In the Matter of:

**CITIZENS POLICE REVIEW BOARD** 

# TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

June 10, 2020



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

CITY OF COLUMBIA б CITIZENS POLICE REVIEW BOARD Transcript of Meeting June 10, 2020, 6:00 p.m. City Hall, Council Chambers Columbia, Missouri Brenda L. Schmelz, CVR-Master, CCR No. 1267 

Г

1	
2	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
3	Andrew Fischer, Chair
4	Carley Gomez
5	Delaney Catlettstout
б	Nicole Seamon
7	Darryl Smith
8	Catherine Grover
9	Travis Pringle
10	Cornelia Williams
11	Heather Heckman-McKenna
12	
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14	Rose Wibbenmeyer, Assistant City Counselor
15	Sergeant Alpers, Columbia Police Department
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

MR. FISCHER: Call the June 2020 Citizens Police 1 2 Review Board to order. We'll start off with the approval 3 of the agenda. Is there a motion to approve? MR. SMITH: Mr. Fischer, should we have a roll 4 call first? 5 6 MR. FISCHER: It's not on there, but we're all 7 here. MR. SMITH: Introductions? 8 9 MR. FISCHER: Didn't we do that last time? 10 Let's just move on. 11 MR. SMITH: Okay. 12 MR. FISCHER: So is there an approval of the 13 aqenda? 14 MR. SMITH: Motion to approve. 15 MS. SEAMON: I'd like to make a motion to move 16 public comment to the beginning of the meeting. 17 MR. FISCHER: Is there a second? 18 MR. SMITH: Second. 19 MR. FISCHER: All right. All those in favor of 20 moving public comment to now, let it be known by aye. 21 (Unanimous ayes.) 22 MR. FISCHER: All those opposed? 23 (No response.) 24 MR. FISCHER: All right. We will then open for public comment. If there's anyone that would like to talk 25

Transcript of Proceedings

and speak, please do. Just be respectful of everyone's
 time. I'm not going to measure it. So if there's anyone
 that would like to talk, come please, step forward.

4 PUBLIC COMMENT: Thank you for taking the time
5 to hear me. I'll keep this brief but informative and try
6 to be respectful of everyone's time.

7 So after meeting with several members of the 8 People's Defense Group here in Columbia, Missouri, we have 9 compiled a list of the following proposed reforms for law 10 enforcement in Columbia moving forward. This list has 11 been divided into areas of emphasis regarding law 12 enforcement systems so as to better achieve this. Anyone 13 who's interested in copies of these notes, they are 14 available upon request only as this is not a solicitation.

15 Under the idea of education, we propose that 16 officers complete classroom training amounting to an associate's degree but between 60 to 90 credit hours 17 18 focusing on the following subjects in order to better understand the members of the community that they are 19 20 protecting: Anthropology, sociology, criminal justice and 21 social work. In addition, we propose that officers be 22 educated on various mental health issues to better 23 recognize and understand how to handle these situations 24 pertaining to individuals with mental health issues. 25 On the subject of individual specialized

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

Г

1	training, we propose that individuals be trained in
2	smaller focus groups with more specialized training for
3	certain situations so that officers are not responding to
4	situations for which they do not have proper training.
5	We propose that black silhouette shooting
6	targets be eliminated and replaced as these targets
7	assemble African-Americans and turn training exercises
8	MS. WILLIAMS: Can you slow down?
9	PUBLIC COMMENT: I apologize. That's something
10	I tend to do when I get nervous and speak in front of
11	people. Thank you for pointing that out.
12	MS. WILLIAMS: Can you back to the last one?
13	PUBLIC COMMENT: Absolutely.
14	MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
15	PUBLIC COMMENT: So we propose that black
16	silhouette shooting targets be eliminated and replaced as
17	these targets resemble African-Americans and turn training
18	exercises into an exercise of racism.
19	We propose that officers be taught universal
20	standards for rules of engagement with citizens; for
21	methods of approaching citizens focused on citizen safety,
22	including asking citizens about any history of mental
23	health issues or injuries prior to Mirandizing in case of
24	arrest to ensure their protection; deescalation methods
25	for all officers focused on avoiding violence rather than

expecting it; resisting arrest, including clear 1 2 definitions and degrees of what constitutes resisting; fitting the description, including more strict 3 requirements as to what must be met before a law 4 enforcement officer can use this term to justify 5 unwarranted harassment. And we propose that these 6 7 training standards be made public so that the citizens 8 have a clear understanding of these policies as well as the law enforcement officials enforcing them. 9

Under the subject of recurring checks and balances, we propose that all potential law enforcement officers undergo counseling and a mental health assessment prior to joining to uncover any potential biases or preexisting prejudice tendencies influencing their judgment before being admitted administered by a third party and not a preexisting member of law enforcement.

We propose that all law enforcement officials undergo mandatory therapy throughout their service to ensure that they are in the right frame of mind to be properly protecting their community.

We propose a committee made up of members of the community as well as law enforcement officials to meet at minimum monthly to provide continuous open dialogue between law enforcement and the community that they are meant to protect. We propose that law enforcement officials be required to wear remotely-controlled body cameras at all times that they cannot turn on or off themselves and that any arrest made without a body camera recording be deemed invalid.

6 Under the subject of citizen complaints, we 7 propose a committee made up of community members, law 8 enforcement officials, the members of this Board in front 9 of me as well as Columbia City Council to hear any 10 instance of a citizen complaint against a law enforcement 11 official and to determine whether that complaint warrants 12 an investigation immediately so that any law enforcement 13 official potentially endangering the community can be 14 removed from duty right away until the investigation is 15 concluded.

We also propose that any law enforcement official under investigation be removed from duty completely without pay for the duration of that investigation.

20 We propose an anonymous reporting system for any 21 law enforcement official who feels that their peers are 22 abusing their power so that no member of law enforcement 23 feels at risk for reporting inappropriate behavior from 24 their peers.

25

We propose a clearly defined written warning and

discharge system for law enforcement officials who violate these rules or receive citizen complaints with strict measures to prohibit rehiring of officers at any precinct with a history of abusing the law or the citizens they are meant to protect with specific attention paid to officers who exhibit the use of excessive force.

We also propose that these warnings and
discharges be made public for the information and
protection of the citizens.

10 On the subject of judicial reform, we propose 11 that a literally blind judicial system be implemented with 12 the following measures serving only as examples: Citizen 13 juries being blindfolded when hearing witness testimony to avoid racial bias; any and all information that could form 14 15 racial bias such as a name or an address from a 16 predominantly one specific type of neighborhood be 17 scrubbed before being presented to a judge or a jury; for 18 example, using Citizen A from Columbia, Missouri, instead.

We propose that laws be reviewed more regularly with particular attention paid to older laws that have outlived their purpose and now only serve to excuse inappropriate behavior by law enforcement officials.

23 We propose that no-knock searches and searches 24 without a warrant by law enforcement officials be treated 25 as criminal acts as stated in Article IV of the United States Constitution, the right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures shall not be violated and no warrants shall issue but upon probable cause supported by oath or affirmation and particularly describing the place to be searched and the persons or things to be seized.

8 We propose that the prison system undergo 9 reforms to better serve the community and persons detained 10 rather than serving the businesses benefiting from prison 11 labor and owners of privatized prisons profiting from the 12 people within.

We propose a re-examination of law enforcement 13 14 budgets and what situations law enforcement officials are 15 expected to respond to, so that other groups who could better handle some of these situations receive the funding 16 17 they need to effectively do so and so that law enforcement 18 officials have the option to be involved in other groups 19 so that they may help in various ways that they may be 20 more interested in.

In order for these ideas to be refined and enacted, we propose a law enforcement transition committee be formed to best determine the reformation of our current policies as well as implementation of the new policies and plans moving forward to create a new era of law

Г

1	enforcement. This proposed committee is to be made up of
2	members of Columbia's community, specifically the
3	African-American community that has experienced
4	unparalleled discrimination for centuries as elected by
5	the community in conjunction with members of the Columbia
6	Police Department, members of the board in front of me,
7	members of the Columbia City Council. Only with all of
8	these voices present can we truly create a system for the
9	people by the people to protect all the people. We must
10	move forward together as a community to enact change.
11	Thank you very much for your time.
12	MS. WILLIAMS: I have a question.
13	PUBLIC COMMENT: Yes, ma'am.
14	MS. WILLIAMS: They know I talk a lot.
15	PUBLIC COMMENT: Beg your pardon?
16	MS. WILLIAMS: I said they know I talk a lot so
17	they kind of overlook me. So have you talked to Chief
18	Jones about any of this yet?
19	PUBLIC COMMENT: I have not, no. And there are
20	members of the People's Defense Group that I do know have
21	upcoming meetings scheduled with police officers. This is
22	more to simply express the idea to anyone who does want to
23	get involved and to put the idea of this committee out
24	here, not necessarily for, you know, myself to say here's
25	what the changes need to be and make them happen overnight

but to put the right minds together to be able to take 1 2 those ideas and turn them into action. 3 MS. WILLIAMS: Have you talked to the mayor 4 about any of it yet? 5 PUBLIC COMMENT: I have not. We put this 6 proposal together actually at a meeting just earlier 7 today. And if, you know, six or seven strangers with just 8 a common goal can do that, imagine what we can do with a 9 good committee on this. 10 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So you're with the People's 11 Defense Group? 12 PUBLIC COMMENT: Yes, ma'am. 13 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: And is there contact 14 information that we can have that you can share with Rose 15 \_ \_ 16 PUBLIC COMMENT: Yeah, absolutely. I have those 17 listed on my copies of these. I'll be happy to share with 18 anyone. 19 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Can you send that to Rose? 20 PUBLIC COMMENT: Yes, I sure can, as long as I 21 have an email address. MS. WILLIAMS: Can you send it to the board? 22 23 PUBLIC COMMENT: Absolutely. 24 MS. WILLIAMS: Because I'm a visual person. 25 PUBLIC COMMENT: Sure, yeah, I completely

understand. 1 2 MR. PRINGLE: I have a question. 3 PUBLIC COMMENT: Yes, sir. MR. PRINGLE: Have you looked any at the 4 5 Columbia Police Policy Manual on their website? 6 PUBLIC COMMENT: No, I have not. 7 MR. PRINGLE: In the beginning, I think there 8 are a few things that are in that manual that -- check it 9 out and see what they have in place and stuff that could 10 be improved upon based on what you guys have said. 11 PUBLIC COMMENT: Absolutely. 12 MS. WILLIAMS: I think reviewing the policies 13 and meeting with the police chief and meeting with the 14 mayor that some of those things that you read, I know, we 15 know that they do do. And so I think having that mass 16 meeting together would help to make that list even better. 17 PUBLIC COMMENT: Absolutely. And I thank you 18 for that. That is exactly what my hope would be for 19 putting that group together. MS. CATLETTSTOUT: What is the kind of makeup of 20 21 your group? I think you said it was some people that met 22 together. How did you find each other and what kind of 23 background did you have and the other members of the 24 group. How --25 PUBLIC COMMENT: Okay. So actually there are a

couple of people actually in attendance that would be much 1 2 better equipped to answer that question for you. There are actually some leaders from that group that are here 3 that I'm sure would absolutely love the opportunity to 4 5 speak after me. 6 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: All right. Thank you. 7 MS. WILLIAMS: I would love to hear from them. PUBLIC COMMENT: Thank you guys. 8 9 (Multiple thank you's.) 10 MS. WILLIAMS: Can somebody come forward and 11 tell me how you came up with the things that are on the 12 list that's from that committee? What made you pick those 13 things? 14 PUBLIC COMMENT: These were simply topics that 15 were brought up by a member that then spurred a meeting 16 between other members of the group. 17 MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. So were those things that 18 you picked because of what's going on nationally, around 19 the world, or is it because of concerns that you have for 20 Columbia, Missouri? 21 PUBLIC COMMENT: It is more nationally, but with 22 what we are trying to do, we wanted to focus it more 23 locally because that's an area where we can make more 24 immediate impact. 25 MS. WILLIAMS: Okay.

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

Anyone else? 1 MR. FISCHER: 2 FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT: Do I have to wear a mask while I'm talking? 3 4 MS. WILLIAMS: No. FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT: Do I need to say my 5 name and address? 6 7 MR. FISCHER: Only if you want to. FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT: Okay. Sorry. 8 So I first just want to give a tiny bit of background about 9 10 I was a teacher at Lange for seven years. myself. I've 11 also taught at High Road. I'm originally from Chicago. Ι 12 used to teach on the south side of Chicago for a little 13 while. So I want to tell a little bit of a story. When 14 15 I was teaching at a school where students were highly 16 arrested often, my second year working I was punched in 17 the face, my glasses were broken. Kind of continue on 18 there, I think I received seven or eight punches in the 19 The last year I was punched in the head and neck at face. 20 work. It put me out of work for six months. Following 21 all those instances, never in any of those instances, was 22 I ever allowed -- did I ever think to myself, let me get 23 myself in a situation where I'm now potentially harm -- or someone I work with or about to work with. 24 25 So I will admit that I didn't anticipate

Transcript of Proceedings

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

Г

1	becoming emotional in talking to you all. But upon seeing
2	everything that's going on nationally, seeing everything
3	that is happening in Columbia, I live in the east
4	community, and I can tell you that we've had police
5	officers come out and speak very illy of the people in our
6	community on a regular basis. The things that they say to
7	us, and you're like, hey, we have kids coming out in our
8	neighborhood coming through our yard blasting off
9	fireworks, you know, asking them, hey, can you go talk to
10	the kids? You know, give us some ideas about what we can
11	do, and their responses would shock you, the things that
12	they say about people in our community.
13	MS. WILLIAMS: I need an example.
14	FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT: So just last year
15	MS. WILLIAMS: Just be blunt.
16	FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT: Just last year we had
17	somebody come out, we were going out of town for life
18	work, we wanted to know if they could go up to the park
19	and talk to the kids and just kind of talk to them. You
20	know, I don't want to go into a situation and potentially
21	cause a problem. And they said, no, don't go talk to
22	them. If they come in your neighborhood, just call us and
23	we'll arrest them. That is exactly what happened. I was
24	willing as a citizen in my community to go and talk. I
25	don't want kids arrested. I've worked in schools a long

1 time. And beyond that they said things like, they don't 2 ever learn, they're never going to change, things like 3 that, and I need you to understand that that is happening.

Last year I went to the community policing 4 office meeting where it was discussed about vehicle police 5 6 stops and all the things that are happening, and I was --7 I was in shock. I had a buddy who is a dual citizen from 8 Africa, and within ten minutes of him being in my car, we 9 had a police officer following us. So I think that 10 sometimes as people who are white, we don't -- we don't 11 get that. We don't understand that. We don't experience 12 that on a regular basis. You know, working at Lange and having a lot of interactions with a lot of families of 13 14 color, it is very disturbing to me. This is the 13th most 15 educated city in America. I'm sure that there are 16 statistics that say it's the 10th or 13th or 58th, but 17 this is a very educated city. We should not be living in 18 the City of Columbia where we have people -- any person who's afraid of the police, period. This is too educated 19 20 of a city, this is too much of a great city for us to have 21 people in our neighborhoods and in our communities who are 22 afraid to do regular everyday things. And it is awful.

23 So, you know, it is difficult for me to speak 24 about it, because I'm affected by the violence a lot with 25 my work and in my field. And it's extremely disturbing to

me for any person in this city or anywhere else to say, 1 2 well, if the job is hard. Jobs are hard, serving is hard. We have to find a way -- I appreciate the list 3 that was just shared -- but we have to find a way to hold 4 5 ourselves accountable to make this happen and make a 6 difference. I've been here for 12 years, and it isn't any 7 different. You can see all the segregation all over this town. You can see it in all our schools. It is something 8 9 that is happening every day. It is a fact that the school 10 to prison pipeline in this town is atrocious. So if 11 you're not looking at that -- I have looked, oh, what's going on with my old students, to see what's going on. I 12 can pull them up on my phone. I'm not going to. 13 That's a 14 violation of confidentiality, and I couldn't tell you how 15 many of them I know are sitting in jails and prisons 16 somewhere. This is a problem in this town, and it affects 17 people. It has affected me personally. It affects 18 everyone. So if we don't do something about it now, it 19 will just get worse. It will not get better. 20 So I do ask you to get that list and start 21 working on it and find a way to include as many people in 22 the community that you can, because we're all here now.

It doesn't matter who isn't here. Everyone that is here and everyone that isn't here that wants to be involved is here to help. We don't -- we're not coming into the

Г

1	situation trying to find out, well, what's wrong with the
2	Lange, what's wrong with Andrews, that's not our job.
3	That's not what we're trying to do here. If that ever
4	comes out because I've seen it in some of the
5	organizations that I'm in, it's because people are
6	affected and people are upset and people are angry.
7	So I do appreciate you all, and I just that's
8	all I got.
9	(Multiple thank you's.)
10	MR. FISCHER: Anyone else?
11	ROY LOVELADY: Hi, my name is Roy Lovelady, and
12	I am one of the persons who actually put together the
13	People's Defense Group. And what happened was, there was
14	a group of people who decided to protest, and when they
15	decided to protest, they were met with people who hit
16	people. So two girls got hit. So then I decided to join
17	and organize that. In organizing that, we got a rapid
18	response of people from the community. When we did that,
19	we realized that our voices are being heard and we were
20	all in unity to exemplify in the community.
21	So what we had decided through the
22	protesting, we all made connections and through those
23	connections we decided that we want to do something for
24	our community, and we realized that our voice at this
25	particular time is ready to be heard. And we're all in

for it and we all have a lot of vision. 1 2 So after the protesting, I decided what would be our next step. So I was very instrumental in organizing a 3 group meeting between the police officers and myself, and 4 5 that's going to be six of them and it's going to be twelve 6 us. We're going to be meeting next Thursday, and that's 7 like step two. Because step one was protesting. And from 8 there, I am going to continue to arrange different meetings so that our group as a community who are getting 9 -- have become like-minded can be heard. 10 11 I would love you guys' opinions and/or support 12 in the things that we are doing. So hopefully after meeting with the police officers, we can get a meeting 13 with you guys. So my goal is to continue this and make 14 15 this a perpetual movement, not just stop after the 16 protesting. 17 So if you guys have any questions about -- the group itself is made up of a plethora of people on a wide 18 19 array of -- like some people from all walks of life in 20 Columbia have joined the group. I can tell you at this 21 moment it has over 1500 people in that group. And all of 22 those people are -- they're ready for a change. "Enough 23 is enough" is our slogan, and we're ready for change. So our first step is meeting with the police 24 officers. Our next step will be meeting with you guys and 25

so forth and so forth until we can get some of the powers
 to see that we have cumulatively come together to see a
 change in Columbia, Missouri, as well as maybe spread
 throughout Missouri.

5 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Thank you. Would one of you 6 be willing to come back to the next meeting after you meet 7 with police so that we can hear how it went?

8 ROY LOVELADY: Sure. I will continue to come to 9 these meetings, because hopefully the meetings with the 10 police are continual as well. With speaking with some of 11 the people already from the police officers there, they --12 when I organized the protests on Tuesday, one of the things that we did was call the police officers to see if 13 they would help protect us in our protesting, and they 14 15 were compliant and asked to join. So we're not -- we're 16 not saying that all police officers are bad, but we do 17 know that it's time for change and there are some that are 18 very prejudiced, shall I say for lack of a better word, 19 and we want to be treated as equals, and I'm a voice for 20 the community at this particular moment, but there's a lot of us that want our voices heard. 21

22 MR. SMITH: What's your goal for this first 23 meeting on Thursday?

24 ROY LOVELADY: So our goal for the first meeting 25 is to actually just have a correspondence with the police

officers and get them understanding. One thing for sure that when I was speaking with the police officers is we noticed that trust is a big barrier between the black community and the police officers. So how can we mend the trust barrier?

Second of all is we want to talk about some of 6 7 their training tactics and how to lead efficiently be a 8 part of those tactics and give my -- my opinion of different things that I would like to see and vice versa, 9 10 because the same things that, like, we had before -- to ask questions to police officers. When I spoke to that 11 12 police -- particular police officer, I asked him and I 13 charged him to come up with questions that he would like to ask us as well. 14

MR. SMITH: So pretty much a free-form dialogue of here's what we'd like to know, you ask us what you'd like to know --

18 Yes. Now, we do have specific ROY LOVELADY: 19 questions that we would like -- specific -- we can't say 20 specific answers to, but we have specific questions that 21 we would like to know. So I have been collecting 22 questions not from the community in that group of people 23 who have a voice and who dare to say what they -- what their opinions are. We're going to be asking the police 24 25 those questions so that we can see where they stand and

where we stand and how can we build something from there. 1 2 MR. SMITH: Okay. And will you get that information to the community or will that be something --3 4 ROY LOVELADY: Yes. MR. SMITH: -- that you're going to keep 5 internal? 6 ROY LOVELADY: No, it's not going to be 7 8 internal. So hopefully -- we have a plan to record it. 9 It's also going to be in the People's Defense. We also 10 have a person that's going to make notes of it. So the 11 information will be spread to any and -- anybody who would 12 love to hear it. MR. SMITH: 13 Thank you. 14 MS. WILLIAMS: My question is, when you say 15 "officers," are those the officers who do the community policing or are they just -- how did you pick those six 16 17 officers? 18 Well, I did not speak to the ROY LOVELADY: 19 I spoke with one particular officer who is officers. 20 picking the six officers. So he's speaking to six 21 officers, and I'm picking twelve people to attend the 22 meeting with me. And how I'm picking those people is 23 based off their voices, their races, their stance on 24 things, their opinions and/or are they, like, models in 25 the community like who follows them, their integrity,

Transcript of Proceedings

because I've been speaking with a lot of people and it's 1 2 easy to find a person that lies. I think that I'm a great 3 integral person, and I think that I'm good at people 4 reading. So with those sort of people, I hope that we get 5 the job done. 6 MR. SMITH: Where will that meeting be held? 7 The meeting will be held at the ROY LOVELADY: 8 State Farm office. I haven't told everybody the address, 9 because I didn't want everybody to swarm it. MR. SMITH: 10 Sure --11 We're trying to -- and have ROY LOVELADY: 12 effective dialoque so. 13 MS. WILLIAMS: Do you have college students that 14 are going to be on that panel as well? 15 ROY LOVELADY: Yes. So the plan is to have college students, people who have actually had 16 17 interaction. I would like people who are -- who are 18 planning to run for office soon. So it's -- I'm telling 19 you the group -- the makeup of the group is so amazing 20 that I'm even taken aback by how many people have actually 21 taken a stance for something instead of following for 22 anything. 23 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I just want to make a 24 comment. You said something about how some of the trust 25 has been broken, and I think that that's really important

to kind of talk about because having community trust in the police and the police having trust in the community is vital to the officers and the community they're serving. So I really do hope that your conversation goes well and that you can start -- and that we can all start building that trust.

7 I do want to urge you -- I know that it's an 8 ongoing conversation, so it will go beyond that meeting 9 with the six officers and talking to us. But also talking 10 to your elected officials and City Council and making sure that the City Council members and the mayor understand 11 12 what your mission is, because oftentimes they're the ones 13 that are able to control what happens with the taxpayer 14 funds, with the police department, and they -- I mean, we 15 do oversight of appeals and things of that nature, but 16 just making sure that you are also having those 17 conversations with the council, mayor and the police chief 18 just so everyone can hear your message and be impacted by 19 it.

20 ROY LOVELADY: Most definitely. So this was 21 just our step one, because we -- they were out there, and 22 we had direct interaction with them, and I wanted to see 23 what next week will look like or the next week after that. 24 So I started the committee to place a plan in place, and 25 this is just step one.

MS. CATLETTSTOUT: And you were saying that you 1 2 were a good judge of character, and you said you were out there with them. When you were out with the officers, can 3 you tell us how you felt about their receptiveness of your 4 5 message, are you talking about out at the protests or out in public and kind of what those conversations are like 6 7 happening within the community right now or your personal 8 experience? ROY LOVELADY: I can say from the protests 9 people have met the police officers in several different 10 11 type of ways. Some people are glad that they're there, 12 and some people are not. We particularly are glad that 13 they're there, because they're protecting us and keeping 14 us from being hit especially. 15 By them being there, I had a chance have a conversation with them and I've actually built up a 16 17 rapport with a few of them. They've -- ask me the second 18 part of that question again, please. 19 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Just kind of the officers' 20 receptiveness of the conversations that you're having with

21 them out in public.

22 ROY LOVELADY: Okay. So I initiated a lot of 23 the conversations with them just because, like, one of the 24 chants was "No justice, no peace, prosecute the police." 25 Well, I walked up to those officers and I asked them, how

Г

1	does that make them feel knowing that you're out here
2	protecting us from being hit, yet we're turning around
3	asking you saying to prosecute you guys. And he at
4	that moment he did not have an answer, but the next day he
5	came to me and he had an answer. So from that answer, it
6	just kind of built our rapport and we continue to talk
7	about
8	MS. WILLIAMS: What was his answer?
9	ROY LOVELADY: Well, his answer was basically
10	that it's like darned if I do, darned if I don't. So it's
11	like this particular officer came and he kneeled with
12	us and he did different things like that to show his
13	support. But the second he kneeled, it was people in
14	the audience said that you're a traitor, get up, and then
15	he knew that when he kneeled that he was going to get
16	repercussions from his boys in blue. So it was like he
17	couldn't win either way at that point. So he was like,
18	how do I support the movement but at the same time how do
19	I stay loyal to the friends and the coworkers I have
20	within the police system. So it's definitely a Catch 22.
21	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Thank you for sharing your
22	experience.
23	MS. WILLIAMS: I closely watched the march, and
24	I was very impressed with how peaceful they were and
25	everything.

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

ROY LOVELADY: Thank you. 1 2 MR. FISCHER: Anybody else want to come up and 3 speak? 4 FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT: I just want to comment on the last statement that was just made trying to 5 reconcile the difference between the movement and the 6 7 police, how they maintain the loyalty for the police force 8 and respect the movement. My opinion is the bottom line 9 is they cannot. That is the point. We cannot accept 10 current police culture as it stands and accept and reform 11 based upon what this demand by the protesters is 12 essentially asking for. I look at -- for example, a lot 13 of the money we spend on the City Council, which I know this is all outside your purview, but I think, you know, 14 15 with ongoing conversations, whatever else, this is all 16 going to be coming back up again frequently. 17 But where are essential services involved in 18 this is really what, I think, a large part of the 19 community wants to know. If the police force has been 20 unfairly shackled with a lot of duties and they're not 21 equipped to handle them because it's not their job. It's 22 not their training. It's not their expertise. The 23 demands of the citizens require a more measured approach. 24 We need to look at social services to come in and help 25 mend and heal and address the underlying issues of unrest

in this community, and the police force is not the
 organization to do that.

So I just wanted to point out that -- I'm 3 speaking on behalf of myself at this time because I know 4 5 some of you guys are affiliated with a couple of groups. 6 But from my own perspective, I'm more and more -- the fact 7 that the defund the police movement is legitimate, not 8 because of anything specifically that the police 9 department in Columbia has done or specific officers, but 10 because of the problematic nature of the culture itself 11 and just how far we've defined their roles and 12 responsibilities in our -- in our community.

So I just want to lay that out there. You know, this is like some of the topics for discussion that we will be bringing up in the future and just kind of give you fair game that this is coming in. For whatever in your role that you're able to facilitate or discuss, just please keep that in mind.

MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I have a quick question actually. You were talking about social services and their role in all of this. Would you say that by funding more social services and helping the community that would almost be, like, community policing in a way that would be helpful to the community to make crime go down because they're addressing issues, the underlying issues, to the 1 crime, and that could be addressed through community 2 policing and the execution of social workers in crisis 3 management that could even be potentially employed by the 4 Columbia Police Department such as kind of revamping what 5 that would look like?

6 FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT: Again, I go back to the 7 fact that the culture is -- we have no control over the 8 police training. It's its own profession. We have no 9 control over the police unions, which are highly 10 problematic in keeping police officers who are bad actors 11 held accountable. If we can even get the department to even confess to the fact that this is a problem and take 12 action, there's so many different layers of politics going 13 14 on that they're not able to actually cull the forces and 15 making sure. We have a difficult time getting rid of bad 16 actors, and that is highly problematic. It undermines the 17 authority of the chief; it undermines the authority of any 18 expressed interest by the community that we can't go in there and clean house of some of these bad behaviors. 19 Ι 20 mean, there's some ticking time bombs sitting right now in 21 the police force that have already been identified, and 22 they're being shuffled around. There's nothing being done 23 to, you know, excise them from the force. My opinion is, 24 like, you know, some positions these people held they 25 don't need to be anywhere need law enforcement. And yet,

you know, we consistently engage in kind of this kind of administrative answer. We shuffle them around. We addressed this issue. But it's never satisfactory to the concerns of what -- you know, what risks we're exposed to.

5 So, I mean, to some extent, yes, the police, I 6 mean, is as -- the force that we have should be focused 7 that way, and they should have access to social workers, 8 but again I think I am more concerned about redefining the 9 so-called police in general. Kids running across 10 somebody's lawn, under no circumstance does that require 11 any kind of government intervention. Absolutely not. 12 Definitely not police, not an armed presence going in there, under no circumstance. If I have people like --13 that are trying to do traffic, and they're like, you know, 14 15 actually a matter of public safety, yes, there's an 16 element of policing that we need to accept and say that 17 this is necessary.

18 If you have active shooters, if you have major 19 criminal activity, then yes, we need a force that can 20 respond to that but very narrowly defined, a much smaller 21 force and again ones that, you know, when they interact 22 with the public, we either augment or we actually give to 23 the specialists who should be out there and, you know, 24 making these changes. The problems that CPS and CPD, the 25 school resource officers are -- they're kind of hit or

You have some that are excellent, but they're not 1 miss. 2 your standard police officer. They're the exception to that. So when you bring the standard quality of policing 3 into the schools, we end up with problems of having 4 seven-year-olds arrested. The board of administrators, I 5 6 don't know of any other arrangement anywhere that somebody 7 could say, oh, this person is acting up, you need to come 8 and take them to juvenile. Okay. We'll do that. They do 9 it all the time, and it's -- it's alarming just how much 10 discretion we give and don't hold them accountable. Even 11 when you try holding them accountable, we can't because of 12 the layers.

So more and more, I think that, you know --13 again the police union is highly problematic, police 14 15 training is highly problematic. I think that as a 16 community that we can look and redefine narrowly what the 17 function of the police force is and then hire on the 18 specialists to take care of the things that they're trying I think honestly it's unfair to ask the police; I 19 to do. 20 mean, they don't get the training. They're trying their 21 best in a lot of cases, I'm sure, but it's unreasonable 22 from our perspective to put those expectations on them.

23 MR. SMITH: Would the specialists be 24 incorporated with the officers or would they be on their 25 own?

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

Г

1	FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT: Largely on their own.
2	I mean, there's like of lot of nonviolent offenses these
3	people could respond to. Not everything demands an armed
4	response or a policing action or arrest. These things are
5	not necessary in the vast majority of things that are
6	going on.
7	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: There'd be like 311 calls
8	instead of 911 calls?
9	FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT: Sure, yeah. And,
10	frankly, this is like my perspective. If we're policing
11	to find people in possession of marijuana, why do you have
12	police resources? We don't need them for that. We don't
13	need them for minor infractions of some of these laws.
14	Public safety is kind of what I'm here at.
15	Again you have like, you know, your you know, your
16	drunk drivers or reckless drivers that are actively
17	endangering somebody. Obviously, you know, the protesters
18	are out there, you have people that are basically hate
19	crimes here, which I really can't see any better way to
20	put it. But when you decide to drive your car through a
21	crowd of protesters, that's a hate crime. We need
22	somebody to nip that in the bud. Like I'm saying, I'm not
23	saying that police don't have a purpose, just we need to
24	really restrict what it is.
25	Again, I put it out there for the upcoming

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

Г

1	months and years maybe that this is more and more of a
2	position that you'll probably hear more feedback on.
3	MS. WILLIAMS: I just wanted to add something.
4	I was a juvenile officer when the law was written that it
5	specifically said to the school's discretion, which made
б	it that the school had the choice in deciding whether to
7	call the police or whether to call the juvenile officer or
8	how to handle the situation. I'm going to be very honest.
9	When I was a juvenile officer, I had an issue with that,
10	because I don't at that point in time in my life, I
11	didn't feel that only a school should decide what happens
12	to a child. So I totally understand what you're saying
13	about that. I still have an issue with it, because I
14	don't think that a school should be the one that has that
15	only deciding factor of whether to call CPD and walk a kid
16	out in handcuffs. That's just my personal feeling.
17	FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT: I appreciate that. I
18	share that opinion.
19	MR. PRINGLE: I have a question. Do you believe
20	that every time an officer is called to be
21	(unintelligible)
22	FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT: Every time they're
23	called what?
24	MR. PRINGLE: Every time an officer needs to
25	report on a call, let's say when it comes to the

1 nonviolent offenses, does that -- should that officer be 2 armed when they respond?

FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT: I don't think so. 3 In 4 fact, I know so. You know, part my experiences -- I've 5 been overseas for a good portion of my life. I spent ten 6 years in Vietnam. They have one gun in these little white 7 phone booths that they work out of basically. And if 8 there is anything requiring it, you have like one or two 9 people on the force that actually have access to the key that goes in there. They know that as soon as they break 10 11 that case and take that gun out, there's a whole series of 12 investigations going on to find out what happened.

13 Because every mind set's completely different from the American model of policing. They don't wear 14 15 They go out there and they will talk with people armor. who are passing by these little booths. They walk around. 16 17 I think the most I've ever seen them armed is like a 18 nightstick. And I've never really heard of them using 19 that unless somebody was physically violent and, you know, 20 it was actually required. I mean, again they step up. 21 They're loath to use violence and aggression. That's our 22 go-to at this moment. And a lot of times it's so easy, so easy, for somebody to get amped out and not de-escalate 23 24 for whatever reason whether if you're just like in fear for your life or you're twitchy or whatever it maybe, 25

whatever excuse you come up with, the bottom line is it's 1 2 not professional. It's not the profession we want to see. 3 I spent 20 years in the military, and I can tell 4 you we've had people barreling down on us and we had 5 orders to not fire. Guess what we did? We did not fire. 6 We did not escalate. There's a professional mindset different from the military and the police department. 7 8 The police department's mindset is problematic at its 9 core. 10 Again, I'm not trying to point out particular 11 officers who buy into this, because this is like a 12 standard discussion to have throughout the United States is, what does policing look like. And in this case I 13 14 think more and more the police department does not answer 15 the majority of the problems facing the community. 16 Does that answer your question? 17 MR. PRINGLE: That was a really good answer. 18 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Any other questions? Thank 19 you. 20 MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you so much. 21 (Multiple thank you's.) 22 JACQUELINE WATTS: Hello, how are you guys 23 today? 24 My name is Jacqueline Watts, and I've been one of the people that are protesting and helping to organize 25
1 the protests.

2 As far as the whole defund the police, I don't agree with that. We need reform in our police department 3 and police departments everywhere, because at the end of 4 5 the day if something is truly wrong and you are truly in 6 danger, if we defund all the police, who are we going to 7 call? We're not going to have anybody to call, nobody to 8 be able to put between us and people that are legitimately 9 a threat to us and to society as a whole.

10 And I've been on both sides of the line. I went 11 through my -- parts in life where, you know, I've been 12 arrested, I've been to prison. But just because you've been through things in life doesn't make you a bad person. 13 14 It doesn't mean that you're less than, you're not an 15 animal, you don't get to deserve to be treated like that. 16 And having said that, I've experienced a little bit of all 17 of those things. I've been there when my father was pulled over for fitting the description, and he wasn't the 18 19 person they were looking for.

As far as the police marching with us, I feel like they deserve a certain amount of credit and recognition for that, because they are ultimately putting their life on the line by being the ones in between the protesters and pretty much people trying to run us over, people that have blatantly said they were going to run over protesters because they didn't agree with what was being said. And most people know that they're not going to run over the cops because that's a charge you don't want to take. And people that have done that, it should be looked at as a hate crime.

6 We don't need our police going in to every 7 situation with guns drawn. A prime example would have 8 been the incident that happened over here on Broadway the 9 other day right before we protested. The man was going 10 through some mental issues, and I mean, can you really 11 blame somebody that every day, every week, every year you 12 see people just like you being hunted down and murdered 13 and nobody gets charged, tried or convicted for it. Like, 14 that would put you in a bad state of mind. You're going 15 to lose sleep. You're not going to want to eat. You're going to suffer from PTSD and depression and anxiety every 16 17 time you encounter anybody of authority. If you had to 18 deal with that every day, it would change you.

And instead of them coming in with their guns drawn and pointed at them, they should have -- it would have helped if they could have got a black officer, maybe -- did -- was that a thought? Maybe we can send somebody in there that looks like him that maybe -- maybe can help reach a certain level of understanding. They need to be certified to deal with people

that are black or Mexican or immigrants overall. 1 Thev 2 need to be trained to deal with people who have mental illness, because every situation does not require you to 3 4 have a qun. It's not necessary. I mean, my sister told 5 me she was pulled over for a traffic violation and had a 6 qun pulled on her and she's never been in trouble before. 7 What sense does that make when there are people committing 8 real life, violent crimes, and it's like, oh, that's okay, 9 we don't need -- we don't need to come in with our guns 10 drawn on you, but we got a basic call for anything else 11 and we're coming in with our guns drawn.

12 I've seen and experienced different things with resource officers in our Columbia public school system 13 14 where they excessively abuse their power, body slamming 15 students, picking certain students to target. It's not 16 right. Like if you were properly trained to deal with 17 different people of different backgrounds, you would get 18 different results. You would get better results. And a 19 lot of these people that have been to -- it starts out 20 when they're in middle school and high school and --21 like -- an example, I saw a judge in another state who is 22 a municipal job. He would listen to what happened. He 23 would offer them, you know, what the law said, you can get 24 this much time in jail or you can do this much time in jail or you can do some form of community service or -- he 25

had ultimatum things where they could pick, because everything does not mean you need to go to jail for, because that's how we get in a repeated cycle. That's -that's not helping anybody. The whole point of them being there is to help.

6 And I don't want to have to worry about my kids 7 when they get older and they're -- what their experience is going to be when they encounter the police, any police. 8 9 I don't want them to worry that the cops are going to come 10 in with their guns drawn because somebody called that 11 didn't agree with what they were doing even though they 12 weren't being violent or they didn't have weapons or 13 weren't assaulting somebody or anything of that sort.

That's pretty much all I have to say.

15

14

(Multiple thank you's.)

MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I also agree that your children when they grow up should be able to call the police any time they need them without fearing for their own safety. Just like I feel like anyone should be able to call the police when they need them and know that they will show up for them.

JACQUELINE WATTS: Yeah, that's an important thing. People -- your security. I mean, it affects how you do everything in life. If you don't feel secure when you walk out your door, if you're not secure when you're

Г

1	at work, if you're not secure when you're at school, then
2	there's a lot of things that fall in line with that. And
3	that all combined to mass incarcerations and people not
4	getting equal sentencing as somebody else, and it's wrong.
5	It's just wrong.
6	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Any questions? Thank you.
7	JACQUELINE WATTS: Thank you.
8	MR. FISCHER: Any other comments?
9	DENNY PEREZ: My name is Denny Perez (phonetic).
10	I'm also a protester and a member of People's Defense and
11	a photographer.
12	To follow up the past two people who spoke, I
13	actually was there and witnessed the whole incident that
14	happened a few days ago. The
15	MR. SMITH: (Unintelligible.)
16	DENNY PEREZ: What?
17	MR. SMITH: Which incident are you speaking of?
18	DENNY PEREZ: The one where a man, a black man,
19	had a machete and a smaller knife and was threatening to
20	take his life on Broadway near the edge of campus at
21	Stephens. And I feel like it's worth explaining the
22	situation and as to why I and others believe in defunding
23	the police and/or reforming the police.
24	So the incident is that the man was there, and
25	he was surrounded by white police officers with their

lights flashing, a person yelling at this person with a 1 2 megaphone, and the whole situation seemed so tense and overstimulating. And this person definitely didn't seem 3 4 very sound in mind. He was on the edge. He was in crisis 5 mode. And the last thing you want to do is to escalate 6 that and overstimulate that person in that situation. 7 There wasn't a single black cop to communicate with this 8 person.

9 This is a situation where I think a social worker would have been the most helpful because they are 10 trained to not only deal with this but to also deal with 11 12 the stress. The police did not in my eyes know entirely what to do, and it wasn't the police that had this man 13 finally drop his knives down. It was three of the protest 14 15 organizers who were also black that communicated to him, 16 talked to him saying we love you, look at our shirts, your 17 life matters. There's a light at the end of this tunnel. 18 All these positive things, and a crowd of people 19 nonverbally showing this person that we cared about him.

Now, we were across the street behind a fence and we made a better communication -- the protesters made a better communication than the police officers who were on site. And the site was -- made me think that this person was being treated like a wild animal. I took a photo of an officer who had a Taser gun that looks like a Г

1	shotgun. I didn't know Tasers looked like shotguns, and
2	it looked like somebody was going to shoot a rabid dog.
3	I've seen this countless times with police
4	officers treating people who are not mentally sound like
5	animals, and it's not okay. And I think the reason a
6	good reason is, of course, lack of training. But I think
7	if you all the training in the world is still not going
8	to change the fact that they have too much on their plate,
9	and I'm not saying that in sympathy of the police
10	officers. I'm saying that police officers have to respond
11	to everything, minor things, dog bites, oh, that this
12	person is too loud, their car's too loud. You know, oh, I
13	smelled marijuana; we need to take care of that. You
14	know, they have to deal with everything, and I think it's
15	high time that we lighten the police's load so that we
16	have better professionals who can de-escalate these sorts
17	of situations, because again it wasn't the police that
18	helped save that man's life. It was the people. I
19	repeat, the people. And it shouldn't be up to young
20	people, who got so stressed that one of them actually had
21	a nose bleed because they were so afraid that they were
22	going to see another black man get shot and abused.
23	This is something that black people and other
24	people of color have to deal with every day. And to see
25	it in front of your eyes and knowing that this person

needs help and seeing that the people that are supposed to 1 2 be trained to take care of this isn't reaching out. Aqain it was the people that did this, and we need to do 3 something to make sure that the police are not just 4 trained but also have their loads lightened. 5 That's all I have to say about that. 6 7 (Multiple thank you's.) 8 KATARINA SHATLOCK: My name is Katarina Shatlock 9 (phonetic). I wasn't prepared to speak today, but I am an 10 educator at Mizzou and teach freshman-level English 11 classes, and I'm pursuing my Ph.D. specializing in black 12 literature. So being a white woman teaching that material to students who are -- who are black, I've had to 13 constantly accept new information. I've had to constantly 14 15 reevaluate myself and reassess where I'm coming from and how I can better help them. And the reason why I'm making 16 17 this statement right now is because I have made 18 relationships with students who have come to me and told 19 me that they have received -- they've been the recipients 20 of racism here in Columbia and that some of my younger 21 students don't feel safe to go out at night and to do those extracurricular activities that a lot of college 22 23 students deserve to have because they're black. 24 And I just think that -- I was speaking to the citizen sitting here. We need to marry college community 25

students with community action. We need to have a way to 1 2 bring together Mizzou as an institution as well as the City of Columbia. Mizzou has a history that is deeply 3 4 problematic. They made some steps forward, but we have a 5 lot more to make. 6 And that's really all I wanted to say. Thank 7 you. 8 (Multiple thank you's.) 9 MR. FISCHER: Any other comments? TOM O'NEAL: My name is Tom O'Neal. I also 10 11 wasn't really prepared to speak today, but I thought it 12 was a good idea when you mentioned going through the police training manual and just take a look in there. 13 And 14 I've just been looking at the reasons for police to use 15 force in the Columbia Police Department Training Manual. 16 So just a couple sections I'm just going to read verbatim from it: 300.4, Training regarding levels of 17 18 force is not intended to direct officers to use each of 19 the options before escalating to the next level. 20 I'm sorry. I'm a little nervous in front of a 21 crowd. 22 MR. FISCHER: Take your time. 23 MS. WILLIAMS: Take a deep breath. We don't 24 bite. 25 The circumstances of each TOM O'NEAL:

situation, coupled with good judgment, will determine at
which level an officer will start or utilize next.

Moving to 300.6, so this is the section: With the understanding that an officer can never know the actual nature of a threat or actual intent of another, the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter is to avoid or minimize injury. Nothing in this policy requires an officer to retreat or be exposed to possible physical injury before applying reasonable force.

10 Continuing on to 300.7, things that could 11 justify the use of reasonable force, some things: The 12 effects of drugs or alcohol, the subject's mental state or 13 capacity, the degree to which the subject has been 14 effectively restrained or his ability to resist despite 15 being restrained, the ability or -- the availability of 16 other options and their possible effectiveness, training 17 and experience of the police officer, the apparent need for control over a subject -- sorry -- the apparent need 18 for immediate control of the subject or a proper 19 20 resolution of the situation.

Essentially, it seems like a lot of just if the officer feels maybe this person's intoxicated, maybe if they're unwell, they can use force. The biggest one for me that stood out, though, was training of the police officer. If a police officer's untrained, that should not

45

be a justifiable reason to use force. 1 2 So essentially my point is I went through the manual for about five minutes and found this. If I can do 3 that, that's a serious problem just on the basics of 4 5 training and how these things are written in the book that shouldn't exist within our community, within our police 6 7 department. 8 I'm from an area where the cops aren't great. Ι 9 will say here they are much better than where I'm from, 10 but still there's always room for improvement, always room 11 for the community to work with the police, and I think one 12 of the starts is the book for the training from the ground up needs to be reformatted. We need to look at everything 13 14 that's written and restart from there. 15 Thank you. 16 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Just to address what you were 17 speaking about with the use of force, and other members of 18 the board can correct me if I'm wrong, but from what I understand is that a lot of the use of force in this 19 20 policy manual comes from the Supreme Court decisions as to what is constitutional. Whether or not constitutional 21 22 should be the bottom line, that's debatable. I think that 23 we can be better than just making sure that our actions are simply constitutional, and I think that's the point 24 25 that you're getting at is that they should do more.

46

Г

1	TOM O'NEAL: Yes. I believe the cops for the
2	reason I put in the subject's mental state or capacity or
3	the effects of drug or alcohol, a lot of the time that's
4	not a reason to use force. I actually back in my
5	hometown from central Illinois I was under age drinking
6	and I had cops beat the crap out of me. I had a broken
7	nose, and I don't think it was justifiable just because I
8	was drunk underage. They could have thrown me in a drunk
9	tank, wrote me a ticket. They didn't need to beat me up.
10	I wasn't resisting or anything. But like in mental state
11	or capacity, these are situations where I think they
12	shouldn't even be mentioned in the subject of force. Now,
13	say somebody's on amphetamines waving a gun around, yeah,
14	force is totally justified. But I think like mental state
15	or capacity, like a person before me was saying, we have
16	professionals for this. We have many more qualified
17	people and we can qualify the police to do it as well. We
18	just haven't done it.
19	Thank you.
20	MR. PRINGLE: Just one moment, please.
21	Sergeant Alpers, do we know, are we going to be
22	starting back up like the community meeting where we were
23	updating the policy manual and inviting the public to
24	comment on it?
25	SERGEANT ALPERS: We have (unintelligible).

I'm sorry. I couldn't hear. 1 COURT REPORTER: 2 MR. PRINGLE: We were just talking about the schedule for -- right now the police department has spent 3 4 the last year -- Sergeant Alpers, how long has it been? 5 SERGEANT ALPERS: Yeah, it's been about a year. 6 MR. PRINGLE: Since they've been going through 7 the manual almost chapter by chapter, redoing a lot to 8 meet certification requirements and doing community 9 meetings where they invite community input to say, hey, is 10 this what the community is looking for? Are we doing it 11 right? And those meetings got suspended due to COVID, but 12 I've attended two or three of them and they are open to 13 the public. And I encourage people, when it comes to the 14 manual, to attend these meetings and tell them is this 15 acceptable, is this -- this is what the community demands. ROY LOVELADY: I didn't hear about those 16 17 meetings. 18 MR. PRINGLE: They're posted here at City Hall, 19 I believe -- isn't that right on the --20 ROY LOVELADY: Is that the only place? 21 MS. WIBBENMEYER: I think they're also on the 22 City calendar. So you go to the City website and there's 23 a City calendar. You can see those and then there's the 24 Vehicle Stop Committee meetings. 25 SERGEANT ALPERS: Yeah, the Vehicle Stop

Committee meetings, Community Input meeting on policy. 1 2 They're all put up there on the board. 3 ROY LOVELADY: Okay. Thank you. MR. PRINGLE: I do think we're finding out from 4 5 this that we need to do a better job of getting the word 6 out for the meetings for the public to attend. 7 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: And if you go to the 8 calendar, you go to the website and it kind of looks like it's a website, and you hit the calendar, there are 9 10 categories that help you sort through it. So you can hit 11 CPD Events or CPD Training Opportunities, or if you want 12 to come to our meetings, you would hit Citizens Police Review Board. So it kind of narrows down your search a 13 little bit, because there's a lot of information on the 14 15 website, and it's difficult to navigate at times. 16 MR. FISCHER: Thank you. Anyone else? 17 All right. I guess we'll move on to the next 18 item on the agenda, which is the approval of the minutes. 19 So did everyone get a chance to look at the draft March 20 2020 Open Meeting Minutes and if there any corrections, additions or deletions. If not, is there a motion to 21 22 approve? 23 MR. SMITH: So moved. 24 MS. SEAMON: Second. MR. FISCHER: All those in favor, let me know by 25

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

49

1 aye. 2 (Unanimous ayes.) MR. FISCHER: All those opposed? 3 4 (No response.) 5 MR. FISCHER: I'll abstain since I was not 6 there. 7 We'll have to push the closed meeting minutes to 8 the next meeting. So we'll move on from there. 9 Moving on to reports, Positive Connections and 10 Ride-Alongs, do we have anything to report? 11 MS. SEAMON: I've not -- why don't you go ahead. 12 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I went to the protest on 13 Sunday. It was a really great demonstration. There were a lot of people from the community there. I was out there 14 15 registering voters and talking to people and had 16 conversations with community members and also with a 17 couple of the officers. I saw Officer Gaten (phonetic) 18 there, who I had done my ride-along with, and talked to 19 him. And he was receptive. I didn't have a very long 20 conversation with him, but it went well. 21 I think that that protest really brought a lot 22 of people out, and it had a strong message for community 23 engagement, community conversation, in trying to better 24 our community. And I really urge people to have these 25 hard conversations with people right now, whether that's

Г

1	online because of everything that's happening,
2	face-to-face conversations are more difficult, going out
3	to protests, having coffee with different leaders and just
4	making yourself open to having these dialogues so we can
5	come together as a board understanding what the community
6	wants as we do our policy reviews.
7	That's my positive connection.
8	MS. WILLIAMS: I was advised not to go to the
9	protests.
10	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Well, I mean, if there were
11	any incidents that arise from the protests, I think that
12	we would have to look at that to see if we were
13	eyewitnesses and recuse ourselves. So I can understand
14	that. This is my last meeting. So I don't really have
15	that bias, but we'll get to that.
16	MS. WILLIAMS: Makes sense.
17	MS. SEAMON: I had a ride-along on March 12 from
18	8:00 p.m. to midnight with Officer Tanner Stone. That was
19	a good ride-along. That was my positive connection for
20	today.
21	MR. SMITH: On April 3rd, I had a teleconference
22	with Nicole on COVID 19 and police oversight. And earlier
23	today, I participated in a session sponsored by the City's
24	Building an Inclusive Communities Program, candid
25	conversations on current events.

MR. FISCHER: All right. Anything else? 1 2 We'll move on to Old Business, which is the 3 amendment of the Bylaws. Do we have a copy of that? Ι 4 guess this came up in the March meeting. 5 MS. WILLIAMS: Yeah. MR. FISCHER: So it looks like -- is this the 6 7 one where we're --8 MS. WILLIAMS: The wording. 9 MR. FISCHER: The chair and/or vice chair shall be certified. 10 11 MS. WILLIAMS: There was an issue with the 12 wording. 13 MR. FISCHER: Oh, was there? Okay. So is the 14 wording that we have now need changes? 15 MR. SMITH: The wording that we have now is 16 correct. 17 MR. FISCHER: Okay. 18 MR. SMITH: I move to approve. 19 MS. WIBBENMEYER: I just wanted to make sure 20 it's the way you wanted it in the Bylaws, but this is not 21 specific. So really you've already approved the wording. 22 Just if anyone has any problem as to where it's located in 23 the Bylaws, let me know. Otherwise, that's what we'll 24 publish it as. 25 MR. SMITH: That's fine.

1	MR. FISCHER: So that's already been approved.
2	All right. Well, I think we'll have to really be in
3	contact with NAPO, what their certification requirements
4	are, and the people that fund us to make sure that we can
5	keep this requirement.
б	MR. SMITH: With regard to?
7	MR. FISCHER: That the chair and vice chair be
8	certified. Just keep that in mind.
9	All right. Under New Business, we've got
10	mission statements. So I guess there were two sent out,
11	and are we just choosing between them?
12	MS. SEAMON: I think so.
13	MR. FISCHER: Okay. Is there a motion to choose
14	one or the other?
15	MS. HECKMAN-MCKENNA: I move to adopt Nicole
16	Seamon's.
17	MS. GOMEZ: I second.
18	MR. FISCHER: We have a second. All right.
19	MR. PRINGLE: Before we go on, I did have one
20	thing I kind of wanted to address with these two. Also,
21	when it came to Nicole's, I kind of thought just the
22	second sentence would be perfect.
23	MR. SMITH: I agree.
24	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So I think what we have to do
25	is vote on the motion on the floor, and then if that

fails, you can motion to amend Nicole's. 1 2 MR. PRINGLE: Yeah, that's why I wanted to get that out there before we voted. 3 MR. FISCHER: Okay. So all in favor of choosing 4 Nicole's mission statement, let me know by aye. 5 6 (Multiple aye votes.) 7 MR. FISCHER: Those opposed? 8 MR. SMITH: Nay. 9 MR. FISCHER: I think the ayes have it. Now, would we like to amend it? 10 11 MR. PRINGLE: Yeah. I would move to amend the 12 mission statement to read only: We work to bridge the gap between law enforcement and community to help increase 13 trust and confidence. 14 15 MR. SMITH: Second. 16 MR. FISCHER: All right. All those in favor of 17 amending our mission statement to what was just read, let 18 me know by aye. 19 (Multiple aye votes.) 20 MR. FISCHER: All those opposed? 21 MS. GOMEZ: Nay. 22 MR. FISCHER: All right. It looks like the ayes 23 have it, and that is what we will read before each 24 meeting. 25 Next item is a discussion of the supplement to

Г

1	the 2019 annual report. For those that don't know, this
2	is sort of the board's analysis of CPD's annual report.
3	We provide our report to the City Council before that's
4	released, and in the past we sort of look at it, make some
5	comments, and add it to our annual report.
6	Last year I think I was the one that got left
7	doing it. The other members left the board before that.
8	I'm willing to take a look at it as my time allows and
9	bring it to the board, but if someone would like to help
10	with that, the more, the merrier.
11	So we can move on from there. Just reach out to
12	me if you want to help. And when I can do so, I'll bring
13	it to you all and we'll go from there.
14	Our next item is Appeal to Citizens Police
15	Review Board 2020-0002, where we go from here on our
16	script. So it's set for review for tonight. The first
17	thing we do is determine if the board has jurisdiction to
18	hear the appeal pursuant to the requirements set forth in
19	the ordinance adopted by the City Council. To have
20	jurisdiction, the complainant must have standing to file a
21	complaint. The complaint must be timely filed. The
22	complaint must allege sufficient facts, which if true
23	would constitute alleged an allegation of misconduct of
24	a City of Columbia police officer, and the complainant
25	must have filed the appeal in a timely manner.

Have all members of the board reviewed the 1 2 material, documentation, records and all that was sent 3 from the police department? 4 (Multiple yes responses.) 5 MR. FISCHER: So after that, I invite the board 6 members to discuss the jurisdictional issues and if we 7 have jurisdiction to review the appeal. 8 MR. SMITH: I didn't identify any jurisdictional 9 issues. 10 MR. PRINGLE: I believe we have jurisdiction. 11 MR. FISCHER: So real quick, the dates of the 12 decision is what we're talking about, right, was 1/29 and the appeal was 2/25, if I'm correct. So that was 27 days. 13 MS. GOMEZ: Yeah, I saw the same thing, but I 14 15 was going to say that it might be worth considering 16 lengthening the time to appeal if possible. That that's 17 something we should consider. 18 MR. FISCHER: We've done that probably every 19 year. MS. GOMEZ: Well, I think we should do it again 20 21 because we're not able to do what we're supposed to do. 22 It's not --23 MR. FISCHER: So I think we can still look and talk about the case. 24 25 MS. GOMEZ: Even if it's not under our

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

56

jurisdiction? 1 2 MR. FISCHER: I believe there's something to Right, Rose? We've done that before. My memory is 3 that. 4 5 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Sorry. I'm waiting for this 6 thing to come back on. So if you don't have jurisdiction 7 to actually conduct a review, you can still look at policy 8 to see if there is any policy issues that you need to 9 address. So in other words, you wouldn't be making a 10 recommendation as to whether you agree or disagree with 11 the police chief, but you could look at any policy issues 12 that are raised by it. If you do think you have jurisdiction and you're 13 14 going to proceed with the review, I do ask that you take 15 up the review separate from the policy issues, because it's cleaner on the motions in that context. 16 17 MR. SMITH: With regard to the dates and 18 jurisdiction, how -- what are you saying the conflict is? 19 MR. FISCHER: It's 27 days from the date of the 20 letter to the -- and any, I think, you know, change in the 21 due date would not apply to this case. It would be moving forward, and that's if at all for the City Council. 22 23 MR. SMITH: And for the benefit of the audience, 24 would you please explain what the requirement is to be 25 timely filed.

57

It's -- let's see if we've got it 1 MR. FISCHER: 2 written down here. It's 21 days from receiving the decision letter. 3 MR. SMITH: And so as a result of it being 27 4 5 days, it's six days being untimely filed? 6 MR. FISCHER: That's what it says, yes. 7 MR. SMITH: And as a result of it being six days 8 untimely filed, the board would not have jurisdiction; 9 correct? 10 MR. FISCHER: That's what the rules say. 11 MR. SMITH: I'm just making the statement for 12 the record, making sure it's clear for the record. So should there be a vote on a determination of 13 14 jurisdiction? 15 MR. FISCHER: So if that's what we want to do. 16 Another option we could do is ask for more information. 17 You know, six days in receipt of a letter depending on -you know, it's a tough call, but we have to decide. 18 19 MS. GOMEZ: What information can be supplied? 20 Do you mean like whether they received the notice in time 21 or? 22 MR. FISCHER: We could reach out to the 23 complainant, you know, and ask when did you receive this, 24 because it's -- the date is when the letter is printed. 25 It could be the day that it's mailed, but, I mean, we have

only what we have to determine it. 1 2 MS. GOMEZ: I feel like it couldn't hurt to ask to find out, if we're talking about six days. 3 MR. FISCHER: All right. So is there a motion 4 5 to ask for more information? MS. GOMEZ: I move to ask for more information. 6 7 MS. HECKMAN-MCKENNA: Second. 8 MR. FISCHER: All right. 9 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Before you take a vote on 10 that, the complainant is here. 11 MR. FISCHER: Okay. 12 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Do you want her to come up and 13 answer the question? 14 MR. FISCHER: Hi, we didn't know you were here. 15 COMPLAINANT: What do you want? 16 MR. FISCHER: Just when you received the letter. 17 COMPLAINANT: The day I received the envelope --18 when I called, I was in -- I had an emergency. I was in 19 emergency on my back. I had an accident and had to go to the emergency. I couldn't move. So when I put the 20 complaint, I asked -- I asked first and I was trying not 21 22 to pass that date that it's supposed to have been. I 23 think I received it around --24 MR. FISCHER: Real quick. You think that you --COMPLAINANT: I said I -- well, I knew that it 25

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

59

## Transcript of Proceedings

Г

1	was coming. I wanted to appeal it, but since I had that
2	accident when I had to go to the emergency room and I had
3	my ordeal, I was down. So it was like I wasn't you
4	know, I wasn't coherent you know what I'm saying per
5	se. Not like, okay, you know, this is what I need to do.
6	I called and I asked about me appealing it because I
7	couldn't come down here. But it was as far as I was
8	concerned, the letter got to me and I still had I had
9	enough time. The 25th was actually the last day it could
10	go, but that was that was
11	MR. FISCHER: That was your opinion?
12	COMPLAINANT: That was my opinion. So I'm like,
13	I can't go, and they said you have to write something out.
14	I couldn't come down here. So that's why I made the phone
15	call, and I asked the person that I was talking to about
16	the situ you know, about how do you do this because
17	I'm down right now and I can't get in. I want to appeal
18	this. I don't want it riding. And she said, well, you
19	can do it on the computer. So that's I did it the same
20	day, on the 25th. That was the last day that I had, that
21	I got the notice.
22	MR. FISCHER: Okay. That's, I guess
23	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I would say I mean, the
24	sections says that you have 21 days after the person is
25	given notice. It was sent on the 20

MR. FISCHER: The date of the letter is the 1 2 29th. We don't know when it was received. 3 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Okay. So presumably it takes 4 a few days to get it in the mail. It takes a few days for you to get the mail. That could presumably take you to 5 6 the six days perhaps. 7 COMPLAINANT: I called in, and I actually talked 8 to one of the detectives, because I was doing the calling. They wasn't calling me. I was the one calling. So they 9 10 told me the letter was on its way. 11 MR. FISCHER: Okay. I mean, so really we just 12 need something -- you know, you're saying that the 25th 13 was the 21st day for you. 14 COMPLAINANT: That was the 21st day. That was 15 the last day that I knew that I could do it, and I was 16 trying to do it before the 26th. MR. FISCHER: All right. Well, it sounds like 17 18 then we have jurisdiction to hear your appeal. 19 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Thank you for answering our 20 question. MS. GROVER: Well, I guess we have to vote on 21 22 it. 23 MS. WIBBENMEYER: And for that context, just so 24 you know, Section 21.45 says, Notice shall be considered 25 given when it is hand-delivered or three business days

after it is mailed. 1 2 And then Section 1-2 of the Code, With regard to computation of time, the time within which an act is to be 3 done shall be computed by excluding the first and 4 5 including the last day, and if the last day be Sunday, that shall be excluded. 6 7 MR. FISCHER: Thoughts? MR. SMITH: So can someone do the calculation 8 9 based on that? 10 MR. FISCHER: I mean, three days. If it's 27 11 days, then it's then 24 days. But if the recipient says 12 that they didn't get it in those three days? Rules. 13 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So, Rose, to clarify: If we 14 hear the complainant has not gotten it within the three 15 days, then can we alter -- then is the notice altered or is there not room for that either? 16 17 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Basically, what I can tell you 18 is that's what the definition says you're supposed to do. 19 Whether or not you feel that you have evidence that 20 indicates otherwise, that's really for you to decide. 21 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Okay. Thank you. 22 MR. PRINGLE: There isn't any general waiver 23 rule? MS. WIBBENMEYER: No, there isn't. 24 25 MS. GROVER: You said three days, that's all the

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

62

leeway that they're given on a weekend? 1 MS. WIBBENMEYER: It says: The time within 2 which an act is to be done shall be computed by excluding 3 the first and including the last, and if the last be a 4 5 Sunday, that shall be excluded. 6 MS. GROVER: It doesn't appear that we have jurisdiction. 7 8 MR. FISCHER: Okay. Is there a motion? 9 MS. GROVER: I move to find that we don't have 10 jurisdiction in this case. 11 MR. FISCHER: Is there a second? 12 MR. SMITH: I'll second. 13 MR. FISCHER: All right. All those that agree, 14 let me know by aye. 15 (Multiple aye votes.) 16 MR. FISCHER: All those that are opposed, let me 17 know by nay. 18 (Multiple nay votes.) 19 MR. FISCHER: The ayes have it. 20 MR. SMITH: And the reason I voted aye is 21 strictly because of what our ordinances say. Anything 22 we've done in violation of the ordinance, given the 23 calculation, would have been invalid. 24 MR. FISCHER: Sure. MR. SMITH: We are bound by the ordinance with 25

1	regard to calculation of time. I thought we had
2	jurisdiction, but my calculation was off and we are bound
3	by the ordinance, and we are required to follow the
4	ordinance. And I understand what you're saying with
5	regard to when you received it and I believe you, but we
6	are bound by what the ordinance says.
7	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I do have a question, though.
8	So you have a year from any incident to report allegations
9	of misconduct; right? Anyone who has heard about that
10	allegation of misconduct can complain about it. So if
11	someone else were to know about this allegation of
12	misconduct, they could still take this incident and
13	complain about it?
14	MR. FISCHER: Correct.
15	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: But she couldn't complain
16	about it?
17	MS. WIBBENMEYER: She's already filed her
18	complaint so she couldn't
19	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Correct.
20	MS. WIBBENMEYER: The issue on this is the
21	untimeliness of the appeal. So she filed the complaint,
22	and then the she missed the time limits of the appeal.
23	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Correct. But say a witness
24	to it wants to complain about the allegation? They could
25	file a complaint?

MS. WIBBENMEYER: As long as they met the 1 2 standing requirements in the ordinance and they timely filed the complaint. 3 MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: I would like to follow up 4 5 on what Ms. Gomez said earlier, too, about possibly 6 thinking about extending that time frame, because this is 7 a perfect example of why three weeks seems insufficient. 8 Injuries happen. Vacations happen. Some of our 9 population work multiple jobs, have big families, maybe 10 like don't open their mail regularly. And so it seems to 11 me that 21 days is insufficient. 12 MS. GOMEZ: It's not an inclusive policy at all. 13 MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Exactly. 14 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Do you happen to know off the 15 top of your head what the section is for standing for 16 complaints? 17 MS. WIBBENMEYER: I can look it up. 18 MR. SMITH: And do any other boards have a 19 period, a notification period of -- is 21 days pretty much 20 standard or do any other boards or commissions have a 21 longer period? 22 MS. WIBBENMEYER: There are boards and 23 commissions that have deadlines on filing. I believe when 24 the original committee was meeting to figure out what sort of format to have, they debated the length of time to file 25

a complaint and the length of time to file an appeal. And 1 2 in the end what they settled on was similar to what you see in a misdemeanor criminal case where oftentimes you 3 have a year to file, and then the Court of Appeals time 4 frames I think factored in. But I think Board of 5 Adjustments has some time periods, but I can't remember 6 7 exactly what they are. I can look that up. 8 With regard to standing, it's 21.51(a). 9 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Okay. I'm seeing it now. So it says a person can complain if they are the alleged 10 11 victim of misconduct, any family member or friend or 12 attorney of the alleged victim, any person who witnessed alleged misconduct or any resident of Boone County; right? 13 14 So if Ms. Smith were to have an attorney that 15 knew of these facts, they could complain. If someone in this room knew of the facts, they could complain if 16 17 they're a resident of Boone County? Am I reading that 18 correctly, is basically what I'm asking? 19 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Yes. 20 COMPLAINANT: Okay. So anything -- if I came 21 and brought my witnesses with me, I couldn't talk but they 22 could talk? 23 MS. WIBBENMEYER: The appeal is still --MS. CATLETTSTOUT: So we still believe -- we 24 25 voted --

1	COMPLAINANT: I thought the 25th was my deadline
2	from the time I got the letter. Clearly, it was the time.
3	That was the date. That was the day. I couldn't go past
4	the 26th. That's why I got to calling to the city hall
5	and trying to figure out what I could do, because I
6	couldn't physically come down. The paperwork said you had
7	to have it in writing to appeal.
8	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Does the paperwork give you
9	that date on it or it just goes to
10	COMPLAINANT: It says 21 days.
11	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Twenty-one days.
12	COMPLAINANT: At the bottom, it says 21 days
13	from the time you get the letter.
14	MR. PRINGLE: So we are still allowed to talk
15	about the policy?
16	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Yes, you can still if you
17	feel that there's something you want to talk about or
18	address with regard to policy, you can still do that. You
19	can still provide policy recommendations to the police
20	department.
21	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Just generally speaking, if
22	someone's lawyer wanted to complain because they knew the
23	facts, an investigation has happened, they'll do another
24	investigation and then they could appeal if they were
25	unsatisfied with that response; correct?

Г

1	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Anyone who meets the standing
2	could file the complaint as long as they timely file it.
3	They would get a letter in the mail with the decision;
4	then they would have 21 days from the date of that letter.
5	MS. HECKMAN-MCKENNA: And just to clarify,
6	anyone in that standing position could be anyone who was
7	there at the incident?
8	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: A witness to the incident, a
9	family member, a lawyer, any resident of Boone County.
10	MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: So Ms. Smith could have
11	one of the witnesses put in a complaint?
12	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Could have one of the
13	witnesses, her attorney, anyone sitting in this room if
14	she wanted to talk to anyone.
15	I just I understand your frustration, and I'm
16	not going to say directly go find someone else to
17	complain, but there is standing here that you can look at.
18	You have options. But I do understand that this is
19	incredibly frustrating.
20	MS. WIBBENMEYER: And, ultimately, if she's
21	still not satisfied with the fact that you all didn't have
22	jurisdiction, she can write a letter to the City Manager,
23	because ultimately the City Manager, he oversees the
24	entire all the employees in the City.
25	So, for example, if you had jurisdiction and you

Г

1	took it up and you reviewed it and she still was not
2	happy, the next step is to go to the City Manager.
3	So in essence, even though you didn't have
4	jurisdiction, you were able to see everything, what
5	happened and everything, you can address policy issues
6	even though you don't necessarily make a recommendation
7	with regard to what she's claiming, she could still if
8	she's not happy send a letter to the City Manager, who has
9	general supervision over all City employees, which is
10	generally speaking the next step after the review board is
11	to the City Manager.
12	COMPLAINANT: Thank you.
13	(Multiple thank you's.)
14	MR. FISCHER: All right. We are down to General
15	Comments by the public if there's anyone that would like
16	to talk again?
17	Members of the staff?
18	MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I guess at this point I am
19	giving my notice that I this is my formal notice of
20	resignation from the board. This will be my last meeting.
21	I am finishing my law school career in Texas. I leave for
22	Texas tomorrow morning. My effective date is June 15th so
23	I can finish the recommendation that I've been writing.
24	But I will send formal resignation out. I've already sent
25	one to Rose to be distributed, but I just wanted to let

you guys know that this is my last day, and I hope that 1 2 the City Council fills my seat with someone who's going to put in the work that it takes to be on this board and to 3 4 hold community trust to the highest regard. MS. WILLIAMS: You will be missed. 5 6 MR. FISCHER: Yes, thank you for your service. 7 MR. SMITH: Thank you for your service. 8 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Thank you. 9 MR. FISCHER: Any other comments? 10 MS. GOMEZ: I know that the number of days gets 11 discussed yearly. What happened like the last time that 12 it was discussed? What obstacles? MR. FISCHER: I think we couldn't settle on a 13 14 revision so it stayed at 21 days. 15 MS. GOMEZ: Was that because people had very different ideas of how long it should be or what? 16 17 MR. FISCHER: Yeah, I think we were just stuck on what is a better time frame. I'd have to --18 19 MS. GOMEZ: I just --20 MR. FISCHER: Yeah, I -- that's I think the best 21 to my recollection is we couldn't agree on what was better 22 than what was already had, because I think at the creation 23 of the board that was a long conversation in itself. 24 MS. GOMEZ: Right. 25 MR. FISCHER: So what does, you know, what is

reasonable? MS. GOMEZ: Yeah, it just seems like that part of our -- as we talk about our mission statement, you know, is to bridge the gap between the community and police and to make people feel heard, but not being able to review cases after 21 days probably doesn't help that, especially thinking about in terms of, you know, accessibility issues. I just feel like it's something that should be opened up again even though it's painful and frustrating. MR. FISCHER: Sure. MS. CATLETTSTOUT: I think even beyond 21 days, if that is going to be the policy, we should have somewhere in the letter what that point is. Because if it save you have 21 days from the receipt of this letter and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 says you have 21 days from the receipt of this letter and 16 you open it and you count 21 days but that's not what the 17 policy is. It's three days after the letter has been 18 sent. That's not being communicated to citizens 19 obviously, and that's a problem. So whether it's 20 extending the 21 days or writing it in the letter, hey, 21 this was sent on this day, you have until this date before 22 your time runs out rather than having them count the days 23 themselves and miss it by what, four days, at that point. 24 MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Yeah, it needs 25 transparency for sure.
MR. SMITH: What --1 2 MS. HECKMAN-MCKENNA: I think we should do both, increase the time and also make it fairly clear on the 3 4 letter. 5 MR. SMITH: Or just state in the letter, you 6 have until date X to appeal. Even if we don't increase 7 the day, just give a date certain that you have to get the 8 appeal in, and that way the person is -- has notice of how 9 long they have to get the appeal in. 10 The appeal isn't much, just saying MS. GROVER: 11 I'm appealing this decision. Isn't that all they have to 12 say? Why could not the chief put in with his letter -- or 13 put a postcard in there saying I appeal and just -- when they mail out the decision. Then it's already in the 14 15 envelope and ready to go. All they have to do is drop it 16 off. 17 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: That's a good idea. 18 MS. GROVER: If there's not much to it, then --19 I mean, she went online and she did it and got the notice 20 from the city clerk when she did it, and it didn't look 21 like there was much to it. So if they could just even put 22 a postcard in with the letter, then it's done. 23 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: And the fact that she said 24 that she called the city clerk and the city clerk told her that she had time to do it that day is concerning. 25

## Transcript of Proceedings

MS. WIBBENMEYER: Actually, she talked to me and she told me she was out of time. She thought she was out of time, and I said, well, if you think you're out of time, you need to get that filed today.

MS. GOMEZ: I do like the postcard issue. Ι 5 6 think the issue still stands, though, that three weeks is in many -- or in some cases is not going to be enough 7 8 time. Case in point, things like injuries, things like being in the hospital, life happening, having to take 9 10 emergency trips to help family through, you know, things 11 that happen in life. So I still think that three weeks 12 isn't enough, but I do like the idea of the postcard.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. So moving forward with the changing of that, we'll need to draft something for a set amount of time, like a new time frame, and send it to counsel, and then it's --

MS. WIBBENMEYER: And I would suggest you have it on an agenda to let the public comment on it, because this has been highly vetted through multiple public meetings, granted it was a long time ago and over time, but this is a fairly significant change that you would be asking for. So you really need to have it on an agenda so the public can comment.

24 MR. PRINGLE: I think we also need a -- I think 25 we need a general waiver rule for good cause, because that

1 was good cause to waive that. MR. SMITH: We have to have discretion if there 2 3 is good cause. MS. CATLETTSTOUT: This isn't the first time 4 5 that we've had this issue. We had the issue where the 6 letter was lost or something along those lines before, and we have thought about having good cause. 7 8 MR. PRINGLE: Didn't we assert jurisdiction in 9 that case? 10 MS. SEAMON: Yeah, I think we did. 11 MR. PRINGLE: That one had a return --12 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: It had return to sender, 13 yeah. 14 MS. GOMEZ: So just to be clear, our first step 15 is to draft something or our first step is to put it on an 16 agenda? 17 MS. WIBBENMEYER: I think you probably need to 18 decide -- I mean, if you know what you want, you could 19 draft it, I could put it on an agenda and we can link it 20 for the public to look at. 21 If you don't know what you want, then I think 22 just putting the topic on the agenda, soliciting the 23 public to provide you with ideas and feedback as well as 24 doing your own research as to maybe what other cities have, what other review boards do, and then you could have 25

a discussion. 1 2 And I see that as almost a two-step process. First, kind of gathering the information; second, meeting 3 4 then with the proposal -- the proposed language. And then 5 ultimately, you would be looking at a motion to recommend 6 to the City Council that the City Council adopt the 7 changes that you would then outline in the report to 8 counsel. 9 MS. GOMEZ: Thank you. 10 MR. PRINGLE: I'd be happy to draft a rule 11 granting us discretion with good cause. I'm happy to do 12 that for the next meeting. 13 MR. FISCHER: Okay. 14 MS. GROVER: You have to define good cause as 15 well. 16 MR. PRINGLE: No, that's --17 SERGEANT ALPERS: Would you like me to go ahead 18 and make a change to the letter, get a date --19 MR. FISCHER: Oh, sure, I was going to ask that 20 kind of generally that I think even with the pieces that 21 we have on this agenda that letter is kind of 22 standardized. 23 SERGEANT ALPERS: So if we change the letter, 24 they're confusing, but I'm more than happy to go ahead and change the date -- change the way it's formatted to show 25

#### Transcript of Proceedings

this is X date that it needs to be turned in. 1 2 MR. FISCHER: Yeah. 3 SERGEANT ALPERS: Because it's just -- there's 4 not a city letter. It was made, and it's what I 5 inherited. I don't have an issue with making that change 6 if that's what the board wants. 7 MR. FISCHER: Thank you. MS. GROVER: Could you put the website, City 8 9 website, that they can appeal? 10 SERGEANT ALPERS: If you want to give me a 11 recommendation, I'm more than happy to put whatever you 12 want. Or if you would like me to call you or two of you, 13 we can sit down and draft the letter together. MR. FISCHER: Yeah, maybe send a draft to you, 14 15 Sergeant, and --16 SERGEANT ALPERS: I am going on vacation, so if 17 you don't hear from me -- I will get back to you, but 18 we're more than happy to get that so it's very clear and 19 concise. 20 MR. SMITH: I think that would help 21 dramatically. Thank you. 22 MR. FISCHER: The other thing I had was kind of an outreach update. Some of you talked with Steve who was 23 24 here earlier. We kept in contact throughout our absence, 25 and it sounds like him and C.W. are going to be

## Transcript of Proceedings

1 comfortable around September to get back up on outreach, 2 but that doesn't prevent us from doing it, but I saw in 3 the transcript that you all were asking about it last 4 meeting. So that's what I got for you all.

5 Other than that, did everyone get the email 6 about NAPO? Some of us -- more of us want to do the 7 training, but I guess we'll talk about that next month?

Although if -- I sent 8 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Yes. out an email asking if you think you can attend the 9 10 virtual seminar to let me know so that we can see what we 11 need to do to pay for all that. I think we have enough in 12 Travel and Training in this year's budget assuming there 13 are no budget cuts. With that said, the City is looking 14 at budget cuts, and they have asked departments to cut 15 10 percent across the board -- or proposed a 10 percent 16 cut across the board for next fiscal year, but for this 17 fiscal year, some departments have had already had cuts.

I don't know if the Council -- the Council's in charge of your budget so then the Council would have to decide if they're going to make the 10 percent cuts to the boards' and commissions' budget, too, and I don't know how that's going to sort out yet.

The virtual seminar is much cheaper than when you have to travel for it. So I think -- for the whole seminar, I think it was \$275 a person. Whereas I think

Г

1	usually when you travel to one of those conferences, it's
2	usually \$2000 to \$3000 a person because of all the travel
3	costs. So if you can and want to do it, I think this year
4	with the virtual seminar is the year to get as many of you
5	through that. I also don't know when I think right now
6	departments aren't allowed to spend anything on travel. I
7	don't know if that's going to continue into next year or
8	not, but that is also both COVID-related and cost savings
9	related.
10	MR. FISCHER: Anything else?
11	MR. PRINGLE: Are we going to do spring
12	training?
13	MS. WILLIAMS: What did you say?
14	MR. PRINGLE: Spring training.
15	MS. WIBBENMEYER: I don't think it's going to be
16	spring because we're in June. I think we're going to be
17	looking for fall.
18	The other part of that is boards and commissions
19	are slowly coming back. You're one of the first rounds of
20	the boards and commissions that have been allowed to meet.
21	And as you can see with the social distancing, it becomes
22	much more difficult to fit people and board members in.
23	So basically what they have told the board and commission
24	liaisons is that if a board or commission does not have a
25	reason to meet, they should not meet. You all have cases

#### Transcript of Proceedings

and you had a reason to meet so you were allowed to meet. 1 2 Part of that is just to free up this room, because this room is the biggest room that provides the most social 3 4 distancing for as many boards and commissions that have to 5 meet. 6 So I think we're probably going to have to 7 either integrate training like we used to into the regular 8 meetings rather than scheduling a separate meeting, 9 because this room will be at a premium or we would 10 probably be looking at like a Friday evening meeting, 11 which those don't really go over well but usually this 12 room is not booked on Friday evenings. 13 MR. FISCHER: All right. Anything else? 14 So if not, is there a motion to adjourn the open 15 session and go into closed session? 16 MS. WILLIAMS: Motion to go into closed session. 17 MS. WIBBENMEYER: You need to read the whole 18 thing. 19 Motion to go into closed session MS. WILLIAMS: 20 pursuant to Section 610.021, Records which are protected 21 by disclosure by law pursuant to Section 610.100.105, 22 610.100.2(2) and 610.100.1(5) of the Revised Statutes of 23 Missouri and in accordance with Section 2-25.3(13) and 24 21-54(c)(1) of the City Code. 25 MR. FISCHER: Is there a second?

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

79

MR. SMITH: Second. 1 2 MR. FISCHER: All right. I'll do a roll call vote on this. 3 4 Catlettstout? 5 MS. CATLETTSTOUT: Aye. 6 MR. FISCHER: Fischer? Yes. Grover? 7 MS. GROVER: Yes. 8 MR. FISCHER: Heckman-McKenna? 9 MS. HECKMAN-McKENNA: Yes. 10 MR. FISCHER: Pringle? 11 MR. PRINGLE: Yes. 12 MR. FISCHER: Seamon? 13 MS. SEAMON: Yes. 14 MR. FISCHER: Smith? 15 MR. SMITH: Yes. 16 MR. FISCHER: Williams? 17 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. 18 MR. FISCHER: Gomez? 19 MS. GOMEZ: Yes. 20 MR. FISCHER: All right. With that, there's 21 been a motion to go into closed session, it's passed, and 22 everyone from the public and not on the board has to leave 23 the room other than Officer Michael Doyle if he's here. 24 (Off the record at 7:46 p.m.) 25

1 2 3 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 4 I, Brenda L. Schmelz, Certified Verbatim 5 Reporter-Master, CCR No. 1267, the officer before whom the б 7 foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing 8 9 deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of 10 said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and 11 thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that 12 I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was 13 14 taken, and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties 15 16 thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. 17 18 ndu A. Schme 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Transcript of Proceedings \$2000..allegation

	26th 61:16 67:4	ability 45:14,15	addressed 29:1 30:3
\$	<b>27</b> 56:13 57:19 58:4	absence 76:24	addressing 28:25
<b>\$2000</b> 78:2	62:10	absolutely 5:13	adjourn 79:14
<b>\$275</b> 77:25	<b>29th</b> 61:2	11:16,23 12:11,17 13:4 30:11	Adjustments 66:6
<b>\$3000</b> 78:2	3	abstain 50:5	administered 6:15
		abuse 38:14	administrative 30:2
1	<b>300.4</b> 44:17	abused 42:22	administrators 31:5
<b>1-2</b> 62:2	<b>300.6</b> 45:3	abusing 7:22 8:4	admit 14:25
<b>1/29</b> 56:12	<b>300.7</b> 45:10	accept 27:9,10 30:16	admitted 6:15
<b>10</b> 77:15,20	<b>311</b> 32:7	43:14	adopt 53:15 75:6
<b>10th</b> 16:16	<b>3rd</b> 51:21	acceptable 48:15	adopted 55:19
<b>12</b> 17:6 51:17		access 30:7 34:9	advised 51:8
<b>13th</b> 16:14,16	5	accessibility 71:8	affected 16:24 17:17
<b>1500</b> 19:21	58th 16:16	accident 59:19 60:2	18:6
<b>15th</b> 69:22		accordance 79:23	affects 17:16,17 39:23
<b>19</b> 51:22	6	accountable 17:5	affiliated 28:5
	<b>60</b> 4:17	29:11 31:10,11	affirmation 9:5
2	<b>610.021</b> 79:20	achieve 4:12	afraid 16:19,22 42:21
0.05.0(40) 70.00	610.100.1(5) 79:22	act 62:3 63:3	Africa 16:8
<b>2-25.3(13)</b> 79:23	610.100.105 79:21	acting 31:7	
<b>2/25</b> 56:13 <b>20</b> 35:3 60:25	610.100.2(2) 79:22	action 11:2 29:13 32:4 44:1	African-american 10:3
<b>2019</b> 55:1		actions 46:23	African-americans
<b>2019</b> 55.1 <b>2020</b> 3:1 49:20	7	active 30:18	5:7,17
<b>2020</b> 3.1 49.20 <b>2020-0002</b> 55:15	<b>7:46</b> 80:24	actively 32:16	<b>age</b> 47:5
		activities 43:22	agenda 3:3,13 49:18 73:18,22 74:16,19,22
<b>21</b> 58:2 60:24 65:11, 19 67:10,12 68:4	8	activity 30:19	75:21
70:14 71:6,12,15,16,	<b>8:00</b> 51:18	actors 29:10,16	aggression 34:21
20 $21 = 54(a)(4) = 70(24)$	0.00 51.10	acts 8:25	agree 36:3 37:1
<b>21-54(c)(1)</b> 79:24	9	actual 45:5	39:11,16 53:23 57:10 63:13 70:21
<b>21.45</b> 61:24			ahead 50:11 75:17,24
<b>21.51(a)</b> 66:8	<b>90</b> 4:17	add 33:3 55:5	
<b>21st</b> 61:13,14	<b>911</b> 32:8	addition 4:21	alarming 31:9
<b>22</b> 26:20	A	additions 49:21	alcohol 45:12 47:3
<b>24</b> 62:11		address 8:15 11:21 14:6 23:8 27:25 46:16	allegation 55:23 64:10,11,24
25th 60:9,20 61:12 67:1	aback 23:20	53:20 57:9 67:18 69:5	

Transcript of Proceedings allegations... board

allegations 64:8	apologize 5:9	associate's 4:17	<b>basic</b> 38:10
allege 55:22	apparent 45:17,18	assuming 77:12	basically 26:9 32:18
alleged 55:23 66:10,	appeal 55:14,18,25	atrocious 17:10	34:7 62:17 66:18
12,13	56:7,13,16 60:1,17	attend 22:21 48:14	78:23
allowed 14:22 67:14	61:18 64:21,22 66:1, 23 67:7,24 72:6,8,9,	49:6 77:9	basics 46:4
78:6,20 79:1	10,13 76:9	attendance 13:1	basis 15:6 16:12
Alpers 47:21,25 48:4, 5,25 75:17,23 76:3,10,	appealing 60:6 72:11	attended 48:12	<b>beat</b> 47:6,9
16	appeals 24:15 66:4	attention 8:5,20	<b>Beg</b> 10:15
alter 62:15	apply 57:21	attorney 66:12,14	beginning 3:16 12:7
altered 62:15	applying 45:9	68:13	behalf 28:4
amazing 23:19	approach 27:23	audience 26:14 57:23	behavior 7:23 8:22
amend 54:1,10,11	approaching 5:21	augment 30:22	behaviors 29:19
amending 54:17	approval 3:2,12	authority 29:17 37:17	benefit 57:23
amendment 52:3	49:18	availability 45:15	benefiting 9:10
America 16:15	approve 3:3,14 49:22 52:18	avoid 8:14 45:7	bias 8:14,15 51:15
American 34:14		avoiding 5:25	biases 6:13
amount 36:21 73:15	approved 52:21 53:1	awful 16:22	<b>big</b> 21:3 65:9
amounting 4:16	April 51:21	<b>aye</b> 3:20 50:1 54:5,6,	biggest 45:23 79:3
amped 34:23	area 13:23 46:8	18,19 63:14,15,20 80:5	<b>bit</b> 14:9,14 36:16
amphetamines	areas 4:11	ayes 3:21 50:2 54:9,	49:14
47:13	arise 51:11	22 63:19	<b>bite</b> 44:24
analysis 55:2	armed 30:12 32:3 34:2,17		bites 42:11
and/or 19:11 22:24	armor 34:15	B	<b>black</b> 5:5,15 21:3 37:21 38:1 40:18 41:7,
40:23 52:9	arrange 19:8	back 5:12 20:6 27:16	15 42:22,23 43:11,13,
Andrews 18:2	arrangement 31:6	29:6 47:4,22 57:6 59:19 76:17 77:1	23
angry 18:6	array 19:19	78:19	blame 37:11
animal 36:15 41:24	arrest 5:24 6:1 7:4	background 12:23	blasting 15:8
animals 42:5	15:23 32:4	14:9	blatantly 36:25
<b>annual</b> 55:1,2,5	arrested 14:16 15:25	backgrounds 38:17	bleed 42:21
anonymous 7:20	31:5 36:12	<b>bad</b> 20:16 29:10,15,19 36:13 37:14	blind 8:11
answering 61:19	Article 8:25	balances 6:11	blindfolded 8:13
answers 21:20	assaulting 39:13	barreling 35:4	<b>blue</b> 26:16
Anthropology 4:20	assemble 5:7		<b>blunt</b> 15:15
anticipate 14:25	assert 74:8	barrier 21:3,5	<b>board</b> 3:2 7:8 10:6 11:22 31:5 46:18 49:2,
anxiety 37:16	assessment 6:12	<b>based</b> 12:10 22:23 27:11 62:9	11.22 31.5 40.18 49.2, 13 51:5 55:7,9,15,17 56:1,5 58:8 66:5

Transcript of Proceedidegs board's..Columbia

69:10,20 70:3,23 76:6	businesses 9:10	28:19 32:7 35:18	circumstance 30:10,
77:15,16 78:22,23,24 80:22	<b>buy</b> 35:11	39:16 40:6 46:16 49:7 50:12 51:10 53:24	<sup>13</sup> circumstances
board's 55:2	Bylaws 52:3,20,23	60:23 61:3,19 62:13, 21 64:7,15,19,23	44:25
<b>boards</b> 65:18,20,22	C	65:14 66:9,24 67:8,11,	cities 74:24
74:25 78:18,20 79:4		21 68:8,12 69:18 70:8 71:12 72:17,23 74:4,	<b>citizen</b> 5:21 7:6,10
boards' 77:21	<b>C.W.</b> 76:25	12 80:4,5	8:2,12,18 15:24 16:7 43:25
<b>body</b> 7:2,4 38:14	calculation 62:8 63:23 64:1,2	central 47:5	citizens 3:1 5:20,21,
<b>bombs</b> 29:20		centuries 10:4	22 6:7 8:4,9 27:23
<b>book</b> 46:5,12	<b>calendar</b> 48:22,23 49:8,9	certification 48:8	49:12 55:14 71:18
booked 79:12	<b>call</b> 3:1,5 15:22 20:13	53:3	<b>city</b> 7:9 10:7 16:15,17, 18,20 17:1 24:10,11
Boone 66:13,17 68:9	33:7,15,25 36:7 38:10	<b>certified</b> 37:25 52:10 53:8	27:13 44:3 48:18,22,
booths 34:7,16	39:17,20 58:18 60:15 76:12 80:2	chair 52:9 53:7	23 55:3,19,24 57:22 67:4 68:22,23,24 69:2,
<b>bottom</b> 27:8 35:1 46:22 67:12	called 33:20,23 39:10	chance 25:15 49:19	8,9,11 70:2 72:20,24
<b>bound</b> 63:25 64:2,6	59:18 60:6 61:7 72:24	change 10:10 16:2	75:6 76:4,8 77:13 79:24
boys 26:16	calling 61:8,9 67:4	19:22,23 20:3,17	City's 51:23
<b>break</b> 34:10	calls 32:7,8	37:18 42:8 57:20 73:21 75:18,23,25	claiming 69:7
breath 44:23	camera 7:4	76:5	clarify 62:13 68:5
bridge 54:12 71:4	cameras 7:2	changing 73:14	classes 43:11
bring 31:3 44:2 55:9,	campus 40:20	chants 25:24	classroom 4:16
12	candid 51:24	chapter 48:7	<b>clean</b> 29:19
bringing 28:15	capacity 45:13 47:2, 11,15	character 25:2	cleaner 57:16
Broadway 37:8 40:20	<b>car</b> 16:8 32:20	charge 37:3 77:19	<b>clear</b> 6:1,8 58:12 72:3
broken 14:17 23:25	car's 42:12	charged 21:13 37:13	74:14 76:18
47:6	care 31:18 42:13 43:2	cheaper 77:23	clerk 72:20,24
brought 13:15 50:21 66:21	cared 41:19	<b>check</b> 12:8	<b>closed</b> 50:7 79:15,16,
bud 32:22	career 69:21	<b>checks</b> 6:10	19 80:21
<b>buddy</b> 16:7	<b>case</b> 5:23 34:11 35:13	Chicago 14:11,12	closely 26:23 Code 62:2 79:24
budget 77:12,13,14,	56:24 57:21 63:10	<b>chief</b> 10:17 12:13	
19,21	66:3 73:8 74:9	24:17 29:17 57:11 72:12	coffee 51:3 coherent 60:4
budgets 9:14	cases 31:21 71:6 73:7 78:25	child 33:12	collecting 21:21
build 22:1	Catch 26:20	children 39:17	college 23:13,16
building 24:5 51:24	categories 49:10	<b>choice</b> 33:6	43:22,25
built 25:16 26:6	Catlettstout 11:10,	choose 53:13	color 16:14 42:24
<b>business</b> 52:2 53:9 61:25	13,19 12:20 13:6 20:5 23:23 25:1,19 26:21	choosing 53:11 54:4	Columbia 4:8,10 7:9

Transcript of Proceedinges: Columbia's..cull

8:18 10:5,7 12:5 13:20	44:1 46:6,11 47:22	connections 18:22,	<b>cost</b> 78:8
15:3 16:18 19:20 20:3	48:8,9,10,15 49:1	23 50:9	costs 78:3
28:9 29:4 38:13 43:20 44:3,15 55:24	50:14,16,22,23,24 51:5 54:13 70:4 71:4	considered 61:24	<b>council</b> 7:9 10:7
Columbia's 10:2	compiled 4:9	consistently 30:1	24:10,11,17 27:13
combined 40:3	<b>complain</b> 64:10,13,	constantly 43:14	55:3,19 57:22 70:2 75:6 77:18,19
comfortable 77:1	15,24 66:10,15,16	constitute 55:23	<b>Council's</b> 77:18
comment 3:16,20,25	67:22 68:17	constitutes 6:2	counsel 73:16 75:8
4:4 5:9,13,15 10:13,	complainant 55:20, 24 58:23 59:10,15,17,	Constitution 9:1	counseling 6:12
15,19 11:5,12,16,20, 23,25 12:3,6,11,17,25	25 60:12 61:7,14	constitutional 46:21,	-
13:8,14,21 14:2,5,8	62:14 66:20 67:1,10, 12 69:12	24	count 71:16,22
15:14,16 23:24 27:4		<b>contact</b> 11:13 53:3	countless 42:3
29:6 32:1,9 33:17,22 34:3 47:24 73:18,23	<b>complaint</b> 7:10,11 55:21,22 59:21 64:18,	76:24	County 66:13,17 68:9
comments 40:8 44:9	21,25 65:3 66:1 68:2,	<b>context</b> 57:16 61:23	couple 13:1 28:5 44:16 50:17
55:5 69:15 70:9	11	continual 20:10	coupled 45:1
commission 78:23,	complaints 7:6 8:2 65:16	<b>continue</b> 14:17 19:8, 14 20:8 26:6 78:7	<b>Court</b> 46:20 48:1 66:4
24	complete 4:16	Continuing 45:10	<b>COVID</b> 48:11 51:22
<b>commissions</b> 65:20, 23 78:18,20 79:4	completely 7:18	continuous 6:23	COVID-RELATED
commissions' 77:21	11:25 34:13	control 24:13 29:7,9	78:8
committee 6:21 7:7	compliant 20:15	45:18,19	coworkers 26:19
9:22 10:1,23 11:9	computation 62:3	conversation 24:4,8	CPD 30:24 33:15
13:12 24:24 48:24 49:1 65:24	computed 62:4 63:3	25:16 50:20,23 70:23	49:11
committing 38:7	computer 60:19	conversations 24:17 25:6,20,23 27:15	CPD's 55:2
common 11:8	concerned 30:8 60:8	50:16,25 51:2,25	<b>CPS</b> 30:24
communicate 41:7	concerns 13:19 30:4	convicted 37:13	crap 47:6
communicated	concise 76:19	<b>cop</b> 41:7	create 9:25 10:8
41:15 71:18	concluded 7:15	<b>copies</b> 4:13 11:17	creation 70:22
communication	conduct 57:7	<b>cops</b> 37:3 39:9 46:8	credit 4:17 36:21
41:21,22	conferences 78:1	47:1,6	crime 28:24 29:1
communities 16:21 51:24	confess 29:12	<b>copy</b> 52:3	32:21 37:5
-	confidence 54:14	<b>core</b> 35:9	crimes 32:19 38:8
<b>community</b> 4:19 6:20,22,24 7:7,13 9:9	confidentiality 17:14	correct 46:18 52:16	criminal 4:20 8:25 30:19 66:3
10:2,3,5,10 15:4,6,12,	conflict 57:18	56:13 58:9 64:14,19, 23 67:25	crisis 29:2 41:4
24 16:4 17:22 18:18, 20,24 19:9 20:20 21:4,	confusing 75:24	corrections 49:20	crowd 32:21 41:18
22 22:3,15,25 24:1,2,3	conjunction 10:5	correctly 66:18	44:21
25:7 27:19 28:1,12,22, 23,24 29:1,18 31:16	connection 51:7,19	correspondence	<b>cull</b> 29:14
35:15 38:25 43:25		20:25	

culture 27:10 28:10	decided 18:14,15,16,	describing 9:6	door 39:25
29:7	21,23 19:2	description 6:3	Doyle 80:23
cumulatively 20:2	deciding 33:6,15	36:18	draft 49:19 73:14
current 9:23 27:10 51:25	decision 56:12 58:3 68:3 72:11,14	<b>deserve</b> 36:15,21 43:23	74:15,19 75:10 76:1 14
<b>cut</b> 77:14,16	decisions 46:20	detained 9:9	dramatically 76:21
cuts 77:13,14,17,20	deemed 7:4	detectives 61:8	drawn 37:7,20 38:1
<b>cycle</b> 39:3	deep 44:23	determination 58:13	11 39:10
	deeply 44:3	determine 7:11 9:23	drinking 47:5
D	deescalation 5:24	45:1 55:17 59:1	drive 32:20
danger 36:6	Defense 4:8 10:20	dialogue 6:23 21:15 23:12	drivers 32:16
dare 21:23	11:11 18:13 22:9 40:10	dialogues 51:4	drop 41:14 72:15
darned 26:10	define 75:14	difference 17:6 27:6	drug 47:3
date 57:19,21 58:24	defined 7:25 28:11	difficult 16:23 29:15	drugs 45:12
59:22 61:1 67:3,9 68:4	30:20	49:15 51:2 78:22	drunk 32:16 47:8
69:22 71:21 72:6,7 75:18,25 76:1	definition 62:18	direct 24:22 44:18	dual 16:7
dates 56:11 57:17	definitions 6:2	directly 68:16	due 48:11 57:21
day 17:9 26:4 36:5	defund 28:7 36:2,6	disagree 57:10	duration 7:18
37:9,11,18 42:24	defunding 40:22	discharge 8:1	duties 27:20
58:25 59:17 60:9,20 61:13,14,15 62:5 67:3	degree 4:17 45:13	discharges 8:8	duty 7:14,17
70:1 71:21 72:7,25	degrees 6:2	disclosure 79:21	
days 40:14 56:13	deletions 49:21	discretion 31:10 33:5	E
57:19 58:2,5,7,17 59:3 60:24 61:4,6,25 62:10,	demand 27:11	74:2 75:11	earlier 11:6 51:22
11,12,15,25 65:11,19	demands 27:23 32:3	discrimination 10:4	65:5 76:24
67:10,11,12 68:4 70:10,14 71:6,12,15,	48:15	discuss 28:17 56:6	east 15:3
16,17,20,22,23	demonstration	discussed 16:5	easy 23:2 34:22,23
de-escalate 34:23	50:13	70:11,12	eat 37:15
42:16	<b>Denny</b> 40:9,16,18	discussion 28:14 35:12 54:25 75:1	edge 40:20 41:4
deadline 67:1	department 10:6 24:14 28:9 29:4,11	distancing 78:21	educated 4:22 16:1
deadlines 65:23	35:7,14 36:3 44:15	79:4	17,19
<b>deal</b> 37:18,25 38:2,16	46:7 48:3 56:3 67:20	distributed 69:25	education 4:15
41:11 42:14,24	department's 35:8	disturbing 16:14,25	educator 43:10
debatable 46:22	departments 36:4	divided 4:11	effective 23:12 69:2
debated 65:25	77:14,17 78:6	documentation 56:2	effectively 9:17 45:14
decide 32:20 33:11 58:18 62:20 74:18	depending 58:17 depression 37:16	<b>dog</b> 42:2,11	effectiveness 45:1

Transcript of Proceedinges: effects...Fischer

effects 9:2 45:12 47:3	equipped 13:2 27:21	experiences 34:4	16
efficiently 21:7	era 9:25	expertise 27:22	fear 34:24
elected 10:4 24:10	escalate 35:6 41:5	explain 57:24	fearing 39:18
element 30:16	escalating 44:19	explaining 40:21	feedback 33:2 74:23
eliminated 5:6,16	essence 69:3	exposed 30:4 45:8	feel 26:1 33:11 36:20
email 11:21 77:5,9	essential 27:17	express 10:22	39:19,24 40:21 43:21
emergency 59:18,19,	essentially 27:12	expressed 29:18	59:2 62:19 67:17 71:5, 8
20 60:2 73:10	45:21 46:2	expressed 29.18 extending 65:6 71:20	feeling 33:16
emotional 15:1	evening 79:10	extent 30:5	feels 7:21,23 45:22
emphasis 4:11	evenings 79:12	extracurricular	felt 25:4
employed 29:3	events 49:11 51:25	43:22	fence 41:20
employees 68:24	everyday 16:22	extremely 16:25	field 16:25
69:9	everyone's 4:1,6	eyes 41:12 42:25	figure 65:24 67:5
enact 10:10	evidence 62:19	eyewitnesses 51:13	file 55:20 64:25 65:25
enacted 9:22	examples 8:12		66:1,4 68:2
encounter 37:17 39:8 45:6	excellent 31:1	F	filed 55:21,25 57:25 58:5,8 64:17,21 65:3
encourage 48:13	exception 31:2	face 14:17,19	73:4
end 31:4 36:4 41:17	excessive 8:6	face-to-face 51:2	filing 65:23
66:2	excessively 38:14	facilitate 28:17	fills 70:2
endangering 7:13 32:17	<b>excise</b> 29:23	facing 35:15	finally 41:14
enforcement 4:10,12	excluded 62:6 63:5	fact 17:9 28:6 29:7,12	find 12:22 17:3,4,21
6:5,9,11,16,17,22,24	excluding 62:4 63:3	34:4 42:8 68:21 72:23	18:1 23:2 32:11 34:12 59:3 63:9 68:16
7:1,8,10,12,16,21,22 8:1,22,24 9:13,14,17,	excuse 8:21 35:1	factor 33:15	finding 49:4
22 10:1 29:25 45:6	execution 29:2	factored 66:5	fine 52:25
54:13	exemplify 18:20	facts 55:22 66:15,16 67:23	finish 69:23
enforcing 6:9	exercise 5:18	fails 54:1	finishing 69:21
engage 30:1	exercises 5:7,18	fair 28:16	fire 35:5
engagement 5:20 50:23	exhibit 8:6	fairly 72:3 73:21	fireworks 15:9
English 43:10	<b>exist</b> 46:6	fall 40:2 78:17	fiscal 77:16,17
ensure 5:24 6:19	expectations 31:22	families 16:13 65:9	<b>Fischer</b> 3:1,4,6,9,12,
entire 68:24	expected 9:15	family 66:11 68:9	17,19,22,24 14:1,7
envelope 59:17 72:15	expecting 6:1	73:10	18:10 27:2 40:8 44:9, 22 49:16,25 50:3,5
equal 40:4	experience 16:11 25:8 26:22 39:7 45:17	Farm 23:8	52:1,6,9,13,17 53:1,7,
equals 20:19	experienced 10:3	father 36:17	13,18 54:4,7,9,16,20, 22 56:5,11,18,23 57:2,
	36:16 38:12	favor 3:19 49:25 54:4,	19 58:1,6,10,15,22

Transcript of Proceedings Index: fit..highly

59:4,8,11,14,16,24 60:11,22 61:1,11,17 62:7,10 63:8,11,13,16, 19,24 64:14 69:14 70:6,9,13,17,20,25 71:11 73:13 75:13,19 76:2,7,14,22 78:10	freshman-level 43:10 Friday 79:10,12 friend 66:11 friends 26:19	15,19,24 71:2 73:5 74:14 75:9 80:18,19 <b>good</b> 11:9 23:3 25:2 34:5 35:17 42:6 44:12 45:1 51:19 72:17 73:25 74:1,3,7 75:11,	27:21 33:8 <b>happen</b> 10:25 17:5 65:8,14 73:11 <b>happened</b> 15:23 18:13 34:12 37:8 38:22 40:14 67:23
79:13,25 80:2,6,8,10, 12,14,16,18,20 fit 78:22 fitting 6:3 36:18	front 5:10 7:8 10:6 42:25 44:20 frustrating 68:19 71:10	14 government 30:11 granted 73:20 granting 75:11	69:5 70:11 happening 15:3 16:3, 6 17:9 25:7 51:1 73:9 happy 11:17 69:2,8
flashing 41:1 floor 53:25	frustration 68:15 function 31:17	<b>great</b> 16:20 23:2 46:8 50:13	75:10,11,24 76:11,18 harassment 6:6
focus 5:2 13:22	fund 53:4	ground 46:12	hard 17:2 50:25
focused 5:21,25 30:6 focusing 4:18	funding 9:16 28:21 funds 24:14 future 28:15	<b>group</b> 4:8 10:20 11:11 12:19,21,24 13:3,16 18:13,14 19:4,9,18,20, 21 21:22 23:19	harm 14:23 hate 32:18,21 37:5 head 14:19 65:15
follow 40:12 64:3 65:4	G	groups 5:2 9:15,18 28:5	heal 27:25
force 8:6 27:7,19 28:1 29:21,23 30:6,19,21 31:17 34:9 44:15,18 45:9,11,23 46:1,17,19 47:4,12,14	game 28:16 gap 54:12 71:4	<b>Grover</b> 61:21 62:25 63:6,9 72:10,18 75:14 76:8 80:6,7	health 4:22,24 5:23 6:12 hear 4:5 7:9 13:7 20:7 22:12 24:18 33:2 48:1,
forces 29:14 form 8:14 38:25	Gaten 50:17 gathering 75:3	<b>grow</b> 39:17 <b>guess</b> 35:5 49:17 52:4 53:10 60:22	16 55:18 61:18 62:14 76:17 <b>heard</b> 18:19,25 19:10
formal 69:19,24 format 65:25	general 30:9 62:22 69:9,14 73:25 generally 67:21	61:21 69:18 77:7 <b>gun</b> 34:6,11 38:4,6 41:25 47:13	20:21 34:18 64:9 71:5 hearing 8:13
formatted 75:25 formed 9:23	69:10 75:20 girls 18:16	<b>guns</b> 37:7,19 38:9,11 39:10	Heckman-mckenna 53:15 59:7 65:4,13 68:5,10 71:24 72:2
forward 4:3,10 9:25 10:10 13:10 44:4 57:22 73:13	<b>give</b> 14:9 15:10 21:8 28:15 30:22 31:10 67:8 72:7 76:10	<b>guys</b> 12:10 13:8 19:14,17,25 26:3 28:5 35:22 70:1	80:8,9 held 23:6,7 29:11,24 helped 37:21 42:18
found 46:3	<b>giving</b> 69:19	<b>guys'</b> 19:11	helpful 28:24 41:10
<b>frame</b> 6:19 65:6 70:18 73:15	glad 25:11,12 glasses 14:17	Н	helping 28:22 35:25 39:4
frames 66:5	go-to 34:22	hall 48:18 67:4	hey 15:7,9 48:9 71:20
frankly 32:10 free 79:2	<b>goal</b> 11:8 19:14 20:22, 24	hand-delivered 61:25	high 14:11 38:20 42:15
free-form 21:15	Gomez 53:17 54:21	handcuffs 33:16	highest 70:4
frequently 27:16	56:14,20,25 58:19 59:2,6 65:5,12 70:10,	handle 4:23 9:16	highly 14:15 29:9,16

Transcript of Proceedings Index: hire..kind

31:14,15 73:19	implemented 8:11	instrumental 19:3	39:22 40:7
hire 31:17	important 23:25	insufficient 65:7,11	jail 38:24,25 39:2
history 5:22 8:4 44:3	39:22	integral 23:3	jails 17:15
hit 18:15,16 25:14	impressed 26:24	integrate 79:7	<b>job</b> 17:2 18:2 23:5
26:2 30:25 49:9,10,12	improved 12:10	integrity 22:25	27:21 38:22 49:5
hold 17:4 31:10 70:4	improvement 46:10	intended 44:18	<b>jobs</b> 17:2 65:9
holding 31:11	inappropriate 7:23 8:22	intent 45:5	join 18:16 20:15
hometown 47:5	-	interact 30:21	joined 19:20
honest 33:8	incarcerations 40:3	interaction 23:17	joining 6:13
honestly 31:19	incident 37:8 40:13, 17,24 64:8,12 68:7,8	24:22	Jones 10:18
hope 12:18 23:4 24:4 70:1	incidents 51:11	interactions 16:13	judge 8:17 25:2 38:21
hospital 73:9	include 17:21	interest 29:18	judgment 6:15 45:1
	including 5:22 6:1,3	interested 4:13 9:20	judicial 8:10,11
hours 4:17	62:5 63:4	internal 22:6,8	June 3:1 69:22 78:16
house 29:19	inclusive 51:24 65:12	intervention 30:11	juries 8:13
houses 9:2	incorporated 31:24	intoxicated 45:22	jurisdiction 55:17,20
hunted 37:12	increase 54:13 72:3,6	Introductions 3:8	56:7,10 57:1,6,13,18 58:8,14 61:18 63:7,10
hurt 59:2	incredibly 68:19	invalid 7:5 63:23	64:2 68:22,25 69:4
	individual 4:25	investigation 7:12,	74:8
	individuals 4:24 5:1	14,17,19 67:23,24	jurisdictional 56:6,8
idea 4:15 10:22,23 44:12 72:17 73:12	influencing 6:14	investigations 34:12	jury 8:17
ideas 9:21 11:2 15:10	information 8:8,14	invite 48:9 56:5	justice 4:20 25:24
70:16 74:23	11:14 22:3,11 43:14 49:14 58:16,19 59:5,6	inviting 47:23	justifiable 46:1 47:7
identified 29:21	75:3	involved 9:18 10:23 17:24 27:17	justified 47:14
identify 56:8	informative 4:5	<b>issue</b> 9:4 30:3 33:9,13	justify 6:5 45:11
Illinois 47:5	infractions 32:13	52:11 64:20 73:5,6	juvenile 31:8 33:4,7,9
illness 38:3	inherited 76:5	74:5 76:5	К
<b>illy</b> 15:5	initiated 25:22	issues 4:22,24 5:23 27:25 28:25 37:10	
imagine 11:8	injuries 5:23 65:8	56:6,9 57:8,11,15 69:5	Katarina 43:8
immediately 7:12	73:8	71:8	keeping 25:13 29:10
immigrants 38:1	injury 45:7,9	item 49:18 54:25 55:14	<b>key</b> 34:9
impact 13:24	input 48:9 49:1	IV 8:25	<b>kid</b> 33:15
impacted 24:18	instance 7:10		kids 15:7,10,19,25 30:9 39:6
implementation	instances 14:21	J	kind 10:17 12:20,22
9:24	institution 44:2	Jacqueline 35:22,24	14:17 15:19 24:1 25:6,
		vacqueime 55.22,24	

Transcript of Proceedingsdex: kneeled..meet

19 26:6 28:15 29:4	length 65:25 66:1	long 11:20 15:25 48:4	26:1 28:24 36:13 38:7
30:1,11,25 32:14 49:8,	lengthening 56:16	50:19 65:1 68:2 70:16,	43:4 44:5 52:19 53:4
13 53:20,21 75:3,20, 21 76:22	letter 57:20 58:3,17,	23 72:9 73:20	55:4 69:6 71:5 72:3 75:18 77:20
kneeled 26:11,13,15	24 59:16 60:8 61:1,10	longer 65:21	Makes 51:16
	67:2,13 68:3,4,22 69:8	looked 12:4 17:11	
knew 26:15 59:25 61:15 66:15,16 67:22	71:14,15,17,20 72:4,5, 12,22 74:6 75:18,21,	37:5 42:1,2	makeup 12:20 23:19
<b>knife</b> 40:19	23 76:4,13	lose 37:15	making 24:10,16 29:15 30:24 43:16
knives 41:14	level 37:24 44:19 45:2	lost 74:6	46:23 51:4 57:9 58:11,
knowing 26:1 42:25	levels 44:17	lot 10:14,16 16:13,24 19:1 20:20 23:1 25:22	12 76:5
	liaisons 78:24	27:12,20 31:21 32:2	man 37:9 40:18,24 41:13 42:22
L	lies 23:2	34:22 38:19 40:2 43:22 44:5 45:21	man's 42:18
<b>labor</b> 9:11	life 15:17 19:19 33:10	46:19 47:3 48:7 49:14	management 29:3
lack 20:18 42:6	34:5,25 36:11,13,23 38:8 39:24 40:20	50:14,21	Manager 68:22,23
	41:17 42:18 73:9,11	loud 42:12	69:2,8,11
Lange 14:10 16:12 18:2	light 41:17	love 13:4,7 19:11 22:12 41:16	mandatory 6:18
language 75:4	lighten 42:15	Lovelady 18:11 20:8,	manner 55:25
large 27:18	lightened 43:5	24 21:18 22:4,7,18	manual 12:5,8 44:13,
Largely 32:1	lights 41:1	23:7,11,15 24:20 25:9, 22 26:9 27:1 48:16,20	15 46:3,20 47:23 48:7, 14
law 4:9,11 6:4,9,11,	like-minded 19:10	49:3	march 26:23 49:19
16,17,22,24 7:1,7,10, 12,16,21,22 8:1,4,22,	limits 64:22	loyal 26:19	51:17 52:4
24 9:13,14,17,22,25	lines 74:6	loyalty 27:7	marching 36:20
29:25 33:4 38:23 45:6	link 74:19		marijuana 32:11
54:13 69:21 79:21	list 4:9,10 12:16 13:12	M	42:13
lawn 30:10	17:3,20	machete 40:19	marry 43:25
laws 8:19,20 32:13	listed 11:17	made 6:7,21 7:4,7 8:8	<b>mask</b> 14:3
lawyer 67:22 68:9	listen 38:22	10:1 13:12 18:22	mass 12:15 40:3
lay 28:13	literally 8:11	19:18 27:5 33:5 41:21, 23 43:17 44:4 60:14	material 43:12 56:2
layers 29:13 31:12	literature 43:12	76:4	matter 17:23 30:15
lead 21:7	live 15:3	mail 61:4,5 65:10 68:3	matters 41:17
leaders 13:3 51:3	living 16:17	72:14	mayor 11:3 12:14
learn 16:2	load 42:15	mailed 58:25 62:1	24:11,17
leave 69:21 80:22	loads 43:5	maintain 27:7	meant 6:25 8:5
leeway 63:1	loath 34:21	major 30:18	measure 4:2
<b>left</b> 55:6,7	locally 13:23	majority 32:5 35:15	measured 27:23
legitimate 28:7	located 52:22	make 3:15 10:25	measures 8:3,12
legitimately 36:8		12:16 13:23 17:5 19:14 22:10 23:23	meet 6:22 20:6 48:8

Transcript of Proceedingsmeeting..officials

78:20,25 79:1,5	mind 6:19 28:18 34:13 37:14 41:4 53:8	moved 49:23	nonviolent 32:2 34:1
meeting 3:16 4:7 11:6		movement 19:15	nose 42:21 47:7
12:13,16 13:15 16:5 19:4,6,13,24,25 20:6,	<b>minds</b> 11:1	26:18 27:6,8 28:7	notes 4:13 22:10
23,24 22:22 23:6,7	mindset 35:6,8	moving 3:20 4:10	notice 58:20 60:21,25
24:8 47:22 49:1,20	minimize 45:7	9:25 45:3 50:9 57:21 73:13	61:24 62:15 69:19
50:7,8 51:14 52:4 54:24 65:24 69:20	minimum 6:23	multiple 13:9 18:9	72:8,19
75:3,12 77:4 79:8,10	minor 32:13 42:11	35:21 39:15 43:7 44:8	noticed 21:3
meetings 10:21 19:9	minutes 16:8 46:3	54:6,19 56:4 63:15,18	notification 65:19
20:9 48:9,11,14,17,24	49:18,20 50:7	65:9 69:13 73:19	number 70:10
49:1,6,12 73:20 79:8	Mirandizing 5:23	municipal 38:22	
meets 68:1	misconduct 55:23	murdered 37:12	0
megaphone 41:2	64:9,10,12 66:11,13		
member 6:16 7:22	misdemeanor 66:3	N	<b>O'NEAL</b> 44:10,25 47:1
13:15 40:10 66:11 68:9	missed 64:22 70:5	NAPO 53:3 77:6	<b>oath</b> 9:5
members 4:7,19 6:21	mission 24:12 53:10 54:5,12,17 71:3	narrowly 30:20 31:16	objective 45:6
7:7,8 10:2,5,6,7,20 12:23 13:16 24:11		narrows 49:13	obstacles 70:12
46:17 50:16 55:7 56:1,	Missouri 4:8 8:18 13:20 20:3,4 79:23	nationally 13:18,21 15:2	offenses 32:2 34:1
6 69:17 78:22	<b>Mizzou</b> 43:10 44:2,3	-	offer 38:23
memory 57:3	<b>mode</b> 41:5	nature 24:15 28:10 45:5	office 16:5 23:8,18
mend 21:4 27:25	model 34:14	navigate 49:15	officer 6:5 16:9 21:12
mental 4:22,24 5:22	models 22:24	nay 54:8,21 63:17,18	22:19 26:11 31:2 33:4,
6:12 37:10 38:2 45:12 47:2,10,14		-	7,9,20,24 34:1 37:21 41:25 45:2,4,8,17,22,
mentally 42:4	moment 19:21 20:20 26:4 34:22 47:20	necessarily 10:24 69:6	25 50:17 51:18 55:24
-	money 27:13	neck 14:19	80:23
mentioned 44:12 47:12	month 77:7	neighborhood 8:16	officer's 45:25
merrier 55:10	monthly 6:23	15:8,22	officers 4:16,21 5:3, 19,25 6:12 8:3,5 10:21
message 24:18 25:5	months 14:20 33:1	neighborhoods	15:5 19:4,13,25 20:11,
50:22	morning 69:22	16:21	13,16 21:1,2,4,11
met 6:4 12:21 18:15	-	nervous 5:10 44:20	22:15,17,19,20,21 24:3,9 25:3,10,25 28:9
25:10 65:1	motion 3:3,14,15 49:21 53:13,25 54:1	Nicole 51:22 53:15	29:10 30:25 31:24
methods 5:21,24	59:4 63:8 75:5 79:14,	Nicole's 53:21 54:1,5	35:11 38:13 40:25 41:22 42:4,10 44:18
Mexican 38:1	16,19 80:21	night 43:21	50:17
Michael 80:23	motions 57:16	nightstick 34:18	officers' 25:19
middle 38:20	<b>move</b> 3:10,15 10:10 49:17 50:8 52:2,18	nip 32:22	official 7:11,13,17,21
midnight 51:18	53:15 54:11 55:11	no-knock 8:23	officials 6:9,17,22
military 35:3,7	59:6,20 63:9	nonverbally 41:19	7:1,8 8:1,22,24 9:14, 18 24:10
		-	10 27.10

Transcript of Proceedings tentimes...policies

oftentimes 24:12	outreach 76:23 77:1	12 16:10,18,21 17:17,	photo 41:25
66:3	overlook 10:17	21 18:5,6,14,15,16,18 19:18,19,21,22 20:11	photographer 40:11
older 8:20 39:7	overnight 10:25	21:22 22:21,22 23:1,3,	physical 45:9
ongoing 24:8 27:15	overseas 34:5	4,16,17,20 25:10,11, 12 26:13 29:24 30:13	physically 34:19 67:6
online 51:1 72:19	oversees 68:23	32:3,11,18 34:9,15	pick 13:12 22:16 39:1
open 3:24 6:23 48:12	oversight 24:15	35:4,25 36:8,24,25	<b>picked</b> 13:18
49:20 51:4 65:10 71:16 79:14	51:22	37:2,4,12,25 38:2,7, 17,19 39:23 40:3,12	picking 22:20,21,22
opened 71:9	overstimulate 41:6	41:18 42:4,18,19,20,	38:15
opinion 21:8 27:8	overstimulating	23,24 43:1,3 47:17 48:13 50:14,15,22,24,	pieces 75:20
29:23 33:18 60:11,12	41:3	25 53:4 70:15 71:5	pipeline 17:10
opinions 19:11 21:24	owners 9:11	78:22	place 9:6 12:9 24:24
22:24		People's 4:8 10:20 11:10 18:13 22:9	48:20
Opportunities 49:11	P	40:10	plan 22:8 23:15 24:24
opportunity 13:4	<b>p.m.</b> 51:18 80:24	percent 77:15,20	planning 23:18
<b>opposed</b> 3:22 50:3 54:7,20 63:16	paid 8:5,20	Perez 40:9,16,18	<b>plans</b> 9:25
option 9:18 58:16	painful 71:9	perfect 53:22 65:7	plate 42:8
options 44:19 45:16	panel 23:14	period 16:19 65:19,21	plethora 19:18
68:18	papers 9:2	periods 66:6	<b>point</b> 26:17 27:9 28:3 33:10 35:10 39:4 46:2,
ordeal 60:3	paperwork 67:6,8	perpetual 19:15	24 69:18 71:14,23
order 3:2 4:18 9:21	pardon 10:15	person 11:24 16:18	73:8
orders 35:5	<b>park</b> 15:18	17:1 22:10 23:2,3 31:7 36:13,19 41:1,3,6,8,	pointed 37:20
ordinance 55:19	<b>part</b> 21:8 25:18 27:18 34:4 71:2 78:18 79:2	19,24 42:12,25 47:15	pointing 5:11
63:22,25 64:3,4,6 65:2		60:15,24 66:10,12 72:8 77:25 78:2	<b>police</b> 3:1 10:6,21 12:5,13 15:4 16:5,9,19
ordinances 63:21	participated 51:23	person's 45:22	19:4,13,24 20:7,10,11,
organization 28:2	parts 36:11	personal 25:7 33:16	13,16,25 21:2,4,11,12, 24 24:2,14,17 25:10,
organizations 18:5	party 6:16	personally 17:17	24 24.2, 14, 17 25.10, 24 26:20 27:7,10,19
organize 18:17 35:25	pass 59:22		28:1,7,8 29:4,8,9,10,
organized 20:12	passed 80:21	<b>persons</b> 9:2,6,9 18:12	21 30:5,9,12 31:2,14, 17,19 32:12,23 33:7
organizers 41:15	passing 34:16	perspective 28:6	35:7,8,14 36:2,3,4,6,
organizing 18:17	past 40:12 55:4 67:3	31:22 32:10	20 37:6 39:8,18,20 40:23,25 41:12,13,22
19:3	<b>pay</b> 7:18 77:11	pertaining 4:24	42:3,9,10,17 43:4
original 65:24	peace 25:24	<b>Ph.d.</b> 43:11	44:13,14,15 45:17,24, 25 46:6,11 47:17 48:3
originally 14:11	peaceful 26:24	phone 17:13 34:7	49:12 51:22 55:14,24
outline 75:7	peers 7:21,24	60:14	56:3 57:11 67:19 71:5
outlived 8:21	people 5:11 9:1,12	phonetic 40:9 43:9 50:17	police's 42:15
	10:9 12:21 13:1 15:5,	00.17	policies 6:8 9:24

Transcript of Proceedings: policing..reach

12:12	prevent 77:2	proposed 4:9 10:1 75:4 77:15	pursuant 55:18 79:20,21
policing 16:4 22:16 28:23 29:2 30:16 31:3	<b>prime</b> 37:7	prosecute 25:24 26:3	pursuing 43:11
32:4,10 34:14 35:13	Pringle 12:2,4,7 33:19,24 35:17 47:20	protect 6:25 8:5 10:9	purview 27:14
policy 12:5 45:7	48:2,6,18 49:4 53:19	20:14	push 50:7
46:20 47:23 49:1 51:6 57:7,8,11,15 65:12	54:2,11 56:10 62:22 67:14 73:24 74:8,11	protected 79:20	<b>put</b> 10:23 11:1,5 14:2
67:15,18,19 69:5	75:10,16 78:11,14	protecting 4:20 6:20	18:12 31:22 32:20,2
71:13,17	80:10,11	25:13 26:2	36:8 37:14 47:2 49:2 59:20 68:11 70:3
politics 29:13	printed 58:24	protection 5:24 8:9	72:12,13,21 74:15,1
population 65:9	prior 5:23 6:13	protest 18:14,15 41:14 50:12,21	76:8,11
portion 34:5	prison 9:8,10 17:10 36:12	protested 37:9	putting 12:19 36:22 74:22
position 33:2 68:6	prisons 9:11 17:15	protester 40:10	
positions 29:24	privatized 9:11	protesters 27:11	Q
positive 41:18 50:9 51:7,19	probable 9:4	32:17,21 36:24 37:1	qualified 47:16
possession 32:11	problem 15:21 17:16	41:21	qualify 47:17
possibly 65:5	29:12 46:4 52:22	protesting 18:22 19:2,7,16 20:14 35:25	
postcard 72:13,22	71:19	protests 20:12 25:5,9	quality 31:3
73:5,12	problematic 28:10 29:10,16 31:14,15	36:1 51:3,9,11	question 10:12 12:2 13:2 22:14 25:18
posted 48:18	35:8 44:4	provide 6:23 55:3	28:19 33:19 35:16 59:13 61:20 64:7
potential 6:11,13	problems 30:24 31:4	67:19 74:23	questions 19:17
potentially 7:13	35:15	<b>PTSD</b> 37:16	21:11,13,19,20,22,2
14:23 15:20 29:3	proceed 57:14	<b>public</b> 3:16,20,25 4:4 5:9,13,15 6:7 8:8	35:18 40:6
power 7:22 38:14	process 75:2	10:13,15,19 11:5,12,	quick 28:19 56:11
powers 20:1	profession 29:8 35:2	16,20,23,25 12:3,6,11, 17,25 13:8,14,21 14:2,	59:24
precinct 8:3	professional 35:2,6	5,8 15:14,16 25:6,21	R
predominantly 8:16	professionals 42:16 47:16	27:4 29:6 30:15,22 32:1,9,14 33:17,22	
preexisting 6:14,16	profiting 9:11	34:3 38:13 47:23	rabid 42:2
prejudice 6:14	Program 51:24	48:13 49:6 69:15 73:18,19,23 74:20,23	races 22:23
prejudiced 20:18	prohibit 8:3	80:22	racial 8:14,15
premium 79:9	proper 5:4 45:19	publish 52:24	racism 5:18 43:20
prepared 43:9 44:11		<b>pull</b> 17:13	raised 57:12
presence 30:12	properly 6:20 38:16	pulled 36:18 38:5,6	rapid 18:17
present 10:8	proposal 11:6 75:4	punched 14:16,19	rapport 25:17 26:6
presented 8:17	propose 4:15,21 5:1, 5,15,19 6:6,11,17,21	punches 14:18	re-examination 9:1
pretty 21:15 36:24 39:14 65:19	7:1,7,16,20,25 8:7,10, 19,23 9:8,13,22	purpose 8:21 32:23	reach 37:24 55:11 58:22

Transcript of Proceedingsslex: reaching..rule

reaching 43:2	recording 7:4	REPORTER 48:1	restrict 32:24	
read 12:14 44:16 54:12,17,23 79:17	records 56:2 79:20	reporting 7:20,23	result 58:4,7	
reading 23:4 66:17	recurring 6:10	reports 50:9	results 38:18	
-	recuse 51:13	request 4:14	retreat 45:8	
ready 18:25 19:22,23 72:15	redefine 31:16	require 27:23 30:10 38:3	return 74:11,12	
real 38:8 56:11 59:24	redefining 30:8		revamping 29:4	
realized 18:19,24	redoing 48:7	required 7:2 34:20 64:3	review 3:2 49:13	
reason 34:24 42:5,6	reevaluate 43:15	requirement 53:5	55:15,16 56:7 57:7,14, 15 69:10 71:6 74:25	
43:16 46:1 47:2,4	refined 9:21	57:24	reviewed 8:19 56:1	
63:20 78:25 79:1 reasonable 45:9,11	reform 8:10 27:10 36:3	requirements 6:4 48:8 53:3 55:18 65:2	69:1	
71:1			reviewing 12:12	
reasons 44:14	reformation 9:23	requires 45:8	reviews 51:6	
reassess 43:15	reformatted 46:13	requiring 34:8	Revised 79:22	
receipt 58:17 71:15	reforming 40:23	research 74:24	revision 70:14	
receive 8:2 9:16	reforms 4:9 9:9	resemble 5:17	rid 29:15	
58:23	regard 53:6 57:17 62:2 64:1,5 66:8 67:18	resident 66:13,17 68:9	ride-along 50:18 51:17,19	
received 14:18 43:19 58:20 59:16,17,23	69:7 70:4	resignation 69:20,24	Ride-alongs 50:10	
61:2 64:5	registering 50:15	resist 45:14	riding 60:18	
receiving 58:2	regular 15:6 16:12,22 79:7	resisting 6:1,2 47:10	risk 7:23	
receptive 50:19	regularly 8:19 65:10	resolution 45:20	risks 30:4	
receptiveness 25:4,	rehiring 8:3	resource 30:25 38:13	Road 14:11	
20	related 78:9	resources 32:12	role 28:17,21	
recipient 62:11	relationships 43:18	respect 27:8	roles 28:11	
recipients 43:19	released 55:4	respectful 4:1,6	roll 3:4 80:2	
reckless 32:16	remember 66:6	respond 9:15 30:20	room 46:10 60:2	
recognition 36:22	remotely-controlled	32:3 34:2 42:10	62:16 66:16 68:13	
recognize 4:23	7:2	responding 5:3	79:2,3,9,12 80:23	
recollection 70:21	removed 7:14,17	response 3:23 18:18 32:4 50:4 67:25	<b>Rose</b> 11:14,19 57:3 62:13 69:25	
recommend 75:5	repeat 42:19	responses 15:11	rounds 78:19	
recommendation 57:10 69:6,23 76:11	repeated 39:3	56:4	<b>Roy</b> 18:11 20:8,24	
<b>recommendations</b> 67:19	repercussions 26:16	responsibilities	21:18 22:4,7,18 23:7,	
	replaced 5:6,16	28:12	11,15 24:20 25:9,22 26:9 27:1 48:16,20	
reconcile 27:6	report 33:25 50:10	restart 46:14	49:3	
record 22:8 58:12	55:1,2,3,5 64:8 75:7	restrained 45:14,15	rule 62:23 73:25 75:10	
80:24				

# Transcript of Proceedings: rules..speaking

rules 5:20 8:2 58:10	secure 9:1 39:24,25	sharing 26:21	<b>sleep</b> 37:15
62:12	40:1	Shatlock 43:8	<b>slogan</b> 19:23
run 23:18 36:24,25 37:3	security 39:23	shirts 41:16	<b>slow</b> 5:8
running 30:9	segregation 17:7	<b>shock</b> 15:11 16:7	slowly 78:19
runs 71:22	seized 9:7	<b>shoot</b> 42:2	smaller 5:2 30:20
	seizures 9:3	shooters 30:18	40:19
S	seminar 77:10,23,25 78:4	shooting 5:5,16	smelled 42:13
<b>aafa</b> 40:04	send 11:19,22 37:22	shot 42:22	<b>Smith</b> 3:4,8,11,14,18 20:22 21:15 22:2,5,13
safe 43:21	69:8,24 73:15 76:14	shotgun 42:1	23:6,10 31:23 40:15,
safety 5:21 30:15 32:14 39:19	sender 74:12	shotguns 42:1	17 49:23 51:21 52:15, 18,25 53:6,23 54:8,15
satisfactory 30:3	sense 38:7 51:16	show 26:12 39:21	56:8 57:17,23 58:4,7,
satisfied 68:21	sentence 53:22	75:25	11 62:8 63:12,20,25 65:18 66:14 68:10
save 42:18	sentencing 40:4	showing 41:19	70:7 72:1,5 74:2 76:20
savings 78:8	separate 57:15 79:8	shuffle 30:2	80:1,14,15
schedule 48:3	September 77:1	shuffled 29:22	so-called 30:9
scheduled 10:21	Sergeant 47:21,25	side 14:12	social 4:21 27:24 28:20,22 29:2 30:7
scheduling 79:8	48:4,5,25 75:17,23	sides 36:10	41:9 78:21 79:3
school 14:15 17:9	76:3,10,15,16	significant 73:21	society 36:9
30:25 33:6,11,14	series 34:11	silhouette 5:5,16	sociology 4:20
38:13,20 40:1 69:21	serve 8:21 9:9	similar 66:2	solicitation 4:14
school's 33:5	service 6:18 38:25 70:6,7	simply 10:22 13:14	soliciting 74:22
schools 15:25 17:8 31:4	services 27:17,24	46:24	somebody's 30:10
script 55:16	28:20,22	single 41:7	47:13
scrubbed 8:17	serving 8:12 9:10	<b>sir</b> 12:3	someone's 67:22
Seamon 3:15 49:24	17:2 24:3	sister 38:4	<b>sort</b> 23:4 39:13 49:10
50:11 51:17 53:12	session 51:23 79:15, 16,19 80:21	<b>sit</b> 76:13	55:2,4 65:24 77:22
74:10 80:12,13	set 55:16,18 73:14	site 41:23	sorts 42:16
Seamon's 53:16	set's 34:13	sitting 17:15 29:20 43:25 68:13	sound 41:4 42:4
search 49:13	settle 70:13	<b>situ-</b> 60:16	sounds 61:17 76:25
searched 9:6	settled 66:2		south 14:12
searches 8:23 9:3		situation 14:23 15:20 18:1 33:8 37:7 38:3	<b>speak</b> 4:1 5:10 13:5 15:5 16:23 22:18 27:3
seat 70:2	seven-year-olds 31:5	40:22 41:2,6,9 45:1,20	43:9 44:11
section 45:3 61:24	shackled 27:20	situations 4:23 5:3,4	speaking 20:10 21:2
62:2 65:15 79:20,21, 23	share 11:14,17 33:18	9:14,16 42:17 47:11	22:20 23:1 28:4 40:17 43:24 46:17 67:21
sections 44:16 60:24	shared 17:4	slamming 38:14	43.24 46.17 67.21 69:10
	1	I	

Transcript of network expension lists... threatening

specialists 30:23	States 9:1 35:12	Sunday 50:13 62:5	<b>Taser</b> 41:25
31:18,23	statistics 16:16	63:5	Tasers 42:1
specialized 4:25 5:2	Statutes 79:22	supervision 69:9	taught 5:19 14:11
specializing 43:11	stay 26:19	supplement 54:25	taxpayer 24:13
<b>specific</b> 8:5,16 21:18,	stayed 70:14	supplied 58:19	teach 14:12 43:10
19,20 28:9 52:21 specifically 10:2	step 4:3 19:3,7,24,25	support 19:11 26:13,	teacher 14:10
28:8 33:5	24:21,25 34:20 69:2, 10 74:14,15	18 supported 9:5	teaching 14:15 43:12
spend 27:13 78:6	Stephens 40:21	supposed 43:1 56:21	teleconference
spent 34:5 35:3 48:3	steps 44:4	59:22 62:18	51:21
spoke 21:11 22:19	Steve 76:23	Supreme 46:20	telling 23:18
40:12	Stone 51:18	surrounded 40:25	ten 16:8 34:5
sponsored 51:23	stood 45:24	suspended 48:11	tend 5:10
spread 20:3 22:11	<b>stop</b> 19:15 48:24,25	swarm 23:9	tendencies 6:14
spring 78:11,14,16	stops 16:6	sympathy 42:9	tense 41:2
spurred 13:15	stops 16.6	system 7:20 8:1,11	term 6:5
staff 69:17	-	9:8 10:8 26:20 38:13	terms 71:7
stance 22:23 23:21	strangers 11:7	systems 4:12	testimony 8:13
stand 21:25 22:1	street 41:20	т	Texas 69:21,22
standard 31:2,3	stress 41:12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	therapy 6:18
35:12 65:20	stressed 42:20	tactics 21:7,8	There'd 32:7
standardized 75:22	strict 6:3 8:2	takes 61:3,4 70:3	thing 21:1 39:23 41:5
standards 5:20 6:7	strictly 63:21	taking 4:4	53:20 55:17 56:14 57:6 76:22 79:18
standing 55:20 65:2, 15 66:8 68:1,6,17	strong 50:22	talk 3:25 4:3 10:14,16	things 9:7 12:8,14
stands 27:10 73:6	stuck 70:17	15:9,19,21,24 21:6 24:1 26:6 34:15 56:24	13:11,13,17 15:6,11
start 3:2 17:20 24:5	<b>students</b> 14:15 17:12 23:13,16 38:15 43:13,	66:21,22 67:14,17	16:1,2,6,22 19:12 20:13 21:9,10 22:24
45:2	18,21,23 44:1	68:14 69:16 71:3 77:7	24:15 26:12 31:18
started 24:24	<b>stuff</b> 12:9	talked 10:17 11:3 41:16 50:18 61:7 73:1	32:4,5 36:13,17 38:12 39:1 40:2 41:18 42:11
starting 47:22	subject 4:25 6:10 7:6	76:23	45:10,11 46:5 73:8,10
starts 38:19 46:12	8:10 45:13,18,19 47:12	talking 14:3 15:1 24:9	thinking 65:6 71:7
<b>state</b> 23:8 37:14 38:21 45:12 47:2,10,14 72:5	subject's 45:12 47:2	25:5 28:20 48:2 50:15 56:12 59:3 60:15	thought 37:22 44:11 53:21 64:1 67:1 73:2
stated 8:25	subjects 4:18	tank 47:9	74:7
statement 27:5 43:17	suffer 37:16	<b>Tanner</b> 51:18	Thoughts 62:7
54:5,12,17 58:11 71:3	sufficient 55:22	target 38:15	threat 36:9 45:5
statements 53:10	suggest 73:17	targets 5:6,16,17	threatening 40:19

Transcript of Proceedingsdex: thrown..votes

thrown 47:8	44:13,15,17 45:16,24	undergo 6:12,18 9:8	v
Thursday 19:6 20:23	46:5,12 49:11 77:7,12 78:12,14 79:7 28:25 28:25		
ticket 47:9	traitor 26:14	undermines 29:16,	vacation 76:16
ticking 29:20	transcript 77:3	17	Vacations 65:8
time 3:9 4:2,4,6 10:11 16:1 18:25 20:17	transition 9:22	understand 4:19,23	<b>vast</b> 32:5
26:18 28:4 29:15,20	transparency 71:25 travel 77:12,24 78:1, 2,6	12:1 16:3,11 24:11 33:12 46:19 51:13 64:4 68:15,18 <b>understanding</b> 6:8 21:1 37:24 45:4 51:5	vehicle 16:5 48:24,25
31:9 33:10,20,22,24			verbatim 44:17
37:17 38:24 39:18 42:15 44:22 47:3 55:8			versa 21:9
56:16 58:20 60:9 62:3	treated 8:24 20:19		vetted 73:19
63:2 64:1,22 65:6,25 66:1,4,6 67:2,13	36:15 41:24	unfair 31:19	vice 21:9 52:9 53:7
70:11,18 71:22 72:3,	treating 42:4	unfairly 27:20	victim 66:11,12
25 73:2,3,4,8,15,20 74:4	trips 73:10	unintelligible 33:21	Vietnam 34:6
timely 55:21,25 57:25	trouble 38:6	40:15 47:25	violate 8:1
65:2 68:2	true 55:22	union 31:14 unions 29:9	violated 9:3
times 7:3 34:22 42:3 49:15	trust 21:3,5 23:24 24:1,2,6 54:14 70:4	United 8:25 35:12	violation 17:14 38:5
tiny 14:9	Tuesday 20:12	unity 18:20	63:22
today 11:7 35:23 43:9	tunnel 41:17	unity 18.20 universal 5:19	violence 5:25 16:24 34:21
44:11 51:20,23 73:4	turn 5:7,17 7:3 11:2		violent 34:19 38:8
told 23:8 38:4 43:18	turned 76:1	unparalleled 10:4	39:12
61:10 72:24 73:2 78:23		unreasonable 9:3 31:21	virtual 77:10,23 78:4
<b>Tom</b> 44:10,25 47:1	twelve 19:5 22:21	unrest 27:25	<b>vision</b> 19:1
tomorrow 69:22	Twenty-one 67:11	unsatisfied 67:25	visual 11:24
tonight 55:16	twitchy 34:25	untimeliness 64:21	vital 24:3
top 65:15	two-step 75:2	untimely 58:5,8	<b>voice</b> 18:24 20:19
topic 74:22	type 8:16 25:11	untrained 45:25	21:23
topics 13:14 28:14		unwarranted 6:6	voices 10:8 18:19 20:21 22:23
totally 33:12 47:14	U	unwell 45:23	vote 53:25 58:13 59:9
tough 58:18	ultimate 45:6	upcoming 10:21	61:21 80:3
town 15:17 17:8,10,16	ultimately 36:22	32:25	<b>voted</b> 54:3 63:20
traffic 30:14 38:5	68:20,23 75:5	update 76:23	66:25
trained 5:1 38:2,16	ultimatum 39:1	updating 47:23	voters 50:15
41:11 43:2,5	unanimous 3:21 50:2	upset 18:6	<b>votes</b> 54:6,19 63:15, 18
training 4:16 5:1,2,4,	uncover 6:13	urge 24:7 50:24	
7,17 6:7 21:7 27:22 29:8 31:15,20 42:6,7	underage 47:8	utilize 45:2	

Transcript of Proceedinges: waiting..younger

	wide 19:18	wrote 47:9	
W	wild 41:24		
waiting 57:5	Williams 5:8,12,14	Y	
waive 74:1	10:12,14,16 11:3,22, 24 12:12 13:7,10,17,	yard 15:8	
waiver 62:22 73:25	25 14:4 15:13,15	<b>year</b> 14:16,19 15:14,	
walk 33:15 34:16 39:25	22:14 23:13 26:8,23 33:3 35:20 44:23 51:8, 16 52:5,8,11 70:5	16 16:4 37:11 48:4,5 55:6 56:19 64:8 66:4 77:16,17 78:3,4,7	
walked 25:25	78:13 79:16,19 80:16, 17	year's 77:12	
walks 19:19	win 26:17	yearly 70:11	
wanted 13:22 15:18 24:22 28:3 33:3 44:6 52:19,20 53:20 54:2	witnessed 40:13 66:12	<b>years</b> 14:10 17:6 33:1 34:6 35:3	
60:1 67:22 68:14	witnesses 66:21	yelling 41:1	
69:25	68:11,13	<b>you's</b> 13:9 18:9 35:21	
warning 7:25	woman 43:12	39:15 43:7 44:8 69:13	
warnings 8:7	word 20:18 49:5	young 42:19	
warrant 8:24	wording 52:8,12,14, 15,21	younger 43:20	
warrants 7:11 9:4	words 57:9		
watched 26:23	work 4:21 14:20,24		
Watts 35:22,24 39:22 40:7	15:18 16:25 34:7 40:1 46:11 54:12 65:9 70:3		
waving 47:13	worked 15:25		
ways 9:19 25:11	worker 41:10		
weapons 39:12	workers 29:2 30:7		
wear 7:2 14:2 34:14 website 12:5 48:22	working 14:16 16:12 17:21		
49:8,9,15 76:8,9	world 13:19 42:7		
week 24:23 37:11	worry 39:6,9		
weekend 63:1	worse 17:19		
weeks 65:7 73:6,11	worth 40:21 56:15		
white 16:10 34:6 40:25 43:12	write 60:13 68:22		
<b>WIBBENMEYER</b> 48:21 52:19 57:5 59:9,           12 61:23 62:17,24           63:2 64:17,20 65:1,17,           22 66:19,23 67:16           68:1,20 73:1,17 74:17           77:8 78:15 79:17	<ul> <li>writing 67:7 69:23 71:20</li> <li>written 7:25 33:4 46:5,14 58:2</li> <li>wrong 18:1,2 36:5 40:4,5 46:18</li> </ul>		