Section 2
Charts and Graphs
Q1. Whether or Not Residents Have Visited any of the City of Columbia’s Parks or Facilities During the Past Year

by percentage of respondents

Yes 92%
No 8%


Q1a. How Often Residents Visited the City of Columbia’s Parks During the Past 12 Months

by percentage of respondents (who visited parks)

At least once a week 24%
A few times per month 26%
At least once per month 18%
A few times per year 33%
Only 0.3% indicated None

Q1b. How Residents Rate the Physical Condition of ALL of City of Columbia’s Parks they Have Visited

by percentage of respondents (who visited parks, excluding “don’t know”)

- Excellent: 33%
- Good: 62%
- Fair: 5%
- Only 0.3% indicated poor


Q2. Facilities Residents Have Used or Visited in the City of Columbia Parks Over the Past 12 Months

by percentage of respondents (multiple selections possible)

- Walking, hiking, and biking trails: 62%
- Nature trails: 53%
- Playgrounds: 44%
- Natural areas: 42%
- Picnic shelters: 42%
- Activity & Recreation Center (ARC): 41%
- Off-leash areas/Dog parks: 26%
- Swimming pools: 24%
- Spraygrounds/Spray parks: 21%
- Stephens Lake swimming beach: 21%
- Outdoor exercise/fitness stations: 20%
- Golf courses (L.A. Nickell & Lake of Woods): 17%
- Softball/Baseball fields: 18%
- Soccer fields: 14%
- Fishing and boating areas: 12%
- Historic Home/Farm (Nifong Park): 12%
- Tennis courts: 12%
- Stephens Amphitheater: 10%
- Mountain biking trails: 8%
- Armory Sports Center: 7%
- Disc golf: 6%
- Outdoor basketball courts: 6%
- Football/Lacrosse fields: 5%
- Hillcrest Community Center/Moss Bldg: 3%
- Skateboard/Roller Hockey Park: 2%
- Archery: 1%
- Other: 2%
- None, we did not use any of these facilities: 4%
- None chosen: 5%

Q3. Parks and Recreation Facilities that Residents Visit the Most Often

by percentage of respondents who selected the item as one of their top four choices


Q4. Households that Have a Need for Parks and Recreation Facilities

by percentage of respondents (multiple choices could be made)

Q4a. Estimated Number of Households in the City of Columbia that Have a Need for Parks and Recreation Facilities

by number of households based on 43,065 occupied households in the City of Columbia

Q4b. How Well Parks and Recreation Facilities in the City of Columbia Meet the Needs of Households

by percentage of households that have a need for parks/facilities

Q4c. Estimated Number of Households in the City of Columbia Whose Needs for Parks and Recreation Facilities Are Only Being 50% Met or Less

by number of households based on 43,065 occupied households in the City of Columbia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>0% Meets Needs</th>
<th>25% Meets Needs</th>
<th>50% Meets Needs</th>
<th>75% Meets Needs</th>
<th>100% Meets Needs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ice skating</td>
<td>6,884</td>
<td>3,244</td>
<td>8,334</td>
<td>5,908</td>
<td>3,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sledding hills &amp; cross country skiing</td>
<td>5,898</td>
<td>3,456</td>
<td>5,209</td>
<td>2,802</td>
<td>1,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor pools and aquatic areas</td>
<td>4,511</td>
<td>2,444</td>
<td>7,841</td>
<td>5,324</td>
<td>3,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small (2-10 acres) neighborhood parks</td>
<td>3,484</td>
<td>1,944</td>
<td>6,928</td>
<td>4,511</td>
<td>3,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-leash dog parks</td>
<td>3,345</td>
<td>1,824</td>
<td>5,908</td>
<td>3,941</td>
<td>2,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park shelters and picnic areas</td>
<td>3,295</td>
<td>1,751</td>
<td>5,841</td>
<td>3,724</td>
<td>2,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor tennis courts</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>1,650</td>
<td>5,724</td>
<td>3,684</td>
<td>2,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boat and fishing</td>
<td>3,151</td>
<td>1,601</td>
<td>5,624</td>
<td>3,597</td>
<td>2,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature interpretive center</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>1,551</td>
<td>5,508</td>
<td>3,464</td>
<td>2,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor fitness and exercise facilities</td>
<td>3,051</td>
<td>1,491</td>
<td>5,384</td>
<td>3,328</td>
<td>2,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor running/walking track</td>
<td>3,001</td>
<td>1,441</td>
<td>5,256</td>
<td>3,209</td>
<td>2,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor swimming pools/leisure pool</td>
<td>2,951</td>
<td>1,391</td>
<td>5,128</td>
<td>3,164</td>
<td>2,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor basketball/volleyball courts</td>
<td>2,901</td>
<td>1,341</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>3,009</td>
<td>2,085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor basketball courts</td>
<td>2,851</td>
<td>1,291</td>
<td>4,876</td>
<td>2,957</td>
<td>1,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playground equipment and play areas</td>
<td>2,801</td>
<td>1,241</td>
<td>4,752</td>
<td>2,854</td>
<td>1,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking and biking trails</td>
<td>2,751</td>
<td>1,191</td>
<td>4,628</td>
<td>2,757</td>
<td>1,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large community parks</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>1,140</td>
<td>4,504</td>
<td>2,664</td>
<td>1,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archery</td>
<td>2,651</td>
<td>1,091</td>
<td>4,380</td>
<td>2,597</td>
<td>1,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseball and softball fields</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>4,256</td>
<td>2,531</td>
<td>1,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf courses</td>
<td>2,551</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>4,132</td>
<td>2,457</td>
<td>1,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain bike trails</td>
<td>2,501</td>
<td>941</td>
<td>4,008</td>
<td>2,394</td>
<td>1,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer fields</td>
<td>2,450</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>3,876</td>
<td>2,330</td>
<td>1,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equestrian trails</td>
<td>2,401</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>3,752</td>
<td>2,266</td>
<td>1,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football/Lacrosse fields</td>
<td>2,351</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>3,628</td>
<td>2,202</td>
<td>1,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skateboard park/bike park</td>
<td>2,300</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>3,504</td>
<td>2,138</td>
<td>1,183</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Q5. Parks and Recreation Facilities that Are Most Important to Households

by percentage of respondents who selected the item as one of their top four choices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>Most Important</th>
<th>2nd Most Important</th>
<th>3rd Most Important</th>
<th>4th Most Important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walking and biking trails</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small (2-10 acres) neighborhood parks</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature trails</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playground equipment and play areas</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-leash dog parks</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park shelters and picnic areas</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor fitness and exercise facilities</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf courses</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor swimming pools/leisure pool</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoors pools and aquatic areas</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor running/walking track</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor basketball/volleyball courts</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor basketball courts</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playground equipment and play areas</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking and biking trails</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large community parks</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archery</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseball and softball fields</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf courses</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain bike trails</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer fields</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equestrian trails</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football/Lacrosse fields</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skateboard park/bike park</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None chosen</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q6. Priority Residents Feel that City Officials Should Place on Maintaining the Conditions of the Parks, Trails, and Recreation Facilities in the Columbia Parks and Recreation System

by percentage of respondents (excluding "don't know")

- Very high priority: 31%
- High priority: 41%
- Medium priority: 20%
- Low priority: 3%
- Very low priority: 5%


Q7. Resident Satisfaction with the Overall Value their Household Receives from the Columbia Parks and Recreation Department Programs, Activities and Facilities

by percentage of respondents (excluding "don't know")

- Very Satisfied: 50%
- Somewhat Satisfied: 35%
- Neutral: 12%
- Somewhat Dissatisfied: 3%
- Very Dissatisfied: 2%

Q8. Ways Residents Learn About Columbia Parks and Recreation Department Programs, Activities, and Facilities

by percentage of respondents (multiple selections possible)

- “Leisure Times” Activity Guide: 67%
- From friends and neighbors: 59%
- Parks & Recreation website: 43%
- Newspaper articles/advertisements: 38%
- “City Source” utility newsletter: 38%
- Radio: 24%
- Television (all other channels): 18%
- School flyers/flyers/other newsletters: 16%
- Facebook: 11%
- Info displayed at P&R facility: 10%
- E-mail bulletins or E-newsletters: 8%
- City Channel: 7%
- Twitter: 3%
- None chosen: 4%


Q9. City of Columbia Trails that Residents Use

by percentage of respondents (multiple selections possible)

- MKT Trail: 72%
- Stephens Lake Park Trails: 59%
- Trail(s) in a neighborhood park: 38%
- Hinkson Creek Trail: 38%
- Bear Creek Trail: 30%
- Scott's Branch Trail: 29%
- South Providence Trail: 15%
- Hominy Creek Trail: 13%
- County House Trail: 12%
- None chosen: 7%
- We do not use any of these trails: 5%

Q10. Statement that Best Represents Resident Preferences for Trail Surfaces
by percentage of respondents (excluding “don’t know”)

I prefer a hard surface trail because it doesn't wash out when it rains, has less annual maintenance costs, is cleaner, and doesn't adversely affect the environment by erosion.

I prefer a gravel surface because it has less impact on my joints and/or because it fits in more with natural surroundings than hard surface.

I prefer a combination of gravel through flat areas and hard surface on slopes and areas where there are frequent washouts.

I do not have a trail surface preference.


Q11. Benefits Residents Feel that Columbia Parks and Recreation Provides for the Community
by percentage of respondents (multiple selections possible)

Promotes health and wellness: 90%
Provides recreational experiences: 88%
Strengthens community image and sense of place: 78%
Protects environmental resources: 53%
Fosters human development: 40%
Supports economic development: 37%
Increases cultural unity: 31%
Strengthens safety and security: 28%
Facilitates community problem solving: 14%
None of the above: 5%

Q12. Importance Residents Place on Major Parks and Recreation Projects Funded by the 1/8th Cent Sales Tax

by percentage of respondents

- Replacement/repair of old, deteriorating bridges on the MKT Trail: 63% Very Important, 22% Somewhat Important, 12% Not Important
- Stephens Lake Park, Phillips Park, Gans Creek Recreation Area, and park property adjacent to Battle High School: 60% Very Important, 23% Somewhat Important, 12% Not Important
- Installation of new year-round restrooms to replace portable toilets on the MKT Trail, Garth Nature Area, and Grindstone Nature Area: 59% Very Important, 23% Somewhat Important, 14% Not Important
- Stephens Lake, Philips, Bonnie View Nature Sanctuary, Jay Dix: 55% Very Important, 26% Somewhat Important, 15% Not Important
- Installation of new restrooms and replacement of old restrooms at Cosmo, Cosmo-Bethel, Fairview, Kiwanis and Albert-Oakland Parks: 51% Very Important, 30% Somewhat Important, 15% Not Important
- Playground/shelter renovations at various parks: 37% Very Important, 39% Somewhat Important, 20% Not Important
- Repair/resurface park roads and parking lots: 34% Very Important, 42% Somewhat Important, 20% Not Important
- Co-operative projects with Columbia Public Schools: 44% Very Important, 29% Somewhat Important, 20% Not Important
- Improvements to Cosmo athletic fields; Antimi Sports Complex; Rainbow Softball Center; and Douglass, Albert-Oakland, and American Legion ball fields: 37% Very Important, 30% Somewhat Important, 27% Not Important


Q12. Importance Residents Place on Major Parks and Recreation Projects Funded by the 1/8th Cent Sales Tax Cont.

by percentage of respondents

- Pool renovations at Douglass Family Aquatic Center: 33% Very Important, 31% Somewhat Important, 27% Not Sure, 9% Not Important
- Grasslands, Louisville, Eastport, Cascades, Smiley Lane, Lange: 31% Very Important, 33% Somewhat Important, 28% Not Sure, 9% Not Important
- Dog parks at Twin Lakes, Garth Nature Area, and Indian Hills: 30% Very Important, 30% Somewhat Important, 26% Not Sure, 14% Not Important
- Tennis court renovations at Fairview, Shepard, Cosmo, Cosmo-Bethel: 20% Very Important, 34% Somewhat Important, 35% Not Sure, 11% Not Important
- Basketball court renovations at various parks: 22% Very Important, 32% Somewhat Important, 35% Not Sure, 12% Not Important
- Development of Atkins Park Baseball Complex: 24% Very Important, 28% Somewhat Important, 41% Not Sure, 7% Not Important
- Scott's Branch Trail Development: 23% Very Important, 24% Somewhat Important, 46% Not Sure, 8% Not Important
- Hominy Creek Trail Development: 20% Very Important, 22% Somewhat Important, 51% Not Sure, 7% Not Important

Q13. Parks and Recreation Projects Funded by the 1/8th Cent Sales Tax that Residents Feel Have Been Most Important to the Improve Parks and Recreation Services

by percentage of respondents who selected the item as one of their top four choices

Improvements to Cosmo athletic fields
Co-operative projects with Columbia Public Schools
Playground and shelter renovations at various park


Q13. Parks and Recreation Projects Funded by the 1/8th Cent Sales Tax that Residents Feel Have Been Most Important to the Improve Parks and Recreation Services Cont.

by percentage of respondents who selected the item as one of their top four choices

Grasslands, Louisville, Eastport, Cascades, Smiley Lane, Lange
Repair/resurface park roads and parking lots
Pool renovations at Douglass Family Aquatic Center
Scott's Branch Trail Development
Tennis court renovations at Fairview, Shepard, Cosmo, Cosmo-Bethel
Development of Atkins Park Baseball Complex
Basketball court renovations at various parks
Hominy Creek Trail Development
None Chosen

### Q14. Support for the City to Fund New Projects and Actions with the 1/8th Cent Sales Tax

#### by percentage of respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Very Supportive</th>
<th>Somewhat Supportive</th>
<th>Not Sure</th>
<th>Not Supportive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fix-up/repair older park facilities, shelters, playgrounds, and restrooms</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop new walking and biking trails that connect neighborhoods to destination (major) trails</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade/improve existing pools/sports fields/golf courses</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquire land for preservation and develop walking/hiking trails</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to develop the trail loop around the city</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquire land to preserve open space/protect the environment</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquire land for developing neighborhood parks</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade/improve existing tennis and basketball courts</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop new youth and adult outdoor athletic field</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop new outdoor swimming pool/aquatic facility</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop new indoor recreation center with pool, fitness equipment, gym, walking track, etc.</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (2015)

### Q14. Support for the City to Fund New Projects and Actions with the 1/8th Cent Sales Tax Cont.

#### by percentage of respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Very Supportive</th>
<th>Somewhat Supportive</th>
<th>Not Sure</th>
<th>Not Supportive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop new youth and adult outdoor athletic field</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop new indoor recreation center with pool, fitness equipment, gym, walking track, etc.</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a seasonal outdoor ice-skating facility</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a permanent indoor ice-skating facility</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop new off-leash dog parks</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop new indoor multi-sports field house</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop an indoor aquatic center for recreation and competitive swimming</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade existing skate park and/or develop new skate spots</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop outdoor artificial turf athletic fields</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop equestrian trails</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (2015)
Q15. Actions Residents Are Most Willing to Fund with Revenues for the 1/8th cent Park Sales Tax

by percentage of respondents who selected the item as one of their top four choices

- Acquire land for preservation and develop walking/hiking trails (37%)
- Fix-up/repair older park facilities, shelters, playgrounds, restrooms (33%)
- Continue to develop the trail loop around the city (32%)
- Develop new walking and biking trails that connect neighborhoods to destination (major) trails (28%)
- Acquire land to preserve open space and protect the environment (18%)
- Upgrade/improve existing pools/sports fields/golf courses (18%)
- Develop new outdoor swimming pool/aquatic facility (16%)
- Acquire land for developing neighborhood parks (16%)
- Develop a permanent indoor ice-skating facility (13%)
- Develop new off-leash dog parks (12%)


Q15. Actions Residents Are Most Willing to Fund with Revenues for the 1/8th cent Park Sales Tax Cont.

by percentage of respondents who selected the item as one of their top four choices

- Develop new nature/education trails (11%)
- Acquire land for developing athletic fields & recreational facilities (10%)
- Upgrade/improve existing tennis and basketball courts (9%)
- Develop a seasonal outdoor ice-skating facility (9%)
- Develop an indoor aquatic center for recreation and competitive swimming (7%)
- Develop new indoor multi-sports field house (7%)
- Develop new youth and adult outdoor athletic field (7%)
- Upgrade existing skate park and/or develop new skate spots (2%)
- Develop outdoor artificial turf athletic fields (1%)
- Develop equestrian trails (1%)
- Other (3%)
- None chosen (13%)

Q16. Support for Renewal of the 1/8th Cent Park Sales Tax to Fund the Types of Projects Residents Indicated Are Most Important

by percentage of respondents

- Very supportive: 64%
- Somewhat supportive: 18%
- Not sure: 10%
- Not supportive: 8%


Q17. How Residents Would Allocate $100 of the Park Sales Tax Dollars Spent

by percentage of respondents

- Maintaining condition of existing parks, trails, and facilities: $42
- Acquiring, protecting and preserving parks, green space and stream corridors: $21
- New park/facility development: $16
- Trails - new construction, improvements, acquisition, and connections: $21

Q18. Support for Making the 1/8th Cent Park Sales Tax a Permanent Funding Source for Local Parks

by percentage of respondents

- Very supportive: 51%
- Somewhat supportive: 21%
- Not sure: 13%
- Not supportive: 15%


Q19. Reasons Residents Are “Not Sure” or “Not Supportive” Regarding Making the 1/8th Cent Sales Tax Permanent

by percentage of respondents (excluding “don’t know”)

- I think voting to approve the tax every 5 years is appropriate. There is no reason to make it permanent: 58%
- I would be willing to support increasing the number of years the sales tax is in effect to help fund larger ticket items, but would not support a permanent 1/8th cent Park Sales Tax: 17%
- I do not support any type of renewal of the Park Sales Tax: 25%

Q19a. Support for Amount of Years the 1/8th Cent Park Sales Tax Would Be in Effect Until Coming Back to a Vote for Renewal
(OF respondents who would increase amount of years, but do not want a permanent tax)
by percentage of respondents (excluding "don't know")


Q20. Demographics: Household Types
by percentage of respondents

Q21. Demographics: Age of Respondent
by percentage of respondents (excluding “not provided”)

- Under 35 years: 24%
- 35-44 years: 22%
- 45-54 years: 15%
- 55-64 years: 18%
- 65+ years: 21%


Q22. Demographics: Gender of Respondent
by percentage of respondents (excluding “not provided”)

- Male: 44%
- Female: 56%

Q24. Demographics: Amount of Registered Voters in Household

by percentage of respondents (excluding "not provided")

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of Voters</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>