want to see more research and I was
 7
    responding to that.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Well, I'm looking for
 8
 9
   a motion on the floor to either table this discussion
    to see more research, to adopt an amended --
10
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: To recommend to the
11
12
    city manager and the police chief that they --
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: An amended version
13
14
   of --
15
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: -- adopt the policy.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Yes. Are there any
16
17
   motions on the table?
                 MS. GOMEZ: I'm confused.
18
                 MR. PRINGLE: Yeah. I'm also kind of
19
20
    lost right now what we're trying to do.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So we have -- I feel
21
    as if the discussion for tonight has ended.
22
23
                 MS. SEAMON:
                              I think so.
24
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So we have to go
    forward in some capacity. So we need a motion on the
25
```

```
1
    table to determine how we're going to move forward
 2
   with this conversation.
                 MS. GOMEZ: I thought that there was talk
 3
 4
    about amending the language and that people might be
   happier and willing to vote for it if the language had
 5
 6
   been amended. Maybe I misunderstood.
 7
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Correct.
                                              Correct.
                                                         So
 8
   my amendment was to move it to 306.4.1 and to take out
 9
    the section that said "and a second period will begin"
    and then just move into that restraints should be
10
    removed when the detainee is no longer considered a
11
    threat to themselves, others or restraints are no
12
    longer necessary for the transport of the detainee.
13
                 MS. SEAMON: So that's your motion or are
14
15
    you amending?
16
                 MS. GOMEZ: Are you amending?
17
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: That is what my
18
    amended language was proposed as.
19
                 MS. GOMEZ:
                             Okay. Well, then can I just
20
    try this again? We'll move to recommend with the
    amended language?
21
22
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Okay. I think you need
23
    to state very slowly what the actual motion is.
24
                 MR. PRINGLE: What are we actually voting
25
    on?
```

```
1
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: It would then read --
 2
    does everyone have -- everyone has the -- Nicole's in
    front of them? It would then read as 306.4.1,
 3
    restraint of detainee. Detainees may only be
   restrained for a period of 30 minutes. Following the
 5
    initial 30 minutes, an officer trained in the use of
 6
    the restraint shall conduct a re-evaluation for the
 7
   need of continued use. If the officer determines that
 8
    further use of the restraint is required, the officer
 9
   will document their reasoning.
10
11
                 Restraints should be removed when the
12
    detainee is no longer considered a threat to
    themselves or others or restraints are no longer
13
    necessary for the transportation of the detainee.
14
15
                 MS. SEAMON: I like it.
16
                 MR. PRINGLE: So just have the one
17
    30 minutes and then that's it?
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Correct. But they
18
19
   have to --
20
                 MS. GOMEZ:
                             To check in and document.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Yeah. Reevaluate
21
    after the 30 minutes and document their reasoning.
22
23
                 MR. PRINGLE:
                               Right.
                 MS. WILLIAMS: And I still have an issue
24
   with the 30 minutes.
25
```

1		MS.	CATLETTSTOUT: Is there a motion?
2		MS.	GOMEZ: I moved, yeah.
3		MS.	WIBBENMEYER: So then you need a
4	second.		
5		MS.	SEAMON: Second.
6		MS.	CATLETTSTOUT: All right. I'll do
7	another	roll call	vote for the to recommend the
8	amended	language	to the police department and the city
9	people.		
10		MS.	WIBBENMEYER: City manager.
11		MS.	CATLETTSTOUT: City manager. Thanks.
12		Ms.	Gomez?
13		MS.	GOMEZ: Yes.
14		MS.	CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Heckman-McKenna?
15		MS.	HECKMAN-MCKENNA: Yes.
16		MS.	CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Williams?
17		MS.	WILLIAMS: No.
18		MS.	CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Catlettstout is
19	yes.		
20		Ms.	Seamon?
21		MS.	SEAMON: Yes.
22		MS.	CATLETTSTOUT: Mr. Pringle?
23		MR.	PRINGLE: Yes.
24		MS.	CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Grover?
25		MS.	GROVER: No.

```
MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Mr. Smith?
1
 2
                 MR. SMITH:
                             No.
 3
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: So Delaney, since
 4
    you're chair tonight, are you going to draft what you
5
    want to say to the police chief on this -- in this
 6
    amendment?
 7
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Yes.
 8
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Okay. Great.
 9
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Do you happen to have
    a copy of previous drafts of letters of
10
    recommendations?
11
12
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER:
                                   They are on the
   website. I can send you the link.
13
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Perfect. All right.
14
    Moving on to the next order of business is outreach.
15
                 MR. PRINGLE: Yeah. Has there been any
16
17
   movement on Reverend Love and CW and they had that
    e-mail in February about the faith groups wanted to
18
   meet -- like having us meet with faith groups?
19
20
    there been any movement on that?
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: I thought that they
21
   were going to be working with maybe Andrew on what
22
23
    they were going to say or they were going to propose,
24
    what they were going to say to you all or something,
25
   but I don't know that I've seen anything come in.
```

1 MR. PRINGLE: Yeah. I just saw the 2 e-mail said there was going to be a meeting March 8th 3 through 14th at some point, and then the other group, no one knows when they'd --5 MS. SEAMON: I was hoping we'd hear some 6 type of follow-up as well. So maybe we ought to --7 somebody ought to reach back out to Steve and see 8 where we're at with that. Because I would be willing 9 to go with them to the schools or wherever they would like to go to talk about the CPRB. I think it's super 10 important for people to know that we exist and the 11 12 purpose for why we are here. So I also have a comment. In an effort 13 14 to connect with the community, I've been talking with 15 members of advocacy groups here in town. And I agree 16 with their opinion of us and CPD doing a poor job with 17 community policing right now. It was recommended by 18 one of the advocacy groups that this Board review Oakland, California's CPRB model as well as watch the 19 20 documentary The Force. So I think that might be cool to do with some of these advocacy groups. So just a 21 22 suggestion. 23 MR. PRINGLE: I mean I would also encourage they should attend our meetings. 24 25 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: The only iss-- I

```
brought this up at one point. I think the only issue
1
 2
   with The Force would be a licensing issue.
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Right.
 3
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: But I also think that
 4
   we could do some of that stuff at a training in which
5
 6
    community members are welcome to attend as well.
 7
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: We'd still have to get
 8
    like a license to do that. I looked into it before
 9
    and I think at that point the Board thought it was too
    expensive. And, you know, I think maybe they showed
10
    it maybe at the law school? Does this sound familiar?
11
12
                 SERGEANT ALPERS:
                                   That was --
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: So in the end, I think
13
14
    some people either watched it on their own or they
    went to events in the community where they showed the
15
    movie rather than the Board paying the licensing
16
17
    fee --
                 MS. SEAMON:
18
                              Sure.
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: -- for the movie.
19
20
                 MS. SEAMON: I just think it would be a
    really cool opportunity for discussion between the
21
    Board and the community if we could put something like
22
23
    that on. So just -- just a suggestion.
24
                                   They showed it at
                 SERGEANT ALPERS:
    True/False and then Race Matters Friends did a
25
```

```
viewing. Did anybody go do that? I was there.
1
 2
                 MS. SICHENEDER:
                                  T did.
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: And it is on Netflix
 3
    for those that want to watch it. It's very good.
 4
5
    It's sad, but it's good.
6
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So if we got like an
7
   outside organization, like RMF or ACLU, to show it
8
   again, all of us wouldn't be able to go because it's
9
   an outside organization.
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Well, I mean if you go
10
    as a member of the public and you don't discuss and
11
12
    conduct any business, you could go watch it. But if
   you wanted to like have a panel discussion or a
13
14
    discussion of the Board at the meeting, then it would
   have to be advertised, it would have to be in an ADA
15
16
    compliant facility and you would have to, you know, do
17
    all of your normal meeting stuff, including minutes
    and that sort of thing.
18
                 So for example, recently Human Rights
19
20
    Commission partnered with Ragtag and they did a film
    screening and a member of the Commission served on the
21
   panel discussion about that film screening.
22
23
    that -- you know, you could do something like that,
   but then that's not a meeting of the Board. It's just
24
25
   a member or two that were serving on a panel.
```

```
1
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Okay. So if we wanted
2
    to do like a screening or a training, could like the
   ACLU or local organization pay for the licensing fee?
 3
   Or would that be --
5
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Basically I think the
6
   way we'd have to do it is we'd have to get the --
 7
   however much licensing fee is, they would have to
8
   donate that to the City. That would have to go in the
9
   report to the council to accept the donation. And
    then once the donation was accepted, then we could,
10
   you know, schedule the event and have -- have it done
11
12
    that way.
                 Otherwise, I mean they could just have
13
14
    the event and you all could go and you could have a
15
   panel. As long as you're under your quorum level,
    then that doesn't have to be advertised as a meeting.
16
17
   And in all honesty, you're probably going to have more
   people in the public show up to an event in the public
18
    then who will ever show up to a training at City Hall
19
20
    or in another city facility, even if a movie is shown.
21
    Because -- do you remember how many people were at
   Ragtag for the --
22
23
                 MS. GOMEZ:
                             Just one.
24
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: No. One member of the
25
   Commission, but I think the theater was full.
```

```
1
                             Oh, yeah. Yeah. There were
                 MS. GOMEZ:
 2
   a lot of people and they had a panel with a few
   different people on it.
 3
 4
                 MS. SEAMON: Cool.
 5
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Anything else for
    outreach we would like to discuss?
 6
 7
                 MR. PRINGLE: Do we know the next date,
8
    Sergeant Alpers, of the policy review?
9
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: It was just I think a
    couple days ago.
10
11
                 MR. PRINGLE: Well, like the -- I know
12
    February 27th was the last one.
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: I don't know.
13
                                                  I can --
    I can find it out.
14
15
                 MR. PRINGLE: Yeah, please.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Anything else?
16
17
   we move on?
                 Okay. So next is new business.
18
   would be the NACOLE conference. The NACOLE conference
19
20
    will be August 30th, 2020 till September 3rd.
    in Arizona. Is anyone interested in going?
21
                 MS. GOMEZ: Yes.
22
23
                 MS. SEAMON: I'm interested.
24
                 MR. SMITH: And I need to recert.
25
                 MS. GOMEZ: Yes.
```

```
MR. PRINGLE: I won't be able to go.
1
 2
                 MR. SMITH: I need to.
                 MS. WILLIAMS: How many are we sending?
 3
 4
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I don't know.
    think --
5
 6
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: You only have budget
 7
    for two depending upon the pricing, so.
8
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So you said that you
 9
   needed to recertify?
10
                 MR. SMITH: That's correct.
                 MS. WILLIAMS: So he has to go.
11
12
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Right. I don't know
    about Mr. Fisher, if he needs to recertify or not.
13
14
                 MR. SMITH: No, he recerted -- he
    certified last year.
15
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: All right. So I think
16
17
    that Mr. Smith will probably be one and then we'll
18
   have to send a new member to go as well.
                 MS. WILLIAMS: And it will have to be
19
    somebody who can stay on the Board for two years.
20
21
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Right. So --
22
                 MR. PRINGLE: So we have Catherine,
23
    Carley and Heather, you all three.
24
                 MS. SEAMON: I'm also interested.
                 MR. PRINGLE: And Nicole.
25
```

```
MS. SEAMON:
1
                              Yeah.
 2
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I don't know. Rock,
 3
   paper, scissors?
 4
                 MS. SEAMON: Rock, paper, scissors.
                                It's these two right here.
 5
                 MS. WILLIAMS:
 6
                 MR. SMITH: Who has been here longest?
 7
                 MS. WILLIAMS:
                                Exactly
 8
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: You don't necessarily
 9
   have to decide this tonight. If you want to wait
    until next month, we can talk about it again. You can
10
    look at your calendars and make sure -- we've had --
11
12
    in the last couple of cycles, we've had two people
    drop out after they reconfirmed multiple times and we
13
    were out the airline tickets.
14
15
                 Given what's going on around the country,
    I don't know that we would be buying any airline
16
17
    tickets at this point or even booking anything. But
    it will come up probably quickly upon us where we'll
18
   have to make a decision. So we wanted to make sure
19
20
    you all started talking about it and thinking about
   how you want to do it.
21
22
                 MS. SEAMON: I'm comfortable sending
23
    Mr. Smith and Ms. Grover since they've been here the
24
    longest.
                             I have a question. If --
25
                 MS. GOMEZ:
```

```
like I'm from Tucson. My parents are in Tucson.
1
 2
    if I wanted to go, like I can go as a citizen on my
    own. Right?
 3
 4
                 MS. WILLIAMS: Uh-huh. You can pay for it
5
   yourself.
 6
                 MS. GOMEZ: Okay, okay.
 7
                 MS. SEAMON: What about the conference
8
    itself though? How does that work?
 9
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: There are conference
    fees. And so this last time I think it was Andrew
10
    drove up there because he was seeing family and I
11
12
    think he stayed with family and it made it all
13
    cheaper.
14
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Yeah.
15
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: So if there is
16
    something like that where you know you don't need a
17
   hotel or you -- we can look at the pricing once we're
    able to see what the airline tickets are and what the
18
   budget is and --
19
20
                 MS. GOMEZ:
                             Okay.
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: -- depending upon those
21
    special circumstances, you may actually be able to
22
23
    afford more than two.
24
                 MS. GOMEZ: Okay. In like some part of
25
    it.
```

```
MS. SEAMON: Yeah. My best friend lives
1
 2
    in Tucson, so that's an option for me too probably.
    That would be cool.
 3
 4
                 MS. GOMEZ: Yeah.
                 MR. PRINGLE: If we could send like four
 5
 6
   people, that would be great.
 7
                 MS. SEAMON:
                              Yeah.
 8
                 MR. SMITH: Assuming we're all alive
 9
    then. Do we know what -- do we know what the early
    registration deadline is?
10
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER:
                                   I haven't --
11
12
                 MR. SMITH: Assuming that doesn't change.
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER:
                                   I haven't looked at it
13
14
   yet. But once again, given what's going on --
15
                 MR. PRINGLE:
                               Tickets are cheap now.
16
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: I believe just today
17
    the University has basically put a stop to all
   nonessential travel.
18
                             They have. And classes I
19
                 MR. SMITH:
20
   believe in person.
                 MS. HECKMAN-MCKENNA: For three weeks.
21
22
                 MS. WILLIAMS: That's what I heard.
23
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I would like to table
    it because there is -- what happens in the next month
24
25
    determines whether or not I can go to the conference
```

```
1
    or not, so.
 2
                 MS. SEAMON: Yeah.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I would like to be
 3
 4
    able to check my calendar.
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: So we will put it on
5
   next month's agenda.
 6
 7
                 MS. SEAMON: Sure. Sounds good.
 8
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: But I think that
 9
   Mr. Smith is a -- definitely has to go, but then
   we'll --
10
11
                             I'm assuming vertical, yes.
                 MR. SMITH:
12
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: And I think next month
   maybe we'll have a better idea of if they plan on
13
14
   having the convention or not. Maybe. Maybe more
15
    information will come. Who knows? But it's good to
16
    see everyone very excited about this conference.
17
                 MS. SEAMON:
                              Yeah.
                                     It's pretty cool.
18
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: All right.
    anything else on NACOLE? Seeing none, we will move
19
20
    into general comments by the public members and staff.
    Does anyone from the public have any comments?
21
22
                 MS. SICHENEDER: Are you ready for this?
23
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I think that we ask
24
    you to state your name and spell it for our records, I
    think.
25
```

1 MS. SICHENEDER: You can't figure out the 2 spelling of my name? My name is Karen Sicheneder, S-i-c-h-e-n-e-d-e-r. I live at 1817 Timber Creek. 3 So this last week -- what day is this? Yes. 5 Matters Friends got an e-mail sent to us through our 6 website through the contact card on our website. 7 it was very illuminating. 8 We actually didn't even know about it 9 until the police department informed us that we received an e-mail that we had not yet opened. 10 that was interesting to get an e-mail about something 11 12 that happened without us even knowing about it. The e-mail was sent to my company. 13 360 CoMo Digital Marketing and I built Race Matters 14 15 Friends' website and it lives on my server, which is why they were able to pinpoint who to reach out to in 16 17 order to find out what was going on with this e-mail. And I will be breaking your decorum in order to read 18 this e-mail to you, so brace yourselves. 19 20 We got this on Thursday, March 5th at 8:50 a.m. and the content says: Fuck you. Your group 21 has no right to criticize what any police officer like 22 23 my husband does in Columbia. You're all lucky you weren't -- there aren't more police shootings. 24 should be. Most of these apes cause their own 25

1 problems by joining gangs, selling drugs and stealing 2 from white families and that's the truth. This was not the first e-mail that went 3 4 This e-mail was in response to our public out. 5 comments at City Council. We had several people get 6 up and speak about transparency in the police 7 department and some of the stuff that we would like to 8 see going forward. Somebody else who had spoken at 9 City Council several weeks prior received an e-mail with very similar content in it. 10 11 They are investigating this so -- and I'm 12 cooperating with the police department and getting them my severer logs so that we can try to pinpoint 13 14 where this was actually sent, who actually sent this. The person's whose name is attached to the e-mail is 15 saying that they didn't actually send it. So there's 16 a lot of unanswered questions. 17 18 However, I think it's really important to kind of point out that even if the person named in 19 20 this e-mail was not the actual person who sent it, I believe the sentiment is very much real. And I don't 21 believe that this is isolated amongst our community. 22 23 So this is something that we very much need to be mindful of that, you know, racism is not 24 dead and there are people who will defend the police 25

1 department to the detriment of the citizens of this 2 community. So do you have any questions? MS. CATLETTSTOUT: What can we do to 3 support our community better, in your opinion, to make 4 5 this not happen again perhaps? 6 MS. SICHENEDER: So the content of the 7 e-mail implied that it was the wife of a police 8 officer. Again, it's still being investigated, but we 9 need to be looking within the ranks of our own police department to really kind of evaluate the character of 10 the people that we have who are commissioned to serve 11 12 and protect us. 13 And if there are questions that are 14 coming up, then I think that's something that we need 15 to take a really hard look at and kind of encourage our chief of police to root out some of this. 16 17 And I know it's a tall order. There have been other correspondences that have come forward from 18 people who have identified themselves as police 19 20 officers that have not been very kind, that have not been inclusive and a little bit racist, but they're 21 also protected by the police union as far as, you 22 23 know, the hiring and firing goes. So there's still some First Amendment 24 issues there with whether or not the police can 25

```
1
    justify firing somebody for what they said on their
 2
   own personal time. I personally believe that this is
   not a First Amendment issue because we are not
 3
    imprisoning these people for saying these things.
 4
5
   while you have the right to say whatever you want to
 6
    say, that doesn't mean you have the right to
 7
    employment. And it doesn't mean that you have the
8
    right to employment by our city government, by our
9
    taxpayer dollars.
10
                 So this is something that I disagree
   with, but I've also gone back and forth with some
11
12
    lawyers on this issue as well and what they can do
   realistically speaking without getting into the middle
13
   of a lawsuit.
14
15
                 And it's really unfortunate, because
    essentially what we're saying is we are able to
16
17
   protect white supremacists because of potential
18
    lawsuits. And it's upsetting. It's upsetting that we
    can't have these conversations at -- these fair
19
20
    rulings in place for people who are supposed to be
   protecting us. And especially if these people are,
21
   you know, having some problematic behavior, who are
22
23
    they really protecting?
24
                 MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. So I just want to
   make sure I understand. Please don't assume you know
25
```

```
where my mind is at, what I'm thinking. So Race
1
 2
   Matters wants the police department to investigate on
   who sent the e-mail and then after -- if it is
 3
   determined that it is a police officer's wife, that
5
    the police officer is terminated from his job?
6
                 MS. SICHENEDER: We're not going to make
 7
    any recommendations on what should happen to people
8
   when the stuff is found out. We are asking that it
9
    simply be investigated. And, you know, it's -- it's
    upsetting and it would be, you know, causing
10
    somebody's entire life to be disrupted to lose their
11
12
    job over something like that. However, we're also
    looking at the safety of the citizens here and whether
13
    or not citizens are being treated fairly and equally
14
    and protected equally under the law by these people
15
   who are holding biases.
16
17
                 So I -- I personally would not make any
   recommendations on whether or not they should be fired
18
   or kept on administrative leave or anything of that
19
20
   nature. That's outside of, you know -- that's above
   my pay grade -- my pay grade.
21
                 MS. WILLIAMS: And so exactly, for you,
22
23
   what is it that you find offensive in the e-mail?
24
                 MS. SICHENEDER: I think the attitude is
25
   very problematic. Obviously there's some problematic
```

```
language here that's being thrown out. There is
1
 2
   obviously blanket support for the police department
   without, you know, really looking at whether or not
 3
   what we're saying as an organization is factual,
   whether what we're saying as an organization is
5
6
   helpful.
 7
                 And we have done a lot of work with the
8
   police department trying to coordinate and educate and
9
   not just go in there and demand people's heads.
   want to really be able to support the community.
10
    it's just -- comes to light another -- another
11
12
    attitude that's prevalent in our community.
                 But yeah, I -- it's -- it's been an
13
    interesting -- interesting couple of weeks here
14
15
    getting all of these sorts of correspondences and
16
    seeing whether or not we're going to get any more
17
    correspondences of that nature.
                 MS. SEAMON: Thank you for coming forward
18
    and sharing that with us so that we can be aware.
19
20
                 MS. SICHENEDER: Any other questions?
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Any further questions?
21
                               This is for Rose. What --
22
                 MR. PRINGLE:
23
    I guess with something like this, what -- what -- what
    do we have the power to do?
24
25
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: At this point it's
```

```
really -- I think she said the police were already
1
 2
    investigating it. Right?
 3
                 MS. WILLIAMS: There's nothing that we
    can do about it.
 4
                 MS. GOMEZ: Can I ask the officer a
5
 6
    question? How much like training -- racial bias
 7
    training and stuff are there for police officers in
 8
    Columbia? How much of that kind of training do police
 9
    undergo?
10
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: That's required once a
11
   year per state law.
12
                 MS. GOMEZ: Is it a specific class or
13
   what's it called? I'd like to --
14
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: It's just a bias free--
15
    I can get you the documentation on it.
16
                 MR. PRINGLE: It's in Policy 402.
17
                 SERGEANT ALPERS: Yes, it's in --
18
                 MS. GOMEZ: Okay. Because I wonder if,
    you know, things like this are happening, if part of
19
2.0
    what the community could work on is recommendations
    for other types of training or conversations even.
21
    Like that might be a good direction to head in.
22
23
                 MS. WILLIAMS: I mean we've already been
    down that road where a police officer wrote some
24
    inappropriate things on his social media website and
25
```

```
it made it in the news and it was front page paper.
1
 2
    So we've already been down that road for a police
    officer.
 3
                 But when it's a citizen on the street who
 4
 5
    is sending an e-mail to an agency that technically
 6
    really we don't -- I mean there's not much we can say.
 7
    We thank you for sharing it with us, but at that point
 8
    that's where it draws the line for us right there.
 9
    Unless we find out later on down the line that it was
    actually a law enforcement officer with Columbia
10
    Police Department who actually was the one who sent
11
12
    the e-mail. But just for a citizen off the street who
13
   wrote that --
                 MS. GOMEZ: But if there's -- like if
14
    it's a reflected sort of values that are going on or a
15
    racial issue or if there's like an organization that's
16
17
   having struggles with the police, I mean couldn't we
    work to try to help them mediate that relationship?
18
                 MS. WILLIAMS: But there we go assuming
19
20
    that there's some law enforcement officers that have
21
    that attitude. We can't -- we cannot just assume
    that, because then we are stereotyping police
22
23
    officers. We can't just assume that Columbia Police
    Department has racist police officers. We can't
24
    assume that.
25
```

```
1
                 Until they actually do something that
 2
    shows us by -- an example, posting something on their
 3
    social media post -- page for the public to see,
    showing their opinion of how they see homeless people
    or how they see women, that's when it is an issue for
5
6
   us as a Board.
                 MS. GOMEZ: Oh, I thought -- maybe I
 7
8
   misunderstood your comments. I thought part of the
9
   conversation was being indicative of sort of
   relationship between the police issues.
10
                 MS. SICHENEDER: Well, it's -- again,
11
12
    she's right. Whether or not the police officer
   himself or herself -- I don't know -- I guess it's an
13
14
   himself -- is demonstrating the same sort of behaviors
    and attitudes on his public forums. I think that's
15
16
    something that could potentially be looked at, but
17
    without there being a complaint about that, it's --
                 MS. WILLIAMS: Exactly.
18
                             It's a challenge.
                 MS. GOMEZ:
19
20
                 MS. SICHENEDER: Yeah. You don't want to
    just go in there and ask for people's heads.
21
22
                 MS. GOMEZ: No, no. Of course.
                                                  But it
23
    seems like with racial biases and the classes that we
    do -- I mean, you know, I teach these things to my
24
25
   classes not assuming that they're racist, but just
```

```
because it's how you develop relationships with
1
 2
   different people. So I was just curious if that was
    like --
 3
                 MS. WILLIAMS: And from my understanding,
 4
 5
    they do have -- because I remember asking this
    question. They have sensitivity training.
 6
                 MS. GOMEZ: Yeah, yeah. I'll be looking
 7
    into the 402.
8
 9
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: And next month Sergeant
    Custer is coming to talk about training, I believe.
10
    So he will be here on that. And then the other thing
11
12
    is the City does offer Building Inclusive Communities
    training. Not only to city staff, including police
13
14
    officers and management and City Council, but also to
15
    members of boards and commissions. I believe Darryl
16
   has gone to some of them.
17
                 MR. SMITH: I've completed all of them.
                 MS. SEAMON: What is it called?
18
                 MR. SMITH: Building Inclusive
19
2.0
    Communities.
21
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Building Inclusive
    Communities training. It's based on a national
22
23
    curriculum. And some people -- City staff, Columbia
    Public Schools and MU, they've sent people to be
24
25
    trained and then they come back and train other people
```

```
and they have different series of classes on different
 1
 2
   biases and psychosocialization. If you have a chance
   to go, it's -- it's a very interesting experience.
 3
   They're very small groups, lots of sharing of feelings
 4
 5
   and --
 6
                 MS. SEAMON: Do you have any feedback on
 7
    the courses?
                 MR. SMITH: Well worth the time.
 8
                 MS. GOMEZ: Thanks.
 9
10
                 MR. PRINGLE: And also 402, actually --
    they reviewed it at the February 27th meeting. Our
11
12
   bias street policing policy is -- goes beyond the
   CALEA standards. And if you look back also, we
13
   received an e-mail February 7th with a review of that
14
   policy. It's a 22-page essay. I recommend reading
15
    it. It's a great e-mail. February 7th e-mail.
16
17
                 MS. SICHENEDER: Now, I will add a
    commentary to that. Race Matters Friends is also
18
    taking a look at this bias training and have found
19
20
    some of it to be rather problematic and in need of
21
   adjustment based on what our Ph.D.s are going through
   right now.
2.2
23
                 MS. GOMEZ: Would we be able to hear
    comments on what parts Race Matters finds problematic?
24
25
                 MS. SICHENEDER:
                                  I'm sure Tracy would be
```

```
more than willing to have a conversation with you.
 1
 2
                 MS. GOMEZ: Yeah.
                                    I mean, I'd be
    interested in hearing what parts and seeing if we can
 3
   figure some of that out.
 4
                 MS. SICHENEDER: Yeah.
                                         And make
 5
 6
   recommendations for improvements, absolutely.
 7
                 MS. GOMEZ: Yeah, yeah.
 8
                 MS. SICHENEDER: I mean we're here for
    that for sure.
 9
                 MS. GOMEZ: Can we like add that to an
10
   agenda?
11
12
                 MS. SEAMON: We can request that she come
13
   and add to an agenda to speak with us.
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Can I just ask this
14
15
    question? Are we talking about the training that the
   police go through or the training that -- the Building
16
17
    Inclusive Communities training?
                 MS. SICHENEDER: The training that the
18
   police go through is the one we've specifically taken
19
20
   a look at. I will also add that Tracy's husband was
    in a motorcycle accident about two weeks ago and he
21
   will be bed ridden for the next three months while
2.2
23
   she's finishing her Ph.D. So it might be difficult
24
   for her to make a meeting over the next couple of
25
   months, but we still have -- we can still get you
```

```
research.
 1
 2
                 MS. GOMEZ: Or even document. Yeah,
   yeah. I'd be interested in -- I mean, I don't know
 3
    if -- what we can --
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: If you can send it to
 5
   me or the CPRB contact information on the internet,
 6
   then I'll push it out to all of them.
 7
 8
                 MS. SICHENEDER: Okay. We'll take a look
 9
   at that. Thank you.
10
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: No problem.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: All right. Are there
11
12
   any other comments by the public members or staff?
                 MS. SEAMON: We never went over the
13
   mission statement that I sent out. Do we want to do
14
15
    that next -- why don't -- you want to put it on the
   next calendar?
16
17
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: I believe Darryl also
   sent one in.
18
19
                 MS. SEAMON: Oh, you sent -- you replied
20
    to the mission statement with an amendment to it.
21
   Correct?
                 MR. SMITH: Just a different version.
2.2
23
                 MS. SEAMON: Okay.
24
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Is there a motion?
25
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: You don't need a
```

```
motion. I have it down mission statement for next
 1
 2
   time.
                 MS. SEAMON: For next time. Okay.
 3
   Perfect.
 4
 5
                 MS. WIBBENMEYER: And what we'll do is
   we'll kind of do what we did this time and we'll add
 6
 7
   it to the agenda.
                 MS. SEAMON: Wonderful.
 8
 9
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So next thing on our
   agenda, if there are no comments by public members or
10
   staff, is to go into a closed session. So is there a
11
12
   motion to go into closed session?
                 MR. SMITH: Motion to go into closed
13
   session pursuant to Section 610.021, subsection 14,
14
15
   records which are protected by disclosure by law per
   Section 610.100 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri
16
17
   and in accordance with Section 2-25.3, subsection 13
   and 21-54.C.1 of the city code.
18
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Is there a second?
19
20
                 MR. PRINGLE: Second.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: All right. I'll do a
21
   roll call vote to go into closed session. Ms. Gomez?
22
23
                 MS. GOMEZ: Yes.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Heckman-McKenna?
24
25
                 MS. HECKMAN-MCKENNA:
                                       Yes.
```

```
MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Williams?
 1
 2
                 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
 3
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Catlettstout, yes.
                 Ms. Seamon?
 4
 5
                 MS. SEAMON: Yes.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Mr. Pringle?
 6
 7
                 MR. PRINGLE: Yes.
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Ms. Grover?
 8
 9
                 MS. GROVER: Yes.
10
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Mr. Smith?
                 MR. SMITH: Yes.
11
12
                 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: All right. The motion
    to go into closed session has passed. Everyone that
13
    is the public needs to leave the room while the Board
14
15
   meets in closed session. When the Board returns to
   open session, an announcement will be made in the
16
17
    lobby and you can return to the public meeting.
18
                 (Whereupon, the meeting was closed at
19
   7:27 p.m.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Trancript
1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
4	
5	I, Tracy Thorpe Taylor, CCR No. 939, within the
6	State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness
7	whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition
8	was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said
9	witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and
LO	thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction;
11	that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor
12	employed by any of the parties to the action in which
13	this deposition was taken, and further, that I am not
L4	a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
15	employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or
16	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
17	May Z. 1 Daylor
18	Stored or Disgrat
19	Tracy Thorpe Taylor, CCR
20	
21	
22	
23	

	35 27:22	accomplish 34:18	allowed 16:24
1	360 64:14	accountability 4:14	allowing 4:18
10 20:22 21:6	3rd 58:20	accountable 26:18	alongs 6:3 7:3,6
10-15 35:8		47:8	Alpers 6:16,19 22:15,
14th 54:3	4	ACLU 56:7 57:3	18 23:2 24:6,19,24 28:25 29:7 30:7,20
15 20:16 21:1,7	402 70:16 73:8	actual 4:12 20:12 50:23 65:20	31:14 32:2,17 34:25
15-minute 20:12		ADA 56:15	35:5 37:15 38:13,17 40:25 41:7,9,13,20
1817 64:3	5	add 15:5 17:10 21:15	42:1 55:12,24 56:3 58:8,9,13 70:10,14,17
19th 5:17	5th 64:20	32:15 41:14	alternative 28:12
		added 28:13	amend 10:4 19:21
2	6	adding 38:21 45:8	21:20 33:9 39:21
2020 5:17 58:20	6:01 3:1	addresses 21:11	43:22
24 35:15		administrative 35:1	amended 18:17 49:10,13 50:6,18,21
24-hour 35:11	7	adopt 12:13 18:16	52:8
27th 6:10 58:12	70.2.1 41:10	49:10,15	amending 45:6 50:4,
3		advantage 30:3,4	15,16 amendment 7:18
	8	advertised 56:15	14:9,11,14,18,19 16:5,
30 20:23 21:2,7,21,22	8:50 64:21	57:16	11 19:5,7,15 42:3,21 44:21,25 48:22 49:4
22:22,23 25:22,24 26:2 27:17 28:8,23	8th 54:2	advocacy 54:15,18, 21	50:8 53:6 66:24 67:3
29:24 32:8 33:14 34:15 35:20,24 36:1,9		afford 61:23	amendments 11:12
37:19 38:12 39:24,25	A	agencies 46:19	44:18,19
45:12,15,16,19,20 48:5 51:5,6,17,22,25	a.m. 64:21	agency 71:5	amount 9:19
30-minute 22:1 36:20	ability 16:9	agency's 46:16,17	and/or 18:24
40:5 42:8,12,15,17	absent 3:12 43:12	agenda 4:17 5:9,10,	Andrew 53:22 61:10
306 19:5	Absolutely 31:15	11 6:2 63:6	apes 64:25 appropriately 19:17
306.10 20:11 37:11	abstain 4:8 12:4 13:9,	agree 9:23 46:18 47:2 54:15	
306.10.A 37:16	23 17:17,19,23 18:11, 12	agreed 8:16	approval 4:4 5:9,13, 16,23 11:25
306.4 21:13,19 39:22	abstaining 4:7 5:15,	agrees 8:17	approve 17:9
306.4.1 45:5 50:8 51:3	25 12:2	ahead 17:11	approved 39:8
306.4.G 21:14 43:15 44:21	abstains 13:22	aides 4:13	approving 5:11,21
30th 58:20	accept 57:9	airline 60:14,16 61:18	38:25
31 47:25	acceptable 26:23	alive 62:8	arbitrary 25:7 27:18, 19 48:5,12
310 36:3	accepted 57:10	allegations 5:4	argue 30:10
JIU 30.3	accidentally 27:21		J
	1		1

arguments 32:3 Arizona 58:21 arrest 29:12 35:6 37:21,23 arrested 25:17 35:18 37:24 article 4:10 assess 23:17,21 26:14 assessed 46:2 assessing 30:18 assume 44:9 67:25 71:21,23,25 **assuming** 62:8,12 63:11 71:19 72:25 attached 65:15 attend 54:24 55:6 attendance 3:4 attention 33:10 attest 24:11 **attitude** 68:24 69:12 71:21 attitudes 72:15 **August** 58:20 **author** 14:15 authored 19:6 automatically 38:25 48:9 aware 8:21 69:19 **aye** 4:3 5:12,22 11:24 14:3,5,7 В **baby** 31:7 32:9

baby 31:7 32:9 **back** 12:13 23:7,16,20 27:7 28:15 30:2,15 31:16,17 35:24 37:10 38:9,10 54:7 67:11 73:25 **back-up** 29:20 backseat 30:25 balance 31:22 44:23 bandwidth 5:5 barring 28:16 based 29:12 73:22 baseline 41:21 **basically** 9:2 57:5 62:17 begin 22:2 40:6 42:8, 12,15 50:9 beginning 3:23 behavior 67:22 behavioral 19:11.12 behaviors 72:14 believed 38:6 bias 41:24 70:6.14 **biases** 68:16 72:23 biq 38:20 **bit** 4:21 25:8 37:2 66:21 **blah** 42:10 **Bland** 47:22 blanket 69:2 **blue** 34:23,24 **Board** 3:3,25 4:11,16, 20,22 5:1 7:6,20 8:2 9:13,15,20,25 14:14 15:7,8,17 16:7,10 18:16 25:1 32:23 33:6, 8 54:18 55:9,16,22 56:14,24 59:20 72:6

boards 73:15 booked 33:15 booking 60:17 books 26:1 brace 64:19 break 26:11 34:20 breaking 26:7 64:18 **bring** 11:3 33:7,9 **brought** 4:22 9:10 36:5 55:1 **budget** 16:24,25 59:6 61:19 **Building** 73:12,19,21 **built** 64:14 **bullet** 21:19 39:22 burden 31:3 **business** 7:7,8 36:25 53:15 56:12 58:18 **buying** 60:16 **BWC** 38:14 **bylaws** 7:8,9,17 11:19 13:3 17:8,10,13 18:17 C **CAD** 35:9

CALEA 41:3,4,9,11, 15,21 **calendar** 63:4

calendars 60:11 California's 54:19

call 3:2,4 12:24 13:4

17:15 29:9 35:1,2,6 43:5 44:3 52:7

called 34:23 70:13 73:18

candidate 4:24 capacity 49:25

car 23:16 25:6,22 27:7 29:14 38:4,11,15

card 64:6

care 23:11,17 26:21 31:4 33:2,3 34:11

cared 34:11

carefully 44:23

Carley 59:23

Carley's 8:13

cars 31:10,16,18

case 35:14

cases 32:10

cast 44:12

casting 44:15

Catherine 59:22

Catlettstout 3:1,6,8, 10,12,15,17,20,21 4:5, 7,9 5:6,14,24 6:20 7:2, 21 8:5 9:9,18 10:3 11:11,16,20,23 12:1 13:1,8,10,12,18,21,24 14:1,4,6,8,13,20 16:4, 20 17:15,18,20,22,23 18:1,3,5,7,15,21,23 20:18 21:14 25:13 27:4 33:12 34:22 35:3, 17,23 37:17,22 38:18 41:8,18 42:2,22 43:2, 4,7,9,11,16,18,20,24 44:4,7,11,14 45:4,17, 25 46:3 47:3,10,18 48:2,17 49:3,8,13,16, 21,24 50:7,17 51:1,18, 21 52:1,6,11,14,16,18, 22,24 53:1,7,9,14 54:25 55:4 56:6 57:1 58:5,16 59:4,8,12,16, 21 60:2 61:14 62:23 63:3,8,12,18,23 66:3 69:21

causing 68:10

center 35:7

certified 14:22 15:8, 10,12,13,18 18:25 41:15 59:15

chair 7:15,16 8:1,4,16 10:5 18:24,25 53:4

challenge 72:19

chance 5:10,18 7:16

change 10:23,25 19:3

38:19 62:12 changed 20:23 21:2, 18 30:9 chaos 23:9 26:21 chaotic 23:13.25 24:20 26:20 character 66:10 **charge** 35:15 **cheap** 62:15 cheaper 61:13 **check** 26:2 27:15 30:1 32:9 34:15 38:15 45:16 51:20 63:4 check-ins 20:12 checked 25:23 27:14. 15 31:11 38:6 checking 24:7 25:11 26:19 27:21 **checks** 20:13 chief 19:23,24 25:1 33:6 36:5 39:20,21 49:12 53:5 66:16 childish 6:14 circumstances 28:13,17 29:1 34:14 61:22 citizen 18:16 19:20 61:2 71:4,12 **citizens** 3:2 19:16 28:2 34:9 48:7 66:1 68:13.14 city 19:25 25:2 27:24 39:20 41:16 49:12 52:8,10,11 57:8,19,20 65:5,9 67:8 73:12,13, 14.23 civilian 16:2 **class** 70:12 classes 62:19 72:23,

25

20:4 30:10 34:17 37:4

clearance 34:25 conduct 21:23 40:1 51:7 56:12 Coalition 21:4 36:22 conference 15:11 coin 31:25 17:1 58:19 61:7,9 college 10:20 23:19 62:25 63:16 Columbia 64:23 70:8 conflicting 39:4 71:10,23 73:23 confused 49:18 comfortable 60:22 connect 54:14 comment 54:13 connections 6:3.4 comments 20:19 7:3,5 25:14 63:20,21 65:5 considered 22:3 40:7 72:8 42:10.13 50:11 51:12 Commission 8:14 constitutional 48:10 9:4 56:20.21 57:25 contact 64:6 commissioned content 64:21 65:10 66:11 66:6 commissions 73:15 **conti--** 40:2 communication continue 22:2 38:3 35:7 40:6 42:9 Communities 73:12. **continued** 11:6 21:24 20,22 38:7 40:2 42:17 51:8 **community** 4:13,15 continues 8:2 5:2 28:5,10 34:2,7,8 37:7.13 46:12 48:7.24 continuing 30:5 54:14,17 55:6,15,22 45:11 46:13 65:22 66:2,4 69:10,12 70:20 continuously 45:10 Como 64:14 control 28:17 company 64:13 convention 63:14 complacency 9:12, conversation 50:2 24 16:6 72:9 complaint 72:17 conversations 67:19 70:21 completed 73:17 convicted 33:25 compliant 56:16 **cool** 6:14,15 54:20 concept 8:25 55:21 58:4 62:3 63:17 concern 9:8 10:1,12 cooperating 65:12 21:12 24:7 48:6 coordinate 69:8 concerned 9:4 **cop** 35:8 concerns 44:23 copy 53:10 **concur** 11:10

Cornellia 13:1 **correct** 12:20 21:8 40:11 41:13,20 42:22 50:7 51:18 59:10 **correctly** 3:18 15:16 correspondences 66:18 69:15,17 council 57:9 65:5,9 73:14 counting 18:14 country 60:15 couple 10:7,8 58:10 60:12 69:14 **court** 18:9 32:16 **CPD** 22:12 27:9,12 28:2 54:16 **CPO** 19:1 **CPRB** 54:10,19 create 8:12 **creates** 48:4,12 Creek 64:3 **crime** 34:3 criminals 34:1.8 crisis 34:5 criticize 64:22 curious 73:2 curriculum 73:23 **Custer** 73:10 **custody** 33:2,3 35:7, 8,12 47:24 48:11 **cut** 16:25 **CW** 53:17 **cycle** 15:9 **cycles** 15:6 60:12 D dangerous 33:20

Darryl 45:15 73:15	9 41:10 42:9,13 50:11,	domestic 29:9	end 31:10 35:2,24
Darryl's 11:21	13 51:4,12,14	donate 57:8	36:24 38:9,22 45:13, 24 55:13
date 58:7	detainees 21:20 33:25 39:23 51:4	donation 57:9,10	ended 49:22
day 64:4	detaining 28:8	door 29:25	enforcement 16:3
days 29:3 58:10	detention 27:10	downtown 23:18	27:1 28:9 47:1 71:10,
de 47:14	33:16	draft 5:17,21 53:4	20
dead 65:25	determine 50:1	drafts 53:10	English 4:25
deadline 62:10	determined 68:4	draws 71:8	ensure 19:16
deal 23:12	determines 21:24	drone 6:13,14,16	entire 15:7,17 68:11
dear 19:9	40:3 42:6 51:8 62:25	drop 60:13	entry 34:24
December 37:3	detriment 66:1	drove 61:11	equally 5:3 68:14,15
decide 60:9	develop 73:1	drugs 65:1	ER 26:20,24
decided 38:3	die 33:2	duration 37:13	essentially 42:14 45:5 67:16
deciding 44:21	difference 44:17	duties 8:19	evaluate 32:7,8 33:15
decision 60:19	Digital 64:14	duty 25:16,18 27:1,5	48:3 66:10
decorum 64:18	direction 70:22	dying 22:9	evaluating 34:15
defend 65:25	directives 26:9	dynamics 30:8	evaluation 24:4
Delaney 3:20 17:22	disagree 47:15 67:10		evening 26:5
37:11 53:3	discuss 14:14 32:13 56:11 58:6	E	event 57:11,14,18
delicate 31:21	discussed 3:22	e-mail 18:20 53:18	events 55:15
demand 69:9	discussion 7:18	54:2 64:5,10,11,13,17,	eventually 15:5,15
demonstrating 72:14	9:10,11,14 48:15 49:9,	19 65:3,4,9,15,20 66:7 68:3,23 71:5,12	Everything's 21:13
department 4:16,25	22 55:21 56:13,14,22	Eagle 23:7,25	evidence 29:12
31:19 35:13 46:25	disqualifications 8:11	early 62:9	excited 63:16
47:6,16,24 52:8 64:9 65:7,12 66:1,10 68:2	disrupted 68:11	easier 21:11	exist 54:11
69:2,8 71:11,24	divorced 10:21	easiest 40:21	expect 33:21
department's 4:14	document 22:1,23	easily 8:8	expensive 55:10
6:11,13	23:1,3 24:1,3 25:5	educate 69:8	experience 19:11
departments 36:10	35:4 37:13 40:5 42:7 46:13 51:10,20,22	effort 54:13	experiencing 9:1
depending 59:7 61:21	documentary 54:20	emergency 24:12	explain 38:9
detain 27:4 38:8	documentation	employment 67:7,8	exposure 16:1
detained 27:23	22:15,18 24:14 28:22	enacted 32:13	external 4:11
33:22,23 37:25 38:2,3,	35:18 36:7 70:15	encounter 38:16	extra 8:19 9:5
10 45:19 detainee 22:3,5 40:7,	documented 35:9 dollars 67:9	encourage 54:24 66:15	extreme 29:1
		00.10	

extremely 23:24	Fisher's 13:25	give 10:8 19:6 41:21	
	fit 45:5	glad 5:7 11:3	Н
F	fixed 36:9,11 45:12	Gomez 3:4,5 6:6 7:19,	half 37:25 38:2
facility 33:16 56:16	floor 39:15 44:19	25 8:24 9:17,23 10:7, 13 11:2 12:7,10,12,16,	Hall 57:19
57:20	48:19 49:9	18,21 13:5,7,16 14:12	hand 18:22 45:10
factor 17:4,6	folks 21:4 23:12	17:16,17 20:25 24:1 28:11 30:13 32:1,4	handcuffed 27:7
factors 44:23,24	follow 27:20	34:12 36:13,16,19,23	handcuffing 19:5
factual 69:4	follow-up 54:6	38:21 39:2,9,17 40:23	handcuffs 38:11
fair 47:5 67:19	Force 54:20 55:2	43:3,5,6,22 45:22 46:1,7,9 48:21 49:5,18	handle 10:11
fairly 68:14	foresee 25:4	50:3,16,19 51:20 52:2,	happen 22:22 23:24
faith 53:18,19	forget 27:23 30:25	12,13 57:23 58:1,22, 25 60:25 61:6,20,24	31:15,19 37:5 53:9
falls 24:9	form 7:14	62:4 70:5,12,18 71:14	66:5 68:7
familiar 8:13 55:11	forums 72:15	72:7,19,22 73:7 good 6:11 8:5 16:10	happened 12:8,11 23:7 31:18 64:12
families 65:2	forward 12:18 49:25	25:6 28:15 31:1 56:4,5	happening 26:16
family 61:11,12	50:1 65:8 66:18 69:18 found 37:6 68:8	63:7,15 70:22	28:18 45:18 70:19
fault 28:3,4,10	found 37:6 68:8	government 24:8 67:8	happier 50:5
favor 4:2 5:11,21	free 70:14	government's 25:16	happy 5:6 37:8
11:23 16:5		34:10	hard 10:24 27:19
February 5:17 6:10 53:18 58:12	Friday 6:21 23:8	grade 68:21	66:15
fee 55:17 57:3,7	friend 62:1	graduate 10:20	harder 25:9
feel 5:1,3 34:16 49:21	Friends 55:25 64:5	gravity 31:23	head 23:6 30:15 70:22
fees 61:10	Friends' 64:15	great 32:21 53:8 62:6	heads 28:15 69:9 72:21
field 26:25 46:23,24	front 51:3 71:1	group 54:3 64:21	health 21:4 34:5
fight 24:17	frustrated 37:2,7	groups 53:18,19	36:22
fights 26:7,11 27:7	Fuck 64:21	54:15,18,21	hear 12:8 54:5
figure 64:1	full 28:6 57:25	Grover 3:10,11 4:8 14:4,5 18:3,4 21:13,17	heard 62:22
film 56:20,22	fully 9:25	36:8 43:18,19 44:20	heart 19:10
find 19:8 40:21 58:14	G	45:9 52:24,25 60:23	Heather 4:24 59:23
64:17 68:23 71:9		guess 7:13 11:5 14:21 37:20 38:24	heavy 32:25
fine 38:7 48:21,22	gangs 65:1	44:3 45:2 48:18 69:23	Heckman-mckenna
fired 24:22 68:18	gas 24:17 26:6	72:13	3:18,19 4:19,23,24 6:25 12:3 13:8,9
fires 26:10	general 37:21 63:20	gun 23:9 24:22 26:10	17:18,19 43:7,8 52:14,
firing 66:23 67:1	generally 19:14 29:10,19	gunshots 27:8	15 62:21
Fisher 3:12 13:24 59:13	gig 26:25		held 24:11 47:8,12,16 helpful 69:6
03.10			

helps 27:12	improve 16:9	introductions 4:18	45:11,15 49:19 65:19
hey 25:6 40:16	in-house 35:13	investigate 47:21	66:10,15,20 70:8
high 47:16	inappropriate 70:25	68:2	knowing 64:12
higher 47:13	incident 22:20 29:8	investigated 66:8 68:9	
hiring 66:23	incidents 23:24	investigating 65:11	
hold 29:25 47:6	include 21:19 39:22	70:2	language 11:12,18 12:14 13:2,6 14:15
holding 47:11 68:16	44:24,25	investigation 38:5	17:9 18:17,23 22:21
holds 26:18	included 28:23	isolated 65:22	28:13 36:12 39:7 42:3 43:15,23 45:6 46:5
home 29:10 30:8	including 39:6 56:17 73:13	iss 54:25	50:4,5,18,21 52:8 69:1
homeless 72:4	inclusive 66:21	issue 9:12,21 16:6	large 22:20 23:24
honestly 28:2	73:12,19,21	22:7,8,9 24:10,15 36:7 51:24 55:1,2 67:3,12	24:17
honesty 57:17	increasing 4:14	71:16 72:5	law 16:2 27:1 47:1 55:11 68:15 70:11
hook 32:20	independent 4:11	issues 9:10 23:12 25:3 30:12 42:4 66:25	71:10,20
hoping 34:17 54:5	indicative 72:9	72:10	lawsuit 47:23 67:14
hotel 61:17	individual 42:16		lawsuits 28:1 67:18
hour 37:25 38:2,5	information 38:22	J	lawyers 67:12
hours 31:10 35:15	63:15 informed 64:9	jail 47:22	leaders 9:13
house 29:22		job 6:11 25:8 32:19	leadership 9:16 16:7
Human 8:13 9:4 56:19	initial 21:22 39:24 51:6	54:16 68:5,12	leave 11:19 13:11 25:21 30:1 68:19
hundred 25:20	initially 36:5,6	jobs 32:21,24	letters 53:10
Hundreds 24:18	injured 22:9	jog 30:11	level 57:15
hurt 33:3	inside 30:2 47:21	joining 5:1 65:1	liability 25:24 27:12,
husband 64:23	institution 33:22	Jones 33:7 36:5	25 47:20 48:4,10,12,
114354114 04.23	interest 45:10	justify 67:1	24
I	interested 5:1 36:13, 24 58:21,23 59:24	K	liaison 8:17
idea 10:14 13:16	interesting 64:11	Karen 64:2	licensing 55:2,16
28:15 63:13	69:14	keeping 24:4 27:10	57:3,7
ideas 8:7	internally 25:1	kid 30:6,25	lieu 4:1
identified 66:19	interrupt 41:1	kids 30:24	life 10:19,21 68:11
illuminating 64:7	interview 29:24	kill 31:17 47:20	light 69:11
implied 66:7	interviews 29:20 30:5	killed 31:15 47:22	limit 7:22 13:17,19 48:12
important 8:25 17:4 25:12,15 33:13,24	introduce 19:7	kind 8:18 9:12 15:14	limited 8:4 44:24,25
34:6 54:11 65:18	introduction 4:19	20:11 21:11 25:13	limits 7:15,24 9:11,19
imprisoning 67:4	1	37:4 40:15 42:17,18	1.13,24 9.11,19

lingo 17:12 19:18 22:10 28:22 35:8 36:3 link 53:13 literally 24:23 26:10

literature 19:13 21:3

live 64:3

lives 62:1 64:15

local 57:3

log 35:10

logs 65:13

long 11:1 17:2 35:4 37:18 57:15

longer 22:3,4 28:8 33:14 35:20,24 36:1 37:19 38:11 40:7,8 42:9,13,14 45:20 50:11,13 51:12,13

longest 60:6,24

looked 55:8 62:13 72:16

lose 41:4 68:11

lost 15:20 30:2 49:20

lot 9:2,5 23:20 24:19, 20 27:22 32:20 34:2 58:2 65:17 69:7

lots 7:3

love 36:8,12 48:25 53:17

Low 24:21

lucky 64:23

М

made 5:20 7:9,10 14:15 25:8 33:7 39:19 61:12 71:1

main 26:25

major 47:23

make 11:8 16:21 19:21,23 24:4 25:8,18

26:2 27:5 29:11 31:4 32:5 33:8 34:10,23 39:4,11 42:21 44:16 60:11,19 66:4 67:25 68:6.17

makes 21:10 48:22

making 17:6,12 22:7 24:7 32:5

management 73:14

manager 20:1 25:2 39:21 49:12 52:10,11

manpower 24:15,21 26:12

manual 6:9

March 54:2 64:20

marginalize 32:23

marginalized 19:13

Marketing 64:14

married 10:21

mass 26:6

Matters 55:25 64:5,14 68:2

means 35:8

media 70:25 72:3

mediate 71:18

medical 26:25

meet 8:23 41:16,17 53:19

meeting 3:2,24 5:17, 22 6:9 54:2 56:14,17, 24 57:16

meetings 54:24

member 4:20 5:7 9:20 15:11 37:13 56:11,21,25 57:24 59:18

member's 28:10

members 3:25 7:6,10 8:3 24:16 25:4 28:6 34:7,8 48:24 54:15

55:6 63:20 73:15

memory 30:11

mental 21:3 24:4 30:14 34:5 36:22

mentally 30:18 32:7 34:14

mention 37:8

met 6:5

methods 41:10

Meyer 6:7

middle 29:24 42:5

67:13

mind 24:5 33:1 68:1

mindful 65:24

minds 33:4

mine 15:1

minute 29:25

minutes 5:17,18,20, 22 20:16,23 21:1,2,21, 22 22:22,23 23:10 25:22,24 26:2 27:17, 22 28:8,23 29:24 32:8 33:14 34:15 35:20,24 36:1,9 37:19 38:12 39:24,25 45:12,15,16, 19,20 47:25 48:5 51:5, 6,17,22,25 56:17

misconduct 4:12

missed 44:2

mission 3:23.24 4:1

misunderstood 50:6 72:8

Mizzou 4:25

model 41:12 54:19

moment 19:7 43:12

moments 4:21

Monday 6:7 7:1

monitored 49:2

month 7:4 36:25

60:10 62:24 63:12 73:9

month's 63:6

months 10:25 37:4

motion 11:14,15,16, 18,21 12:25 13:2,3,5 14:8,20 16:12,15,19, 21 17:9,12 18:15 19:21,22 33:8 39:15, 17,18,20 40:13,14,21, 24 42:20 44:7 48:17, 18,19 49:9,25 50:14, 23 52:1

motioning 49:4

motions 17:7 49:17

move 7:7 10:20 12:18 14:9 20:7 38:24 39:10 44:18 48:15 50:1,8,10, 20 58:17 63:19

moved 36:6 52:2

movement 53:17,20

movie 55:16,19 57:20

moving 19:2 53:15

MU 73:24

multiple 26:7 29:9 60:13

Ν

N-- 15:23

NACOLE 15:10,24 18:25 58:19 63:19

named 65:19

national 22:8 73:22

nationally 41:11

nature 68:20 69:17

Nay 4:6

necessarily 28:4 34:1 40:18 45:21 48:23 60:8

necessity 25:11

needed 5:19 27:15 44:16 59:9 Netflix 56:3 newest 4:20 news 71:1 Nicole 39:19 44:2 59:25 Nicole's 42:3 51:2 **night** 6:24 23:8 26:20 29:4 **no's** 43:25 non-violent 19:12 nonessential 62:18 **normal** 56:17 number 10:1 22:19 25:8 27:19 **nurse** 19:10 **nurses** 47:12 nursing 46:23,24 0 Oakland 54:19 **obey** 26:8 occasional 9:5 **occurs** 29:8

offense 34:4 offensive 68:23 offer 73:12 officer 6:7 21:22,24, 25 25:18 28:6 29:19, 20,21 35:21,25 39:25 40:3,4 42:5,7 44:23 48:1 51:6,8,9 64:22 66:8 68:5 70:5,24 71:3,10 72:12 officer's 26:9 33:1 38:20 68:4

officers 4:13 5:4

17:5,7 19:18 26:13

ongoing 31:8 34:3 open 7:17 14:13 **opened** 64:10 operator 6:16 opinion 24:25 54:16 opportunity 29:3 opposed 4:5 5:14,24 opposition 11:7 option 62:2 order 3:3 7:14 41:15 organization 56:7,9

57:3 69:4,5 71:16 outreach 53:15 58:6 oversight 16:2 19:1 Ρ

53:15 64:17,18 66:17

27:20 29:10.11 44:22

66:20 70:7 71:20,23,

24 73:14

38:5

45:14

66:4 72:4

55:21

12:1

p.m. 3:1

pain 47:5

panel 56:13,22,25 57:15 58:2

paper 60:3,4 71:1

paperwork 35:2

parents 30:23 61:1

part 7:7 9:2 16:6 30:13 34:12 37:6 61:24 70:19 72:8

participated 6:4

partnered 56:20

pass 13:5 14:18 16:18,19,21 17:12

32:14 44:6.8.14 passed 6:1

passes 14:9 18:13,15

patient's 26:22

patients 26:21

patrol 23:16 29:13 31:10,16,17

pay 57:3 61:4 68:21

paying 55:16

PB-- 48:9

PD 48:9

peace 27:2

pending 15:2 39:15

people 3:4 8:3,9,10, 15,18 9:1,6,12,22,24 10:20 17:1 19:14 20:15 22:9,20 24:8,19, 20 25:11,21 26:6,8,19 29:9 30:14,17,21 31:9, 15,17 32:7 33:10 34:1, 2 39:13 40:20 48:11 50:4 52:9 54:11 55:14 57:18,21 58:2,3 60:12 62:6 65:5.25 66:11.19 67:4,20,21 68:7,15 72:4 73:2,23,24,25

people's 28:15 69:9 72:21

perceived 4:12

Perfect 53:14

period 21:21 22:1 39:24 40:5 42:8,12,15, 17 50:9 51:5

person 8:16 15:5,10, 12 24:9 26:2,9,14 27:23 29:13 30:18 33:22 35:25 45:19 62:20 65:19,20

person's 29:17 65:15

personal 67:2

personally 10:23 67:2 68:17

Ph.d. 4:24

physically 28:14 32:13

picking 17:5

picture 26:5

picturing 23:6

pinpoint 64:16 65:13

place 11:9 29:13 67:20

plan 63:13

playing 31:24

point 10:18 21:19 39:23 54:3 55:1,9 60:17 65:19 69:25 71:7

points 11:7 32:5

police 3:2 4:12,13,14, 15 6:8,10 18:16 19:4, 23,24 20:20 24:23 26:9,10,13 31:19 35:13 36:10 39:20,21 46:25 47:5,13,16,24 49:12 52:8 53:5 64:9, 22,24 65:6,12,25 66:7, 9,16,19,22,25 68:2,4,5 69:2,8 70:1,7,8,24 71:2,11,17,22,23,24 72:10,12 73:13

policies 6:11 39:5 41:24

policing 41:25 54:17

policy 6:9,12 19:3,4, 6,16,19,21 20:3,20 22:11 26:1,18 27:11, 14 30:10,16 33:6 37:4 39:22 47:19 49:15 58:8 70:16

poor 54:16

portion 28:22

position 9:7 19:9 33:17

positive 6:2,4 7:3,5

post 72:3 29:15 31:8 40:6 42:9 60:25 70:6 73:6 reasoning 22:1 40:5 42:7 51:10.22 posting 72:2 processing 28:21 **questions** 6:17 20:8, 19 48:25 65:17 66:2, reasons 45:23 46:21 potential 30:12 67:17 properly 25:4 13 69:20,21 reassess 19:19 20:15 potentially 8:11 9:21 propose 53:23 **quick** 12:9 26:22 28:23 72:16 proposed 7:9,17 reassessed 19:17 quickly 60:18 power 69:24 11:17 14:9,19 17:9 21:5 36:4 38:6 **quorum** 57:15 19:2,3 20:19 42:2 practicable 32:16 reassessment 22:11 50:18 46:6,8,9 25:7 36:2 42:18 protect 25:16 27:9 R **practical** 45:16,23 received 64:10 65:9 33:23 47:13,19 48:11 46:1,6 66:12 67:17 Race 55:25 64:4,14 recently 56:19 practice 15:23 16:1 68:1 protected 27:12 recert 15:12 58:24 practitioner 18:25 66:22 68:15 racial 70:6 71:16 recerted 59:14 72:23 protecting 5:3 67:21, preference 7:12 23 **recertify** 59:9,13 racism 65:24 pretty 6:10,15 15:25 protection 5:3 recognized 41:12 63:17 racist 66:21 71:24 72:25 prevalent 69:12 protects 19:18 27:25 recommend 39:5.10. 28:1 47:19 21 49:11 50:20 52:7 radio 35:6,9,10 **previous** 14:18 53:10 **provide** 4:10 35:25 recommendation **Ragtag** 56:20 57:22 pricing 59:7 61:17 37:8 19:23 20:10,19 28:12, raising 11:7 primary 29:19,21 16,19 34:13 39:2 **public** 6:9 56:11 ranks 66:9 57:18 63:20,21 65:4 recommendations **Pringle** 3:13,14 6:8, 72:3,15 73:24 53:11 68:7,18 70:20 18 11:5 14:2,3,21 re-evaluation 51:7 15:22 18:1,2 20:7,10, **pull** 20:6 recommended 15:23 re-read 21:9 17,21 21:6,10 35:22 16:1 54:17 37:10,20 38:24 39:3 pulled 21:3 re-vote 44:16 41:23 43:13,14,17 recommending punishing 28:5 reach 54:7 64:16 44:2 45:2,7,14 49:19 32:11 50:24 51:16,23 52:22, purpose 4:1,2,9,16 read 4:1 5:18 14:10 recommends 41:22 19:15 54:12 23 53:16 54:1,23 58:7, 20:4 21:8 51:1,3 64:18 11,15 59:1,22,25 62:5, reconfirmed 60:13 **put** 11:8 16:22 18:19 reading 3:23 4:2 36:3 15 69:22 70:16 23:11.15 29:17 37:24 records 63:24 ready 9:7 63:22 **prior** 65:9 41:1 48:7 55:22 62:17 redo 12:21 63:5 real 20:12 65:21 **privy** 11:2 reevaluate 33:13 **puts** 26:1 realistically 67:13 probable 29:11 51:21 putting 36:24 48:11 reality 31:22 problem 22:13,14 reevaluation 21:23 30:14 40:1 realize 25:15 problematic 67:22 Q 68:25 reference 35:16 reas-- 19:16 problems 65:1 referring 24:16 qualifications 8:23 reason 7:25 10:23 29:21 35:25 procedures 41:12 reflected 71:15 qualified 8:10 reasonable 28:19 process 4:11 11:1 registered 19:10 **question** 7:19 8:5 48:3 15:14 22:2 24:25 20:22 22:6 24:14

18,20 72:10 33:5 removed 50:11 51:11 57:9 37:12 37:12

registration 62:10 regulations 46:17, relationship 71:18 relationships 73:1 rely 18:9 remember 15:16 33:25 34:6 36:3 41:2 57:21 73:5 remind 18:24 32:7 remove 28:21

repercussions 27:21 **report** 7:4 37:21,23, 24 38:1 39:20 45:18 reporter 18:9 reports 6:2 20:11

required 21:25 35:22 40:4 42:7 51:9 70:10

requirement 17:10

requirements 41:17,

research 27:19 36:14,17 37:6,8 39:6, 10 48:20,22 49:6,10

responding 49:7

response 26:19,23 65:4

responsibility 24:9 30:22 31:5 32:21 48:10

restrained 19:14 21:21 22:21 24:20 25:11 27:9 36:1 39:23 51:5

restraint 21:25 40:1.4

41:10 42:6 44:22 51:4. 7.9

restraints 19:4,5,9, 12,20 20:16,20 21:23 22:4,10,11,24 23:12, 16 26:15,23 28:24 30:19 33:13,14,18,20 34:2,3,24 35:4,19,23 37:14 39:22 40:8 42:13 46:14 47:21 48:8 50:10,12 51:11,

restrict 8:20,21 retroactively 24:2,3

Reverend 53:17

review 3:2 4:12 6:9 7:8,16 18:16 54:18 58:8

reviewing 33:6 revolving 15:15

rewrite 34:21

rid 12:16 13:16

ride 6:3,4,6,20,23,25 7:3,6

riding 29:2

rights 8:13 31:6 56:19

RMF 56:7

road 70:24 71:2

Rock 60:2,4

role 8:9,14 9:16

roles 9:1

roll 3:3 12:24 13:4 17:15 43:5 52:7

room 24:12 30:23 34:16

root 66:16

Rose 9:2 69:22

rule 35:12 36:9

rules 46:16,17,20

rulings 67:20

run 8:3 9:22 10:18

S

S-I-C-H-E-N-E-D-E-R 64:3

sad 56:5

safe 27:6,10 29:22 31:4

safety 5:2 44:23 45:10 68:13

Sandra 47:22

sat 6:8

Saturday 23:8

scale 22:20 23:24 24:17

scenario 23:6

scenarios 47:7

scene 22:19 24:21 28:10 29:16

schedule 57:11

scheduled 6:21,24 7:1

school 55:11

schools 54:9 73:24

scissors 60:3,4

screening 56:21,22 57:2

screens 41:1

Seamon 3:6,7 6:23 10:4,9 13:23,25 16:21 17:11,24,25 19:6,8,24 20:2,5,9,14,23 21:1,8, 15,18 22:8,25 23:4 24:11 25:10 26:17 28:20 29:6 33:5 34:19 36:2,15,18,22 37:2 38:23 39:19 40:11 43:12 46:10 48:6,14 49:23 50:14 51:15 52:5,20,21 54:5 55:18,

20 58:4.23 59:24 60:1. 4,22 61:7 62:1,7 63:2, 7,17 69:18 73:18

Seamon's 32:18

seconded 12:25 13:3 16:17 39:16 40:13 42:20 43:1,3

section 4:1,3 18:18 36:7 45:1 50:9

seize 24:9 25:16

seizes 24:8

selling 65:1

send 16:25 37:5 39:7, 20 53:13 59:18 62:5 65:16

sending 59:3 60:22 71:5

sense 11:8 32:5

sensitivity 73:6

sentence 42:5

sentiment 65:21

separate 46:19,20

September 58:20

Sergeant 6:16,19 22:15,18 23:2 24:6,19, 24 28:25 29:7 30:7,20 31:14 32:2,17 34:25 35:5 37:15 38:13,17 40:25 41:7,9,13,20 42:1 55:12,24 56:3 58:8,9,13 70:10,14,17 73:9

serve 7:20 8:1,9 9:20, 24,25 16:9 66:11

served 56:21

server 64:15

serves 8:14

service 4:13 5:5

serving 56:25

set 41:17

severer 65:13	19 63:9,11 73:17,19	States 31:20	Supreme 32:16
share 36:17	social 70:25 72:3	station 24:17 26:6	SWAT 41:25
sharing 69:19 71:7	somebody's 68:11	stay 59:20	
shoes 29:18	someone's 33:14	stayed 15:4,17 61:12	T
shooting 23:9	sort 30:16 34:20	stealing 65:1	ta 49:5
shootings 64:24	39:11,12 56:18 71:15 72:9,14	step 48:1	table 16:12 48:14,17
short 26:12	sorts 69:15	stepping 9:13	49:9,17 50:1 62:23
shot 27:8	sound 55:11	stereotyping 71:22	tactical 30:4
show 56:7 57:18,19	sounding 6:14	Steve 54:7	tail 45:24
showed 55:10,15,24	sounds 7:2 36:20	stop 23:7,25 62:17	takes 42:16
showing 72:4	63:7	street 71:4,12	taking 3:3 8:18 9:1
shown 57:20 shows 72:2	speak 14:16 16:4 29:16 65:6	strike 11:18 12:12,15 13:2,6	talk 41:3 50:3 54:10 60:10 73:10
Sicheneder 56:2	speaking 46:4 67:13	stringent 41:19,24	talked 8:18 31:11
63:22 64:1,2 66:6	speaks 46:11	strongly 5:2,3	talking 9:3 26:12
68:6,24 69:20 72:11, 20	special 61:22	struck 42:11	29:1,18 37:3,11 42:18 54:14 60:20
sides 31:25	specific 28:13 70:12	structured 15:4	tall 66:17
similar 65:10	spell 63:24	struggles 71:17	tally 18:7
simply 30:21 68:9	spelling 64:2	students 23:19	taxpayer 67:9
sit 31:13	spoken 65:8	stuff 26:4,15 41:25	teach 31:2 72:24
sitting 28:20 29:1 31:9	staff 28:6 63:20 73:13,23	55:5 56:17 65:7 68:8 70:7	team 5:8 6:17 34:23, 24 41:25
situation 8:8,22 23:7,	standard 15:23 24:12	sudden 10:25	technically 71:5
17,18 25:20 27:6 28:7	26:24 32:16 41:4 47:6, 13,17	suddenly 8:22	telling 23:25
31:22 33:19,20 47:7	standards 16:2	sue 27:24	ten 10:19 19:11 23:10
situations 47:8	41:10,16 47:12	sued 33:1	tend 23:23
slept 30:24	standing 24:23 39:13	suggested 11:12	term 7:15,24 9:11,19
slowly 50:23	start 3:3 4:18 13:5	suggestion 22:7 33:7 54:22 55:23	10:16 13:17,18
small 26:6 29:8	17:16	suicidal 34:4	terminated 68:5
Smith 3:8,9 4:6 7:11 11:10,18 12:15,17,20	started 37:3 60:20	super 54:10	terms 32:6
13:2,14 14:6,7,10,17,	starts 35:12	supervised 33:17	theater 57:25
23 15:3,21,25 16:14, 17 17:14 18:5,6,19,22	state 25:25 50:23 63:24 70:11	supervisor 48:2	thing 4:17 16:23 17:2 31:1 34:22 56:18
26:24 32:15 38:13 41:6,11 42:19 43:1,20,	statement 3:23,24	support 66:4 69:2,10	73:11
21 46:5,8 47:25 48:4,9	4:2	supposed 21:6 67:20	things 23:23 28:18
53:1,2 58:24 59:2,10, 14,17 60:6,23 62:8,12,	statements 29:19	supremacists 67:17	32:20 38:20 67:4 70:19,25 72:24

thinking 22:16 28:21 30:15,18 32:6,12 38:10 40:16,17 60:20 68:1

thought 10:11 23:2 49:2 50:3 53:21 55:9 72:7,8

threat 22:3 40:7 42:13 50:12 51:12

three-year 15:6

throw 37:11

thrown 69:1

Thursday 64:20

tickets 60:14,17 61:18 62:15

tie 46:17

tied 44:3,5

till 58:20

Timber 64:3

time 8:17 9:5,20 10:8, 10 11:6 14:10 20:13 23:20 25:5 26:8 31:24 35:14,16,18,19,20 36:9,11 48:12 61:10 67:2

times 7:20 22:20 60:13

today 3:4 62:16

told 27:14

tomorrow 6:24

tonight 39:10 49:22 53:4 60:9

totally 47:6

town 54:15

track 15:4,17 16:24

train 73:25

trained 21:22 39:25 51:6 73:25

training 16:8,9 30:17, 21 55:5 57:2,19 70:6,

7,8,21 73:6,10,13,22

transcript 5:19,20,22

transparency 46:11 65:6

transparent 48:23

transport 50:13

transportation 22:5 40:9 51:14

travel 62:18

treated 68:14

True/false 55:25

trust 4:15

truth 65:2

Tucson 61:1 62:2

turn 19:17

turnover 10:17

type 54:6

types 70:21

U

Uh-huh 18:15 27:3 29:6 36:15,18 38:23 43:25 61:4

ultimately 25:2

unanimous 5:13,23

unanswered 65:17

undergo 70:9

understaffed 28:3

understand 9:18 20:15 23:15,22 24:6 25:9 27:17 28:2 30:3,9 32:18 67:25

understanding 73:4

unfair 5:4

unfortunate 67:15

unhappy 46:12

union 66:22

unique 19:9

United 31:19

University 62:17

unjustified 48:13

updating 6:11

upsetting 67:18

68:10

urgency 23:22

V

values 71:15

vehicle 29:13

version 49:13

versus 27:1

vertical 63:11

vetting 24:25

vice 7:15 8:1,4,16

18:24

viewing 56:1

violence 29:9

violent 19:12

virus 17:2

voice 4:4 5:13,23

11:6,25

vote 5:13,23 11:13,17, 25 12:22,24 13:4 17:16 39:12 40:15 42:19 43:5 44:3,5,10,

11,13,15 50:5 52:7

votes 4:4

voting 40:18 43:14 50:24

vulnerable 19:14 33:19 34:9

W

wait 12:7 60:9

walk 29:25

walked 25:5

wanted 21:19,20 33:9 36:6 39:9 53:18 56:13 57:1 60:19 61:2

watch 29:23 54:19 56:4,12

watched 55:14

website 53:13 64:6, 15 70:25

week 3:22 64:4

weekend 23:8

weekends 23:19

weeks 30:25 62:21 65:9 69:14

weigh 45:10

weighs 32:25 33:4

what-if 29:4

wheel 15:15

white 65:2 67:17

WIBBENMEYER

7:23 8:6 11:14 12:5,23 14:24 15:2 16:16,18, 22 18:8,13 19:22,25 20:3 39:14,18 40:12 41:14 44:6 49:11,15 50:22 52:3,10 53:3,8, 12,21 55:3,7,13,19 56:10 57:5,24 59:6 60:8 61:9,15,21 62:11, 13,16 63:5 69:25 73:9,

wife 66:7 68:4

21

Williams 3:15,16 10:15 11:22 12:9,11 13:4,10,11,13,15,20 15:1,19 17:20,21 18:11 22:6,16 23:5 24:18,22 26:4 27:3 30:6 31:12 42:24 43:9, 10,25 44:9,12 46:15 47:4,15 51:24 52:16, 17 59:3,11,19 60:5,7 61:4 62:22 67:24

68:22 70:3,23 71:19 72:18 73:4
Williams' 24:13
with 16:14
withdraw 14:19 16:15
witnesses 29:16
women 72:5
wondering 20:25 44:16
wording 30:3
words 17:4 45:8
work 9:6 61:8 69:7 70:20 71:18
worked 10:24
working 53:22
works 34:21
write 45:24
writing 10:25
wrong 25:25 27:13,24
wrote 21:9 70:24 71:13
Y
Yay 41:8
year 8:2,4 10:6 15:5 59:15 70:11
years 8:15 10:2,8,19 15:7,16 19:11 59:20
yeses 44:1