

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION

Thursday, August 21, 2008, 7 p.m.

ARC, 1701 W. Ash

MINUTES

Commission Present: Terry Kloepfel, Dan Devine, Dennis Knudson, Gary Kespohl

Staff Present: Mike Hood, Mike Griggs, Gary Ristow, Tammy Miller, Karen Ramey, Sarah Bowman

Kloepfel called the meeting to order at 7:05 pm.

Agenda: The agenda was approved on a motion by Kespohl, seconded by Devine.

Minutes: The July minutes were approved on a motion from Devine, seconded by Kespohl.

Monthly Report: Kespohl asked about Diamond Council and golf numbers on the monthly report?

Ristow said the Diamond Council report indicated the number of kids participating.

In response to the golf question, Hood said the numbers are down in general including greens fees and pass rounds. Hood said the numbers were low coming out of the winter and they have not been made up yet, in part due to rain.

The monthly report was approved on a motion by Devine, seconded by Knudson.

Special Request: Comment by Richard Craghead, President of Paquin Recreation Council and President of Paquin Residents Association

Craghead introduced himself to the Commission and stated he was in attendance seeking support in saving the program at Paquin from elimination.

Craghead: Our program started in the early 1970s. We have people with disabilities of various kinds. The program started to help adapt our people to a better way of life. Some of the programs that go on there are ceramics, painting. We have Wii games. About 30 percent of our people are in wheelchairs and they are not really capable to go out to the ARC and other facilities, and they are of limited income. We have to do our recreation there. We try to give back to the community in various ways. Throughout the year, we knitted hats and we take them to area shelters at Christmas time. We have been doing the Santa hotline for many years. Our residents go and support the Halloween event at Hearn's and we try to give back to the community wherever possible. And we just would like to be able to continue and I am seeking your support in contacting your City Councilperson to try to keep this program going. Are there any questions?

Kloepfel: How many people use the program?

Craghead: I think it is about 3,500 a month.

Kloepfel: How many residents?

Craghead: About 190.

Kloepfel: And of those, how many would you say participate?

Craghead: At various times, we have...a lot of the time, we have people who just can't come. We have a woman who is quadriplegic and paints, but she doesn't come down all the time because she just doesn't feel well. But whenever they can, people come down to participate. I would say probably 40 percent of the people who live there come down and participate.

Devine: Have you talked to the Housing Authority about anything they can do to help?

Craghead: They say there is nothing they can do but it's been addressed to them.

Devine: And you're not getting any kind of support or idea that they'd be willing to help out?

Craghead: Nothing publicly.

Devine: You have gone and talked to them, similar to what you're doing now?

Craghead: They say that their funds are set aside, and there is no more.

Knudson: Indicated that he had received a letter from Jane Wade with similar sentiments that Mr. Craghead had been stating.

Ristow: I can answer a couple of questions...there are a little over 200 residents at Paquin Tower facilities and I think the 40 percent estimate of participation is a pretty fair estimate. If you're looking at participation numbers, please look at page 5 of the monthly report. The participation numbers that you see there for Paquin...if one person attends 30 programs in one year, they are counted 30 times. In reference to Dan's question about the Housing Authority, we had a conversation with them. They have had to cut 10 full-time positions over the past couple of years so they are in dire circumstances as well.

Hood: I got the same answer you did (addressing Craghead).

Ristow: I think the only other question raised was about the budget. When the Housing Authority opened Paquin Tower, Oak Towers, Blind Boone Center and also Bear Creek Center, one of the provisos of the federal government was that there had to be a recreation program in place at the time they opened up. The City entered into agreements with all four of those facilities to satisfy that recreation requirement. Since then, we were asked to leave Bear Creek Center and Blind Boone Center, and we knew the writing was on the wall for Oak Towers, so we moved that program out to Parkade, and only recently, that became the Stephens Lake Activity Center. That was under the direction of a different administrator of the Housing Authority at the time. During his tenure, the relationship was a little rocky. We were still doing our thing but this relationship with Paquin...we've been there since October of 1970.

Kespohl: Is that a federal mandate and is it still in force?

Ristow: I would imagine... I know the Blind Boone program has struggled over the years with outside organizations. The Boy Scouts at one time were there. None has had the stability that the Parks and Recreation Department has had.

Hood: The way I understood it was there had to some kind of guarantee of a recreation program before they would issue the funds to allow the buildings to be built. Once the buildings were built, I'm not real sure whether there is a mandate that the program had to continue forever. We'd have to defer to the agreement to know the actual answer but I suspect that there wasn't anything that said for 40 years that they had to have it, one way or the other.

Knudson: What other communities of our size continue programs like this one?

Hood: I don't know. I don't how many housing authorities do this or other parks and recreation departments. But the history here in Columbia is that the city stepped in to fill that role.

Ristow: I think the Central Missouri Agency on Aging, with their meal proviso, there is a similar requirement that recreation is supposed to be provided. They have chosen to go independently with the Senior Center. It's supposed to be more than just a residential program. I'm quite certain that the requirement that there be some type of recreational program would still be in place.

Kespohl: Is there a portion of our budget funded by the city that is not park tax and user fee?

Hood: We'll be going through that in detail in just a few minutes. But out of \$12 million budget, about half comes from the general fund. I'll try to touch on that as we go through. I think it totals

Ristow: The crux of the issue is that the enterprise services fund which houses most of the recreational programs is the one that is in question. It is run much like a checkbook. If you're running out of money, you have to cut back on your expenses.

Kespohl: I think you saw where I was going with that...if it's park tax money and the City Council is wanting that for a discretionary fund, I've got a problem with that. If it's money that the City Council allocates to the Parks and Recreation Department and they're cutting that back, then they've got every right to do that.

Hood: They're not cutting park tax money. We're spending every penny of that.

Kloeppel: While we're on the subject of Paquin recreation, is there anyone else here who would like to speak to that right now?

Ristow: I wanted to add...I know a couple of you remember Karen Ramey, who is the program supervisor in this area and I'd like to introduce Sarah Bowman who is the recreation specialist at Paquin. Both of them do a great job.

Kloeppel: Sarah, if you wouldn't mind, I have a couple of questions. It seems like from what I know, is that while we do a lot of recreational things with residents, we are also providing transportation to various places?

Bowman: Yes, we go on a couple of restaurant trips every month. It's usually a packed bus. We do things that a lot of these people can't get out and do on their own. A lot of them have fears of city transit and that's something that we're working on, to try get them more used to city buses. But we do go on trips to Amish country because a lot of them can't get out and see that on their own. It's educational and social at the same time.

Ristow: We also do trips to Wal-Mart and the grocery store.

Bowman: Yes.

Kloeppel: I'm having a hard time understanding why that would be what Parks and Recreation should be paying for.

Ramey: When I started as supervisor, I had the same question. Once I realized that this is a social outing. It's a way for them to get their food and supplies, and for many of them, it's the only way they can. If they had a choice between going to a park and walking or going to Wal-Mart, they choose Wal-Mart. It's the only way they have to get there. The City bus picks people up on the outer road and they can't get to it. If they can't function, they can't participate in recreation. It took me a long time to get to that point of understanding why we're doing that but we have been for long time. Richard, can you attest to that?

Craghead: Yes, a lot of people here can't even go to grocery stores because of walkers and wheelchairs.

Ramey: It seems like an odd thing for us to do. And maybe it's something we have to stop doing. But it is a social activity at the same time. If you don't understand how many of these people live, then it's hard to understand it, because it took me a long time too. I think for a lot of them, there are so many people with mental illness there, about 80 percent, just getting on a bus is just a huge deal. To go with the Parks Department on a bus is a way to get out. It seems like to you and I something that should be done on their own. But that's how I've come to understand it. That's the one thing that we're doing is questionable. But the rest of it is going to the parks, going to restaurants.

Ristow: A lot of the things we're doing there are not typical recreation programs. Sarah and her staff are counselors, hold their hands, helping them do things on their own. It goes way beyond recreation.

Kloeppel: So have we always had one full-time person?

Ristow: When I started here, we had a three-quarter time person, but at the time we were running the Special Olympics program as part of that. A lot of the Special Olympians actually resided at the facility. We don't find that quite as much anymore. That is housed here at the ARC with one full-time person. We've had dozens of part-time people running arts and crafts, being at the front desk and so on.

Devine: Sarah, how long have you been working there?

Bowman: It will be three years next month.

Devine: Have you seen your job transition?

Bowman: The previous person was more into promoting social times. I'm more into the therapeutic end...I try to think of creative ways to involve exercise and helpful.

Devine: Is there a job description?

Hood: Yes, it's classified as a recreation specialist and there is a specific description with the type of duties that Sarah performs at Paquin.

Ristow: I think we have 8 or 9 recreation specialists with all different job descriptions.

Kloppel: I'm a little torn. I know it's important to the residents who are there and I guess I almost agree with Marin, who is not here and sent an email which you all have, about trying to come up with an alternative plan to do partial funding. That's kind of what I'd like to see. It seems there are certain things we've done all along because that's the way it's gone but I understand they have to be able to meet their basic needs to be able to do recreational activities. On the other hand, it seems like we are maybe funding some things that I still have a problem with. That's my two cents.

Ramey: There are also a lot of people who come in from the outside to do our ceramics program, which is the only location for this in our department. We also have people who come in for our acrylic painting class. We have special events like Easter, the music festival, the art show, the Train show, Santa hotline, a lot of things we do that are recreation. The special needs class at Hickman come in.

Griggs: Sarah is also our ambassador at the park there for Paquin. She runs interference for a lot of public use of the park and the gardens.

Devine: Sarah, how do you see things being affected there if we were to just partially fund?

Bowman: I don't see it being affected that much because they would still have a recreational program to provide something.

Devine: So you could still envision something if it was a couple of times a week?

Ramey: The problem is is that most of the funding is for Sarah's salary. If you don't fund her salary, that position is gone.

Kloppel: So—I'm just throwing things out here—there wouldn't be an option where she could be half-time there and half-time somewhere else?

Hood: We'd love to find that option, Terry, if we could find a way. But at this point, the position is assigned full-time to Paquin and if that program is eliminated, all the funding for that position goes. That's the real negative side of this whole thing. A large percentage of the net budget reduction is salaries and personnel cost.

I don't know if you want to take action or look at whole budget.

Knudson: I'd like to look at whole budget presentation before making a decision.

The Commission agreed.

Budget FY'09 Presentation / Review

Hood opened the budget presentation with a Powerpoint presentation. Copies of the Parks and Recreation budget had been mailed to the Commission.

The City Manager released his budget on July 31. The August meeting is the Commission's opportunity to make recommendations and comment to the City Council regarding the budget.

The total proposed budget for FY 09 is \$12,598,129, a one percent increase from FY'08. Hood reviewed how the budget ids formatted into general fund and recreation services fund. The general fund budget is down slightly at \$4.9 million. That total includes parks sales tax for the budget year, which is \$1,045,000. The recreation services fund is at \$7.7 million, a slight increase. That includes a subsidy of \$1.6 million from the general fund, a subsidy of \$637,735 from park sales tax and \$1.6 million of maintenance support

from the parks division.

Hood outlined significant changes to the proposed budget, including the elimination of the Paquin recreation program and full-time position, closing Lake of the Woods swimming pool, and fee increases for adult sports, recreation classes, some golf fees, swimming pool rentals, Riechmann Pavilion rentals, Rock Quarry House rentals, athletic field rentals and ARC fees.

Devine asked how the parks sales tax affects the recreation services fund and how it is determined?

Hood replied that it is a staff recommendation although Council ultimately makes the final decision.

Devine asked if that could change?

Hood said yes, it could.

Devine said he understood why the deficit is budgeted because staff is being conservative. He wondered what would happen if there is a deficit at this time next year?

Hood answered that there is still a cushion, but staff is trying to keep a cushion to avoid making severe cuts in many programs. There is a line item for working capital which is monitored closely by finance to ensure it does not hit zero. It's not unusual to submit a deficit budget. However, that gap cannot continue to widen year after year.

Kespohl asked about what the department charges for lights in athletic field rentals?

Hood said a per hour light fee might be a possibility at some point. Ristow added that there was an extra fee if the lights are used for after 8 or 9 pm. It represents about \$6 per game for the leagues. On soccer fields without lights, it's about \$50 per game. With lights, it's \$85.

Kespohl commented that at Rock Bridge High School, there is a \$250 per hour fee for athletic field lighting. He said that somewhere between Parks and Recreation and Rock Bridge, there is a number that people would live with.

Devine commented that he had received a call from a senior citizen about the individual senior fee at the ARC being just slightly lower than the couple rate.

Hood remarked that that particular fee has had more comment than any other and is likely out of line with the rest of the ARC pricing structure. The consultant is coming to that same conclusion. The new proposed fees for the ARC include a 10 percent increase for the couple senior fee, which is a higher increase than the rest of the fees. However, Hood added that the fee would need to be increase over a period of time incrementally to be palatable for the public.

Kespohl asked about green fees not being increased?

Hood said that it was staff's thought that this was not the best year to raise greens fees, with the economy in its current state. Golf staff felt it would be more hurtful to raise those fees at this time.

Ristow added that those fees were raised just two years ago.

Hood said he felt confident that next year, staff would consider an increase in the golf fees. The budget is not a one-year problem.

Hood said that Council has planned a work session next Wednesday night, August 27. He is sure that Paquin and Lake of the Woods pool cuts will be discussed, among other things.

Kespohl commented that he agreed with the proposed closing of the Lake of the Woods pool, as it seemed like more of a community pool than a city wide pool. He would hope it could re-open at some point in time.

Hood said he hated to see the closure of any facility but that is the smallest facility with the lowest attendance and is technically outside the city limits. Staff had to make tough calls, but this facility seems the most logical to be targeted for closure. When looking at programs that are heavily subsidized, it's Community Recreation, senior programs, Paquin, and Special Olympics. Those are all extremely valuable

programs serving high needs, Hood said, but with little opportunity to generate revenue. Ideally, Council would restore the money to run these programs, but that will be up to Council.

Devine asked Ramey what the effect would be if part-time help at Paquin would be cut?

Ramey said one part-time person has already retired and moved to the Lake, so that salary would already be saved, because that position is not being filled. The painting program is one that could be looked at but the ceramics program is the only one being offered and is well-attended. She commented that removing transportation is another option. She thought it could be whittled down to about \$65,000.

Devine commented it was just too valuable a program to cut and thinks the city should fund it, whether it's Parks and Rec or someone else, but it needs to continue with the full-time position.

Ramey commented it's one of the most valuable programs we have because it serves such a basic need for people.

Ristow added that this program is the lifeline of the residents at Paquin. Ramey and her staff are looking at transportation alternatives and looking at different sources.

Devine said he is in agreement with the closure of Lake of the Woods, if something has to be cut, although he hates supporting the cut.

Kespohl asked about the transportation cost of Paquin?

Ristow said the fuel and such is not really tracked.

Ramey said the driver is paid about \$3500 a year.

Ristow said the big buses are being phased out and smaller vans being implemented. He commented that he wished the Commission could spend some time at Paquin, because the residents there are so gracious and appreciative of what is done for them.

Ramey told the Commission they would be speaking at the Sept 2 Council meeting and had a rally planned in front of City Hall on August 29.

On a motion by Devine, seconded by Knudson, the Commission acknowledged that the tight budget for the new fiscal year means that changes need to be made. Devine moved that the Commission support the proposed closing of the Lake of the Woods Swimming Pool for the 2009 season and the Commission support all proposed fee increases as outlined in the budget.

Devine further moved that the Commission does not recommend the elimination of the Paquin Tower recreation program, stating that the program provides a valuable service. The Commission recommends that funding for the Paquin Tower recreation program including the full-time staff position be restored, and that Parks and Recreation Department staff be asked to provide further recommendations as to adjustments that could be made to reduce the overall cost of the Paquin program.

The motion passed, 4-0.

Major Roadway Plan Review – Cunningham Road

Hood told the Commission that Councilman Wade has proposed removing the Cunningham Road extension from the City's Major Roadway Plan and the CATSO Plan. The Council voted to ask the Parks and Recreation Commission for their recommendation, as the extension cuts through the Russell property,

which is slated to become a city park. Hood shared a bit of history about the Russell property. In 2002, after public hearings, four options were presented for the park on the property. At the time, the Commission recommended an option (Option A) without the road. Another option being considered was Option C, which showed the road. The City Council held a work session to discuss the master plan of the park. That issue was tabled and has not been discussed since.

Hood said the primary controversy was whether Cunningham Road should be extended or not. He said at this time, the budget plans for the development of the park to begin in FY 2010, so the park master plan will be back before the Commission at some time in the next few months. He said the issue before the Commission tonight was simply asking their input on the road extension.

Knudson commented that the Bike and Pedestrian Commission discussed it at a meeting on Wednesday (Aug 20). They had voted to keep the street out of the property.

Devine remarked that he did not like Option C for the park in 2002. He would like to see the road extension off the plan.

Devine moved that the Commission recommend to City Council the removal of the Cunningham Road extension from the City's Major Roadway Plan and the CATSO Plan. The motion was seconded by Knudson.

The motion passed, 4-0.

Council Items

Hood commented that Council authorized solar lighting at the ARC parking lot. The lights should be installed this fall.

Capital Project Update

Griggs shared these updates:

Nickell Golf Course: hail-damaged roof was replaced at the Clubhouse.

Russell Elementary playground: new equipment was installed.

Cosmo-Bethel: construction continues on the new restroom, new tennis courts, and large shelter.

Cosmo: Restrooms are being replaced near the shelters. These restrooms will be heated which will extend the rental season for the shelters and generate more revenue.

Stephens Lake utility building: Work is complete.

Oakland Park water slide: A canopy was added to the top to provide some shade for patrons and the lifeguard stationed there.

Auburn Hills Park: playground has been installed.

Atkins: bleacher pads have been installed and a drainage ditch was dug for stormwater runoff. The walls and roof deck of the utility building are complete.

Valleyview Park: trail improvements are being made.

Commission Comments

Devine reported to the Commission on the meeting with the City Council on July 26. He and Marin Blevins attended the meeting. Devine said it went well. Council is interested in the responsibilities of the

Commission and the document that states that.

Hood said it is part of city code and the charter.

Devine commented that it was written 20 years ago and is outdated. So, Council is suggesting that at some point, the Commission updates it to reflect more on what they're actually doing. Devine suggested doing that at a future meeting.

He said he was able to discuss with them a land dedication ordinance. He knows it has been discussed in the past and the Council members in attendance that day seemed receptive to looking at some type of proposal from the Commission. Devine would like to come up with a proposal and send to City Council. He asked Hood if he had information.

Hood said he had a large file and has attended seminars on trends in that area. He said he would gather some information possibly in time for the September meeting. He said many communities have this and the development community is usually reluctant to support it when it has come up in Columbia.

Devine said it did not have to be a fast track, but he feels the Council is very receptive to it and would like to move on the issue.

Kespohl thanked staff for the ramp on the curb cut at the end of Walnut at Stephens Lake Park. He has seen it used three times already.

Kloeppel said he has had a couple of people asking about CYBA and the fundraising for their building.

Hood said he just heard from CYBA in recent days. The cost of the facility had grown from \$2 million to \$6 million. They had a consultant tell them they could not raise that much money.

Staff Comments

Hood commented that the Heritage Festival will be held September 20-21.

Public Comments

Kurt Albert, 400 E. High Point Lane, commented on the naming of Oakland Park. He shared documents with the Commission and asked if the naming of Albert-Oakland Park has been before the Commission.

Kloeppel said not that he recalled.

Hood said if Albert was asking if in the last 40 years, he did not know. But in recent years it has not.

Albert said he has been working really hard on this issue and is going on little sleep, so that if they find errors, please let him know. He told the Commission he had attended the August 18 Council meeting and made comment. He shared those exact comments with the Commission...a transcription is attached to the back of these minutes.

Following the formal presentation, Albert informed the Commission that some documents in their folders have not been shared with Council yet. He has a request pending with the City Clerk for more documents, with more requests coming. He said he watched Council on Monday night struggle very hard over every word in some of the bills. He thinks that past Councils worked equally hard and understood what they were saying when they said "whereas the city of Columbia, Missouri is developing a park to be known as Albert-Oakland Park." Albert said they did this twice, in a resolution and in an ordinance. He said he has been told that resolutions and ordinances have the power of law. He said this issue goes to the heart of the parks and recreation department and the city. Albert said he has tried to find a regulation requiring that a resolution is needed in naming a park but he has gone to the city attorney and he's never seen it. Albert

said he went to talk to City Manager Bill Watkins and he was unable to provide him with a copy of it. He plans to schedule another meeting with the City Manager but does not believe such a regulation exists.

Albert shared a resolution he drafted that he would like the Commission to adopt naming the park Albert-Oakland Park on the entire park and the facilities. He said if the Commission could not pass the resolution tonight, he asked that the Commission would ask the Council to table any action on the naming of the park until such time that Albert can deliver more documents to the Commission and the Council. He would like to meet with some ward residents and hoped this would be the starting point for some discussions with some ward residents. He said he had hundreds of other documents that he would be glad to share. He thanked the Commission and asked for questions.

Kloepfel asked if the park was in 3rd ward?

Albert said the park itself sat in the 2nd ward, verified by staff. He said he has met with some residents. He said he thought that if the Council or any body passed a resolution or ordinance with whereas, that should be a sufficient naming. He said it probably would have been sufficient with a different name. He said he was 13 when the land was given away and he had siblings as well. He said his family were not wealthy people but had land. He said 40 of the 70 acres came directly from the Alberts and in today's dollars, it would be about \$1 million. At that time when his family sold it for \$1800 an acre, Jimmy Sears was selling a quarter acre lot across the street for \$10,000, Albert said. He added that investments from that money would have helped his family. He said his mother was 91 and did as well as could be expected, using a walker and lives in a home. Albert said he did not want to paint too bleak a picture about his family's sacrifice, but the money would have gone a long way to educate seven children.

Kespohl asked Hood when this could go before Council?

Hood said it may be at their next meeting on September 2 but didn't know for sure. The Council had a report that was submitted to them early in July. They asked staff to bring a resolution back which would officially name the property.

Albert said it was also true that none of his family members were aware of this He said he talked to Councilman Karl Skala and was hoping to get a heads-up if something happened. It was a report past midnight.

Hood said it was a staff report.

Albert asked if it was fair to say that 90 percent of the documents he presented tonight or more, was not included in that report, including that resolution?

Hood responded that most of what Albert said, he has no disagreement with. He said that there has been an interpretation in the past that the whereas clause does not officially name a park. Hood said it's "to be known as" but then what the resolution or ordinance establishes is down further in the ordinance. He does not know if that is a correct legal interpretation but no document has been found that actually says this ordinance or this resolution names the park.

Kespohl asked why this is coming up for renaming?

Hood said there was direction given to staff several years ago that only a portion of the park was to be known as Albert Park, the part that was donated. He added that there was no question in the deed that where the 20 acres was given, it says that it should be known as C.M. Albert Memorial Park.

Albert affirmed this was true and added that the family knew it was going to be a park purchase, after the donation made in 1964.

Hood said additional land was acquired in 1972.

Albert said that land was purchased in 1972.

Devine asked where the 20 acres is?

Hood said he did not have a park map with him, but one could be sent out to the Commission. He said it was on the southern side of the park, on the south side of the street where Parker Street stubs in.

Kespohl said with donated land, it was usually named after the person donating it, and purchased land was usually not named for the person?

Hood said that has been the city's policy in the past. But the question was that back in the 60s and 70s, no one was quite sure what the policy was. It was known, Hood said, that it was called Albert-Oakland Park through the mid-80s. However, no official record has been found with an ordinance or resolution whose purpose was naming the park.

Albert disagreed.

Hood acknowledged that disagreement and said he would defer, and let the legal people rule on that.

Albert said no law exists on that, or at least it is not written down. He added that he has heard that the 3-minute rule on public comment before City Council was the Paul Albert rule. He said it was also true that no city can allow a filibuster and he has seen some lawyers do just about that at the Council meetings. He added that this rule of naming is the Ray Beck rule and the Bill Watkins rule. He said there is no such rule except in the minds of these two gentlemen. He asked the Commission to decide this, since it's a moral issue. He said without the Alberts' doing this, there would be no park there.

Hood said it was Mr. Beck's interpretation that only the City Council had the authority to name City property. He said the question was did the City Council ever name the property Albert-Oakland Park or how was that name established? He said he fully agreed with every ordinance Albert showed, but said he could pull some ordinances about the same time that refers to the park as Oakland Park.

Albert said there was one that talked about the master plan of Oakland.

Kespohl asked Hood to see the Oakland reference documents.

Kloepfel asked the Commission for a recommendation and said he felt comfortable in asking Council to delay action.

Hood commented that traditionally Council refers items to the Commission for recommendation, but he felt it would be appropriate to ask for a delay.

Albert said it was impossible to predict the will of the Council, but he suspected this might go down in flames. He said it was bad enough, and he has plenty of documentation.

Kespohl made a motion, seconded by Devine, that Commission recommend to City Council that the naming be tabled until the Commission can discuss it at the September 18 meeting.

Albert said he would share his contact information if there are any questions.

Meeting adjourned at 9:45 pm.