

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION

Thursday, February 18, 2010, 7 p.m.

ARC, 1701 W. Ash

MINUTES

Commission Present: Terry Kloepfel, Marin Blevins, Bill Pauls, Dan Devine, Gary Kespohl, Sue Davis, Meredith Donaldson

Commission Absent: None

Staff Present: Mike Hood, Mike Griggs, Erika Coffman, Tammy Miller

Public Present: 22 in attendance, including media

Kloepfel called the meeting to order at 7:01 pm.

Agenda: The agenda was approved on a motion by Blevins, seconded by Donaldson.

Minutes: The minutes were approved on a motion by Devine, seconded by Pauls.

Monthly Report: Kloepfel asked about a report about a recent Bonnie View Park focus meeting? Griggs said staff had met with about four Audubon Society members to discuss the Bonnie View Park plan. It was designed to be a small meeting.

The January monthly report was approved on a motion by Blevins, seconded by Davis.

Public Hearing: Regional Park Master Plan

Kloepfel opened the public hearing by asking Hood to make a staff presentation.

Hood shared a Powerpoint presentation with the Commission and the public; first of all, highlighting the goals of the process, which were to involve the community in the park planning and make it community acceptable, incorporate state of the art best management practices (BMP) to protect the environment, and support high use active recreation facilities. Hood said this is why the land was purchased to begin with.

Hood defined a regional park as one with at least 200 acres or more that offers a diversity of recreational opportunities with natural settings, competitive uses, and can accommodate large numbers of people. Regional parks are also usually near major highways to easily handle higher volumes of traffic.

Hood said regional parks such as Cosmo Park typically have these features: athletic fields; natural areas; cultural areas are protected; shelters and playgrounds; trails with key connections to city-wide trail system; unique facilities like dog parks, ice rinks and pools; support facilities such as parking and restrooms. These parks are usually built in phases over a period of time such as 5-20 years.

Cosmo Park was developed over a 30-year period.

The need for a new regional park was identified in the 2002 Open Space Master Plan. That plan recommended that there be a new regional park be acquired and developed in southeast Columbia. That plan also identified the need for several facilities that we had no place to put them, Hood said. Some of those needs are athletic fields, tennis courts, golf course, indoor courts, nature center, chlorinated swimming in south Columbia, indoor ice rink and other recreational facilities.

This planning process for the new regional park began more than two years ago. It was a four-phase process with information collection with public meetings, concept development with more public comment, draft plan, and master plan approval. This draft master plan is in front of the Commission tonight. It is staff's recommendation but Hood added that it doesn't mean it is the final plan. Changes can still be made. Commission will solicit public comment tonight for their recommendation to the City Council. Planning and Zoning Commission will do the same thing. City Council will also have a public hearing before they make their final approval.

Hood showed a map of the park. It is a total of 460 acres and will consist of A. Perry Philips Memorial Park and Gans Creek Recreation Area. Certain key components were considered in the master plan process, including surrounding land use with Nifong Park, Rock Bridge Memorial State Park, a new Catholic high school, open space with planned development, the new Discovery Ridge proposed development. A new interchange on Highway 63 will provide excellent access, as well as the extension of Gans Road and Bristol Lake Parkway.

The Trails Plan was also considered with several potential links into the property that ties into the city-wide trail system. Links will also connect with Rock Bridge State Park.

A site analysis was conducted with analyzing flora and fauna and the topography of the site. Some key natural features were identified, such as steep slopes, native vegetation, wildlife habitat, and the Gans Creek corridor. Hood then shared some photos of the property to give the public a feel for the property, which was mainly used for agricultural purposes. The photos also showed the buildings on the Gans property and a small lake. The Department of Natural Resources assisted on a natural resources inventory. This inventory focused on the southern part of the site adjacent to the Rock Bridge State Park.

Another factor that affects the park planning is the fact that 17 acres of the site have been leased to the Department of Conservation for a nature center and regional office, in the corner of the tract off of Gans Road.

Hood reviewed the steps of the regional park planning process, which started in November 2007 with the first public hearings, leading to focus groups and surveys, and draft master plan options.

Hood then showed the plan, breaking it into the north and south parts of the park. Highlights of the proposed plan for Philips Park are fishing amenities with docks, boat ramp, parking, and restroom; trails, standard park amenities such as shelters, playgrounds, restrooms, etc.; indoor facilities such as ice rink, sports center, and aquatics center; graded practice facilities; indoor pavilion; and outdoor aquatic facility. The lake divides the park. Many of the fishing amenities and lake perimeter trail are already in place or under construction. North of the lake, if funding is available, there may be the indoor facilities close to Highway 63 that are highly visible. On the southern half of Philips, features include the shelters, playgrounds, and indoor pavilion. Hood showed photos of what some of these features may look like. This includes a 7.5 acre graded practice area that may be used for practices for soccer, football or lacrosse; or, possibly for tournaments. Hood said we have been approached about hosting large tournaments that we cannot accommodate right now with our current facilities. One modification was made to the Philips plan, and that was to add a connection to the west to Bristol Lake Parkway, if and when that road is built. Staff felt it would be beneficial to add an access point and it fits with the City's transportation plan. At one time, Cosmo Park had just one entrance and there was difficulty with traffic. There are three there now and traffic flows better, Hood said.

Hood moved to the south half of the park, the Gans Creek Recreation Area. Highlights include trails; standard park amenities such as playgrounds, shelters, restrooms, parking; hard surface courts; outdoor fields; natural preservation and creek buffer; dog park; and event open space. The area breaks into two zones, the northern part that is planned to be more active use that offers potential to develop facilities as the city grows. At this point, it is not known if the city would need more soccer fields, baseball fields, or any other sport, but more fields will be needed at some point, Hood said. Staff has tried to put as much flexibility in the plan as possible so that the areas could be developed into whatever is needed. Hood said the community will make that decision as the need arises. Some tennis courts are shown with a fenced dog park, some playgrounds and shelters placed strategically through the park. He showed photos of examples of type of facilities.

On the southern half of the property, Hood described the second use zone. He said it is a much more natural type of park with much of it set aside for nature area preservation. He showed a map of the Gans Creek that flows through the park and described a city ordinance that requires a stream buffer of 100 feet, which would equal about 27 acres. Staff feels this is a unique area and that Gans is a very sensitive system that is important to protect, with bluffs and Karst topography. Staff is recommending

the buffer zone total closer to 88 acres, about 61 acres more than what is required. This would be a managed area to ensure the desired habitat develops.

As far as the open space, staff envisions that as offering different options, with balloon launches, organized scouting campouts, practice fields or special events.

Modifications to the plan: draft proposed master plan was introduced to the public via two public meetings last September. It was well received by the public with the exception of a 17-acre equestrian area in the far southwest corner of the park. Comments were just about evenly split between those who supported the equestrian area and those who opposed the equestrian area. Some of the equestrian supporters felt that 17 acres was small, that they would like to have a larger area. Missouri Division of State Parks and the staff at Rock Bridge State Park had no official comment on this issue. Many comments were made about the Karst topography of the site. There were concerns raised about the compatibility of equestrian use as related to Karst. Staff confirmed that the area identified for equestrian use is located within a designated Karst area. The City has completed its natural resources inventory and that inventory identifies this particular area as a Karst region and recommends protection of the region. Staff has not completed a detailed mapping of all sinkholes in the area however, many of them have been identified.

Hood showed a map showing the Karst area. He detailed concerns with equestrian use and Karst topography. Best management practices can be used to protect the environment from storm water run off from parking lots and recreational amenities. However, protection of water that is enriched with waste from horses is more difficult to manage over a dispersed area. There was also concern with horseback riding in an area with sinkholes, with risks to horses and riders. The sinkholes are usually obscured. Rainwater can also be a concern as it can carry waste into caves, springs and limestone, harming sensitive habitats. The springs and drainages on the property input water into the creek which flows into the state park, the only home of the endangered pink planarian. In addition, horse droppings can introduce invasive species. Horses can also cause bank erosion. Ultimately, Hood said, from the staff's standpoint, it was debated at length. Staff felt there were two evenly divided, strongly held opinions. Staff felt that the equestrian use presented more concerns and risks than potential benefits. At this point, the equestrian area is not included in the plan. The area is now designated as a natural/open space area.

Hood said the plan was submitted to the Council on February 1. Council then forwarded the plan to the Parks and Recreation and Planning and Zoning Commissions for their comment and recommendation. Council will likely schedule a public hearing at some point. Hood then shared details on how the park would be developed. Presently, the department has \$407,500 in Park Sales Tax funds and a MDC grant for the development of the first phase of Philips. No other funds are available. A priority list of projects of the new park will be made for future funding opportunities. The current Park Sales Tax expires in March 2011. It is likely the Council will place it on the ballot for renewal, which is the next best opportunity for regional park funding. Council will have the final decision as to when and what projects are recommended for funding by the Park Sales Tax.

Hood concluded the presentation.

Kloppel thanked him and asked Commissioners for questions? There were none, so Kloppel welcomed the public, thanking them for coming. He set the ground rules, asking speakers to come to the podium and state their name and address. He asked them to sign the sheet on the table after speaking for our record. He asked speakers to keep their comments to 3 minutes in length. He asked for the first speaker to come forward.

David Bedan, 2001 Chapel Wood Road - Tonight, I'm speaking for the Columbia Audubon Society. I'm sure you know that the Audubon Society is very interested in preservation of natural habitat and natural open space so people can enjoy nature in open areas. We would have hoped for a bit more natural area in this park, especially around Philips Lake, this is a significant habitat for birds and was a good birding area. But we're pleased to see the southern end of the Gans Creek Recreation Area with a significant area of natural space and protection for Gans Creek. We were quite pleased to see the removal of the equestrian area and use. As an old horseman myself, I spent many a pleasant

hour in the saddle, but I also know that horses can be very destructive and other state parks are overused by horses and has ruined the trails and caused a great deal of erosion. It wasn't just the 17 acres that was at stake in the city park. There are two gates that would allow equestrian use in the state park and I don't think the state park is prepared to manage a huge influx of equestrian use. Overall, we as the Columbia Audubon Society does support the staff's proposed plan with one caveat, something to think about. In the future, if there is lighting of these recreational fields in Gans Creek Recreation Area, that you use the type of lighting that points illumination down to the ground and not spreading that across the sky. I know that's the trend that the City is going to and I would encourage that. Also, that there would never be lighting in this south corner that you are calling the open space event area. We'd prefer not to have that lighting next to a natural area. But with those few caveats, I think it's a pretty good plan and we support staff's efforts. Thank you.

Kloeppel thanked him and asked for questions?

Devine asked staff if there are plans for lighting the open event area?

Hood said no, not at this time. It would stay mowed and open. At some point as with all master plans, if the community ever desired, that could be amended. At this point, it will just be an open field.

Rob Nix, 1503 Stone Street – I'd like to first off thank the City Parks Department for all the efforts that have been put into this. I'd like to thank the Commission for holding this public hearing. The one issue I'm here tonight about, that I'm extremely concerned about and it seems to be some modifications to the last plan that I saw. I'm not exactly sure when the city...(pointing to the map) this area I believe right here, the line that is shown is a utility gas line.

Hood confirmed this.

Nix: Just south of there is an extremely unique sensitive area of the Gans Creek Wildlife Area. Possibly some of the maturest trees that are left within the basic Columbia city growth area. It's lovely here and this little pond here is off of it. The original plan previously showed these (athletic) fields coming down slightly further and one actually on this side of the lake if I remember. I was very concerned because it did not seem to be compatible as far as being a neighbor of this beautiful spot, showing a connector here to connect to the Gans area. There's also an extremely sensitive bluff with grotto cave areas here, all of which would be nice to have as a neighbor of similar use. Being that this new plan is showing things pop up (north) a little bit, that's good. I wanted to make sure that the concern over parking, lighting and things in that area, noise, activity and traffic could be pushed as far possible to the north as you can go. I believe that would be better compatibility. It would be a shame to ruin the feeling of this growth. It's a hidden wonder that most people aren't aware that is there. It would be nice to be able to have it join in with the future prospective use of this wonderful area.

Congratulations overall on the work that's been done by the staff on this.

Kloeppel thanked him and asked for questions? There were none.

Ken Midkiff, 1005 Belleview Ct – I'm the conservation chair for the Osage group of the Sierra Club. I'm speaking on their behalf. Generally speaking, we support the staff's recommendation. We are particularly pleased that the equestrian area is no more. Our concern has been, as Mr. Bedan's concern had been, that there would be access to the Gans Creek Wild Area from the equestrian area. We're pleased to see for other reasons, that it has been removed. We congratulate the staff and we are supportive. Thank you.

Kloeppel thanked him and asked for questions? There were none.

Jan Weaver, 412 1/2 West Walnut – I'm on the board of Friends of Rock Bridge State Park. I know that the state park staff and DNR could not comment, but we are very happy to see the horse area removed for the same reasons that have been expressed. We were concerned that it would increase access to the Gans Creek Wild Area. There has already been a lot of damage done, and we support this plan. We are pleased with the extreme corridor and the areas around it as well. Thank you.

Kloeppel thanked her and asked for questions? There were none.

Richard Shanker, 1829 Cliff Drive – I've been to many of these meetings. Mike, you did a good job; I've been to so many I think I could give the presentation myself. I'm a member of the Audubon Society and Sierra Club. I was at a meeting last night when it was revealed that this meeting would be held and that there is now an exclusion to the equestrian area. That's what I'm here to address. I was for the and still am, the equestrian area. The property as you know has been used for many years for livestock. One of the pictures there even showed some of their cows grazing right next to the creek. When it rains, it is not a pristine environment right now. The impact of all this development is going to have an impact on the stream, the whole area is Karst. By definition, my understanding of Karst is not just a 17-acre stretch, it's the whole area has the potential of being Karst. I'm not saying that you did not do a complete job or anything, but I'm sure that development could have an impact. As far as impact goes, unless we're just going to keep it the way it is and not do anything, there's potential for pollution in all parts of the park. I was concerned also about the focus groups, I didn't know if the horse group needs to register to become a focus group but for those who were at the first few meetings, there were tablets here and we all wrote down priorities. And at least a couple of the groups I was at named, that was a main goal was to have some area for horses. There were horses on the Crane property as well as cows. One of the features of a park is multi-use trails, I don't see why that can't be incorporated. Unless I'm mistaken, there are no city parks that allow horses right now. This is an opportunity, if you don't have the 17 acres, perhaps a perimeter trail all the way around. As far as that buffer area, that buffer area that we see here that is protected is very steep. I doubt and Mike correct me if I'm wrong, I doubt it could be developed for anything without a great amount of work. It is so steep and narrow. The best use is just to leave it as is. I can't see any trails or anything like that. One of the goals as you stated was active recreation. Horse-back riding is that. The restrooms, the people, everything there will cause some pollution. The 17-acre plot that is depicted is highly thick fescue. If you haven't been there, it is hard to get through fescue. You would have to have a lot of animals going through the stuff to impact as severely as down there in the bottoms where it is more gravelly and really percolates more. So I would propose that you reconsider this. I don't know what the focus groups were. Mike and I talked earlier today. I don't know if there was a horse focus group after all this came up but I'd appreciate an opportunity to get a focus group together and combat some of this. All of this came up last night when I attended the Audubon meeting. Another consideration is a horse trail around the perimeter of the north park and/or south park, or a fee structure, or some other compromise. Because it was originally part of the plan, the last I heard, and now in this last phase, it's been eliminated. If it was a 50-50 vote or group, then I think there needs to be more consideration. Because on the front end, there was extreme interest in horse trails. In the end, it's been eliminated. I can appreciate my fellow Auduboners, and Sierra Club people and all of that, but there is also a multi-use aspect to this park I hope you consider. Thanks.

Kloeppel thanked him and asked for questions? There were none.

Susan Flader, 917 Edgewood – I'm speaking as president of Missouri Parks Association, a statewide citizen organization to support the state park system. Our interest in this plan has been it's proximity to Rock Bridge State Park and the Gans Creek Wild Area. We are very pleased with the current recommendation to buffer Gans Creek and to provide for natural area development in that area and saving it from potential horse use. We applaud that decision. I agree that there is potential for run-off from any hard surfaces and I think that any building that is done and any hard surfaces need to have rain gardens, pervious pavers, whatever can be done to eliminate runoff into that creek. It is extremely important to protect it. Thank you very much.

Kloeppel thanked her and asked for questions? There were none.

Harold Anderson, 2100 Northland Drive – I'm an Audubon member. The Audubon Society would like to see the staff re-establish some of the habitat that is there, to get rid of the fescue and get something that is more conducive to habitat and wildlife. We would like to see that. That's all I have to say.

Kloeppel thanked him and asked for questions? There were none.

Mitch Skov, 407 West Blvd South – I'd like to thank parks staff for removing the equestrian area that was shown previously in the southwest portion of the plan. I appreciate that. I would like to emphasize that I would like to see more of this park area, mainly the southern area, be maintained in its natural state if possible. I'm also very, I have doubts as to whether the money is going to be available at any point soon if ever, to develop the park under the level that is being shown. That may be pessimistic but given the trends in revenue across the country, I'm very concerned that that will have a big impact on the final implementation of this plan. It may be better to temper expectations with that in mind. Again, with respect to the Karst topography and Gans Creek watershed, I would like to see a greater percentage of it in natural habitat.

Kloeppel thanked him and asked for questions? There were none.

Dee Dokken, 804 Again – I'm also very glad that the horse area was removed, that there was that consideration for the natural resource there. I have some miscellaneous things that some other people haven't said. I think that on this plan, where it says Rock Bridge Memorial State Park, it should also say Gans Creek Wild Area because that is important information. I'm also a little concerned with the two connectors, if they are MKT type trails, bike trails. I know that mountain bikes are not allowed in the state park, they're there, but they're not allowed. Again, this is a decision that it seems like the park should be making as to what type of trails. I'm wondering if when that guy was talking—I can't remember his name—when he was talking about that wonderful area in the corner of the Gans Creek Wild Area. I'm also concerned about this area (pointing to map), can this area be extended south. It seems like that would give more of a buffer. Thank you.

Kespohl asked if the other extended area show more buffer?

Hood located the buffer zone in question on the Gans map. He said at one point, staff showed some of the developed fields going down into this area (north of access). I don't know that it would be a big issue to extend it.

Marion Mace Dickerson, 3651 S. Ben Williams Road – I would also like to say that I'm glad that the equestrian option was removed for the reasons already stated. I had something else I wanted to mention that no one else has talked about tonight. That is the fact that there are several buildings on this property. I think it would be a very good use for one of the buildings to have a part of it used for nature programs for school kids. The park has some very interesting features with Karst topography and the lake. These are all things that would be very helpful for kids to go on field trips and study about and then have a place to go to look at displays of the natural areas. So that is just one thing I wanted to add that has not already been discussed.

Kloeppel thanked her and asked staff about the condition of the buildings?

Hood responded that there are three houses that were owned by the Crane family. The primary house is a brick house off of Gans, probably built in the 1950s/early 60s. It has some structural problems and is in the area where the main entrance to the park would likely be. Hood said staff looked at all three houses to determine possible use. Another house is located off the top of the bluff where it drops into Gans Creek watershed. That house is a multiple story house and is likely in the best condition. But it is almost impossible to make it accessible. It has multiple levels with just one or two rooms per level. It's a unique design, Hood said. Staff has talked about the possibility of an on-site staff person overseeing the park and using it in that way. The final decision has not been made. The third house is located in the corner on the far east edge. It is an earth contact house built in that era and never completely finished. It has moisture problems and it may have potential but would take a lot of work.

Hood added that staff had original thoughts about using a house for a nature center. One reason that staff stopped considering it, is the conservation education center that the Department of Conservation is planning on the edge of the property. Hood said they are planning two major classrooms and an

area for youth groups and classes. It is meant to be an area for environmental educational programs. It's not a nature center. But Hood said it would be difficult not to duplicate it. At this point, staff is not calling for any of the houses to be used as a nature center.

Griggs added that the MDC facility would be fully accessible with ample parking, and good access off of Gans Road.

Kloeppel asked for more comments. He closed the public hearing and opened the floor for Commission discussion.

Devine asked about extending the preserved area as had been discussed, a little farther north?

Hood said it was an open pasture with a little more rolling topography. It's planned for the trail system to link somewhere in that particular area.

Donaldson asked to formally propose that the shaded buffer area be extended north?

Hood said yes, staff could "square it" off. He asked Griggs if there concerns?

Griggs said no the line just mainly follows the treeline and there is some fencing. It seemed like the logical line at the time. If left alone, it would probably grow back.

Donaldson said she would like it included in the buffer and have it defined as that.

Staff agreed.

Donaldson asked if lighting needed to be specified?

Hood said the plan sets aside athletic fields, but doesn't say whether they will be lit or not. It depends on the type of fields built. If it's soccer or football, it's unlikely that they would ever be lit. If it does turn out there is a need for baseball or softball fields, they would be lit. He said staff would and is already using the most current state-of-the-art lighting technology. At Atkins, the lighting does not spill at all. He said staff is committed to that type of technology and it will only get better. The specific lighting and poles would come in the detailed design phase. The Master plan is meant to be a guide to identify the type of facilities that would be in the general areas of the park as appropriate. Hood said it really is not a detailed design. He said there could be written statements that development of this park should implement the most recent technologies for controlling light spill. Each type of facility will have different lighting demands as well. He said the goal statement mentions the state-of-the-art best management practices to protect the environment.

Donaldson said that statement covered her questions.

Pauls mentioned that he was at a lot of the initial meetings too. He said it seemed to be more leaning toward the equestrians then, about 70-30. He said it seemed that was the reason equestrian use was taken so seriously early in the process because of the response. He said if the meetings went to 50-50, he understood the progression. But now it seems like 90-10. He said he was a soil scientist so he understood the Karst protection concerns. He asked what happened in the progression of the interest? He wants to know what is representative of the community?

Hood agreed that there was a high turnout from the equestrian community; they organized and attended in large numbers and expressed their point of view clearly. He said the 50-50 that was alluded to, was total number of comments from web surveys, meeting attendees, etc. He said they were asked about how supportive they were and asked to rank them on the surveys. He said from a staff standpoint, it was about equal. Staff felt it could not be mixed use, it had to be one way or the other. The more staff researched the environment and Karst topography, it was decided that protection was the best recommendation.

Pauls asked about the analysis of soil maps and asked staff to consider USDA soils map of the area which would identify in detail of the area where the Karst area was and how much it would include. He would like staff to follow up on that.

Kloeppel said he agreed with leaving the equestrian part of it out but acknowledged there was a lot of interest. He said maybe it was something that could be pursued at another location. He agreed that this was not the right location for it. He said it seemed like a designated horse area would be better

served on its own, rather than in an area like this. He asked Hood if there were any other areas in the parks system that may work for a horse area?

Hood said that realistically the equestrian area needs to be a large area. Staff heard several options from the equestrians, depending on their interests. He said there was an interest in horse trails, which would mean a fairly lengthy system. There was also interest in an arena-type setting for practice and training show horses. There are some city parks in the state with these type of facilities. There was also interest in cross-country type area, which is what was first recommended at Gans. This is a large field with jumps placed randomly. Staff visited Queeny Park in St. Louis which has such a facility. Hood said since such a large area would be required, he is not sure there is that type of space in the current park system. Most of Columbia's parks are much smaller. The City does own some other land but it is not under the control of the park department.

Devine mentioned the discussions with Boone County and their parcels of land?

Hood agreed and said he did not know how big those parcels of land are.

Pauls said that may be a good partnership.

Donaldson said she had attended a meeting and knew of the amount of interest from the equestrians. She thought it would be useful to see what the needs are for equestrian use. It seemed that many of them were county residents so getting the county involved may be appropriate. She commended staff for all the work they did in researching equestrian areas and making sure of their recommendation. Pauls mentioned the connection of the trail to the state park. He said he would like to not see any concrete in that particular area.

Kloeppel asked Commissioners if they would like to take action tonight or come back at a future meeting. He said he thought they should go ahead and make the recommendation.

Kespohl said with a change to the buffer area, he would be ready to recommend approval.

Donaldson moved to recommend approval of the plan as proposed with the extension of the buffer area to include additional acreage located adjacent to the northeast corner of the Gans Creek Wild Area of Rock Bridge State Park. Pauls seconded the motion.

All in favor, motion passed 7-0.

Kloeppel reminded the public that the Commission's recommendation was not final. He encouraged them to attend the City Council public hearing (date to be determined) if they would like to express concern or support for the proposed master plan.

Council Questionnaire

Kloeppel asked the Commission for their input on the Council questionnaire. The first question asked if the description provided accurately identifies what the board does.

Donaldson said since she is relatively new to the commission, she questioned if there were other things that she or other commissioners should be doing, such as assisting with programming?

Kloeppel said essentially he viewed the Commission as supporting the staff in what they do on a daily basis.

Blevins said it seemed like most answers the Commission provided on last year's questionnaire would be sufficient.

Kespohl said he would like the Commission to have a better understanding over cost vs. revenue, fees and income. He'd like to know more if we're meeting cost recovery goals.

Pauls mentioned that future projects could include maintenance of current facilities and parks and addressing the park ranger position, trying to emphasize it's a priority to add another ranger.

Blevins mentioned it would be nice if Ranger Arens could come in from time to time to speak to the Commission.

Griggs said that would be possible and it may be good to have other staff members visit the Commission also. Commissioners agreed.

Kespohl added that another top priority for the Commission this year is the renewal of the Park Sales Tax. He asked if there is a list of projects compiled?

Hood said staff is working on an initial list. The Council gets very involved in that process. In 2005, the Council went through a three-month process of approving the project list. He said that staff has gotten permission to conduct a citizen survey that will help determine public sentiment and support. Kespohl asked if the Commission could speak on behalf of the Park Sales Tax?

Hood responded yes.

Park Naming Report

Hood reviewed the park naming memo and report with the Commission. He said he has communicated with the Smith family regarding the name of the Smith Waco Park and hopes to have information for the March meeting. He also shared a list of potential names that staff has compiled for the property at Ash and Clinkscapes, site of the ARC. Hood said staff will continue to try to confirm with the Shys that they do not wish to have naming rights on the property. If this remains the case, the Commission could consider the list of names at their March meeting.

Kespohl asked if the Ash and Clinkscapes site is a park?

Hood said staff generally used three terms: park, recreation area, and nature area. He said he would like to call it a park, as a recreation area is usually used for larger, high-use, active parks, such as Cosmo.

Devine moved to table the item until the March meeting, seconded by Pauls. All in favor, motion passed.

Council Items

Hood informed the Commission of these Council actions:

Derby Ridge Playground: cooperation with school district continues with new playground.

Osher partnership: We've collaborated with them for a few years on programming for seniors. They recently approached us on using our space at Stephens Lake Activity Center for their programs. There will be some revenue generated from that, as well as more exposure for our programs.

Capital Projects

Griggs shared these updates:

MKT Bridge: construction is proceeding quickly, thanks to the contractors' quick work Griggs said. Piling installation is being conducted.

Paquin Park: existing planters in the garden have been removed and new ones will be installed as weather permits.

Lake of the Woods: New ceramic tile flooring has been installed and upgrades have been made to the concession area.

Nifong Park: ADA fishing bulb-out is under construction.

Antimi Sports Complex: safety grates are being installed on the blue field to help prevent litter from falling between the concrete and fenced backstops.

Armory Sports Center: new benches have been placed in the gym.

Recreation Services Update

Coffman shared these updates:

Preschool programs: Enrollments have been strong lately, especially with holiday activities.

Adapted Community Recreation: Staff accepted a donation in December from the CARE@Paquin organization for \$5000, which will be invested in needed equipment.

Life Enrichment: Classes have been busy over the winter. A new Leisure Time activity guide comes out March 3.

Sports: A partnership with the Mid Missouri Lacrosse League will result in a new sport for kids in grades 5th-8th.

Armory: Programs going well there, including Movie Night and Boone County Hoppers.

Blue Thunder Track Club: training has begun for the spring and summer.

Upcoming events: list of special events coming up, including Easter activities and the Train Show.

Kespohl asked how the Blue Thunder Track Club worked?

Coffman said it began as a small group funded largely by donations, but it has grown substantially. A governing board is in place to oversee fund-raising and donations. Our contribution is mainly staff support and transportation.

Kloppel asked if the new lacrosse leagues would be run as our other youth leagues?

Coffman answered yes, that we would provide facilities and some promotion, but they handle their own registration and operations.

Commission Comments

Blevins and Pauls complimented Hood and Kloppel on the presentation and the conduction of the public hearing.

Kloppel asked about recent news stories regarding the CYBA pursuing a building at the Fairgrounds?

Hood said the scope of the project has changed and they are now planning a four-court facility which will require less funding. He said he thought they needed to raise \$1.5 million. He said staff would likely try to meet with the CYBA soon to find out more details.

Staff Comments

Hood reminded the Commission of the upcoming MPRA Conference.

Public Comments

Dee Dokken spoke as a representative of the City's visioning commission. She said she is on the parks and recreation committee and would like to receive feedback from the Parks and Recreation Commission on indicators that had been compiled as well as next steps for implementation. She requested to be placed on the agenda for the March meeting.

Donaldson asked if anything is in place?

Dokken answered yes, that she could email what the committee had compiled so far.

Hood suggested she email information to Miller, who could distribute to the Commission.

Meeting adjourned at 9:33 pm.