In the Matter of:

CITY OF COLUMBIA CITIZENS POLICE REVIEW BOARD

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

July 08, 2020



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

1	CITY OF COLUMBIA
2	CITIZENS POLICE REVIEW BOARD
3	
4	
5	
6	Transcript of Proceedings
7	
8	
9	July 8, 2020, 6:00 p.m.
10	City Hall, Council Chambers
11	Columbia, Missouri
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Lisa M. Banks, CVR, CCR No. 1081
20	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
2	Andrew Fisher, Chair
3	Darryl Smith
4	Catherine Grover
5	Travis Pringle
6	Carley Gomez
7	Cornellia Williams
8	
9	ALSO PRESENT:
10	Rose Wibbenmeyer, Assistant City Counselor
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	MR. FISHER: All right. It is six o'clock, so
2	I'll call to order the July meeting of the Citizens Police
3	Review Board where we are here to work the bridge the gap
4	between law enforcement and the community to help increase trust
5	and accountability. With no introductions, we'll move on to the
6	approval of the agenda. Is there a motion to approve the
7	agenda?
8	MR. PRINGLE: So moved.
9	MR. FISHER: All right. Is there a second?
10	MS. GROVER: Second.
11	MR. PRINGLE: Travis Pringle moves to approve
12	the agenda.
13	MS. GROVER: Catherine Grover seconds.
14	MR. FISHER: All right. And we will do a roll
15	call vote on this. Fisher, yes. Grover?
16	MS. GROVER: Yes.
17	MR. FISHER: Pringle?
18	MR. PRINGLE: Yes.
19	MR. FISHER: Smith?
20	MR. SMITH: Yes.
21	MR. FISHER: Williams?
22	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
23	MR. FISHER: Gomez?
24	MS. GOMEZ: Yes.
25	MR. FISHER: All right. We are approved of our

1	agenda. We've got Item Number 4, Special Items. The chief of
2	police was supposed be here today, but I don't see him yet, so
3	we will move on and if he shows up, we'll stop there.
4	So we're moving on to the approval of the
5	minutes. Everyone received the draft March 11th meeting
6	minutes?
7	MS. GOMEZ: Yes.
8	MR. FISHER: Any additions? Completions?
9	Motion to approve.
10	MR. SMITH: Smith moves.
11	MR. FISHER: And a second?
12	MS. GOMEZ: Carley seconds.
13	MR. PRINGLE: Pringle seconds.
14	MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. We'll go down
15	the roll again. Fisher. I'm going to abstain. I was not
16	there. Grover?
17	MS. GROVER: Yep.
18	MR. FISHER: Pringle?
19	MR. PRINGLE: Yes.
20	MR. FISHER: Smith?
21	MR. SMITH: Yes.
22	MR. FISHER: Williams?
23	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
24	MR. FISHER: Gomez?
25	MS. GOMEZ: Yes.

1	MR. FISHER: All right. Those are approved.
2	The draft June 10th, 2020 close minute meetings meeting
3	minutes. Is there a motion to approve?
4	MR. SMITH: So moved by Smith.
5	MS. GROVER: Second Grover.
6	MR. FISHER: All right. So all of those in
7	favor indicate by aye. We'll go with Fisher, yes. Grover?
8	MS. GROVER: Yes.
9	MR. FISHER: Pringle?
10	MR. PRINGLE: Yes.
11	MR. FISHER: Smith?
12	MR. SMITH: Yes.
13	MR. FISHER: Williams?
14	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
15	MR. FISHER: Gomez?
16	MS. GOMEZ: Yes.
17	MR. FISHER: Okay. Chief, we skipped your spot
18	but if you're willing to come forward we'll proceed with that
19	part. A couple of new members maybe we just explain. We've
20	got to meet with the chief of police twice a year and this is
21	one of those where usually come up and give us some updates from
22	the last time that we so saw you and then we ask some questions.
23	CHIEF JONES: How are you all?
24	MR. FISHER: Good.
25	MR. SMITH: How are you, Chief?

CHIEF JONES: Wow, since we've spoken last there's been a lot of change. We are still struggling with open meetings. We're getting ready to do another policy meeting, which we've talked about in the last go around. Getting our policies reviewed, I am hopeful that the public interested parties meeting that we have for those will be more active now that people seem to be more active. Not seem to be, are more active and paying attention to what policy is and how we operate.

I think there is a lot of room for growth in communication, which we've talked about. So there's some changes coming with how we communicate internally and externally. One of the things I learned through protest is a lot of the things that people are demonstrating against or asking us to do we already do. We have it in policy and in practice. So that is a failure on our part not to communicate that better and to find ways to communicate that. Not that there's not room for growth because there is, which is try we try to get those policies out there like that.

It has been disappointing to me in a way not to have that message out there predemonstration so that the focus can be in the areas where people feel more passionate and can focus on areas that truly are a place where we can change. And if we've already changed, it is kind of spinning wheels in my opinion. So the message is good. People are participatory,

1	which is exciting.
2	A lot of the changes that we have talked about
3	in the past seem to be seem to have more some support and
4	there's more motivation behind them from others. I appreciate
5	that people are active and getting their message out because I
6	think that it's a good it gives us all good traction to get
7	things done.
8	Communications part, I've asked for and been
9	granted it has to go through budget process still, but a
LO	person to oversee communications for the police department and
11	outreach. Not to do the outreach, but to help coordinate those
L2	outreach efforts so that they are clearly communicated.
13	Hopefully we have more participation and it's more coordinated.
L4	So I am looking forward to that.
L5	What questions do you have?
L6	MS. WILLIAMS: You know I always do. Okay. so
L7	the first question is, what trainings is CPD doing in foot
L8	pursuit?
L9	CHIEF JONES: It's limited.
20	MS. WILLIAMS: Why?
21	CHIEF JONES: It is so individualized for each
22	foot pursuit. We can look at it. That is probably a good
23	recommendation. But that's a really
24	MS. WILLIAMS: Because it seems to be a national
25	thing. There's limited training in a lot of police forces, law

enforcement, when it comes to foot pursuit. And I know this is 1 2 an extreme comparison, but military does it, FBI does it. I mean, all of these places -- agencies do it. I just don't 3 understand how law enforcement that is -- their job is to 4 5 protect. You even protect when you're in a foot pursuit. And a 6 lot of stuff is happening that's on the news doing the foot 7 pursuits. I really feel that there needs to be more training 8 because we're dealing with a lot of adrenaline, emotions. You 9 know, a lot of things are kicking in during that time and I 10 really feel that officers need to be trained how to handle those emotions that are going during that time. 11 12 CHIEF JONES: So will the Board as a body make a 13 recommendation on what they would like to see for foot pursuit 14 training and what the intended outcome is from that? Because 15 I --16 MS. WILLIAMS: I say yes, but you got to -- I 17 can't speak for the --18 CHIEF JONES: Well, I'm just asking because 19 we're having this conversation that may be something the Board 20 does. Yeah, We can look at it for sure. 21 MS. WILLIAMS: So then my next question is, I 22 hear a lot of the citizens saying that they want social workers and other agencies like that on the Board. I'm speaking of a 23 24 particular situation that happened with someone that was 2.5 downtown and it seemed like there should've been some kind of

different kind of crisis intervention with someone who has 1 2 mental illness. So is there funding for that to fill those positions that when you have certain situations you can call in 3 someone who has more experience in dealing with someone who is 4 having a mental breakdown. Because I watched a man today who 5 6 had been arrested for wielding swords not too long ago. I saw 7 him today walking down the street screaming and yelling. You 8 know, he opted out not to have his sword anymore because I don't know if they had been taken from him, but now has a really big 9 10 stick. 11 It seems like to me that -- what I would hate to 12 see is that a company calls the police. Then here comes a whole 13 bunch of police knowing that this young man has a lot of mental 14 health issues. It seems like to me that there should be some 15 kind of agency outside of CPD that also shows up to help deal with that situation. 16 17 CHIEF JONES: A couple of key points. One, our 18 officers, the vast majority of them are CIT trained. So they 19 are trained in de-escalation. They are trained in recognizing 20 mental health illness issues, mental illness in trying to 21 de-escalate, mitigate, and refer. But there are a few issues

that come with that. One, is we don't have -- currently we
don't have any code response unit with social workers. I have
been working with others including the health department for,
I'd say, three years or more trying to get social workers that

1	can co-respond with us.
2	MS. WILLIAMS: So what's the hold up?
3	CHIEF JONES: So let me get to that. Funding is
4	always an issue. One of the issues. A bigger part that is
5	missing is that once you have the social workers in place, if
6	you don't have the infrastructure in the healthcare system to
7	deal with those referrals, the people who are in crisis still
8	have to wait. So police are still getting dispatched through
9	the 911 call to deal with life-threatening emergencies before
10	social workers can even come in. You know, even if we're
11	evacuating other people or trying to negotiate or do those
12	things, there's still a police response because we're getting
13	called multiple times for the same people.
14	So so it's not just having the social worker
15	that needs funding, you also need to look at funding for the
16	infrastructure, the different providers that deal with the
17	myriad of different issues that come under the umbrella of
18	mental health.
19	MS. WILLIAMS: Because we're resource happy here
20	in Columbia.
21	CHIEF JONES: We are, but it's still hard to get
22	in if you don't have insurance. And we run into that because
23	since the community outreach started, we had started referring
24	people and really had some success in getting people with
25	caseworkers. But even the caseworkers for the private industry

will tell you it's very difficult to get people in unless 1 2 they're paying. So that is one of the breakdowns in the system. As the police, I don't have any real control over that. I see 3 4 that as an issue. 5 We wanted case management in the police 6 department and we used a grant fund through Burrell to get a 7 community mental health liaison that works directly with law 8 enforcement. We had one and she had -- don't quote me -- but 9 multiple counties like nine counties or something. And in 10 Columbia where, you know, we have mental health facilities, so a 11 lot of people get dropped off here then then they become our 12 residents. We have a pretty large population that need 13 services. 14 So we had asked for and received through Burrell 15 to have a community mental health liaison in addition to that 16 other one who works about 80 percent of her time in Boone 17 County. So that resource is there. Still will have the 18 infrastructure issue. I know that the City is looking at -- and 19 I don't want to speak for the city management's office on this, 20 but I know that they are looking at a co-response model and how 21 to fund that. They'll talk about that in the budget process as 22 to how they would do that if they're going to do it. 23 So those conversations have been happening for 24 some time. I think that they have some traction now, which is 2.5 one of the things I was alluding to when I talked about that

1	earlier about how they're some tractions for some things that
2	we've been working on for some time.
3	MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. And then my last question
4	is, you said about the community outreach. Do you have some
5	areas that you are focusing on; and if so, what are those areas?
6	CHIEF JONES: The City initially had three areas
7	that we focused on with the Community Outreach Unit. We had
8	central/north, which is Bodie/Currituck area. And then we had
9	east, which was Rice Road. We added a fourth at one point,
10	which is Whitegate/Quail area. That was based on data
11	hundreds of data points, free and reduced lunches, how we were
12	using
13	MS. WILLIAMS: So the Quail area is no longer a
14	part of it?
15	CHIEF JONES: I'm sorry?
16	MS. WILLIAMS: The Quail area is no longer a
17	part of it?
18	CHIEF JONES: I need to evaluate how many people
19	I am going to be able to staff those areas with. So I'm
20	bringing back COU in its old form. We had them as a training
21	unit floating around. I think we would be better served to put
22	them back in the neighborhoods, so we are going to. I'm not
23	sure that we're going to have
24	MS. WILLIAMS: In substations or just
25	patrolling?

CHIEF JONES: No. No. I don't want them in a 1 2 building. I want them out making contact with people. I don't know if you've seen the staffing model that we presented to 3 counsel back in December, but the City is divided into eight 4 5 beats. And for dispatch purposes, each one of those beats is 6 subdivided, so there's actually 16 geographical areas that are 7 defined for dispatch already that are based on call volume and 8 workload, things like that. 9 The goal is to start pulling in -- as we get 10 officers, pull them in two at a time and putting them in those 11 16 beats and reducing the size of what I call our base shifts, 12 which is what we're operating off of now, so that the cops are actually in the neighborhoods. But we have to define the 13 14 neighborhood size and what that's going to look like. We're in 15 discussions about that now. It might not be the exact 16 boundaries of the first three areas, but it will probably be 17 similar. 18 MS. WILLIAMS: I'll give you the floor. 19 MS. GOMEZ: I have a few questions about the 20 traffic stop numbers and how that information is broken down. 21 There's some information that I think could further assist us in 22 understanding what's happening with those numbers and I was 23 wondering if it's possible to get more nuanced breakdowns of 24 these numbers. So in terms of the reports by beat location 25 rather than just highway and street location; the number of cops

assigned to each beat; and then further breakdowns of what the 1 2 stops include, like what equipment breakdowns, the specific numbers of each type, the license breakdowns, the drug charges 3 4 breakdowns that we can really dig in and analyze what's 5 happening. CHIEF JONES: Some of it data would be available 6 7 now. Some of it we would have to change our system. 8 MS. GOMEZ: Okay. 9 CHIEF JONES: Frankly, our RMS system is subpar and Rose will tell you I'm frustrated with our vendor. I think 10 11 at some point it would be good for that Vehicle Stops Community 12 to have a conversation with this board as to what they are doing and what they are trying to collect. In addition to that, I'm 13 probably going to ask the University for some help in doing a 14 15 third-party study of the variables that officers act upon when 16 they make traffic stops. I don't know if they'll be receptive 17 to that or not. I'm not trying to call them out publicly, but 18 you know me, if you ask, I'll tell you. 19 I think that it's important that we look at more 20 of that information not just from a data standpoint, but from an 21 application standpoint so that we can get a better idea of what 22 we can adjust through training and policy because we don't 23 control all of the variables, but we can control some of it. 24 MS. GOMEZ: Right. 25 CHIEF JONES: Our disparity numbers are high. Ι

believe that it's from pretext and investigative stops. So if 1 2 we can focus on those areas, I think will have better success in that that committee has through their process gotten to that 3 4 conclusion. They just -- because of COVID they are three months 5 behind in making a recommendation that was supposed to come last 6 month. 7 MS. GOMEZ: Okay. 8 CHIEF JONES: The answer is yes and no. We need 9 to figure out what we are capable of. I don't know the 10 capability of our system because quite frankly it's been a 11 struggle, but we might be able to collect more. We'll have to 12 see what that looks like. 13 MS. GOMEZ: Okay. Great. Because I think we could do more in terms of recommendations and helping the 14 15 community if we get a further breakdown of a lot of those 16 numbers. 17 CHIEF JONES: I agree with you. 18 MR. SMITH: Did you propose some changes with 19 regard to pretextual stops? 20 CHIEF JONES: Yes, so when COVID hit I ordered 21 officers not to make traffic stops unless they were hazardous 22 moving violations and/or if it was someone that have articulable 23 intelligence is a threat to the community. So we have a very 24 few number of people that we know are shooters in the community. 2.5 We have approving cases, we're investigating them.

verified intelligence that they are involved in certain 1 2 activity. If those people have a taillight out and they are shooters, they're going to get stopped. If they're committing a 3 hazardous moving violation, they will get stopped. 4 5 What I didn't want to do -- and I'm talking 6 about COVID -- and I will talk about what we're doing now. What 7 I didn't want to do is expose members of the public or the 8 police for infractions like registration, nonhazardous equipment violations. When I say nonhazardous, they're all -- not having 9 10 a taillight is hazardous, but is not as much liability as 11 someone going 90 miles an hour down Broadway. So I limited that 12 by special order, which is not a policy, but it's a stopgap 13 while we evaluate policy. 14 With COVID peeking and the committee not getting 15 the recommendations as fast as I would like, I felt it was 16 important that we continue that out because I think it serves a 17 dual purpose. The same as what we were dealing with COVID, but 18 it also limits the number of stops that we make outside of 19 hazardous violations and it gives us a data subset that's six 20 months long instead of three months. We may extend it passed 21 that depending on what crime looks like and feedback I get back 22 from the community as to the impact on that general order. 23 that's where we're at right now. 24 MR. SMITH: All right. Any preliminary data or 25 any differences, can you tell, with regard to crime and stops?

1	CHIEF JONES: It is too soon to tell. We
2	last year, as you know, I did away with saturation patrols. As,
3	you know, Mike Hestir says we're fishing with the spear instead
4	of a net. We know the people that we need to target as far as
5	far as investigative stops. I think that that's an important
6	tactic that we take. I think that that is less impactful
7	especially to minority communities when we're out there focusing
8	on the people doing the crime and not adversely impacting others
9	who happen to live by circumstance or for some other reason in a
10	neighborhood where those people tend to do crime or do other
11	things.
12	I think that this will have an impact on the
13	number for our disparities. But I can't be sure, first; and
14	second, I'm not as focused on the number as I am being fair in
15	how we police and making sure that we are addressing crime in a
16	fair and equitable way. So the number is less important to me
17	than the outcome, if that makes sense.
18	MR. SMITH: How's COVID affecting officers? Is
19	it affecting officers currently and, you know, how are they
20	policing as a result?
21	CHIEF JONES: We have a sanitation plan.
22	Officers are expected to wipe down their cars before and after
23	each shift. So each take a portion of the building that we
24	clean including me. They're supposed to be wearing masks. With
25	the protests I made it was a judgment call on my part and it

may have been the wrong call, but I gave them the option as to 1 2 whether to wear a mask. And personally, that decision came from my trip when we went to Memphis at the Civil Rights Museum. A 3 lot of the officers that were involved in the activities back in those days were covering their face. I thought it was important 5 6 that the people who are demonstrating knew that we were there to 7 support them and their constitutional right to demonstrate and 8 that we weren't hiding behind the mask. So that was a judgment 9 call on my part. It may have been the wrong one as far as the 10 pandemic is concerned. And the health director may disagree 11 with me, but that was my choice. 12 MR. SMITH: Have you seen officers come down with COVID? 13 14 CHIEF JONES: I have not, yet. I anticipate 15 that that will occur. If that does occur, we have staffing 16 plans in place that will change how we staff the police 17 department. 18 MR. PRINGLE: I've got a guestion. 19 MR. SMITH: One thing -- go ahead. 20 MR. PRINGLE: I've got a few questions. 21 MR. SMITH: One thing that I did notice when the 22 protesters -- I did not see officers heavily armored. You know, 23 standard uniforms, which is -- seems to be -- have gone against 24 what we have seen in other cities. Could you describe the 2.5 choice to do that? I think it was a great choice. I think it

was the proper choice, but it was a choice that we don't see a lot.

where we stand. You know, a lot of things happen nationally -and please don't misinterpret this -- we've got room to improve,
but we do stand in a position in this community not just as
police but as a community where we can have conversations about
really difficult things without them turning violent. And the
organizers of the protest, the protesters themselves, were
fantastic. There wasn't a lot of concern about activity from
the people demonstrating and trying to get the message out. It
was more about people that came in with the intent to disrupt
those and to cause riots and those kinds of things. So we
focused on those people that came in -- a lot of them from
outside, a few from in town who were there just solely to
disrupt those protests. So the protests themselves were
peaceful.

We had police officers on-duty and off-duty participating in the protests. So it wasn't -- that really wasn't the concern, so there was really no need to escalate force in something where nobody exhibited any need to escalate force first.

Second, I think it sends a strong message that we are a leader in this community, not just with the way that we manage those types of instants, but that when the State is

1	calling me daily and offering the National Guard and I can say
2	with confidence each day I don't need or want the National
3	Guard. That says something about the community here and how
4	people organized those demonstrations. So the short answer is
5	we didn't have the need.
6	MS. WILLIAMS: I think the tone was set the
7	first time when some officers came out on the first riot and
8	kneeled with the protesters. I think that that set the tone to
9	let everybody know we want this to be peaceful. And I watched
10	it, you know, Facebook live, and I commend CPD for coming out
11	and setting that tone.
12	CHIEF JONES: Well, that speaks to the officers
13	and again people who are organizing those protests, set that
14	tone to where that was even an option.
15	MS. WILLIAMS: Exactly.
16	MR. PRINGLE: I'm going back to the beginning or
17	your talk about the communications because it was at our meeting
18	last month where, you know especially with the letter drive
19	and the was still going on how I'm pretty sure all eight
20	of those are already in the Columbia police policy manual.
21	CHIEF JONES: In some form. We meet six of
22	them. Two of them I don't think are operationally feasible.
23	MR. PRINGLE: And just kind of really we came
24	out to talk and letting people know about the policy manual,
25	where they can find it, where they can review it. You talked

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about the communication coordinator that you want to hire, but what are we doing right about getting people to those policy meeting? Are we doing any kind of advertising besides the City calendar or just how are we getting the word out about those meetings?

CHIEF JONES: The person I am looking at for that position who has helped with other coordination in the past has stepped up and offered to do that work. They currently work for the City, so that work is being done by them already in the stopgap until that position is approved or not. So that would be a good question for her. Social media is part of it. of it is trying to reach out and -- individually. And that's probably not the most effective method. That's my method because I like face-to-face contact with people. COVID is really harmful to my communication style, but social media isn't the only thing that we can do, being on the city's website. We have posted there before. I just don't know how effective it is and I need help with that. So we'll be looking at it. I don't know the answer for now. We're -- I'm actively, every day, asking to get that message out. I'm going to let people who are trained to do that, do that and do it better than I have.

MR. PRINGLE: I have been to a handful of those meetings and really with out Rose I would never know when they're happening or where they're at. I did see a lot of people who were encouraged knowing those meets were going on and

as many people as we can get the word out to, I think that would 1 2 be a positive thing. We actually have one person speak last month who went back to a policy that we already reviewed and I 3 think was on the verge of approval. It had to do with use of 4 5 force. Now that more citizens are aware of these meetings and 6 how they can contribute, has there been talk in the department 7 about going backwards and maybe and enough citizens say, hey, we 8 want to look at this, actually reviewing something that's 9 already been approved? 10 CHIEF JONES: Yeah. I mean, we're always open 11 to improving. It's usually a contact and a meeting or even, you 12 know, sometimes when I have coffee with someone or lunch or someone else does and they come back and say, we really need to 13 14 look at this. The foot pursuit thing in full disclosure, Scott 15 Alpers came to me this afternoon and said, hey, you know, we 16 have a policy as to what foot pursuits entail, but we don't train and I'm not sure how to do that. I said, I don't either, 17 18 but we can look at it. That was before I knew it came from the 19 CPRB, but that's a valid point. So when people bring those 20 things to us, it's not that we blow them off. 21 The other part to that that we've started since 22 we've talked, I think, that may have been before we talked -- we 23 have policy, IA, and training, the leads of those three units 24 that speak every couple of weeks. It's not about specific 25 topics always. It might be a squad of officers that has a

```
higher use of force rate than the rest, or they're seeing
 1
 2
     someone who maybe not be -- maybe they're not violating policy,
 3
    but they are not talking to someone the right way.
                      MS. WILLIAMS: I had that happen yesterday.
                      CHIEF JONES: Or --
 5
 6
                      MS. WILLIAMS: I encountered an officer that was
 7
     extremely rude.
 8
                      CHIEF JONES: We'll talk about that.
 9
                      MS. WILLIAMS: I said, I told him, I said, Well,
     that was rude and I called him on it.
10
11
                      CHIEF JONES: What did he say?
12
                      MS. WILLIAMS: He ended up apologizing, but I
13
     believe he ended up apologizing because another officer heard me
14
     say, Well, that was rude. Because I asked him a question about
15
     why they were in our facility because that is my job to ask that
16
     question. He was extremely rude and I made that statement out
17
     loud, Well, that was rude. And then I walked off and another
18
     officer heard me say something. And so he said, Ma'am, I can
19
     answer that question. But I liked that the second officer did a
20
     little training for the first officer. Too bad it had to be
21
     that way.
22
                                    Sure. I will tell you that we are
                      CHIEF JONES:
23
     -- we're going to fall short sometimes, but the expectation, not
24
     just in use of force, but also in delivering good customer
2.5
     service is that when you see someone that is falling short, and
```

1	maybe it's an officer having a bad day. Maybe and I'm not
2	saying in this instance, but maybe an officer gets his buttons
3	pushed or her buttons pushed and they had enough and they say
4	something that's inappropriate or they're getting ready to and
5	another officer can see that it's building to that. We've all
6	had interactions where we've seen that it's going to south. The
7	officers have been told repeatedly, not just in training but by
8	me in shift meeting, if you see an officer going down that path
9	then try to intervene on their behalf.
10	MS. WILLIAMS: That is exactly what happened.
11	CHIEF JONES: So I'm glad to hear that I'm
12	sorry to hear the first part happened, but I'm glad to hear that
13	someone stepped up. And it's important.
14	MS. WILLIAMS: The second officer stepped up
15	immediately.
16	CHIEF JONES: Good.
17	MR. PRINGLE: There was back to the
18	communication coordinator again too, there was there was an
19	officer earlier like during the protest who he was constantly
20	tweeting about what was going on. I can't remember what his
21	name was. I think he was a lieutenant.
22	CHIEF JONES: Hestir.
23	MR. PRINGLE: Yeah. Has there been any talk
24	about this coordinator also like I mean, I thought that was
25	very helpful and informative as they were kind of talk as

Lt. Hestir was letting people know where the protesters were at, where they were going. And also, every now and then, he just kind of tweeted about the force in general, and just kind of stuff to go look at to help them educate themselves on what the force does. Has there -- I haven't seen him really tweeting lately. Is there anyone kind of like filling in that gap to keep that information flowing?

CHIEF JONES: That is a discussion that we are having. That is a double edge sword as you know. There's been a lot of conversation about people putting stuff out in social media. I'm the first to tell you I'm not a social media person. I have accounts so that I can look at my kids, but I don't -- I don't communicate that way. Lieutenant Hestir when he was a sergeant of the Community Outreach Unit was authorized to tweet and to put things on other social media platforms as the head of the Community Outreach Unit. He worked for me at the time. I was a lieutenant at the time. He's kept that account. He's authorized to do that. Not everybody is.

We have public information officers that we've had that very conversation within the last couple of weeks about how we are going to improve our social media communications and that is a bigger discussion through the City as to how we are going to do that. Obviously when you have other entities, private and in their professional role, putting things on social media, it can become problematic and the First Amendment does,

in some ways, protect speech that I am not comfortable with 1 2 coming from officers, but I have no constitutional authority to act upon it. So I have to be very careful on how I approach 3 that. We are. We're looking at. I don't know what it's going 4 5 to look like after she makes a recommendation on how we'll 6 proceed with social media, whether we'll have select people with 7 accounts or just our public information officers. I don't know 8 what that's going to look like yet. 9 MS. WILLIAMS: I can tell you this, Chief, that 10 if you want to change your business, I'm going to leave with 11 this, it's an acronym. You gotta use FITS, F-I-T, in order to 12 make your business grow. And if you want to change people's opinion of the CPD use FITS; Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, 13 14 because you can reach every age group in all three of those. 15 CHIEF JONES: We're looking at it. I promise. I'm just not the guy to look at it, that's why I got people for 16 17 that. 18 MR. PRINGLE: Yeah, but that online avenue, 19 especially when you open up the DM that just says message me if 20 you have any question about what is was going on. I thought 21 that was a very forward-thinking idea on behalf of the force and 22 I hope that you guys do really take a serious look at and 23 hopefully keep that going. I was happy with seeing how that worked out. 24 25 My last question about communications had to do

-- so when you're talking about budgeting for the new position, 1 2 because of COVID, I mean, we're going to see budget shortfalls everywhere. Have we identified, like, does something have to be 3 4 cut to go ahead and fill this position? 5 CHIEF JONES: Right. And we've cut some things. 6 Until a budget's finalized, I don't want to say what I've 7 recommended or what they have taken. Honestly, I don't what 8 they have taken or what they're going to approve. I am pretty 9 confident that that position will survive the budget process 10 just out of necessity. I am not sure what the justification 11 will be, where it will be taken from, or how they will fund it. 12 I'll tell you last year -- in last year's 13 numbers, so this year's money, I cut about a million dollars out 14 of our budget and not because of demonstrations. It was 15 pre-demonstration days. It was just purely out of trying to be 16 fiscally responsible in light of COVID and what our revenues as 17 a city would be. 18 So we have made cuts. I just don't know where 19 they're -- we made proposed cuts. I don't know where they're 20 going to take this from, and that's above my head. It's a 21 decision they'll make on their own. 22 MR. SMITH: With the budget being tightened, how 23 do you determine what cases get what resources? You know we 24 look at the Elledge case and it seems like there's a lot of 2.5 resources going to that one investigation. And we have other

cases that might be open. How do you determine this case is going to get that amount of resources versus other cases in the city?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHIEF JONES: Well, there's a lot involved with Elledge that I'm not willing to discuss here, now that that's still going. Part of it is based on the probability of success. Part of it is joint funding opportunities both publicly funded and privately funded. So our costs in that one specifically were reduced significantly and the stakes are high. You know, not -- I knew went into that venture much like Megan Shultz, I was criticized for looking for Megan in the landfill, that there was a good chance that we wouldn't find Megan. There's a good chance that we won't Mengqi, but as a public servant who has sworn to uphold the law and believing that someone has murdered someone else and believing that the evidence is there, when the only obstacle is how to retrieve a body, that helps me make a decision as to whether it's appropriate, so -- there are budget implications. Luckily, we had some people willing to partner with us on that.

But we -- every day we're trying to move money to make sure that our investigations are running smoothly, that we're managing overtime, which you can imagine the overtime in trying to protect demonstrators and bringing in people when -- you know, we're putting people on leave to be tested, you know, their family or someone that comes in contact within the

```
1
    community that we end up quarantining people so that creates
 2
    overtime for others. Those types of things. We have to manage
 3
    that everyday and I have a person that manages the budget and is
     -- without her I think I would be lost. She's pretty awesome.
 4
 5
    But the decisions come down to really what's ethical, what's
 6
    moral, what's possible and how I can balance that with the rest
 7
    of what we're responsible for and I think we've done that well
8
    so far. But any variable could change and we could stop
9
     investigating one thing to investigate another. It just depends
    on where the priority lies.
10
11
                     MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. How much of your money is
12
    grant-funded?
13
                      CHIEF JONES: I don't have an answer for that.
14
    We have several grants, but it's not a majority.
15
                     MR. SMITH: Compared to the past few years,
    where are we with regard to violent crime? Are the numbers
16
17
    about the same? Are they higher this year?
18
                      CHIEF JONES: Last year was higher. This year
19
    has not been as high as last year. Part of the difficulty in
20
    answering that question is we have changed the system in how we
21
    report. We are in two different federal systems now. We just
22
    abandoned the last one, which was UCR, and we went to DIVERS,
23
    which is a different type of reporting so there's always a
    variation in that. I would have to look at the numbers and I
24
25
    can get back to you if you want.
```

1	MR. FISHER: Anything else? All right. Thank
2	you for entertaining our questions.
3	MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you. Thank you, Chief.
4	MR. FISHER: Are other people coming up to
5	speak?
6	MS. WILLIAMS: If we're lucky. Thank you for
7	wiping that off.
8	MR. FISHER: All right. We'll move on to the
9	next item on the agenda, which is Reports, Positive Connections
10	and Ride-alongs. I don't think we're doing any ride-alongs
11	right now. Any positive connections? We haven't had new
12	recruits in a while.
13	MR. PRINGLE: I stopped by the Race Matters
14	Friends sign giveaway on Monday outside City Hall before the
15	Council meeting. I talked with them for a minute and it was a
16	pretty solid little time with them. I wasn't there for too long
17	just because of this, but was catching up with them.
18	MR. FISHER: Anything else? All right. We will
19	move on to Old Business. In terms of outreach, I think it might
20	just be better there's not much I have if anyone has
21	anything, we can just talk about it with the final item, the
22	meetings, if that's all right.
23	We'll move on to the update on Use of Restraint
24	Recommendation Status. I believe we are waiting on members that
25	are here to provide that. Right, Rose? Have you heard anything

1	else?
2	MS. WIBBENMEYER: I have not received anything
3	from Delaney or heard anything more from her. I've called and
4	emailed. She was the one that was going to write the report and
5	I don't know if anyone else wants to write the report or how you
6	want to handle it.
7	MR. FISHER: Okay. I think she was supposed to
8	it to Nicole. That's the last I heard, so we can
9	MR. PRINGLE: I agree.
10	MR. FISHER: So yeah, we'll wait for Nicole on
11	that.
12	And then Supplement to the 2019 Annual Report.
13	We're waiting on CPD's data right now, so when we get that we
14	will move forward on that.
15	The next thing is the discussion on NACOLE
16	conference. I guess we're to talk about who's interested in
17	attending
18	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Yes. We would like to get you
19	all registered for whoever wants to go. I was hoping you could
20	tell me if you would like us to sign you up tonight so we can
21	have a list for Tracy to go ahead and register. The training
22	requirements in the code talk about training on topics suggested
23	or recommended by NACOLE and because this year is a virtual
24	conference, the rate is such that I think we could have you all
25	attend virtually if your schedule allows for it. They also

1	once you sign up, they will give you access to the videos. So
2	if you miss a session because you have a conflict, you can watch
3	on your own. I did ask them about the public broadcast and they
4	said, no, they do not have a model for that. So anyone who
5	wanted to attend we would need to just of the board members
6	we would need to pay for each board member. It is a you
7	know, the public could pay for their own way to attend either by
8	session or for the whole conference.
9	MR. FISHER: Is there anyone not interested?
10	MR. SMITH: I think I already replied to Rose
11	that I'd be to attend for recertification.
12	MR. PRINGLE: I informed Rose I'd be open to
13	attending all 32, if possible.
14	MR. FISHER: It does have jails in here, so you
15	might
16	MS. WILLIAMS: I am open as long as I can watch
17	it later.
18	MS. GOMEZ: I like to go to.
19	MS. GROVER: I sent my request to Rose, as well.
20	MS. WIBBENMEYER: So everyone here is a yes?
21	MR. FISHER: It sounds like it.
22	MR. PRINGLE: Does the first one start on July
23	20th or 22nd as the first
24	MR. SMITH: It's the I think it's the 21st or
25	22nd, somewhere in there.

1	MR. PRINGLE: Okay.
2	MR. FISHER: All right. We'll move on to new
3	business. Our vice chair left us last meeting so we are here to
4	select a new one that will carry out the term. We'll do this
5	again in October/November time frame. Usually how it goes, we
6	just open it up for anyone to, you know, be chosen and then
7	we'll vote on it, but if you don't want to be and you're chosen
8	just say you don't want to and we'll move down the line.
9	So is there any nominations for vice chair?
10	MS. GOMEZ: I nominate Cornellia Williams.
11	MR. FISHER: All right. I would second that
12	nomination. So we'll go down the list and
13	MR. SMITH: I think she is the only one that's
14	qualified besides me and I don't want it.
15	MR. PRINGLE: Didn't we we changed the
16	qualifications too.
17	MR. SMITH: She's the only one. So
18	MS. WIBBENMEYER: I was going to say the bylaws
19	do say and/or the chair and/or vice chair. Not to say, you
20	know just to clarify what your bylaws say.
21	MR. SMITH: Thank you, Rose.
22	MR. FISHER: So Fisher would be a yes. Grover?
23	MS. GROVER: Yes.
24	MR. FISHER: Pringle?
25	MR. PRINGLE: Yes.

1	MR. FISHER: Smith?
2	MR. SMITH: Yes.
3	MR. FISHER: Williams?
4	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
5	MR. FISHER: Gomez?
6	MS. GOMEZ: Yes.
7	MR. FISHER: Congratulations.
8	MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you, guys.
9	MR. FISHER: All right. Next item is the
10	discussion on changing the time period to file an appeal. Is
11	that attached to your change Travis or are these two separate
12	MS. WIBBENMEYER: They are two separate.
13	MR. FISHER: Okay.
14	MS. GROVER: They both mentioned 21 days, but
15	they are separate sections.
16	MR. FISHER: Okay. So anyone have thoughts or
17	ideas?
18	MS. WIBBENMEYER: And on this you may want to
19	see if anyone in the public wants to speak on it.
20	MR. FISHER: Sure. Was anyone offering up a
21	change? We had the idea and we threw out, right, days, but I
22	don't think that we settled on anything.
23	MS. GROVER: We didn't. Twenty-one days from
24	the day the chief sends the letter, plus three days for mailing
25	purposes is what we so if anyone wants to make a you know,

30 days plus the three days, I don't -- I don't really have a 1 2 opinion one way or the other. MS. WILLIAMS: I think it should be 30 days. 3 4 You know, life happens period. 5 MS. GROVER: I know we talked to Sergeant Alpers 6 about changing the letter. He talked about changing the letter 7 as well anyway, but --8 MR. FISHER: Yeah, that change was just to put 9 the due date in the letter specifically. 10 MS. GROVER: Right. 11 MR. FISHER: He could do that. 12 MS. GROVER: He could change any of that stuff 13 or add. He said he could add anything as well, but I mean, 21, 14 30, you know, I don't know if we're -- I don't think we're 15 losing anything. You know, I think it is just helpful for other 16 people for 30 days, plus the three days mailing time. 17 MS. WILLIAMS: And definitely having that due 18 date in the letter so a person knows. 19 MR. SMITH: I think 30 days is reasonable. 20 mean, it's a month and versus 21 days, which is sort of an odd 21 thing. Give people 30 days, a month, plus the three days 22 mailing. 23 MR. FISHER: All right. Anyone from the public 24 have insight? 25 MR. SMITH: I can say something.

1	MR. FISHER: Yeah, I believe you probably had
2	something to do with setting up the first time frame.
3	MR. SMITH: Yeah. I am not here to talk about
4	that, but well, I guess I am. I definitely think it would be
5	30 days. My name is David Tyson Smith, attorney here in town.
6	But I know I am familiar with a little bit of the background
7	about what happened with Stacy Smith and the problem with her
8	not getting her appeal up here. But definitely 30 days. I
9	mean, 21 days is a weird time frame anyway and most people
LO	aren't going to be thinking 21 days.
L1	MS. WILLIAMS: Exactly.
L2	MR. SMITH: I mean, that's too fast. I mean,
L3	the whole purpose of this is so people can have an opportunity
L4	to have their case heard for review and not to cut them off at
L5	the knees. So and it looks like with other case there was a
L6	problem because she couldn't get here in time. I definitely
L7	think 30 days is reasonable.
L8	MR. FISHER: So is there a motion then to move
L9	forward on this?
20	MR. SMITH: Motion to exactly
21	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Before you make your motion,
22	when you are talking about 30 days to file the appeal, do you
23	just mean the 30 days to file the appeal to the Police Review
24	Board or you are you also wanting the ordinance to be changed
25	that sets the 21 days to file an appeal to the city manager? So

1	you may want to consider whether you are if you think 30 days
2	is reasonable to file to the Board for the reasons stated by
3	both board members and the public, do you also at the same time
4	you would send the report to counsel, want to also have them
5	adjust the 21-day filing for filing the appeal to the city
6	manager or do you want that to stay 21 days in the first
7	MR. SMITH: I think it would be good to be
8	consistent, 30 days and 30 days so that we have one standard.
9	MS. WIBBENMEYER: So when you word your motion,
10	you'll want you to cover both.
11	MR. SMITH: Right. I move both that both
12	Section 21-51 and 21-51.1 be revised for the time of complaint
13	to be extended to 30 days, plus or minus three days for date of
14	mailing. That was Smith.
15	MS. GROVER: Grover second.
16	MR. FISHER: All right. I'll do a roll call
17	vote to change the days from 21 days to 30 days. Fisher, yes.
18	Grover?
19	MS. GROVER: Yes.
20	MR. FISHER: Pringle?
21	MR. PRINGLE: Yes.
22	MR. FISHER: Smith?
23	MR. SMITH: Yes.
24	MR. FISHER: Williams?
25	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.

1	MR. FISHER: Gomez?
2	MS. GOMEZ: Yes.
3	MR. FISHER: All right. So we will move forward
4	with that. That does have to be approved by not just us.
5	Next item is a discussion of the traffic stop
6	data. Carley, was there more that you wanted to
7	MS. GOMEZ: Yeah. I'd like to discuss it for a
8	moment. In terms of the review board that he's talking about
9	I actually don't know much about. Do you all know about that
10	review board and what
11	MR. FISHER: Yeah. The traffic stop group.
12	Yeah. I've been to a couple of meetings. It's a it's a mix
13	of people coming at it from different angles and I think
14	they're, last time I was there trying to, I guess, kind of see
15	the scenario behind the numbers
16	MS. GOMEZ: Okay.
17	MR. FISHER: to some degree. So I don't know
18	where they're at right now.
19	MS. GOMEZ: You know, I understand that they're
20	more concerned with tactics than numbers, but I do think numbers
21	are indicative of can be indicative of a problem and I wonder
22	if there is a way that we can maybe request more information
23	formally so that we can think about that too, since we're the
24	review board?
25	MS. WILLIAMS: I thought the Chief said somebody

1	could come and go over that.
2	MR. FISHER: I think he said
3	MR. PRINGLE: I think he said there were some
4	stuff that Carley listed that is in the system but a lot of it
5	isn't because our system's not it's subpar.
6	MS. GOMEZ: Well, so maybe we can request
7	certain things and then see what we can we get back. Would that
8	be possible to request it?
9	MR. PRINGLE: Yeah.
10	MR. FISHER: Request away. I can reach out to
11	that subcommittee and ask them for an update.
12	MR. PRINGLE: Would there be a way for us to
13	potentially hire someone to break down the data into what
14	Carley's looking for?
15	MS. WIBBENMEYER: As of right now you have some
16	money in your miscellaneous contractual fund, but I would need
17	to see how much money we have left in this fiscal year. There
18	are anticipated budget cuts across the city. I don't know that
19	this board's budget will be cut or not, the boards and
20	commissions. When I asked the question I was told the boards
21	and commissions are part of counsel's budget. So counsel, last
22	I heard they were going to leave that up to the counsel to
23	decide.
24	But right now your miscellaneous contractual
25	some of the funds are encumbered for the mediation services

1	contract and the other funds largely have been used to pay the
2	court reporter for the transcription services. So I am not sure
3	where we are. The fiscal year changes October 1st. The budget
4	for next fiscal year should be coming out the end of July or
5	first part of August where we will have a better idea of whether
6	or not they are proposing any cuts to your budget or not.
7	MS. GOMEZ: I would be happy to find a numbers
8	person, too, like a friend that can help break it down if I can
9	get access to this information. So maybe it's just about the
10	particulars of the request.
11	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Yeah. I mean, I think if the
12	Board is going to make the request there will be a motion to
13	request and whatever the list is.
14	MS. GOMEZ: Okay.
15	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Then the Board would vote on
16	that and pass it.
17	MS. GOMEZ: Okay. So the things that I am
18	thinking about are the number of cops assigned to each beat, the
19	stops by beat location, the equipment breakdowns, the license
20	breakdowns, and the drug charges breakdowns, so that we get more
21	specific information. Is there anything else that
22	MR. SMITH: When you say equipment breakdowns
23	and license breakdowns, what you mean?
24	MS. GOMEZ: I would like to know the number of
25	those that are specific to failures to renew licenses, or tags,

1	equipments meaning taillights out versus bigger problems.
2	MR. SMITH: Okay.
3	MS. GOMEZ: Just the specifics, so that we can
4	sort of see what's happening.
5	MR. SMITH: So equipment defect?
6	MS. GOMEZ: Exactly. So there's an entire
7	category that just says equipment violation. So depending on
8	the circumstances of some of those, I think there could be some
9	disparities over who's getting pulled over. And if we can get
10	to the root of some of those we might be able to make some
11	recommendations. Same thing with license violations and the
12	drug charges too.
13	MR. SMITH: Would that be drug charges after the
14	stop where there was a search conducted or
15	MS. GOMEZ: Yes. It could be I would say
16	both. Let's see. Yeah. I mean, I think I would do both cases.
17	Really it's as much information as possible at this point to
18	make sure that we can
19	MR. SMITH: Right. Because with that you have
20	to be pretty clear as to what you are asking. That's why I was
21	kind of wondering what you were asking because they will
22	provide
23	MS. GOMEZ: Oh, sure. But I think if we say the
24	specifics of, you know, the drugs, alcohol wait. Which
25	what was your question again? Do you mind repeating?

```
MR. SMITH: What you meant by drug information.
 1
 2
     Was it after the stop or was that the reason for the stop?
                      MS. GOMEZ: For the amount. That's what I mean.
 3
     So was it -- like, what amount of drugs, too. So possession
 4
 5
     versus intent to sell and things like that.
 6
                      MR. SMITH: Okay, so that would be after the
 7
     stop. Okay.
                      MS. GOMEZ: Uh-huh. Was there any additional
 8
     information that you -- if I were to make a motion, that you all
 9
10
    would want?
11
                      MR. FISHER: Not me specifically. I'm just
12
     worried when you get that information, then we're like oh, well,
    now we want more. So there is a -- it's a crimes statistician
13
14
     or something that works with the department. I wonder if it
15
     would be beneficial to maybe invite them to the meeting and ask
16
     them what they have and what they can provide. I would just,
17
     you know, we'd be like, well, we see what you gave us, but we
18
     also want more and so how far does that go. And then asking
19
     what the traffic stop committee, what kind of data they have.
20
     think they have talked about -- they've broken this down in some
21
     of the meetings I have been to where they've got the Excel list
22
     up of why the person was stopped and if an arrest happened,
23
     those types of things. So I just don't know a good place to go
     asking right where it could all happen at one time.
24
25
                      MS. WIBBENMEYER: Do you want me to reach out to
```

1	someone from that committee to try and see if they could come
2	and maybe do a presentation of what they've done thus far and
3	what they have requested thus far and then figure out what you
4	want from there?
5	MR. FISHER: Yeah. That'd be I mean, I think
6	helpful. If they are willing to share whatever they have before
7	they come.
8	MR. SMITH: Would it be possible to get the
9	statistician that you mentioned at the same time so that we get
LO	everybody in the room at the same time and we can possibly make
11	it an efficient process?
L2	MS. WIBBENMEYER: I think the statistician would
L3	have to go back and run reports. So I think you probably need
L4	to figure out what your request is and what you are asking for
L5	and then
L6	MR. SMITH: But they would tell us what data was
L7	available, could they not?
L8	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Well, they could tell you what
L9	reports they have generated, but they would probably need to
20	look to see whether it is possible to pull the data in a
21	different way. Unless all you want is the reports that come
22	that they already know exist.
23	MR. SMITH: So they wouldn't know what data's
24	already collected? I mean, not they could they would have
25	to know what data is available even if we aren't asking for a

specific report. They should know what fields are there. 1 2 MS. GOMEZ: What data fields -- they would have to know what data fields they have. 3 MS. WIBBENMEYER: They -- I will tell you the 5 old system. The old system was very capable of running pretty 6 much any amount of data that was put in there because it was a 7 very complex relational database that you can pull by type of 8 charge, by race, by tattoos even. I mean, it was that capable. They had some standard reporting requirements that were in 9 10 there. But if you -- with the old system, if a person were 11 creative and could specify what it is was needed, they had the 12 capability of pulling that out. With the new system, it is a 13 relational database, but it's basically -- over time software 14 companies have evolved in how they structure their license 15 agreements. 16 So with the old system we had the ability to have better access to, like, the source code and to generate 17 18 pretty much whatever we wanted to within that system. When they 19 bought this new system it was more of an off-the-shelf kind of 20 product that was configurable. Some -- they can run some 21 reports, I think, that are existing within that system; and then 22 sometimes they have to hire the company to develop reports to 23 pull other data out of the system. 24 If the data goes into the system, then obviously it could come out of the system. The question becomes whether 25

we have the ability through our license to get it from the 1 2 system ourselves or whether we would have to pay for a custom work from the vendor. 3 MR. SMITH: We can put data in that we can't 5 necessarily get out? 6 MS. WIBBENMEYER: Well, I mean, you can see it 7 in the context of a particular report like an arrest report or, 8 like, an incident report. But we don't have the flexibility 9 that we did in the passed necessarily to just create our own 10 report, calculation within the parameters as I understand it. 11 But I think if you were with the vehicle stop -- one of the 12 people on that committee I think -- the person I would reach out 13 to is Don Love because he's the one who's been emailing about it 14 over the context of years. But I'm also open to suggestions if 15 -- Andrew, you've been to one of us meetings, so if you know of 16 someone else from there. 17 But I think they could then say, okay, we have 18 this data in this format and then you can kind of look and say, 19 okay, we think we are also missing these other pieces and he 20 could tell you what they've -- what attempts they've gone 21 through to get them and what's available and what isn't. And 22 then you can then follow up with the police and the request. 23 MR. SMITH: Would it be beneficial to get Don Love here? 24 25 MS. GROVER: I think we should work with them,

1	that committee, and not do our not do our own necessarily,
2	but work with the other community and try to get the information
3	that we want.
4	MS. GROVER: If they have already established a
5	relationship and have this information they're (sic) may be able
6	to get the information a little easier than we are as well.
7	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Well, and ultimately, I mean,
8	you would need to pass a motion to request the information from
9	the police department. So having the statistician here is not
10	necessarily it would need to go you all would we need to
11	pass your motion. The police chief gets the request. The
12	police chief then assigns who in his department is going to
13	fulfill that request, if that makes any sense. And if it comes
14	to a matter of a custom development, you know, then it would be
15	a matter of how much is that going to cost and whether that's
16	going to come out of the police department's budget or whether
17	it would come out of the Board's budget.
18	MS. GOMEZ: That committee is preparing to make
19	recommendations?
20	MR. FISHER: It sounds like it. Yeah.
21	MR. SMITH: Could you piggy back the information
22	you want on that committee's recommendation?
23	MS. WILLIAMS: I think that is what we should
24	do.
25	MR. SMITH: Yeah. Because they may even be

1	requesting the information that you are asking. I think we need
2	to kind of coordinate with that committee?
3	MS. GOMEZ: So we can request it directly from
4	them then instead of
5	MS. WILLIAMS: Or at least start with them.
6	MS. WIBBENMEYER: I think a great approach will
7	be to connect you with Don Love and to have him come because I
8	know he's offered before to come and present on what they are
9	doing and what they are looking at and then you might have
10	additional information for him and he might have additional
11	information for you and then at that meeting you can decide do
12	you want to work together or do you want to go your own separate
13	way from what they are doing.
14	MS. GOMEZ: Would it be possible to connect with
15	them before the meeting so that we're able to have that
16	information?
17	MS. WIBBENMEYER: I think you could talk to them
18	beforehand if you like. If you want to be like the person who
19	leads us. But as far as the Board as a whole, other than having
20	Don Love send the stuff, which he does, to me, then I then
21	forward out to you, I'll and some of this occurred before you
22	were on, so you probably don't have a long history. You know,
23	he might put together something that I can then forward out to
24	everyone, but what other than that, it would be a meeting
25	MS. GOMEZ: Okay.

1	MS. WIBBENMEYER: with the public there where
2	he could talk about what he's been up to and what the group's
3	been working on.
4	MS. GOMEZ: Okay. Great. So we will request
5	that he comes to the next meeting?
6	MR. FISHER: Yeah. Sure.
7	MS. GOMEZ: Okay.
8	MR. FISHER: The soonest one he can show up to.
9	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Did you want to wait on a
10	motion until we get the other pieces?
11	MS. GOMEZ: Yeah. I'll wait on the motion
12	because I will contact if I can get his contact information.
13	MR. FISHER: All right. We'll move on to the
14	next item. It's Proposed Changes to Section 21-60.
15	MR. PRINGLE: Yeah. This is also in response to
16	what happened last meeting with Ms. Smith's appeal. Even though
17	we didn't we know she was probably just days out from what
18	we had set as her timeline for appealing. And really her excuse
19	seemed to me to be good cause and should have had the power to
20	waive and be like, Hey, were going to hear your appeal even
21	though technically you didn't meet the jurisdictional
22	guidelines.
23	And so yeah, my proposal is just simply to give
24	us that power to waive any part of the ordinance governing our
25	board for good cause.

1	MS. WILLIAMS: So in doing that good cause would
2	that person show up that night? Would we vote good cause? How
3	would we go about that?
4	MR. PRINGLE: Good cause is a term of art, so
5	it's really however we decide to do it. You know, if we think
6	they are close to what deadline is, we can request them to
7	answer our question. Like, Hey, what happened. They can tell
8	us why they didn't get it in on time. And if we think that's
9	good cause enough, then we'll hear the appeal.
LO	MR. SMITH: I think this is a great idea, but
11	how do we avoid abuse?
L2	MR. PRINGLE: It is like pretty much just like
L3	for anything else when it comes to having waiving for good
L4	cause. If someone wants to challenge it and than can show like,
L5	oh, that we granted good cause and good cause doesn't exist.
L6	That is forces outside of us to go ahead and call us on what's
L7	accountable to it. I just hope that the board itself
L8	understands that is not something to be abused. Good cause
L9	should only be exercised when good cause exists.
20	But that was a situation where we clearly had
21	good cause and we should have heard her complaint. And the fact
22	that we couldn't, we need to change that.
23	MS. GOMEZ: Yeah. This seems much more
24	inclusive.
25	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Is that a motion? And do you

1	want to have public comment on this?
2	MR. FISHER: If anyone would like to comment on
3	that. All right. Attorney Smith?
4	MR. DAVID SMITH: Actually, I think I would like
5	to talk about that. Sorry. If I can jump in.
6	MR. FISHER: Go ahead.
7	MR. DAVID SMITH: One thing you could do is
8	always say something like, you know, 60 days after the limit for
9	good cause. At that point they had to demonstrate but after
10	that they are cut off. I'm not advocating cutting off anybody,
11	but if it's if you guys are going to vote not to do it, this
12	is a way to maybe do it so there is some fairness so someone
13	doesn't come in two years later, well, I've got good cause. I
14	mean, unless they were locked in a closet or something. You
15	know, say like 60 days after the date, within 60 of good
16	they can show good cause, but then after that cut off. I mean,
17	obviously I think they should have be wide open, but if it's
18	either that or nothing, that's kind of a middle ground.
19	MS. WIBBENMEYER: And if you decide to make the
20	motion, the motion would be to send a report to counsel to
21	recommend whatever it is you want to recommend.
22	MR. PRINGLE: You know where I'm at. I want to
23	go wide open. Unless you want to talk about more on this I'm
24	ready to make a motion.
25	MS. WILLIAMS: I agree with you, Mr. Pringle.

1	MR. SMITH: Is there a motion?
2	MR. PRINGLE: I move to send a report to counsel
3	to add to Section 21-60 a variance or waiver which states the
4	Board may grant a variance from or waive any role or provision
5	of Chapter 21, Article 3 upon a finding of good cause.
6	MR. SMITH: Smith seconds.
7	MR. FISHER: All right. We'll do a roll call
8	vote. Fisher, yes. Grover?
9	MS. GROVER: Yes.
10	MR. FISHER: Pringle?
11	MR. PRINGLE: Yes.
12	MR. FISHER: Smith?
13	MR. SMITH: Yes.
14	MR. FISHER: Williams?
15	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
16	MR. FISHER: Gomez?
17	MS. GOMEZ: Yes.
18	MR. FISHER: We'll send a memo to counsel. Next
19	item for discussion are Foot Pursuit Training. Again, Carley,
20	do you have more to talk about?
21	MS. GOMEZ: Yeah. So hopefully you had a chance
22	to read the FBI foot pursuits that discussed how unsafe the foot
23	pursuit is and how limited that training is. Other when I
24	was searching this it was really hard to find city by city
25	examples of whether or not they're trained in foot pursuit. And

so I wasn't able to find specifics. I know that foot pursuit 1 2 training does exist. I found a couple of police academies that have it. So it definitely seems feasible. 3 In terms of what we would hope to accomplish by 5 having officers train in foot pursuit would be officer safety. It would be to uphold standards of -- of good consumer practice. 6 7 I mean -- I'm sorry -- good customer service practices towards 8 the person they are chasing and to avoid high adrenaline and 9 problems near the end of foot pursuit. There are also certain places that restrict when officers can -- like, engage in foot 10 11 pursuit depending on what -- what type of grievance it is. MR. FISHER: Yeah. I find it kind of 12 13 interesting that our department has it as a tool, but as no 14 training on it. 15 MS. GOMEZ: Yeah. And a lot of the departments do, they have very similar language for their policy, but still 16 17 don't have specific foot pursuit training. It just seems that 18 since it is such a volatile situation and can end up harming 19 either the officer or the person that they're chasing, it seems 20 like it would be great to have foot pursuit training. 21 MR. FISHER: Or don't do it. MS. GOMEZ: Well, yeah. And that was -- you 22 23 know, one place had it restricted so that it wasn't -- you 24 couldn't pursue somebody on foot if it was about breaking 25 curfew. Right? But there are minor things that maybe it's not

1	worth the danger that it could cause or the adrenaline that it
2	could cause.
3	MS. GROVER: That is similar to the traffic kind
4	of pursuit.
5	MS. GOMEZ: Yeah. Like the high-speed chases.
6	Yeah.
7	MR. PRINGLE: That can be something that are
8	force could take the lead on. Since this article you shared, if
9	only one to three percent of officers have ever every been
10	trained on it, that seems to be a criminally low number for
11	something that almost every officer, I think, kind of will do
12	once.
13	MS. GOMEZ: Yes. Right. Right. So it seems
14	that we could, you know, discuss recommendations for
15	circumstances in which they don't and we could discuss
16	recommendations in which we ask that they trained for it, too.
17	MR. FISHER: The chief seemed pretty open to us
18	putting a recommendation forth.
19	MS. WILLIAMS: I think we should.
20	MS. GOMEZ: So for now are we thinking to
21	recommend foot pursuit training and then later we can think
22	about if there are certain restrictions or do you want more
23	information
24	MS. WILLIAMS: I think for now we should seek
25	for them to do foot pursuit training.

1	MS. GOMEZ: Okay.
2	MR. SMITH: Should we come up with or find other
3	policies or should we leave it to them? Should we hand them,
4	you know, pursuant to Policy X as an example A, B, and C so that
5	we give them some ideas of what to look at or what to consider
6	in their training?
7	MS. GOMEZ: You mean like the policy that they
8	have on foot pursuit to sort of train based on their own
9	policies?
10	MR. SMITH: From other departments.
11	MS. GOMEZ: Other departments.
12	MR. SMITH: Best practices, things like that.
13	MS. WILLIAMS: I think we can put that in our
14	recommendation.
15	MS. GOMEZ: Yeah. Again, though, I found very
16	little in the way of like, other police departments, the only
17	one of the only difference that I found was a limitation of
18	when officers should not pursue and then one discussed the end
19	result of pursuit reminding people not to use force as
20	punishment for example. But for the most part, it is very, very
21	similar to ours without required foot pursuit training. So we
22	might be leading the charge on this. It might be a conversation
23	of what we hope that officers will get out of this. Right? So,
24	you know, upholding those customer service standards at the end
25	when adrenaline is high, making sure that force isn't used as

1	punishment and to ensure officer safety for tactics.
2	MR. PRINGLE: Is there any kind of information
3	from abroad from other countries?
4	MS. GOMEZ: You know, I didn't think
5	international. I know I did find two there's virtual foot
6	pursuit training online that is available. I found, you know,
7	there's academies that do it. But I don't really have access to
8	those specific course structures.
9	MS. WILLIAMS: Well, you all know where I stand.
10	MR. PRINGLE: I wouldn't mind doing one more
11	look to see if we can't find anything else to send. I think we
12	definitely need to recommend that they look into this, but I
13	wouldn't mind if we can give them something. I mean, as long as
14	we have done and exhaustive look, but if we can you know, a
15	few more of us just take one more look at to see if there is
16	anything we can add.
17	MS. GOMEZ: You all have been on the board a
18	while. You might know of resources that I don't.
19	MS. WILLIAMS: Just like they will know where to
20	look better than we will as well.
21	MS. GOMEZ: That's a good point. But maybe if
22	we ask them if there's various police training that they do for
23	a special accreditation, they can see what is available.
24	MR. PRINGLE: We can recommend and then also
25	supplement as we find if we find anything further to let them

1	know.
2	MS. GOMEZ: I like that idea. I can check the
3	international, but in the meantime maybe we can recommend that
4	foot pursuit training be, you know, a part of their required
5	training.
6	MS. WILLIAMS: Is that your motion?
7	MS. GOMEZ: Yes. Thank you.
8	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Is there a second?
9	MS. WILLIAMS: I second.
10	MR. FISHER: All right. So the motion is to
11	suggest to the department that they do foot pursuit training.
12	MR. SMITH: Is that after we look at other
13	resources or is that to send the recommendation over and then
14	look for other resources?
15	MS. GOMEZ: Send the recommendation and I will
16	continue to look for other resources. But I do know that there
17	are academies that offer this, so even if we can't find other
18	resources, they should be able to seek instances of foot pursuit
19	training.
20	MR. FISHER: Was there someone that wanted to
21	speak?
22	MS. WILLIAMS: If you can also wipe off the
23	podium when you leave.
24	MR. RODDEN: My name is James Rodden (ph), Jr.
25	I live in Holts Summit area now, but I used to live here. Do I

need to give my address or is it just at City Council? 1 MS. WIBBENMEYER: It's just at City Council, but 2 3 if you want to --MR. RODDEN: I was -- Khesha Duncan and David 5 Smith and I we worked with the citizens group that helped to 6 create the Citizen Review Board a little over ten years ago. But in terms of resources one of the entities we worked with was 7 8 the US Civil Rights Office up in Kansas City, the regional office, and I'll get that information. They were even good in 9 10 providing us and working with the mayor on when we did public 11 hearings with resources and sample practices. So I wanted to 12 suggest them as a resource. 13 But to, Mr. Pringle, I agree with -- and 14 Mr. Smith -- well all of you, that when we created -- when we 15 worked to get this board created, one of the first things that 16 was changed was the no knock policy. This room was standing room only. Citizens made their public comment, email comment, 17 18 written comments, but we were able to produce change. The Board 19 was able to make specific recommendations to the City council to 20 make the police department better. So it wasn't just a board. 21 And I think -- and you all have exemplified this tonight, a 22 board to just handle complaints, but also how can we improve our 23 police department. And so the questions that you asked the chief tonight were great -- I mean, the officer who were here 24 2.5 tonight were great in making those kinds of steps.

1	One, I'll get that resource information to you;
2	but two, I just applaud you and ask you to keep doing the great
3	job you doing to make recommendations to both the counsel where
4	that's necessary under the ordinance to make changes, but also
5	policy changes to improve the police department. Thank you for
6	what you're doing.
7	MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
8	MR. SMITH: Thank you.
9	MR. FISHER: All right. So we had a motion and
10	a second? Rose, are you ready for the vote?
11	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Yes.
12	MR. FISHER: Fisher, yes. Grover?
13	MS. GROVER: Yes.
14	MR. FISHER: Pringle?
15	MR. PRINGLE: Yes.
16	MR. FISHER: Smith?
17	MR. SMITH: Yes.
18	MR. FISHER: Williams?
19	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes?
20	MR. FISHER: Gomez?
21	MS. GOMEZ: Yes.
22	MR. FISHER: All right. Next topic is
23	Discussion of Community Outreach Meetings. This is something
24	Rose, did the whole board get that email?
25	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Yes. I believe I sent it to

1	everyone.
2	MR. FISHER: Just the idea that the City has, I
3	guess, spearheaded this idea to do outreach with the community
4	to talk about policing in a general manner with a lot of people
5	involved. And part of that is kind of including us or asking
6	for our recommendations and those types of things. The city
7	manager wanted something some response from us tomorrow. I
8	figured if there was any ideas or thoughts that we had
9	MS. WIBBENMEYER: And if you think of something
10	after the meeting, but before, like, midday tomorrow, I will be
11	sending your recommendations and the Human Rights Commission's
12	recommendations to the city manager's office tomorrow. So if
13	you think of it after the meeting, go ahead and still send it
14	and I will include it.
15	MR. PRINGLE: I'm waiting to hear back from two
16	groups and an individual who I asked and talked about this. I
17	did have someone confirm with me that they would like to take
18	part. Is this also like individual persons or should I just
19	send you their name or
20	MS. WIBBENMEYER: However you want to do it as
21	long as I get for before about noon tomorrow.
22	MR. PRINGLE: And then just I had two groups
23	that I really don't have too much I really don't know anyone
24	in either one, but I thought that they would be good to just
25	invite. That would be Worley Street Rountable and then also I

1	think there should be someone reach out to the public defender's
2	office.
3	MS. WIBBENMEYER: I had also provided the public
4	defender's office too, but I will let them know.
5	MR. PRINGLE: That group that did confirm with
6	me was the Community Bail Fund aspect of Race Matters Friends.
7	They said they would take part.
8	MR. FISHER: I think it would be helpful to
9	coordinate just a member or two of us attending these meetings
LO	and we've already made some suggestions tonight to better
L1	things, so I don't know if we have much else to provide.
L2	MR. PRINGLE: I'll let Rose know when the other
L3	who I reached out to when they confirm with me if they want to
L4	take part or not.
L5	MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. If there is
L6	nothing further we will move on to General Comments by Public,
L7	Members, and Staff. Is there anyone from the public that would
L8	like to comment?
L9	MR. DAVID SMITH: I'm David Tyson Smith. Thank
20	you, guys. I just wanted to kind of give a brief overview of
21	some things I've been hearing in the community and to kind of
22	encourage you as well. So I don't know if you know the
23	background. You know, I was part of the group here of Boone
24	County Concerned Citizens, James Rodden and Khesha Duncan that
25	were part of forming the review board as well as there's

other groups, network, NAACP, Human Rights Commission all were involved in this. And these groups worked very hard to make sure the review board took off and came into existence. I was also on the committee that voted to create the review board and I think I was the chair or the co-chair of the committee that actually wrote the structure of the board so we would know what it would look like when it came into existence.

I would just say to you that -- one, I want to

thank you guys for everything you're doing. I think it's great. You guys are public servants and I appreciate it. I know, Ms. Gomez, you going to talk about all this data with the police reports and racial profiling, that's hard stuff. And it's hard to get in there and look at all of that. I would this: You were created for such a time as this. Everything that is going on in the world in this country, this is your time. I mean, ten years ago when this was put together it was because of these issues. And so be proactive. I mean, this is the time, okay, and it may not last.

I mean, right now you have public support. The public is supportive of these issues that are going on in the community. They're supportive of, you know, pushback against law enforcement. I mean, the whole country is. It's really hard to believe, but I think they did a pole in Minnesota when they burned the police department out of the George Floyd.

Although I am not advocating burning police departments down. I

think over 50 percent of the people in this country agreed with that. Now, that's not -- I'm not condoning that behavior, but I'm just saying at 95 percent of the people in America -- 95 percent agreed that George Floyd -- at least 95 -- killing was inappropriate. And I don't know anywhere else in this country where you had those type of numbers.

I would just encourage you to be aggressive.

Now is the time. I mean, be aggressive generally. But be very proactive. And, you know, your role is not to be friends with the police department. I know the idea -- there's kind of this idea, this kind of squishy feeling like, well, you kind of get along and we're going to work through things together, to a degree. Your role is to hold them accountable. Okay. Your role is not to be their bodies.

I know the chief was here and I appreciate a lot of his comments, but I did hear things like, well, I'm not going to get into that or I'm not going to do that or, you know, we'll see. We're working on that. Don't let the police off the hook here, on anything. You have the right to know what they're doing and what they're up to, what's happening in their meetings. Go after them hard. Be aggressive. Don't let them, like in baseball, back you off the plate where a fastball comes towards your face and you feel like, you know, you're kind of like I don't know if I can -- I mean, challenge their authority. It's better to go forward and have them try to push you back.

If it comes from up top, that's fine. But don't ever let them ever tell you what information you can have access to or can't. If they say, well, you can't have that, challenge that. Say, I want to see. Push it as far as you can. Because like I said, this moment may not last, but even in general that's your role is to hold them accountable.

You know, I also heard the chief say, well, -you talked about the policies. He said, well, we've already
implemented all these policies. You know, like no choking and
they have to report other officers and that type of thing or
they have to get involved. You know, he said, you know, we
already have that. Well, guess what, most police departments in
the country have those policies. So what. So what that they
have those policies. Your role is to make sure that they're
following those policies.

Now, I know, I guess they come in here once or twice a year at least. Bring them in here more than that.

Don't let -- not once every six months. Even if it's another officer or someone. Have them come in here and answer those questions. Yes, those are polices that they have, but are they following them. And what are they doing to make sure that those policies are being followed. The racial profiling data is awful. It is awful. It's abhorrent. It's terrible. I think it's even worse than it was last year. I think, you know, especially people of color being pulled over at a 4:1 rate of

the white. It's awful. Do not let them get off the hook with that.

I really don't care that they kneeled at the protests. I don't care about that. I've been at the protests. They're kneeling. I don't care if the police kneel. That's fine. And it's optics. Make them get those numbers right. Okay, guys? Don't let them off the hook and say we're working on it, we're working on it. It's getting worse every year. I don't care what it takes, if you have to go in -- get the data you need. If they say, well, we don't know if we can get it. Get it. Your job is -- you're kind of like the boss really. Don't let them blow you off and say, well, we're working on it and you know. We don't have the budget for it. No. No. Say, let's talk about the budget. Find somebody who can talk about the budget. Go over their heads if you have to. You are supposed to hold them accountable. Hold them accountable.

Be aggressive with the police. It's okay to do that. I know that over the years this board has been in existence. They've, you know, tried to whittle things away here, whittle things away there. You know, kind of pushing you into being mousy and I'm not saying that you are, but don't let them do that. Keep control of the situation and be -- hold them accountable. Be aggressive with them. Don't let -- if you ask for something and they say, well, we don't have that. Follow up on it. Well, who's going to give me the information I need

then. Tell me you I can talk to. If you call over to the department and they can't give it to you --

Well, first of all, that line about their systems they've been saying for years. Well, we don't have the systems for that. I mean, they can get -- I mean, the data is there if they want to get it. So keep being aggressive. I commend you for doing that. Like I said, use your power, you guys. I mean, use your power. Use your tools. I mean, you are -- this is why you were here. Right? This is why you are here. And you know, often times when I'm out there out on the streets, I don't here enough of the review board is doing this and the review is doing that. I know you guys are working, but put yourself in a position where you're taking a lead on all these issues. Right?

I mean, all these groups are running around town proposing this and proposing that and doing this. Well, that is great, but you guys should really be proposing -- like, with the racial profiling, get on top of that. Get on top of that. If you have to drag an officer in here every meeting for an update, drag him in here. That's okay. That's your job. Don't let them get away with that. I mean, because that's kind of a scorecard of what's happening. Not whether they show up at the meetings and say, Oh at the rallies, we care. And you know, we're going to kneel with you and, you know, we're going to stand in solidarity. We're going to make sure that the

protesters are protected.

Well, that's fine and dandy, but if the racial profiling numbers aren't right then they're not doing their job. So make sure they are doing their job. Hold their feet to the fire and be aggressive with them. It's better to go forward and get pushed back by somebody than to just kind of take whatever excuses they dole out at you. I am not saying you are necessarily doing that. But I do hear a lot of things -- I've heard this for years. Well, we can't and we shouldn't or doesn't work that way. No. Get on top of them. If you want information, get it. If you want information, if you want data, get it. Don't let them tell you, we don't have the system or it's not working this way. I mean, get it. Because they're there -- this is really an adversarial role with them, folks.

I mean, you are not buddies with them. If they're not irritated by you -- they really need to be irritated with you all the time. I mean, don't let them come in here for a meeting every now and then and then walk out. Is that officer even still here? No. He's not even here. Is he? I think he came and he left. I mean, hold them accountable. Bring them in here. Hold their feet to the fire. Stay proactive. Stay on top of this department.

Like I said, you have public support. I mean, everyone in America has -- I got a call today from someone in another city talking about this review board and they said

something positive how it's -- the framework of it. So this is even extending out into other areas. But now is the time, guys. So stay on top of them. I think the public is behind you. I appreciate what you're doing. Make sure that you guys are taking the lead on a lot of this stuff. I mean, there's all these community groups doing different things. That's fantastic. We want all these groups doing that. That's part of democracy and that is part of what we're about here. But make sure you guys are on the front on a lot of this. This is your job. This is what you were created for, you know, making recommendations, holding them accountable, making sure they're doing what they are supposed to do. Because no one else really has the power to do that and really really get at them the way you can. So use it and don't be intimidated by them. If they are mad at you, you're doing the right thing.

You know, one -- I'm an attorney and one of the worst things that was ever told to me, I just started practicing law. I had a case and this prosecutor was a good guy and I respect him, he said, it was a case and at the end of it he said, Thank you for making that easy on me. I really appreciate that. He said, I really appreciate it. You made it really easy. I carry that with me. I carried that with me for, gosh, almost 20 years maybe. You know, don't make it easy them. They need to be mad at you guys all the time, pretty much all the time. Okay?

1	MR. SMITH: Mr. Smith, what do you see as the
2	biggest problems here in Columbia?
3	MR. DAVID SMITH: Regarding what? I mean
4	MR. SMITH: Policing, top five.
5	MR. DAVID SMITH: Top five? Oh gosh, we will be
6	here all day with that. I don't know. I mean, I think
7	that's a tough question. That's more for like maybe we can have
8	a roundtable discussion about the top five problems. You
9	talking about with the police? Economics?
10	MR. SMITH: Policing. Just top five, name them
11	off, boom, boom, boom. If you had to create a list of the top
12	five problems with the policing here in Columbia.
13	MR. DAVID SMITH: Well, I think there's lack of
14	trust. I think there's institutional racism. I think that
15	there's communication issues for people on the street. Khesha,
16	Do you want to chime in here? What do you think? James?
17	MS. DUNCAN: Definitely the racial profiling.
18	MR. DAVID SMITH: The racial profiling numbers.
19	I think a lot of this is, you know, make sure that you can get
20	away from symbolism.
21	MR. SMITH: What do you mean?
22	MR. DAVID SMITH: Well, like what the officer
23	said about, we showed up at the protests and we wanted to let
24	the protesters know they were protected and we kneeled in
25	solidarity with them. And then the mayor came out and, you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know, has a Juneteenth plaque and everything. A lot of symbolism that goes on with this department, but you can't get away from those numbers though. Right? That's the core.

That's the heart of it. Right? That is the heart of the matter. Look at those racial profile numbers. Those are awful. I appreciate what you doing on that and looking and asking those tough questions about that. Make -- break -- get the actual numbers so they can break that stuff down.

When we were working on this years ago one of things that got this really moving with somebody -- we had a meeting at this old Boone Tavern and someone in the department, I think he worked there, impulsive just walked data out and they found that like in the past seven years when a white person had filed a complaint against the department it was something like they only did something like one time. One to three times. was like a written reprimand. But if a black person filed a complaint they never did anything like in seven years. data is in there. Now, that wasn't maybe categorized, but it was found and it was so bad that the mayor at the time, Hindman, wanted to be tipped off if something like that came out so he would be notified first. Just so that everyone would be prepared, if somebody called him to tip him off and say that this is coming. And then it came out in the media and it was awful. And that was one of the things, because of the data. That had to be mined. I don't think that was just voluntarily

turned over. Somebody was in there looking at that. They let 1 2 somebody in, come and look at their computers and they found that. 3 So make sure that -- like I said, they don't get by with symbolism. And they're friendly. They do a great job 5 6 of being nice. Right? They're nice. I go to, you know, the courthouse and the police are nice. I have great conversations 7 8 with them over in city court. When I'm waiting for my case to 9 be called, they're wonderful nice. And they talk about we're 10 doing this, we want to show solitary. A lot of that is 11 symbolism, folks. Look at the raw data. Look at those numbers. 12 That's where it is. Right? And if you can get that, then you can kind of root out the problem. That's one of the things 13 14 about the policies. 15 So you talk about -- this would be Number 5, on 16 the policies. Again, the policies are there, but are they 17 following those policies. Right? Are they following those 18 policies? That's what you have to look at. So keep challenging This should never be a nice meeting when they walk in 19 20 here and then just go about their business. They should always 21 be uncomfortable and always be upset with you. Right? Hold 22 them accountable. You really are designed to get at them. 23 Right? It should never be this wonderful, nice, friendly 24 relationship where you guys are playing in the sandbox together. Hold them accountable. Hold their feet to the fire. Keep doing 2.5

1 a good job. I appreciate you guys. Thank you. 2 MR. PRINGLE: Thank you for that. 3 MS. GOMEZ: Thank you. 4 I have a quick question. MR. PRINGLE: This was 5 something that I guess I don't really know how to answer when 6 people ask me about it. It's the CPOA and how -- I mean, we --7 we oversee the force, we don't really oversee them. It's always 8 kind of hard for me to kind of explain to each other -- like, 9 explain to people, like, what they can do if they have a 10 grievance with that versus the force. I guess, how did you guys 11 handle that when you first started the board? 12 MR. SMITH: You know, the CPOA at the time, I 13 mean, they fought -- like, for example I believe they were 14 behind. So when we were on this committee to look at whether --15 so the thing -- the person and committee were only to determine 16 one, whether we need a review board in Columbia; and two, what 17 was it can look like. I'm pretty sure they published on their 18 website all of the names and addresses of everyone on that 19 committee. I don't know for what reason. Maybe to intimidate 20 us. So when you go to their website or whatever, it had -- I 21 think it had my name and where I live right there. Boom. 22 know, just to -- you know, it's kind of like that case about 23 that mayor that was publishing names. So they have always been 24 on the obnoxious end of things. 25 I think if it is -- you know, the only way you

1 deal with them is if it's the normal channels. I mean, if 2 there's a problem. I don't know if someone is -- deals directly with them unless it's a policy issue. I mean, you can talk 3 4 about the policies dealing with them. I know there's -- it's 5 kind of a turbulent ride with them right now. I mean, they're 6 getting a lot of bad press, which they should. I think that 7 would just fall under the heading of any type of policy or 8 procedure, you know, you can recommend about, you know, what 9 they are doing and what they're not doing. But I don't know if 10 it would affect complaints because that's not really their 11 issue. It would be just more police. 12 MR. PRINGLE: Yeah. Before all this started I had a few community members try to talk me on it. Like, I 13 14 really don't have an answer. 15 MR. DAVID SMITH: I think the only thing would 16 be like anything else, any type of recommendation, any type of 17 policy you can try to push through. But like I said, be 18 courageous with the police because they are going to constantly 19 try to keep you off and keep you in the corner and, you know, be 20 a little bit dismissive. I know the officer talking today, 21 earlier, he said something to the effect of -- you're asking about the Elledge murder. I'm not getting into that he said. 22 23 I'm not going to get into that. Well, maybe -- I might have 24 followed up with that. Well, when can you get into that. Why can't you get into that? I know it's pending. Can you not -- I 25

mean, we need to talk behind closed then. How about that? 1 2 Right? Maybe we have an agreement that's going to be protective of what is discussed. But don't let them just back you off like 3 4 that. Well, I'm not going to get into that. I'm not going to get into this. You hold them accountable. Right? So hold them 5 6 accountable for everything and push until you get pushback to 7 someone above you says you can't. 8 Don't ever take their word for, well, you can't 9 have this or you can't have that. Because you were created -- a 10 lot of people labored hard for this. I mean, this was a long, 11 laborious process. 12 MS. DUNCAN: Over three years. 13 MR. DAVID SMITH: Yeah. Over three years. 14 was a long process. Keep at them and don't let them, you know, 15 whittle you down and just kind of push you off or condescend to 16 you. Right? If you want information, go after it. 17 MS. WILLIAMS: And I know I can speak for 18 myself, Mr. Smith, I needed this reminder from you. 19 MR. DAVID SMITH: Yeah. I appreciate you and I 20 appreciate the tough questions you're asking. But go after 21 That is what you are here for. I mean, you were created 22 really for such a time as this. You were created for this time, 23 to go after them and go after them hard. Over the years I have kind of watched. It's kind of like the waves on sand, kind of 24 25 slowly -- little policy here; a little policy there. And it's

1	kind of like well who's really in control and who gets to
2	determine what information they can give and how they do it.
3	And they want to do more of this time type of thing; more of
4	that type of thing. If you want information, you go get it.
5	You don't stop. Fight them. It's a fight. I mean, it's
6	adversarial. It's going to be a fight, but that is okay.
7	That's good. Fight with them. Ask tough questions. If they're
8	not giving you what you want, get the information. I mean,
9	that's what you're here for. Think about all the things that
10	are going on right now, you really are the ones that have the
11	most power to deal with it. These groups are doing everything,
12	that's fantastic. You want that. You want groups involved and
13	proposing things, but you really have the power to do this. You
14	have the tools. You're probably the most powerful group in the
15	city that can get results, so be aggressive with them. I know
16	they can be condescending and, you know, tell you what you can
17	and can't do and what you can't have access to, get access to
18	it. Keep at them until you get it. Right?
19	MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
20	MS. DUNCAN: I have a quick question if I could.
21	The NACOLE conference that they attend every year, do they
22	report back to you what they've learned during the presentation
23	and share? Is there any exchange between we talked about.
24	MS. GROVER: We talk about what's learned at the
25	meetings.

1	MS. DUNCAN: I meant the police officers.				
2	MS. GROVER: They don't necessarily go to those.				
3	MR. SMITH: Yeah. NACOLE is for us.				
4	MS. DUNCAN: All right.				
5	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Now, in the past some police				
6	members have attended NACOLE conferences in the past.				
7	MS. DUNCAN: I was going to say, thought I				
8	remembered from way back when is initially they really wanted to				
9	go and get in some cases.				
10	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Right.				
11	MS. DUNCAN: And so if that happens, I mean, if				
12	they are going to a national conference about how to be better				
13	officers and a better department, I mean, that's going to				
14	increase trust and transparency for them to come back from any				
15	training for that matter. I mean, whether it is this foot				
16	pursuit training or quite frankly, in my opinion, I think there				
17	needs to be a lot more mental health training for officers				
18	because you have mental illness super ramped up in violation				
19	right now. And so that's important too. But whenever training				
20	is happening or a conference is happening, I feel like you all				
21	have the right to say when you come to the next meeting could				
22	you do a 10- or 15-minute presentation or discussion about what				
23	you took from the conference or what training you have taken				
24	lately or just to keep that exchange going so that you all can				
25	have confidence that the training is ongoing and that is				

1	substantive and you can keep track of that.
2	It's I mean, it's just a general suggestion.
3	MR. FISHER: Good point.
4	MS. GOMEZ: They have a training officer. I'm
5	sure we could get him to come here.
6	MS. WIBBENMEYER: He has come before and you
7	just haven't been having very many meetings. And with COVID we
8	have been trying not to, you know
9	MS. DUNCAN: I mean, training is supposed to be
10	you learn something new and you take back and get better. Then
11	that should be visible in the community. If you get better at
12	something, the community is going to start saying, I've noticed
13	a change in the approach or tactics or whatever it may be. You
14	should see a difference in the behavior and ultimately a better
15	overall community outcome, if the training is taking place.
16	Eventually you should see the fruits of that.
17	MS. GOMEZ: I would be curious to know about
18	their mental health training, how many hours and what that is
19	like. Especially because you're right. Especially during
20	the pandemic, things have gotten a lot harder mental health
21	wise.
22	MS. DUNCAN: It is scarier in general. Because
23	I mean, whether you're talking about homeless population or any
24	other population, when a officer has to approach a citizen, you
25	don't you know, you don't know the officer doesn't know

that individual any more than we would or we know each other as 1 2 strangers when we meet on the street. You know, you have to be able to assess quickly whether or not you are dealing with 3 someone that may have a mental illness. Unfortunately, you 4 know, most statistics across the country many people with a 5 mental illness have been killed or choked out, shot, or whatever 6 7 because the officer had no way of knowing that, you know, the 8 person had a mental health challenge or a mental illness. 9 just couldn't believe that that wouldn't be -- and it may be. 10 It may be. I could be ignorant about it. Seems like that would 11 be a really strong ongoing training component. 12 MS. GOMEZ: Thank you. MS. DUNCAN: Thank you. And thank you for all 13 14 that you do. This meeting was way better than what I was 15 expecting. I mean, as good as a mask meeting could be. Right? MS. GOMEZ: When we ask for information from the 16 17 police, it has to be as a board or --18 MS. WIBBENMEYER: The preferred method is as a 19 board requesting the information, so you pass a motion 20 requesting the information because then it's treated as an 21 official act of the board, that they then have to respond to. 22 If you as an individual, say, send an email to me saying I would 23 like this information, then as an individual it's treated as a 24 Sunshine request and we have to respond within the time period 2.5 set forth in Sunshine. So that distinction was built in place

very early on because we had some board members who were making individual requests outside of the meeting and they didn't know whether they were supposed to charge for it or not. If the Board takes action there's no charge like that might be incurred under an individual Sunshine requests.

MS. GOMEZ: Okay. Yeah. I know I have been asking for a lot of information, but I do think that's sort of the only way that, you know, we go about figuring out solutions. And following up on a couple of things, too, just a quick question. The -- I have one more question about the traffic stop group. What power do they have?

MS. WIBBENMEYER: I actually don't know very much about the traffic stop group other than that group and the policy group started meeting, I think, at the request of the police chief. But I haven't been to any of the meetings or really been involved in it other than Don Love I know will send emails every now and again with an update that I forward out to the Board. I think of one of them is on the city calendar. I can't remember which group, but early on when they started it one of those two groups, the meeting was set at the same date and the same time as the review board meeting and that's when I had a discussion with the police to say, you know, members of the Board might want to be at that meeting and members of the public might want to be at both, so they should not schedule them at the same time.

I'm not sure whether it's the citizen driven or
police department driven, but I do know that, because Don Love
sends all those things in, I know they've done a lot of data
analysis and that's why I think that is a good approach to look
at

MS. GOMEZ: As to getting information. Okay.

MS. WIBBENMEYER: Not to try to dissuade you from your question, but in response to the people who are involved in starting this, David Tyson Smith mentioned about the reports about how race played a factor in -- how internal affairs case is concluded during a period of time. Part of that and their efforts -- I'll just remind you that in 21-49 of your duties there are some of those duties that are specifically directed at getting at that issue, including your ability to audit and review records of the police department for compliance with requirements for the article.

And also the requirement for the annual report where you are to submit an analysis on citizen complaints including demographic data on complaints, complaint disposition, investigative findings, and disciplinary actions. So I know at one point -- once again, I know -- I know you probably hate when say the history -- I know at one point the Board sent a couple representatives over to audit the internal affairs files and the Board even developed a process whereby they would go and look at those files that hadn't been appealed to them after the time for

appeal had elapsed. So that they wouldn't get information about a case that would come before them, but they would wait and then they would go back and they would look sure that they thought that was an appropriate disposition.

And then what they would also do is if they had questions, like, why was this decided this way, what was the rationale with that? They would then let the internal affairs representative know who would then come prepared and would tell me whether it'd have to be an open or closed session based upon the nature of the complaint. And then they can ask those questions about those specific cases even though they didn't necessarily come on appeal, which was a fairly significant change from the early days of the Board.

Also fairly significant change from the early days of the Board and related to what Mr. Smith said about the power of the Board, because of the way they designed this, this is a more open board than probably most in the country. They created the requirements for open session with very narrow opportunities for closure. They made sure that you all could get access to all of the police department records related to a complaint and the investigation of a complaint. And while there may have been a little friction early on about getting those — the access to the complete files, you all are now seeing that and it's much more seamless than it ever was in the past. So well done to the three of you for all your work on that.

1	But you will want to consider whether at some
2	point you want to start doing audits of those things because I
3	know the Board has been addressing the policies and looking
4	systemically at the policies. But I don't know that you have
5	done the audit approach in a while.
6	MS. GOMEZ: Thank you.
7	MS. WILLIAMS: I have a question for the young
8	lady in the back with the sign. Can you explain?
9	MS. PHILLIPS: I do not want someone who thinks
10	that the south should have won the Civil War representing
11	MS. WILLIAMS: Can you come can you come up?
12	MS. PHILLIPS: Sure. Hi. My name is Rebecca
13	Phillips. For those of you who did not see my sign, that's what
14	it says (indicating). Brian Tate has a history of making
15	horribly inappropriate comments on social media, horribly racist
16	comments. He said that the you know, we wouldn't have a gang
17	violence problem if the south had won the Civil War. That is
18	not someone who should be representing the community, is not
19	someone who should be working for the police department.
20	Certainly, not someone who should be overseeing internal
21	affairs, which he was. I understand he was removed from that
22	position, but that is not enough.
23	MR. PRINGLE: I think that was actually a
24	promotion.
25	MS. PHILLIPS: Does that answer your question?

1	MS. WILLIAMS: It sure does.				
2	MS. WIBBENMEYER: And I forgot to mention this				
3	earlier. Sergeant Alpers let me know earlier today that he was				
4	unable to make it tonight. He had to go home.				
5	MR. FISHER: All right. Any other comments?				
6	You care to speak? Sure.				
7	MR. SCHULZ: Hi. My name is Benjamin Shultz.				
8	I'm actually an engineer by trade. And I found the comments				
9	about data to be very interesting. And one because I wanted one				
10	of the board members went on to say, You mean that we can get				
11	the data and then we can't get at it. And just to put I on the				
12	record as a professional, I want to point out number one, there				
13	is no technical that a product should ever force you to do that.				
14	And what often happens with ladder day software, very often				
15	vendors, especially for city governments or other entities that				
16	are kind of strapped will, rather than giving up product or a				
17	useful service, lock you into a contract that is a perpetual				
18	rent extraction so you have to pay them to continue to make				
19	their service useful in much of the way we just discussed. It				
20	may very well be a budget or procedural, like, obstructions but				
21	there's no technical reason that that should be a problem.				
22	I was very interested from the perspective of				
23	the data and as a member of the public in, like, seeing some of				
24	the data myself to the extent that it is legal and possible				
25	when it was I was alarmed to hear it was that difficult. And				

1	so I hope the Board will continue to push on that and get				
2	answers to the extent that they're able or to the full extent				
3	that it is possible. Because there's really no reason that it				
4	shouldn't be, provided the records are being kept. Thank you.				
5	MR. FISHER: Anyone else from the pubic? All				
6	right. Members of the Board have anything they would like to				
7	discuss?				
8	MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you for coming.				
9	MS. GROVER: I just have a quick comment. The				
10	NACOLE conference, it will start at the end of this month. Is				
11	that correct?				
12	MS. WIBBENMEYER: I think those were the dates.				
13	I don't have them in front of me.				
14	MS. WILLIAMS: Rose, can you send that				
15	information out?				
16	MS. GROVER: And then we'll meet I mean, some				
17	of us may take the courses if we get signed up may take the				
18	courses before our next meeting. Is that right?				
19	MR. FISHER: Uh-huh.				
20	MS. GROVER: Or hold off and take them later or				
21	something because I think they save the videos and whatever. So				
22	maybe we can hold of on total reporting about it				
23	until				
24	MR. SMITH: They kind of stage it's one a day				
25	and if you miss it, then you can				

1	MS. GROVER: Because I think they were holding				
2	it on the till like September or something.				
3	MR. SMITH: Right. It runs all the way through?				
4	MS. GROVER: Yeah. So maybe like next if you				
5	if anyone takes it, let us know which ones should take or which				
6	one we should look at if we don't get get to see everything				
7	right away.				
8	MR. FISHER: Yeah. Tell us the good episodes.				
9	MS. GROVER: Yes.				
10	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Well, on the schedule did have				
11	different tracks. So, you know, there like were some on				
12	corrections, which may not be as relevant as others. It looks				
13	like Monday, July 20th through September 24th.				
14	MS. GROVER: Okay.				
15	MS. WILLIAMS: Okay.				
16	MR. FISHER: All right. Well, with these				
17	request for information I figured we can do this now. I'm				
18	talking about training. I'd like to make a motion to request				
19	CPD's training calendar for the next year.				
20	MS. GROVER: Second.				
21	MR. FISHER: All right. You ready, Rose?				
22	MS. WIBBENMEYER: Was that Catherine?				
23	MS. GROVER: Grover. Yes.				
24	MR. SMITH: Are you going to call for a				

1	MR. FISHER: Yeah. I was making sure Rose was					
2	ready.					
3	MS. GROVER: She was given you the eye.					
4	MR. FISHER: Was she? All right. Fisher, yes.					
5	Grover?					
6	MS. GROVER: Yes.					
7	MR. FISHER: Pringle?					
8	MR. PRINGLE: Yes.					
9	MR. FISHER: Smith?					
10	MR. SMITH: Yep.					
11	MR. FISHER: Williams?					
12	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.					
13	MR. FISHER: Gomez?					
14	MS. GOMEZ: Yes.					
15	MR. FISHER: We're all in agreement. And then					
16	one other comment. Just as if you remember the Board as an					
17	individual speaking with the media, just be sure that you are					
18	speaking as an individual, not for the Board, so there is no					
19	confusion there. Anything else before we adjourn?					
20	Our next meeting is August 12th.					
21	Is there a motion to adjourn?					
22	MR. SMITH: So moved. Smith.					
23	MR. PRINGLE: Second. Travis second.					
24	MR. FISHER: All right. Fisher, yes. Grover?					
25	MS. GROVER: Yes.					

```
1
     MR. FISHER: Pringle?
 2
     MR. PRINGLE: Yes.
 3
     MR. FISHER: Smith?
 4
     MR. SMITH: Yep.
     MR. FISHER: Williams?
 5
 6
     MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
 7
     MR. FISHER: Gomez?
 8
     MS. GOMEZ: Yes.
 9
     MR. FISHER: We are adjourned.
10
    (Off the record.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 2 3 I, Lisa M. Banks, CCR within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony 4 appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that 5 the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my 6 ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my 7 direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor 8 9 employed by any of the parties to the action in which this 10 deposition was taken, and further, that I am not a relative or 11 employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties 12 thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome 13 of the action. 14 In Bank S 15 16 17 Lisa M. Banks, CCR No. 1081 18 19 20

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

21

2.2

23

24

25

		accounts 25:12 26:7	agenda 4:1 30:9
	5	accreditation 55:23	aggressive 62:7,8,21
10- 75:22	5 70:15	acronym 26:11	64:17,23 65:6 66:5 74:15
10th 5:2	50 62:1	act 14:15 26:3 77:21	agree 15:17 31:9
11th 4:5		action 78:4	50:25 57:13
15-minute 75:22	6	actions 79:20	agreed 62:1,4
16 13:6,11	60 50:8,15	active 6:6,7,8 7:5	agreement 73:2
1st 40:3		actively 21:19	agreements 44:15
	8	activities 18:4	ahead 18:19 27:4
2	80 11:16	activity 16:2 19:10	31:21 49:16 50:6 59:13
20 67:23		actual 69:7	alcohol 41:24
2019 31:12	9	add 35:13 51:3 55:16	alluding 11:25
2020 5:2	90 16:11	added 12:9	Alpers 22:15 35:5
20th 32:23	911 10:9	addition 11:15 14:13	Amendment 25:25
21 34:14 35:13,20	95 62:3,4	additional 42:8 47:10	America 62:3 66:24
36:9,10,25 37:6,17 51:5		additions 4:8	amount 28:2 42:3,4
21-49 79:12	Α	address 57:1	44:6
21-49 79.12 21-51 37:12		addresses 71:18	analysis 79:4,18
21-51 37:12 21-51.1 37:12	abandoned 29:22	addressing 17:15	analyze 14:4
21-60 48:14 51:3	abhorrent 63:23	adjust 14:22 37:5	and/or 15:22 33:19
	ability 44:16 45:1 79:14	adrenaline 8:8 52:8	Andrew 45:15
21-day 37:5 21st 32:24	abroad 55:3	53:1 54:25	angles 38:13
	abstain 4:15	adversarial 66:14 74:6	annual 31:12 79:17
22nd 32:23,25	abuse 49:11	adversely 17:8	answering 29:20
3	abused 49:18	advertising 21:3	anticipate 18:14
	academies 52:2 55:7	advocating 50:10	anticipated 39:18
3 51:5	56:17	61:25	anymore 9:8
30 35:1,3,14,16,19,21 36:5,8,17,22,23 37:1,	access 32:1 40:9	affairs 79:11,23 80:7	apologizing 23:12,13
8,13,17	44:17 55:7 63:2 74:17 80:20,23	affect 72:10	appeal 34:10 36:8,22,
32 32:13	accomplish 52:4	affecting 17:18,19	23,25 37:5 48:16,20 49:9 80:1,12
	account 25:17	afternoon 22:15	appealed 79:25
4	accountable 49:17	age 26:14	appealing 48:18
4 4:1	62:13 63:6 64:16,23	agencies 8:3,23	applaud 58:2
4:1 63:25	66:20 67:11 70:22,25 73:5,6	agency 9:15	application 14:21

approach 26:3 47:6 76:13.24 79:4 approval 4:4 22:4 **approve** 4:9 5:3 27:8 **approved** 5:1 21:10 22:9 38:4 approving 15:25 **area** 12:8,10,13,16 56:25 areas 6:22,23 12:5,6, 19 13:6,16 15:2 67:2 armored 18:22 arrest 42:22 45:7 arrested 9:6 art 49:4 **article** 51:5 53:8 79:16 articulable 15:22 aspect 60:6 assess 77:3 assigned 14:1 40:18 **assigns** 46:12 assist 13:21 attached 34:11 attempts 45:20 attend 31:25 32:5,7, 11 74:21 attended 75:6 attending 31:17 32:13 60:9 attention 6:8 attorney 36:5 50:3 67:16 audit 79:15.23 August 40:5 authority 26:2 62:24 authorized 25:14,18

avenue 26:18 avoid 49:11 52:8 aware 22:5 awesome 29:4 awful 63:23 64:1 69:5, 24 **aye** 5:7 В **back** 12:20,22 13:4 22:3,13 24:17 29:25 39:7 43:13 46:21

16:21 18:4 19:3 20:16 59:15 62:22,25 66:6 73:3 74:22 75:8,14 76:10 80:3 background 36:6 60:23 backwards 22:7 bad 23:20 24:1 69:19 72:6 Bail 60:6 balance 29:6 base 13:11 baseball 62:22 **based** 12:10 13:7

basically 44:13 **beat** 13:24 14:1 40:18, 19 **beats** 13:5,11 beginning 20:16

28:6 54:8 80:9

behalf 24:9 26:21 behavior 62:2 76:14 **believing** 28:14,15 beneficial 42:15

45:23 big 9:9 bigger 10:4 25:22 41:1

biggest 68:2 bit 36:6 72:20

black 69:16

blow 22:20 64:12

board 8:12,19,23 14:12 32:5,6 36:24 37:2.3 38:8.10.24 40:12,15 47:19 48:25 49:17 51:4 55:17 57:6, 15,18,20,22 58:24 60:25 61:3,4,6 64:18 65:11 66:25 71:11,16 77:17,19,21 78:1,4,18, 21,23 79:22,24 80:13, 15,16,17

board's 39:19 46:17 **boards** 39:19,20

Bodie/currituck 12:8

bodies 62:14 **body** 8:12 28:16

boom 68:11 71:21

Boone 11:16 60:23 69:11

boss 64:11

bought 44:19

boundaries 13:16

break 39:13 40:8 69:7,8

breakdown 9:5 15:15

breakdowns 11:2 13:23 14:1,2,3,4 40:19,20,22,23

breaking 52:24

bring 22:19 63:17 66:20

bringing 12:20 28:23

broadcast 32:3 Broadway 16:11 **broken** 13:20 42:20

buddies 66:15

budget 7:9 11:21 27:2,9,14,22 28:17 29:3 39:18,19,21 40:3, 6 46:16,17 64:13,14, 15

budget's 27:6

budgeting 27:1

building 13:2 17:23 24:5

built 77:25

bunch 9:13

burned 61:24

burning 61:25

Burrell 11:6,14

business 26:10.12 30:19 33:3 70:20

buttons 24:2,3

bylaws 33:18,20

С

calculation 45:10

calendar 21:4 78:18

call 9:3 10:9 13:7,11 14:17 17:25 18:1,9 37:16 49:16 51:7 65:1 66:24

called 10:13 23:10 31:3 69:22 70:9

calling 20:1

calls 9:12

capability 15:10 44:12

capable 15:9 44:5,8

care 64:3,4,5,9 65:23

careful 26:3

Carley 4:12 38:6 39:4 51:19

Carlev's 39:14 carried 67:22 carry 33:4 67:22 cars 17:22 case 11:5 27:24 28:1 36:14,15 67:18,19 70:8 71:22 79:11 80:2 cases 15:25 27:23 28:1.2 41:16 75:9 80:11 caseworkers 10:25 catching 30:17 categorized 69:18 category 41:7 central/north 12:8 chair 33:3.9.19 61:5 challenge 49:14 62:24 63:3 77:8 challenging 70:18 **chance** 28:12,13 51:21 **change** 6:2,23 14:7 18:16 26:10,12 29:8 34:11,21 35:8,12 37:17 49:22 57:18 76:13 80:13,14 changed 6:24 29:20 33:15 36:24 57:16 changing 34:10 35:6 channels 72:1 Chapter 51:5 **charge** 44:8 54:22 78:3,4 **charges** 14:3 40:20 41:12,13 **chases** 53:5 **chasing** 52:8,19 **check** 56:2

chief 4:1 5:17,20,23,

25 6:1 7:19.21 8:12.18 9:17 10:3,21 12:6,15, 18 13:1 14:6,9,25 15:8,17,20 17:1,21 18:14 19:3 20:12,21 21:6 22:10 23:5,8,11, 22 24:11,16,22 25:8 26:9,15 27:5 28:4 29:13,18 30:3 34:24 38:25 46:11,12 53:17 57:24 62:15 63:7 78:15 **chime** 68:16 **choice** 18:11,25 19:1 choked 77:6 choking 63:9 **chosen** 33:6,7 circumstance 17:9 circumstances 41:8 53:15 **CIT** 9:18 **cities** 18:24 citizen 57:6 76:24 79:1,18 citizens 8:22 22:5,7 57:5,17 60:24 **city** 11:18,19 12:6 13:4 21:3,9 25:22 27:17 28:3 30:14 36:25 37:5 39:18 51:24 57:1,2,8,19 59:2,6,12 66:25 70:8 74:15 78:18

59:2,6,12 66:25 7 74:15 78:18 city's 21:16 Civil 18:3 57:8 clarify 33:20 clean 17:24 clear 41:20 close 5:2 49:6 closed 73:1 80:9 closet 50:14

closure 80:19 co-chair 61:5 co-respond 10:1 co-response 11:20 code 9:23 31:22 44:17 **coffee** 22:12 collect 14:13 15:11 collected 43:24 color 63:25 Columbia 10:20 11:10 20:20 68:2,12 71:16 come-- 43:21 comfortable 26:1 commend 20:10 65:7 **comment** 50:1,2 57:17 60:18 comments 57:18 60:16 62:16 Commission 61:1 Commission's 59:11 commissions 39:20, 21 committee 15:3 16:14 42:19 43:1 45:12 46:1,18 47:2 61:4,5 71:14,15,19 committee's 46:22 committing 16:3 communicate 6:12, 16,17 25:13 communicated 7:12 communication 6:11 21:1,15 24:18 68:15 communications 7:8.10 20:17 25:21

community 10:23 11:7,15 12:4,7 14:11 15:15,23,24 16:22 19:6,7,24 20:3 25:14, 16 29:1 46:2 58:23 59:3 60:6,21 61:21 67:6 72:13 76:11,12, companies 44:14 **company** 9:12 44:22 Compared 29:15 comparison 8:2 complaint 37:12 49:21 69:14,17 79:19 80:10,21 complaints 57:22 72:10 79:18,19 complete 80:23 Completions 4:8 complex 44:7 compliance 79:15 component 77:11 computers 70:2 concern 19:10,20 concerned 18:10 38:20 60:24 concluded 79:11 conclusion 15:4 condescend 73:15 condescending 74:16 condoning 62:2 conducted 41:14 conference 31:16,24 32:8 74:21 75:12,20, 23 conferences 75:6 confidence 20:2 75:25

26:25

communities 17:7

confident 27:9 configurable 44:20 confirm 59:17 60:5, 13 conflict 32:2 Congratulations 34:7 **connect** 47:7,14 connections 30:9.11 consistent 37:8 constantly 24:19 72:18 constitutional 18:7 26:2 consumer 52:6 contact 13:2 21:14 22:11 28:25 48:12 context 45:7,14 continue 16:16 56:16 contract 40:1 contractual 39:16.24 contribute 22:6 control 11:3 14:23 64:22 74:1 conversation 8:19 14:12 25:10,20 54:22 conversations 11:23 19:7 70:7 **coordinate** 7:11 47:2 60:9 coordinated 7:13 coordination 21:7 coordinator 21:1 24:18,24 **cops** 13:12,25 40:18 core 69:3

Cornellia 33:10

corner 72:19

cost 46:15 **costs** 28:8 COU 12:20 council 30:15 57:1,2, 19 **counsel** 13:4 37:4 39:21,22 50:20 51:2, 18 58:3 counsel's 39:21 counties 11:9 countries 55:3 **country** 61:15,22 62:1,5 63:13 77:5 80:17 County 11:17 60:24 **couple** 5:19 9:17 22:24 25:20 38:12 52:2 78:9 79:22 courageous 72:18 court 40:2 70:8 courthouse 70:7 cover 37:10 covering 18:5 **COVID** 15:4.20 16:6. 14,17 17:18 18:13 21:14 27:2,16 76:7 **CPD** 7:17 9:15 20:10 26:13 **CPD's** 31:13 **CPOA** 71:6.12 **CPRB** 22:19 create 45:9 57:6 61:4 68:11 **created** 57:14,15 61:14 67:10 73:9,21, 22 80:18 creates 29:1 creative 44:11

crime 16:21,25 17:8,

10.15 29:16 **crimes** 42:13 criminally 53:10 crisis 9:1 10:7 criticized 28:11 **curfew** 52:25 **curious** 76:17 custom 45:2 46:14 customer 23:24 52:7 54:24 **cut** 27:4,5,13 36:14 39:19 50:10,16 **cuts** 27:18,19 39:18 40:6 cutting 50:10 D **daily** 20:1 **dandy** 66:2 danger 53:1 **data** 12:10,11 14:6,20 16:19,24 31:13 38:6 39:13 42:19 43:16,20, 25 44:2,3,6,23,24 45:4,18 61:11 63:22 64:9 65:5 66:11 69:12, 18,24 70:11 79:3,19 data's 43:23 database 44:7,13 date 35:9,18 37:13 50:15 78:20 **David** 36:5 50:4,7 57:4 60:19 68:3,5,13, 18,22 72:15 73:13,19

day 20:2 21:19 24:1 28:20 34:24 68:6

16,19,20,21 36:5,8,9,

days 18:5 27:15

8,13,17 48:17 50:8,15 80:13,15 de-escalate 9:21 de-escalation 9:19 deadline 49:6 **deal** 9:15 10:7,9,16 72:1 74:11 dealing 8:8 9:4 16:17 72:4 77:3 **deals** 72:2 December 13:4 decide 39:23 47:11 49:5 50:19 decided 80:6 decision 18:2 27:21 28:17 decisions 29:5 defect 41:5 defender's 60:1,4 **define** 13:13 defined 13:7 degree 38:17 62:13 Delaney 31:3 delivering 23:24 democracy 67:8 demographic 79:19 demonstrate 18:7 50:9 demonstrating 6:14 18:6 19:11 demonstrations 20:4 27:14 demonstrators 28:23 department 7:10 9:24 11:6 18:17 22:6 42:14 46:9,12 52:13 34:14,21,23,24 35:1,3, 56:11 57:20,23 58:5

10.17.22.23.25 37:1.6.

61:24 62:10 65:2	75:22 78:22		ensure 55:1
66:22 69:2,11,14 75:13 79:2,15 80:20	discussions 13:15	E	entail 22:16
department's 46:16	dismissive 72:20	earlier 12:1 24:19	entertaining 30:2
departments 52:15	disparities 17:13	72:21	entire 41:6
54:10,11,16 61:25	41:9	early 78:1,19 80:13, 14,22	entities 25:23 57:7
63:12	disparity 14:25	easier 46:6	equipment 14:2 16:8
depending 16:21 41:7 52:11	dispatch 13:5,7	east 12:9	40:19,22 41:5,7
depends 29:9	dispatched 10:8	easy 67:20,22,23	equipments 41:1
describe 18:24	disposition 79:19 80:4	Economics 68:9	equitable 17:16
designed 70:22	disrupt 19:12,16	edge 25:9	escalate 19:20,21
80:16	dissuade 79:7	educate 25:4	established 46:4
determine 27:23 28:1	distinction 77:25	effect 72:21	ethical 29:5
71:15 74:2	DIVERS 29:22		evacuating 10:11
develop 44:22	divided 13:4	effective 21:13,17	evaluate 12:18 16:13
developed 79:24	DM 26:19	efficient 43:11	Eventually 76:16
development 46:14	dole 66:7	efforts 7:12 79:12	everyday 29:3
difference 54:17 76:14	dollars 27:13	elapsed 80:1	evidence 28:15
differences 16:25	Don 45:13,23 47:7,20	Elledge 27:24 28:5 72:22	evolved 44:14
difficult 11:1 19:8	78:16 79:2	email 57:17 58:24	exact 13:15
difficulty 29:19	double 25:9	77:22	examples 51:25
dig 14:4	downtown 8:25	emailed 31:4	Excel 42:21
directed 79:14	draft 4:5 5:2	emailing 45:13	exchange 74:23 75:24
directly 11:7 47:3	drag 65:19,20	emails 78:17	exciting 7:1
72:2	drive 20:18	emergencies 10:9	excuse 48:18
director 18:10	driven 79:1,2	emotions 8:8,11	excuses 66:7
disagree 18:10	dropped 11:11	encountered 23:6	exemplified 57:21
disappointing 6:20	drug 14:3 40:20	encourage 60:22	exercised 49:19
disciplinary 79:20	41:12,13 42:1	62:7	exhaustive 55:14
disclosure 22:14	drugs 41:24 42:4	encouraged 21:25	exhibited 19:21
discuss 28:5 38:7	dual 16:17	encumbered 39:25	
53:14,15	due 35:9,17	end 29:1 40:4 52:9,18 54:18,24 67:19 71:24	exist 43:22 49:15 52:2
discussed 51:22 54:18 73:3	Duncan 57:4 60:24 68:17 73:12 74:20	ended 23:12,13	existence 61:3,7 64:19
discussion 25:8,22	75:1,4,7,11 76:9,22	enforcement 8:1,4	existing 44:21
31:15 34:10 38:5	77:13	11:8 61:22	exists 49:19
51:19 58:23 68:8	duties 79:13	engage 52:10	

expectation 23:23	fastball 62:22	11,13,15,17,24 30:1,4,	78:17
expected 17:22	favor 5:7	8,18 31:7,10 32:9,14, 21 33:2,11,22,24 34:1,	forward-thinking
expecting 77:15	FBI 8:2 51:22	3,5,7,9,13,16,20 35:8,	26:21
experience 9:4	feasible 20:22 52:3	11,23 36:1,18 37:16, 17,20,22,24 38:1,3,11,	fought 71:13
explain 5:19 71:8,9	federal 29:21	17 39:2,10 42:11 43:5	found 52:2 54:15,17 55:6 69:13,19 70:2
expose 16:7	feedback 16:21	46:20 48:6,8,13 50:2,6 51:7,8,10,12,14,16,18	fourth 12:9
extend 16:20	feel 6:22 8:7,10 62:23	52:12,21 53:17 56:10,	frame 33:5 36:2,9
extended 37:13	75:20	20 58:9,12,14,16,18, 20,22 59:2 60:8,15	framework 67:1
extending 67:2	feeling 62:11	76:3	frankly 14:9 15:10
externally 6:13	feet 66:4,21 70:25	fishing 17:3	75:16
extreme 8:2	felt 16:15	FITS 26:11,13	free 12:11
extremely 23:7,16	fields 44:1,2,3	flexibility 45:8	friction 80:22
	fight 74:5,6,7	floating 12:21	friend 40:8
F	figure 15:9 43:3,14	floor 13:18	friendly 70:5,23
F-I-T 26:11	figured 59:8	flowing 25:7	friends 30:14 60:6
face 18:5 62:23	figuring 78:8	Floyd 61:24 62:4	62:9
face-to-face 21:14	file 34:10 36:22,23,25 37:2	focus 6:21,23 15:2	front 67:9
Facebook 20:10	filed 69:14,16	focused 12:7 17:14	fruits 76:16
26:13	files 79:23,25 80:23	19:14	frustrated 14:10
facilities 11:10	·	focusing 12:5 17:7	fulfill 46:13
facility 23:15	filing 37:5	folks 66:14 70:11	full 22:14
fact 49:21	fill 9:2 27:4	follow 45:22 64:24	fund 11:6,21 27:11 39:16 60:6
factor 79:10	filling 25:6 final 30:21	foot 7:17,22 8:1,5,6, 13 22:14,16 51:19,22,	funded 28:7,8
failure 6:16		25 52:1,5,9,10,17,20,	·
failures 40:25	finalized 27:6	24 53:21,25 54:8,21 55:5 56:4,11,18 75:15	funding 9:2 10:3,15 28:7
fair 17:14,16	find 6:17 20:25 28:12 40:7 51:24 52:1,12	force 19:21,22 22:5	funds 39:25 40:1
fairly 80:12,14	54:2 55:5,11,25 56:17	23:1,24 25:3,5 26:21	
fairness 50:12	64:14	53:8 54:19,25 71:7,10	G
fall 23:23 72:7	finding 51:5	forces 7:25 49:16	gap 25:6
falling 23:25	findings 79:20	form 12:20 20:21	gave 18:1 42:17
familiar 36:6	fine 63:1 64:6 66:2	formally 38:23	general 16:22 25:3
family 28:25	fire 66:5,21 70:25	format 45:18	59:4 60:16 63:5 76:2,
fantastic 19:10 67:7	fiscal 39:17 40:3,4	forming 60:25	22
74:12	fiscally 27:16	forward 5:18 7:14 31:14 36:19 38:3	generally 62:8
fast 16:15 36:12	Fisher 4:8,11,14,15, 18,20,22,24 5:1,6,7,9,	47:21,23 62:25 66:5	generate 44:17

generated 43:19

geographical 13:6

George 61:24 62:4

give 5:21 13:18 32:1 35:21 48:23 54:5 55:13 57:1 60:20 64:25 65:2 74:2

giveaway 30:14

giving 74:8

glad 24:11,12

goal 13:9

Gomez 4:7,12,24,25 5:15,16 13:19 14:8,24 15:7,13 32:18 33:10 34:5,6 38:1,2,7,16,19 39:6 40:7,14,17,24 41:3,6,15,23 42:3,8 44:2 46:18 47:3,14,25 48:4,7,11 49:23 51:16, 17,21 52:15,22 53:5, 13,20 54:1,7,11,15 55:4,17,21 56:2,7,15 58:20,21 61:11 71:3 76:4,17 77:12,16 78:6 79:6

good 5:24 6:25 7:6,22 14:11 21:11 23:24 24:16 28:12 37:7 42:23 48:19,25 49:1,2, 4,9,13,15,18,19,21 50:9,13,15,16 51:5 52:6,7 55:21 57:9 59:24 67:18 71:1 74:7 76:3 77:15 79:4

gosh 67:22 68:5

qotta 26:11

governing 48:24

grant 11:6 51:4

grant-funded 29:12

granted 7:9 49:15

grants 29:14

great 15:13 18:25 47:6 48:4 49:10 52:20 57:24,25 58:2 61:9

65:17 70:5.7

grievance 52:11 71:10

ground 50:18

group 26:14 38:11 57:5 60:5,23 74:14 78:11,13,14,19

group's 48:2

groups 59:16,22 61:1,2 65:15 67:6,7 74:11,12 78:20

Grover 4:16,17 5:5,7, 8 32:19 33:22,23 34:14,23 35:5,10,12 37:15,18,19 45:25 46:4 51:8,9 53:3 58:12,13 74:24 75:2

grow 26:12

growth 6:10,18

Guard 20:1,3

guess 31:16 36:4 38:14 59:3 63:12,16 71:5,10

guidelines 48:22

guy 26:16 67:18

guys 26:22 34:8 50:11 60:20 61:9,10 64:7 65:8,12,17 67:2,4,9,24 70:24 71:1,10

Н

Hall 30:14

hand 54:3

handful 21:22

handle 8:10 31:6

57:22 71:11

happen 17:9 19:4 23:4 42:24

happened 8:24

24:10,12 36:7 42:22 48:16 49:7

happening 8:6 11:23 13:22 14:5 21:24 41:4 62:20 65:22 75:20

happy 10:19 26:23 40:7

hard 10:21 51:24 61:2,12,23 62:21 71:8 73:10,23

harder 76:20

harmful 21:15

harming 52:18

hate 9:11 79:21

hazardous 15:21 16:4,10,19

head 25:15 27:20

heading 72:7

heads 64:15

health 9:14,20,24 10:18 11:7,10,15 18:10 75:17 76:18,20 77:8

healthcare 10:6

hear 8:22 24:11.12 48:20 49:9 59:15 62:16 66:8

heard 23:13,18 30:25 31:3,8 36:14 39:22 49:21 63:7 66:9

hearing 60:21

hearings 57:11

heart 69:4

heavily 18:22

helped 21:7 57:5

helpful 24:25 35:15

43:6 60:8

helping 15:14

helps 28:16

Hestir 17:3 24:22 25:1,13

hey 22:7,15 48:20 49:7

hiding 18:8

high 14:25 28:9 29:19 52:8 54:25

high-speed 53:5

higher 23:1 29:17,18

highway 13:25

Hindman 69:19

hire 21:1 39:13 44:22

history 47:22 79:22

hit 15:20

hold 10:2 62:13 63:6 64:16,22 66:4,20,21 70:21,25 73:5

holding 67:11

Holts 56:25

homeless 76:23

Honestly 27:7

hook 62:18 64:1,7

hope 26:22 49:17 52:4 54:23

hopeful 6:5

hoping 31:19

hour 16:11

hours 76:18

How's 17:18

Human 59:11 61:1

hundreds 12:11

ı

IA 22:23

idea 14:21 26:21 34:21 40:5 49:10 56:2 59:2,3 62:10,11

ideas 34:17 54:5 59:8

identified 27:3

ignorant 77:10	73:16 74:2,4,8 77:16,	investigations 28:21	jurisdictional 48:21
illness 9:2,20 75:18 77:4,6,8	19,20,23 78:7 79:6 80:1	investigative 15:1 17:5 79:20	justification 27:10
imagine 28:22	informative 24:25	invite 42:15 59:25	К
immediately 24:15	informed 32:12	involved 16:1 18:4	
impact 16:22 17:12	infractions 16:8	28:4 59:5 61:2 63:11	Kansas 57:8
impactful 17:6	infrastructure 10:6, 16 11:18	74:12 78:16 79:9 irritated 66:16	key 9:17
impacting 17:8			Khesha 57:4 60:24 68:15
implemented 63:9	initially 12:6 75:8	issue 10:4 11:4,18 72:3,11 79:14	kicking 8:9
implications 28:18	insight 35:24 Instagram 26:13	issues 9:14,20,21	kids 25:12
important 14:19	instagram 20.13	10:4,17 61:17,20 65:14 68:15	killed 77:6
16:16 17:5,16 18:5 24:13 75:19		item 4:1 30:9,21 34:9	killing 62:4
	instances 56:18	38:5 48:14 51:19	kind 6:24 8:25 9:1,15
improve 19:5 25:21 57:22 58:5	institutional 68:14	Items 4:1	20:23 21:3 24:25 25:3,
improving 22:11			6 38:14 41:21 42:19 44:19 45:18 47:2
impulsive 69:12	insurance 10:22	J	50:18 52:12 53:3,11
inappropriate 24:4	intelligence 15:23 16:1	jails 32:14	55:2 59:5 60:20,21 62:10,11,23 64:11,20
62:5	intended 8:14	James 56:24 60:24	65:21 66:6 70:13 71:8,
incident 45:8	intent 19:12 42:5	68:16	22 72:5 73:15,24 74:1
include 14:2 59:14	interactions 24:6	job 8:4 23:15 58:3	kinds 19:13 57:25
including 9:24 17:24 59:5 79:14,19	interested 6:5 31:16	64:11 65:20 66:3,4 67:10 70:5 71:1	kneel 64:5 65:24
inclusive 49:24	32:9	joint 28:7	kneeled 20:8 64:3 68:24
increase 75:14	interesting 52:13	JONES 5:23 6:1 7:19,	kneeling 64:5
incurred 78:4	internal 79:10,23 80:7	21 8:12,18 9:17 10:3,	knees 36:15
indicative 38:21	internally 6:12	21 12:6,15,18 13:1 14:6,9,25 15:8,17,20	knew 18:6 22:18
individual 59:16,18	international 55:5	17:1,21 18:14 19:3	28:10
77:1,22,23 78:2,5	56:3	20:12,21 21:6 22:10 23:5,8,11,22 24:11,16,	knock 57:16
individualized 7:21	intervene 24:9	22 25:8 26:15 27:5 28:4 29:13,18	knowing 9:13 21:25 77:7
individually 21:12	intervention 9:1	Jr 56:24	11.1
industry 10:25	intimidate 71:19	judgment 17:25 18:8	L
information 13:20,21	intimidated 67:14	July 32:22 40:4	
14:20 25:7,19 26:7 38:22 40:9,21 41:17	investigate 29:9	jump 50:5	labored 73:10
42:1,9,12 46:2,5,6,8,	investigating 15:25	June 5:2	laborious 73:11
21 47:1,10,11,16 48:12 53:23 55:2 57:9	29:9	Juneteenth 69:1	lack 68:13
58:1 63:2 64:25 66:11	investigation 27:25 80:21	Ganeteentii 09.1	landfill 28:11

language 52:16 large 11:12 largely 40:1 law 7:25 8:4 11:7 28:14 61:22 67:18 lead 53:8 65:13 67:5 **leader** 19:24 leading 54:22 leads 22:23 47:19 learn 76:10 learned 6:13 74:22,24 leave 26:10 28:24 39:22 54:3 56:23 left 33:3 39:17 66:20 letter 20:18 34:24 35:6,9,18 letting 20:24 25:1 liability 16:10 liaison 11:7,15 license 14:3 40:19.23 41:11 44:14 45:1 licenses 40:25 lies 29:10 lieutenant 24:21 25:13,17 life 35:4 life-threatening 10:9 light 27:16 limit 50:8 limitation 54:17 limited 7:19,25 16:11 51:23 **limits** 16:18

list 31:21 33:12 40:13

live 17:9 20:10 56:25

42:21 68:11

listed 39:4

71:21 **location** 13:24,25 40:19 **locked** 50:14 long 9:6 16:20 30:16 32:16 47:22 55:13 59:21 73:10,14 longer 12:13,16 losing 35:15 lost 29:4 **lot** 6:2,10,14 7:2,25 8:6,8,9,22 9:13 11:11 15:15 18:4 19:2,4,10, 14 21:24 25:10 27:24 28:4 39:4 52:15 59:4 62:15 66:8 67:5,9 68:19 69:1 70:10 72:6 73:10 75:17 76:20 78:7 79:3 loud 23:17 **Love** 45:13,24 47:7,20 78:16 79:2 **low** 53:10 Lt 25:1

Luckily 28:18 lucky 30:6 lunch 22:12 lunches 12:11 mad 67:15,24 made 17:25 23:16 27:18,19 57:17 60:10 67:21 80:19 mailing 34:24 35:16, 22 37:14 majority 9:18 29:14 **make** 8:12 14:16 15:21 16:18 26:12 27:21 28:16,21 34:25

M

36:21 40:12 41:10,18

42:9 43:10 46:18 50:19,24 57:19,20 58:3,4 61:2 63:14,21 64:6 65:25 66:4 67:4, 8.23 68:19 69:7 70:4 makes 17:17 26:5 46:13 making 13:2 15:5 17:15 54:25 57:25 67:10,11,20 78:1 man 9:5,13 manage 19:25 29:2 management 11:5 management's 11:19 manager 36:25 37:6 59:7 manager's 59:12 manages 29:3 managing 28:22 manner 59:4 manual 20:20,24 March 4:5 mask 18:2.8 77:15 masks 17:24 matter 46:14,15 69:5 75:15 Matters 30:13 60:6 mayor 57:10 68:25 69:19 71:23 meaning 41:1 meant 42:1 75:1 meantime 56:3 media 21:11,15 25:11,15,21,25 26:6 69:23 mediation 39:25 meet 5:20 20:21 48:21

meeting 4:5 5:2 6:3,6 20:17 21:3 22:11 24:8 30:15 33:3 42:15 47:11,15,24 48:5,16 59:10,13 65:19 66:18 69:11 70:19 75:21 77:14,15 78:2,14,20, 21,23 meetings 5:2 6:3 21:5,23 22:5 30:22 38:12 42:21 45:15 58:23 60:9 62:21 65:23 74:25 76:7 78:15 meets 21:25 Megan 28:10,11,12 member 32:6 60:9 members 5:19 16:7 30:24 32:5 37:3 60:17 72:13 75:6 78:1,22,23 memo 51:18 Memphis 18:3 Mengqi 28:13 mental 9:2,5,13,20 10:18 11:7,10,15 75:17,18 76:18,20 77:4.6.8 mentioned 34:14 43:9 79:9 message 6:21,25 7:5 19:11,23 21:20 26:19 method 21:13 77:18 **midday** 59:10 middle 50:18 Mike 17:3 miles 16:11 military 8:2 million 27:13 mind 41:25 55:10,13 mined 69:25 Minnesota 61:23

77:2

minor 52:25 murdered 28:14 **notice** 18:21 7 52:5.10 53:9 54:18. 23 63:10 75:1.13.17 noticed 76:12 minority 17:7 **Museum** 18:3 official 77:21 **minus** 37:13 **myriad** 10:17 notified 69:21 on-duty 19:18 minute 5:2 30:15 nuanced 13:23 Ν ongoing 75:25 77:11 minutes 4:5,6 5:3 **number** 4:1 13:25 online 26:18 55:6 15:24 16:18 17:13,14, miscellaneous **NAACP** 61:1 16 40:18,24 53:10 39:16,24 open 6:2 22:10 26:19 70:15 **NACOLE** 31:15,23 28:1 32:12,16 33:6 misinterpret 19:5 74:21 75:3,6 **numbers** 13:20.22.24 45:14 50:17,23 53:17 missing 10:5 45:19 14:3,25 15:16 27:13 80:9,17,18 names 71:18,23 29:16,24 38:15,20 mitigate 9:21 operate 6:9 **narrow** 80:18 40:7 62:6 64:6 66:3 68:18 69:3,5,8 70:11 mix 38:12 operating 13:12 national 7:24 20:1,2 75:12 model 11:20 13:3 operationally 20:22 0 32:4 nationally 19:4 **opinion** 6:25 26:13 moment 38:8 63:5 35:2 75:16 **nature** 80:10 obnoxious 71:24 opportunities 28:7 **Monday** 30:14 necessarily 45:5,9 obstacle 28:16 80:19 46:1.10 66:8 75:2 money 27:13 28:20 80:12 occur 18:15 opportunity 36:13 29:11 39:16,17 occurred 47:21 necessity 27:10 opted 9:8 **month** 15:6 20:18 22:3 35:20,21 October 40:3 **needed** 44:11 73:18 optics 64:6 months 15:4 16:20 October/november negotiate 10:11 option 18:1 20:14 63:18 33:5 neighborhood 13:14 order 16:12.22 26:11 moral 29:6 odd 35:20 17:10 ordered 15:20 motion 4:9 5:3 36:18. **off-duty** 19:18 neighborhoods 20,21 37:9 40:12 42:9 ordinance 36:24 12:22 13:13 off-the-shelf 44:19 46:8,11 48:10,11 48:24 58:4 net 17:4 49:25 50:20,24 51:1 offer 56:17 organized 20:4 56:6,10 58:9 77:19 network 61:1 offered 21:8 47:8 organizers 19:9 motivation 7:4 **news** 8:6 offering 20:1 34:20 organizing 20:13 mousy 64:21 **nice** 70:6,7,9,19,23 office 11:19 57:8,9 outcome 8:14 17:17 move 4:3 28:20 30:8, 59:12 60:2,4 Nicole 31:8,10 76:15 19,23 31:14 33:2,8 officer 23:6,13,18,19, **night** 49:2 36:18 37:11 38:3 outreach 7:11.12 20 24:1,2,5,8,14,19 48:13 51:2 60:16 10:23 12:4,7 25:14,16 nominate 33:10 52:5,19 53:11 55:1 30:19 58:23 59:3 moved 5:4 57:24 63:19 65:19 nomination 33:12 **oversee** 7:10 71:7 66:18 68:22 72:20 **moves** 4:10 nominations 33:9 76:4,24,25 77:7 overtime 28:22 29:2 moving 4:4 15:22 nonhazardous 16:8, officers 8:10 9:18 16:4 69:10 overview 60:20 9 13:10 14:15 15:21 multiple 10:13 11:9 17:18,19,22 18:4,12, noon 59:21 22 19:18 20:7,12

22:25 24:7 25:19 26:2,

normal 72:1

murder 72:22

Ρ

pandemic 18:10 76:20

parameters 45:10

part 5:19 6:16 7:8 10:4 12:14,17 17:25 18:9 21:11 22:21 24:12 28:6,7 29:19 39:21 40:5 48:24 54:20 56:4 59:5,18 60:7,14,23,25 67:7,8 79:11

participating 19:19

participation 7:13

participatory 6:25

particulars 40:10

parties 6:6

partner 28:18

pass 40:16 46:8,11 77:19

passed 16:20 45:9

passionate 6:22

past 7:3 21:7 29:15 69:13 75:5,6 80:24

path 24:8

patrolling 12:25

patrols 17:2

pay 32:6,7 40:1 45:2

paying 6:8 11:2

peaceful 19:17 20:9

peeking 16:14

pending 72:25

people 6:7,14,22,25 7:5 10:7,11,13,24 11:1,11 12:18 13:2 15:24 16:2 17:4,8,10 18:6 19:11,12,14 20:4, 13,24 21:2,14,20,25 22:1,19 25:1,10 26:6,

16 28:18.23.24 29:1 30:4 35:16,21 36:9,13 38:13 45:12 54:19 59:4 62:1,3 63:25 68:15 71:6,9 73:10 77:5 79:8

people's 26:12

percent 11:16 53:9 62:1,3,4

period 34:10 35:4 77:24 79:11

person 7:10 21:6 22:2 25:11 29:3 35:18 40:8 42:22 44:10 45:12 47:18 49:2 52:8,19 69:13,16 71:15 77:8

personally 18:2

persons 59:18

ph 56:24

pieces 45:19 48:10

piggy 46:21

place 6:23 10:5 18:16 42:23 52:23 76:15 77:25

places 8:3 52:10

plan 17:21

plans 18:16

plaque 69:1

plate 62:22

platforms 25:15

played 79:10

playing 70:24

podium 56:23

point 12:9 14:11 22:19 41:17 50:9 55:21 76:3 79:21,22

points 9:17 12:11

pole 61:23

police 4:2 5:20 7:10, 25 9:12,13 10:8,12

11:3.5 16:8 17:15 18:16 19:7,18 20:20 36:23 45:22 46:9,11, 12,16 52:2 54:16 55:22 57:20,23 58:5 61:11,24,25 62:10,18 63:12 64:5,17 68:9 70:7 72:11,18 75:1,5 77:17 78:15,22 79:2, 15 80:20

polices 63:20

policies 6:5,19 54:3,9 63:8,9,13,14,15,22 70:14,16,17,18 72:4

policing 17:20 59:4 68:4,10,12

policy 6:3,8,15 14:22 16:12,13 20:20,24 21:2 22:3,16,23 23:2 52:16 54:4,7 57:16 58:5 72:3,7,17 73:25 78:14

population 11:12 76:23.24

portion 17:23

position 19:6 21:7,10 27:1,4,9 65:13

positions 9:3

positive 22:2 30:9,11 67:1

possession 42:4

possibly 43:10

posted 21:17

potentially 39:13

power 48:19,24 65:7, 8 67:13 74:11,13 78:11 80:16

powerful 74:14

practice 6:16 52:6

practices 52:7 54:12 57:11

practicing 67:17

pre-demonstration 27:15

predemonstration 6.21

preferred 77:18

preliminary 16:24

prepared 69:22 80:8

preparing 46:18

present 47:8

presentation 43:2 74:22 75:22

presented 13:3

press 72:6

pretext 15:1

pretextual 15:19

pretty 11:12 20:19 27:8 29:4 30:16 41:20 44:5,18 49:12 53:17 67:24 71:17

Pringle 4:13,18,19 5:9,10 18:18,20 20:16, 23 21:22 24:17,23 26:18 30:13 31:9 32:12,22 33:1,15,24, 25 37:20,21 39:3,9,12 48:15 49:4,12 50:22, 25 51:2,10,11 53:7 55:2,10,24 57:13 58:14,15 59:15,22 60:5,12 71:2,4 72:12

priority 29:10

private 10:25 25:24

privately 28:8

proactive 61:17 62:9 66:21

probability 28:6

problem 36:7,16 38:21 70:13 72:2

problematic 25:25

problems 41:1 52:9 68:2,8,12

procedure 72:8 **proceed** 5:18 26:6 process 7:9 11:21 15:3 27:9 43:11 73:11, 14 79:24 produce 57:18 product 44:20 professional 25:24 profile 69:5 profiling 61:12 63:22 65:18 66:3 68:17,18 promise 26:15 proper 19:1 proposal 48:23 propose 15:18 proposed 27:19 48:14 proposing 40:6 65:16,17 74:13 prosecutor 67:18 protect 8:5 26:1 28:23 protected 66:1 68:24 protective 73:2 protest 6:13 19:9 24:19 protesters 18:22 19:9 20:8 25:1 66:1 68:24 protests 17:25 19:16, 19 20:13 64:4 68:23 provide 30:25 41:22 42:16 60:11 provided 60:3 providers 10:16 providing 57:10 provision 51:4 **public** 6:5 16:7 25:19 26:7 28:13 32:3,7

34:19 35:23 37:3 48:1 50:1 57:10,17 60:1,3, 16,17 61:10,19,20 66:23 67:3 78:24 **publicly** 14:17 28:7 published 71:17 publishing 71:23 **pull** 13:10 43:20 44:7, **pulled** 41:9 63:25 **pulling** 13:9 44:12 punishment 54:20 55:1 **purely** 27:15 purpose 16:17 36:13 purposes 13:5 34:25 pursuant 54:4 pursue 52:24 54:18 pursuit 7:18,22 8:1,5, 13 22:14 51:19,23,25 52:1,5,9,11,17,20 53:4,21,25 54:8,19,21 55:6 56:4.11.18 75:16 **pursuits** 8:7 22:16 51:22 **push** 62:25 63:4 72:17 73:6,15 pushback 61:21 73:6 **pushed** 24:3 66:6 pushing 64:20 **put** 12:21 25:15 35:8 44:6 45:4 47:23 54:13 61:16 65:12

24 28:24 53:18

Quail 12:13,16

Q

putting 13:10 25:10, 71:19 reasonable 35:19 qualifications 33:16 36:17 37:2

qualified 33:14 quarantining 29:1 question 7:17 8:21 12:3 18:18 21:11 23:14,16,19 26:20,25 29:20 39:20 41:25 44:25 49:7 68:7 71:4 74:20 78:10 79:8 **questions** 5:22 7:15 13:19 18:20 30:2 57:23 63:20 69:7 73:20 74:7 80:6,11 quick 71:4 74:20 78:9 quickly 77:3 **quote** 11:8 R race 30:13 44:8 60:6 79:10 racial 61:12 63:22 65:18 66:2 68:17.18 69:5 racism 68:14 **rallies** 65:23 **ramped** 75:18 rate 23:1 31:24 63:25 rationale 80:7 raw 70:11 reach 21:12 26:14 39:10 42:25 45:12 60:1 reached 60:13 read 51:22 ready 6:3 24:4 50:24 58:10 real 11:3 reason 17:9 42:2

received 4:5 11:14 31:2 receptive 14:16 recertification 32:11 recognizing 9:19 recommend 50:21 53:21 55:12,24 56:3 72:8 recommendation 7:23 8:13 15:5 26:5 30:24 46:22 53:18 54:14 56:13,15 72:16 recommendations 15:14 16:15 41:11 46:19 53:14,16 57:19 58:3 59:6,11,12 67:11 recommended 27:7 31:23 records 79:15 80:20 recruits 30:12 reduced 12:11 28:9 reducing 13:11 refer 9:21 referrals 10:7 referring 10:23 regard 15:19 16:25 29:16 regional 57:8 register 31:21 registered 31:19 registration 16:8 related 80:15,20 relational 44:7,13 relationship 46:5 70:24 remember 24:20 78:19 remembered 75:8

reasons 37:2

remind 79:12 reminder 73:18 reminding 54:19 renew 40:25 repeatedly 24:7 repeating 41:25 replied 32:10 report 29:21 31:4,5, 12 37:4 44:1 45:7,8,10 50:20 51:2 63:10 74:22 79:17 reporter 40:2 reporting 29:23 44:9 reports 13:24 30:9 43:13,19,21 44:21,22 61:12 79:10 79:23

representative 80:8 representatives reprimand 69:16 request 32:19 38:22 39:6,8,10 40:10,12,13 43:14 45:22 46:8,11, 13 47:3 48:4 49:6 77:24 78:14 requested 43:3 requesting 47:1 77:19,20 requests 78:2,5 required 54:21 56:4 requirement 79:17 requirements 31:22 44:9 79:16 80:18 residents 11:12 resource 10:19 11:17 57:12 58:1 resources 27:23,25 28:2 55:18 56:13,14, 16,18 57:7,11

respect 67:19 respond 77:21,24 response 9:23 10:12 48:15 59:7 79:8 responsible 27:16 29:7 rest 23:1 29:6 Restraint 30:23 restrict 52:10 restricted 52:23 restrictions 53:22 result 17:20 54:19 results 74:15 retrieve 28:16 revenues 27:16 review 20:25 36:14, 23 38:8,10,24 57:6 60:25 61:3,4 65:11,12 66:25 71:16 78:21 79:15 **reviewed** 6:5 22:3 reviewing 22:8 revised 37:12 **Rice** 12:9 ride 72:5 ride-alongs 30:10 **Rights** 18:3 57:8 59:11 61:1 riot 20:7 **riots** 19:13 **RMS** 14:9 **Road** 12:9 Rodden 56:24 57:4 60:24 **role** 25:24 51:4 62:9, 13,14 63:5,14 66:14 **roll** 4:15 37:16 51:7

room 6:10.18 19:5 43:10 57:16.17 **root** 41:10 70:13 **Rose** 14:10 21:23 30:25 32:10,12,19 33:21 58:10,24 60:12 79:3 roundtable 68:8 Rountable 59:25 rude 23:7.10.14.16.17 run 10:22 43:13 44:20 running 28:21 44:5 65:15 S **safety** 52:5 55:1 **sample** 57:11 sand 73:24 40:2 sandbox 70:24 sanitation 17:21 18 saturation 17:2 scarier 76:22 scenario 38:15 schedule 31:25 78:24 scorecard 65:22 **Scott** 22:14 screaming 9:7 seamless 80:24 search 41:14 searching 51:24 seconds 4:12,13 51:6 **Section** 37:12 48:14 51:3

send 37:4 47:20 50:20 51:2.18 55:11 56:13. 15 59:13,19 77:22 78:16 sending 59:11 sends 19:23 34:24 **sense** 17:17 46:13 **separate** 34:11,12,15 47:12 sergeant 25:14 35:5 servant 28:13 servants 61:10 **served** 12:21 **serves** 16:16 **service** 23:25 52:7 54:24 **services** 11:13 39:25 session 32:2,8 80:9, **set** 20:6,8,13 48:18 77:25 78:20 sets 36:25 **setting** 20:11 36:2 settled 34:22 **share** 43:6 74:23 shared 53:8 **shift** 17:23 24:8 shifts 13:11 **shooters** 15:24 16:3 **short** 20:4 23:23,25 shortfalls 27:2 **shot** 77:6 should've 8:25 **show** 48:8 49:2,14 50:16 65:22 70:10 **showed** 68:23

sections 34:15

seek 53:24 56:18

select 26:6 33:4

sell 42:5

shows 4:3 9:15	68:25	standard 18:23 37:8	structure 44:14 61:6
Shultz 28:10	solitary 70:10	44:9	structures 55:8
sic 46:5	solutions 78:8	standards 52:6 54:24	struggle 15:11
sign 30:14 31:20 32:1	soonest 48:8	standing 57:16	struggling 6:2
significant 80:12,14	sort 35:20 41:4 54:8	standpoint 14:20,21	study 14:15
significantly 28:9	78:7	start 13:9 32:22 47:5	stuff 8:6 25:4,10
similar 13:17 52:16	sounds 32:21 46:20	76:12	35:12 39:4 47:20
53:3 54:21	source 44:17	started 10:23 22:21 67:17 71:11 72:12	61:12 67:5 69:8
simply 48:23	south 24:6	78:14,19	style 21:15
situation 8:24 9:16	speak 8:17 11:19	starting 79:9	subcommittee 39:11
49:20 52:18 64:22	22:2,24 30:5 34:19 56:21 73:17	State 19:25	subdivided 13:6
situations 9:3	speaking 8:23	stated 37:2	submit 79:18
size 13:11,14	speaks 20:12	statement 23:16	subpar 14:9 39:5
skipped 5:17	spear 17:3	states 51:3	subset 16:19
slowly 73:25	spearheaded 59:3	statistician 42:13	substantive 76:1
Smith 4:10,20,21 5:4, 11,12,25 15:18 16:24	special 4:1 16:12	43:9,12 46:9	substations 12:24
17:18 18:12,19,21	55:23	statistics 77:5	success 10:24 15:2 28:6
27:22 29:15 32:10,24 33:13,17,21 34:1,2	specific 14:2 22:24	Status 30:24	suggest 56:11 57:12
35:19,25 36:3,5,7,12,	40:21,25 44:1 52:17 55:8 57:19 80:11	stay 37:6 66:21 67:3	suggested 31:22
20 37:7,11,14,22,23 40:22 41:2,5,13,19	specifically 28:8	stepped 21:8 24:13,	suggestion 76:2
42:1,6 43:8,16,23	35:9 42:11 79:13	steps 57:25	
45:4,23 46:21,25 49:10 50:3,4,7 51:1,6,	specifics 41:3,24	steps 57.25 stick 9:10	suggestions 45:14 60:10
12,13 54:2,10,12	52:1		Summit 56:25
56:12 57:5,14 58:8,16, 17 60:19 68:1,3,4,5,	speech 26:1	stop 4:3 13:20 29:8 38:5,11 41:14 42:2,7,	Sunshine 77:24,25
10,13,18,21,22 71:12	spinning 6:24	19 45:11 74:5 78:11,	78:5
72:15 73:13,18,19 75:3 79:9 80:15	spoken 6:1	13	super 75:18
Smith's 48:16	spot 5:17	stopgap 16:12 21:10	supplement 31:12
smoothly 28:21	squad 22:25	stopped 16:3,4 30:13 42:22	55:25
1	squishy 62:11	stops 14:2,11,16	support 7:3 18:7 61:19 66:23
social 8:22 9:23,25 10:5,10,14 21:11,15	Stacy 36:7	15:1,19,21 16:18,25	supportive 61:20,21
25:10,11,15,21,24 26:6	staff 12:19 18:16	17:5 40:19	supposed 4:2 15:5
	60:17	strangers 77:2	17:24 31:7 64:16
software 44:13	staffing 13:3 18:15	street 9:7 13:25 59:25 68:15 77:2	67:12 76:9 78:3
solely 19:15	stakes 28:9	streets 65:10	survive 27:9
solid 30:16	stand 19:4,6 55:9 65:25	strong 19:23 77:11	sword 9:8 25:9
solidarity 65:25	00.20	3.20 11.11	

swords 9:6

sworn 28:14

symbolism 68:20 69:2 70:5,11

system 10:6 11:2 14:7,9 15:10 29:20 39:4 44:5,10,12,16,18, 19,21,23,24,25 45:2 66:12

system's 39:5

systems 29:21 65:4,5

T

tactic 17:6

tactics 38:20 55:1 76:13

tags 40:25

taillight 16:2,10

taillights 41:1

takes 64:9 78:4

taking 65:13 67:5 76:15

talk 11:21 16:6 20:17, 24 22:6 23:8 24:23 30:21 31:16,22 36:3 47:17 48:2 50:5,23 51:20 59:4 61:11 64:14 65:1 70:9,15 72:3,13 73:1 74:24

talk-- 24:25

talked 6:4,11 7:2 11:25 20:25 22:22 30:15 35:5,6 42:20 59:16 63:8 74:23

talking 16:5 23:3 27:1 36:22 38:8 66:25 68:9 72:20 76:23

target 17:4

tattoos 44:8

Tavern 69:11

technically 48:21

ten 57:6 61:15

tend 17:10

term 33:4 49:4

terms 13:24 15:14 30:19 38:8 52:4 57:7

terrible 63:23

tested 28:24

That'd 43:5

thing 7:25 18:19,21 21:16 22:2,14 29:9 31:15 35:21 41:11 50:7 63:10 67:15 71:15 72:15 74:3,4

things 6:13,14 7:7 8:9 10:12 11:25 12:1 13:8 17:11 19:4,8,13 22:20 25:15,24 27:5 29:2 39:7 40:17 42:5,23 52:25 54:12 57:15 59:6 60:11,21 62:12, 16 64:19,20 66:8 67:6, 17 69:10,24 70:13 71:24 74:9,13 76:20 78:9 79:3

thinking 36:10 40:18 53:20

third-party 14:15

thought 18:5 24:24 26:20 38:25 59:24 75:7 80:3

thoughts 34:16 59:8

threat 15:23

threw 34:21

tightened 27:22

time 5:22 8:9,11 11:16,24 12:2 13:10 20:7 25:16,17 30:16 33:5 34:10 35:16 36:2, 9,16 37:3,12 38:14 42:24 43:9,10 44:13 49:8 61:14,15,17 62:8 66:17 67:2,24,25 69:15,19 71:12 73:22 74:3 77:24 78:21,25 79:11,25

timeline 48:18

times 10:13 65:10 69:15

tip 69:22

tipped 69:20

today 4:2 9:5,7 66:24 72:20

told 23:9 24:7 39:20 67:17

tomorrow 59:7,10, 12,21

tone 20:6,8,11,14

tonight 31:20 57:21, 24.25 60:10

tool 52:13

tools 65:8 74:14

top 63:1 65:18 66:10, 22 67:3 68:4,5,8,10,11

topic 58:22

topics 22:25 31:22

tough 68:7 69:7 73:20 74:7

town 19:15 36:5 65:15

track 76:1

traction 7:6 11:24

tractions 12:1

Tracy 31:21

traffic 13:20 14:16 15:21 38:5,11 42:19 53:3 78:10,13

train 22:17 52:5 54:8

trained 8:10 9:18,19 21:21 51:25 53:10,16

training 7:25 8:7,14 12:20 14:22 22:23 23:20 24:7 31:21,22 51:19,23 52:2,14,17, 20 53:21,25 54:6,21

55:6,22 56:4,5,11,19 75:15,16,17,19,23,25 76:4,9,15,18 77:11

trainings 7:17

transcription 40:2

transparency 75:14

Travis 34:11

treated 77:20,23

trip 18:3

trust 68:14 75:14

turbulent 72:5

turned 70:1

turning 19:8

tweet 25:14

tweeted 25:3

tweeting 24:20 25:5

Twenty-one 34:23

Twitter 26:13

type 14:3 29:23 44:7 52:11 62:6 63:10 72:7, 16 74:3.4

types 19:25 29:2 42:23 59:6

Tyson 36:5 60:19 79:9

U

UCR 29:22

Uh-huh 42:8

ultimately 46:7 76:14

umbrella 10:17

uncomfortable 70:21

understand 8:4 38:19 45:10

understanding 13:22

understands 49:18 uniforms 18:23 unit 9:23 12:7,21 25:14,16 units 22:23 University 14:14 **unsafe** 51:22 update 30:23 39:11 65:19 78:17 updates 5:21 uphold 28:14 52:6 upholding 54:24 upset 70:21 ٧ valid 22:19 variable 29:8 variables 14:15,23 variance 51:3.4 variation 29:24 vast 9:18 vehicle 14:11 45:11 vendor 14:10 45:3 venture 28:10 verge 22:4 verified 16:1 versus 28:2 35:20 41:1 42:5 71:10 vice 33:3,9,19 videos 32:1 violating 23:2 violation 16:4 41:7 75:18

violations 15:22

violent 19:8 29:16

16:9,19 41:11

virtual 31:23 55:5 virtually 31:25 visible 76:11 volatile 52:18 **volume** 13:7 voluntarily 69:25 vote 33:7 37:17 40:15 49:2 50:11 51:8 58:10 voted 61:4 73:24

W wait 10:8 31:10 41:24 48:9,11 80:2 waiting 30:24 31:13 59:15 70:8 waive 48:20,24 51:4 waiver 51:3 **waiving** 49:13 walk 66:18 70:19 walked 23:17 69:12 walking 9:7 wanted 11:5 32:5 38:6 44:18 56:20 57:11 59:7 60:20 68:23 69:20 75:8 wanting 36:24 watch 32:2,16 watched 9:5 20:9 waves 73:24 ways 6:17 26:1 wear 18:2 wearing 17:24 website 21:16 71:18, weeks 22:24 25:20 **weird** 36:9

wheels 6:24 white 64:1 69:13 Whitegate/quail 12:10 whittle 64:19,20 73:15 **WIBBENMEYER** 31:2,18 32:20 33:18 34:12,18 36:21 37:9 39:15 40:11,15 42:25 43:12,18 44:4 45:6 46:7 47:6,17 48:1,9 49:25 50:19 56:8 57:2 58:11,25 59:9,20 60:3 75:5,10 76:6 77:18 78:12 79:7 wide 50:17,23 wielding 9:6 **Williams** 4:22,23 5:13,14 7:16,20,24 8:16,21 10:2,19 12:3, 13,16,24 13:18 20:6, 15 23:4,6,9,12 24:10, 14 26:9 29:11 30:3,6 32:16 33:10 34:3,4,8 35:3,17 36:11 37:24, 25 38:25 46:23 47:5 49:1 50:25 51:14,15 53:19,24 54:13 55:9, 19 56:6,9,22 58:7,18, 19 73:17 74:19 wipe 17:22 56:22 wiping 30:7 wise 76:21 wonderful 70:9,23 wondering 13:23 41:21

word 21:4 22:1 37:9 73.8 work 21:8,9 45:3,25 46:2 47:12 62:12 66:10 80:25 worked 25:16 26:24 57:5,7,15 61:2 69:12 worker 10:14 workers 8:22 9:23,25 10:5,10 working 9:24 12:2 48:3 57:10 62:18 64:7, 8.12 65:12 66:13 69:9 workload 13:8 works 11:7,16 42:14 world 61:15 **Worley** 59:25 worried 42:12 worse 63:24 64:8 worst 67:17 worth 53:1 **Wow** 6:1 write 31:4.5 written 57:18 69:16 wrong 18:1,9 wrote 61:6

Υ

year 5:20 17:2 27:12 29:17,18,19 31:23 39:17 40:3,4 63:17,24 64:8 74:21

year's 27:12,13

years 9:25 29:15 45:14 50:13 57:6 61:16 64:18 65:4 66:9 67:23 69:9,13,17 73:12,13,23

yelling 9:7 yesterday 23:4 young 9:13