

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL
701 E. BROADWAY, COLUMBIA, MISSOURI
MAY 20, 2013**

INTRODUCTORY

The City Council of the City of Columbia, Missouri met for a regular meeting at 7:00 p.m. on Monday, May 20, 2013, in the Council Chamber of the City of Columbia, Missouri. The Pledge of Allegiance was recited, and the roll was taken with the following results: Council Members HOPPE, MCDAVID, SCHMIDT, TRAPP, SKALA, THOMAS and NAUSER were present. The City Manager, City Counselor, City Clerk and various Department Heads were also present.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

The minutes of the regular meeting of May 6, 2013 were approved unanimously by voice vote on a motion by Mr. Skala and a second by Mr. Trapp.

APPROVAL AND ADJUSTMENT OF AGENDA INCLUDING CONSENT AGENDA

Ms. Hoppe asked that B115-13 be moved from the consent agenda to old business. Mr. Skala asked that B125-13 and B126-13 be moved from the consent agenda to old business.

The agenda, to include the consent agenda with B115-13, B125-13 and B126-13 being moved to old business, was approved unanimously by voice vote on a motion by Ms. Nauser and a second by Mr. Skala.

SPECIAL ITEMS

Columbia Arts Foundation Update.

Ms. Rhodes provided a history and status of the Columbia Arts Foundation, which was established at the September 12, 2012 Council Meeting and whose mission was to provide resources to individuals and organizations that fostered the practice, education, accessibility and appreciation of the arts for the benefit of the citizens of Columbia. Mr. Stevens explained the purpose of the Columbia Arts Foundation was to provide long term funding for the arts by supplementing the Office of Cultural Affairs funding received from the City and the Missouri Arts Council in order to expand the programs and services offered. He provided the Council the brochure and a mail back envelope they planned to use to solicit donations, and showed a video that had recently been created by the City Channel staff for the Columbia Arts Foundation. He commented that "Arts and Drafts," a kick-off event and fundraiser for the Foundation, would be held Thursday, May 23, 2013, and involved a Missouri craft beer tasting and gallery crawl within downtown Columbia and an after party at MoJo's. He noted they planned to solicit gifts more heavily after this event. Ms. Rhodes pointed out the Office of Cultural Affairs would invest \$5,000 of its general fund savings to the Columbia Arts Foundation.

APPOINTMENTS TO BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

Upon receiving the majority vote of the Council, the following individuals were appointed to the following Boards and Commissions.

BOARD OF ELECTRICAL EXAMINERS

Andrade, MacKenzie, 1608 Whitburn Drive, Ward 5, Term to expire August 1, 2013

BOARD OF PLUMBING EXAMINERS

Shanker, Richard, PO Box 10202, Ward 6, Term to expire May 31, 2015

COLUMBIA HOUSING AUTHORITY BOARD

McCollum, Mary Anne, 601 N. William Street, Ward 3, Term to expire May 31, 2017

Robinson, Edward, 1100 Kennesaw Ridge Road # 301, Ward 2, Term to expire May 31, 2016

DISABILITIES COMMISSION

Graham, Charles, 102 W. Green Meadows, Ward 5, Term to expire June 15, 2016

Price, Cheryl, 511 Parkade Boulevard, Ward 2, Term to expire June 15, 2016

Weston, Dovie, 4316 Forum Boulevard, Ward 5, Term to expire June 15, 2016

Zeterberg, Dawn, 608 Hunt Avenue, Ward 1, Term to expire June 15, 2016

ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY COMMISSION

Ms. Hoppe made a motion to readvertise the vacancies for the Environment and Energy Commission. The motion was seconded by Mr. Schmidt and approved unanimously by voice vote.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Jones, Douglas, 601 S. Glenwood Avenue, Ward 4, Term to expire September 1, 2014

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION

Hutton, Linda, 2252 Country Lane, Ward 3, Term to expire May 31, 2016

Pauls, William, 306 Whitetail Drive, Ward 2, Term to expire May 31, 2016

PERSONNEL ADVISORY BOARD

Cox, Stephen, 2205 Oak Harbor Court, Ward 5, Term to expire November 30, 2015

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION

Peters, Ann, 3808 Berrywood Drive, Ward 3, Term to expire May 31, 2017

Stanton, Anthony, 315 LaSalle Place, Ward 1, Term to expire May 31, 2018

SCHEDULED PUBLIC COMMENT

Alice Edwards – Speed of travelers near Parkade and Grant Schools and safety concerns.

Alice Edwards did not come forward to speak.

Justin Thomas – Stormwater and stream buffer ordinances.

Justin Thomas, 202 W. Sexton Road, provided a depiction of how stormwater was dealt with in the 1960's in terms of the installation of a box culvert in order to contain water and move it as quickly as possible, and how it was handled now, as there had been a change in approach in terms of a more natural representation of the landscape to keep the water in place. He commented that Flat Branch Creek extended north of Broadway even though it appeared as though it did not and showed how where a stream was in his neighborhood. He noted the City had established a stream buffer ordinance in January of 2007, which included stream buffer requirements for new developments depending on the stream type, and asked the Council to keep this mind throughout the year in terms of development. He explained the best method of stormwater management was to preserve, restore and mimic the natural processes and by generating as little stormwater as possible. If this was considered when developing infrastructure, he thought they could improve and protect water quality. He reiterated this was a change in philosophy from moving water as soon as possible to keeping water in place for a longer period of time so it can go through the natural processes.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

(A) Voluntary annexation of property located on the west side of Greenfield Court within Country Meadows Subdivision (3261 Greenfield Court).

Item A was read by the Clerk.

Mr. Teddy provided a staff report.

Mayor McDavid asked if there was only one other lot within Country Woods that was within the City limits. Mr. Teddy replied one lot was subject to an annexation agreement, so it was not within the City limits at this time, but would be upon becoming contiguous. Mayor McDavid asked if the City would assume police protection for the only house in the City within the subdivision. Mr. Teddy replied yes, if an investigation was necessary. He noted the Boone County Sheriff's Department would respond if there was trouble at that residence or anywhere in the subdivision like they did now as they had the authority to enforce the law within the City limits. Mayor McDavid asked how snow removal would be handled. Mr. Teddy replied it was a County maintained street and would remain that way. The City provided sewer, water, solid waste and public safety services.

Mr. Thomas asked if other property owners in Country Woods had been surveyed as to whether they would be interested in annexing into the City. Mr. Teddy replied no. Mayor McDavid stated he had many friends in the Country Woods Subdivision, who he had personally surveyed, and they were not interested.

Mr. Skala asked if this fell within the context of the service boundary area identified in the draft comprehensive plan. Mr. Teddy replied he was not sure this type of situation had been addressed in the comprehensive plan. He explained he considered this an unincorporated island surrounded or mostly surrounded by City limits, which would be gradually annexed over time. He felt the comprehensive plan dealt with peripheral annexations, which involved City boundaries and City services being pushed outward.

Mr. Thomas understood the reason this property owner wanted to annex was to hook up to City sewer, and asked what the other properties in the subdivision used for sewer service. Mr. Teddy replied City sewer service had been extended to the subdivision prior to

the annexation policy being put into place, so the existing properties were grandfathered. Mr. Thomas understood they had City sewer service even though they were not within the City limits.

Mayor McDavid opened the public hearing.

Kevin Murphy commented that he was an engineer with A Civil Group, 3401 Broadway Business Park Court, and explained the majority of the homes in the subdivision were already served by City sewer as they had been built prior to the annexation policy involving sanitary sewer service. He believed it would be detrimental to this property if they were required to have some type of on-site sewer. He understood sewer service already ran through this lot and that other issues involving City services were addressed through agreements with the County.

There being no further comment, Mayor McDavid closed the public hearing.

Mayor McDavid commented that this was an interesting study in annexation policy. Country Woods was an affluent neighborhood and its property owners did not want to be a part of the City. As a result, they did not have to pay two percent in taxes to the City if they purchased a new car or property tax at 41 percent like those residing in the City. Those residents contended they paid for their own snow removal through a contractor and it was done before the City was done, and that their services were better than if they were in the City, but they worked within the City, drove on City streets and consumed City services without paying the same to support those services as City residents since they were grandfathered. He felt allowing people to opt out of annexation created a problem as they consumed City services while not being obligated to pay for those services, and believed the policy of requiring annexation for City sewer service was useful.

OLD BUSINESS

B30-13A Vacating sidewalk easements on Lot 321A and Lot 322A within Copperstone, Plat 7 located along Blue Hollow Court; accepting conveyances for sidewalk purposes.

The bill was read by the Clerk.

Mr. Teddy provided a staff report.

Mayor McDavid understood staff had made a distinction between trails and sidewalks in terms of ADA accessibility, and if Council demanded a sidewalk at this site it would have to be serpentine in order to be ADA compliant, but if Council allowed a trail extension to Blue Hollow Court, it would be ADA compliant without being serpentine. He thought they would have to determine if a sidewalk or trail would be required. Mr. Teddy explained conventional subdivisions had sidewalks on both sides of the street. This sidewalk system was creative in that it followed the lay of the land and was done this way to take advantage of some of the interior spaces. Generally speaking, there was a sidewalk on one side of the street with interior walkways and the same connection could be made along Blue Hollow Court at a little greater of a distance. Ms. Hoppe understood the original approval was for a serpentine trail in exchange for additional sidewalks the developer would have been required to construct.

Mr. Schmidt asked if the houses were staggered. Kevin Murphy commented that he was an engineer with A Civil Group, 3401 Broadway Business Park Court, and replied they

had utilized a coving concept where the homes did not all have the same setback, but they were not necessarily staggered.

Mr. Schmidt understood a sidewalk was considered ADA compliant if the grade was five percent or less and followed the lay of the land, and asked if the sidewalk would be ADA compliant if it followed the lot line as that could be considered following the lay of the land. Mr. Teddy replied there was already an existing ADA accessible walkway, which was why he felt the trail standards could apply. He pointed out the street standards allowed for an eight percent grade on that kind of terrain. Mayor McDavid asked if the same was true of sidewalks. Mr. Teddy replied the sidewalks would go along the street and would likely be at eight percent as well for a complete system. Mayor McDavid understood a trail did not have to be at five percent. Mr. Teddy stated that was correct, and explained there were allowances for recreational trails to be at 8.3 percent for up to 200 feet in length, 10 percent for up to 30 feet in length and 12.5 percent for short intervals. He pointed out walkways connecting facilities needed to be at five percent.

Mr. Thomas asked for the current status of the development of the subdivision. The photograph showed the roads and sidewalks were in place and a few houses had been built. He wondered if that was up to date. Mr. Murphy replied there were several more houses in the subdivision than what was shown in the photograph.

Ms. Nauser asked for a brief history of this property as she recalled a request for a variance to a pedestrian bridge that connected both parts of the subdivision. She thought they had originally agreed to install a bridge across the creek, but later wanted to install a low water crossing instead. She thought this was the second or third variance requested. Mr. Teddy explained the entire system required variances, and described some of those variances. He also noted the walkway would intrude upon the platted lots versus common space, so the two property owners would likely have to mitigate against that through design and landscaping in order to maintain their privacy. He pointed the sidewalk was already there so Council could deny the request or determine there were other points of connection and allow for a bit of deviation. Ms. Nauser understood this was common ground on the original plat. Mr. Teddy stated that was correct.

Mr. Skala understood this was being driven by the replat and asked if any of this was part of the conversation when the replat occurred. Mr. Teddy stated he thought staff had mentioned they would need to do something about the sidewalk easement at the time. He commented that it would have been better to have considered it all as one action, but the replat was proposed with the easement intact.

Mr. Murphy pointed out Copperstone was not a conventional subdivision. It was quite unique in terms of the coving concept and large dedications of common area. He commented that as they moved further into the design after the preliminary plat was approved, they found some real world complications. The pedestrian bridge was not feasible, so Council approved an alternate low water crossing, which was in place, to connect Stone Grove Court to the north side. There were issues with the ways the lots were laid out, which had spurred the need for replatting and had caused the developer to lose twenty lots. He explained they had anticipated the sidewalks going straight down the common stems, but staff had indicated the need for them to be ADA compliant causing a redesign and

serpentine. They wanted to remove the sidewalk on these lots in order to fit homes on the lots. He commented that there were exceptions to the ADA requirements for different types of settings, etc., and noted there were six other connections to the internal pedway system. He stated the developer preferred to vacate the existing easements, but if that was not acceptable, they wanted to install the pedway in the new location using the definition of trail for ADA compliance. He explained they had sent letters to all of the property owners in the subdivision, and of the 29 responses received, 23 were in favor of removing the pedway connection. They had also attended the Disabilities Commission meeting per the request of Mr. Thomas, and believed the Commission wanted the pedway to look like a trail versus a sidewalk if it were to be built to trail standards or for the connection to not be constructed if it was not ADA compliant since the neighbors did not feel it was necessary.

Mr. Schmidt understood the sidewalk would be located between the two lots and would make a sharp turn to connect to the existing trail. Mr. Murphy stated that was correct, and explained the trail would be similar to the Katy Place Trail in terms of installing landings every 100-200 feet in order to provide a flat place to rest.

Mr. Thomas asked if they had considered making the public easement as narrow as possible, and moving the eastern lot line of Lot 322A further east and the northwestern lot line of Lot 321A further northwest and absorbing the impact on several adjacent lots when this was replatted. Mr. Murphy replied he thought one of the lots had been sold and another had a contract on it at that time. Mr. Thomas understood that when they had agreed to build the serpentine trail, they had not planned to build houses on these two lots since they were common lots. Mr. Murphy stated they had planned to build on the lots as time went on.

Mr. Schmidt understood the coving concept did not sell, so they had to widen the lots, which meant they lost some lots. Mr. Murphy stated that was correct. Mr. Schmidt also understood they planned to build on Lots 322A and 321A all along, but could not find a builder that was agreeable. Mr. Murphy stated that was correct. Mr. Thomas understood that at the time the footpath was built, they thought the lots would be desirable, but the market had proved otherwise. Mr. Murphy stated that was correct and noted this process had begun in 2006. Ms. Hoppe commented that they had been in an economic downturn and was hopeful the market would improve.

Ms. Hoppe asked for the square footage they hoped for with these homes. Mr. Murphy replied the covenants required a certain size home be built, and noted he thought a 1,800 square foot footprint was required. Ms. Hoppe commented that she believed this was an ideal location for universal design homes with a smaller footprint. Mr. Murphy reiterated the covenants and restrictions required homes of a certain size, and he did not believe those that lived in the subdivision would be willing to change those covenants as it would be a detriment to their property values.

Monta Welch, 2808 Greenbriar Drive, stated she wished developments could be responsive to the needs of all people and felt sidewalks were important to people with disabilities. Since the size of the lots had increased, she was not sure there was sufficient reason to remove the existing sidewalks. She believed the City needed to have accessibility for everyone in mind in terms of development. She thought it was important to consider trees in relation to trails and sidewalks as well, and noted People's Visioning had some thoughts in

this regard. She hoped the Council would follow up on Ms. Hoppe's comments for the need for changes with tree removal. She commented that People's Visioning preferred impervious trails as they better addressed stormwater issues.

Mayor McDavid stated he believed this was an unusual circumstance in that the developer had installed the sidewalks and wanted to remove them at his own expense. He noted he was supportive of the request because he did not feel a serpentine trail like this was usable by a bicyclist. There were two 135 degree angles and one 120 degree angle. The neighborhood was highly connected as there were a lot of paths, and the most prudent way to get from the high point to the low point was to circle around since it was less steep. He commented that the anomaly of the elevation change made him supportive of the applicant's request.

Ms. Nauser stated she agreed with Mayor McDavid in that it made sense to eliminate the need for the sidewalk due to the steepness of the property. In addition, there was connectivity for those on Blue Hollow Court to the rest of the subdivision. She commented that she was supportive of the request of the applicant. She pointed out she liked the concept of the curving sidewalks and the fact they were wider than regular sidewalks. She thought this was a beautiful development in terms of using the lay of the land and was sad to see it had not garnered support from home buyers.

Ms. Hoppe commented that she had mixed feelings regarding this request. She had liked the layout of the development when it was first proposed, but was concerned with this request as they had agreed to waive some sidewalk requirements when it was first approved. She was concerned with the precedent of a developer reneging on original agreements. She pointed out staff had also recommended against approving the vacation of the sidewalk. She felt they should not back track and allow people to undo what had previously been agreed upon, but she also liked to give deference to the Council Member from the ward in which the development was located.

Mr. Thomas stated he hated the thought of removing a good sidewalk, but understood this had been a creative development in which the developer had taken some big risks. He liked the interconnectivity as it had great potential to reduce automobile travel throughout the neighborhood, and wanted to see it succeed. He did not believe the pedestrian connection should be removed altogether, so if the request to remove the existing sidewalk was approved, he asked that a straighter sidewalk be built as a replacement so there was some connection. He understood the Disabilities Commission had suggested signage to indicate a steeper than normal grade, and hoped that could be accommodated as well. He felt it would be unduly punitive to the developer under the circumstances to deny the removal of the existing sidewalk.

Mr. Trapp commented that he was torn as well, but was leaning towards being supportive of the developer's request since people would not build on the lots. He thought holding the developer to the letter of the law in this instance was needlessly punitive as he believed they wanted to work with developers that were trying something interesting that generated a greater connectivity. He stated he would support the vacation due to the larger context of the request.

Mr. Skala stated he too was torn and troubled by the notion of eroding a created vision by variance. He understood this had been replatted, and there had been discussions regarding whether the serpentine trail was necessary and the lots being enlarged. In addition, some reliance could be placed on the fact they had other grades in other locations within the City. He commented that he tended to lean toward the idea of requiring what had been initially proposed, but was also drawn to the pragmatic idea of making an exception here since it was not serviceable in any other fashion.

Ms. Hoppe asked if this ordinance provided an alternative connection regardless of whether that connection would be ADA compliant, and if it did not, she asked how it could be amended to require a connection. Mr. Teddy replied he believed there was a commitment for completing the walkway in the new easement alignment. Ms. Hoppe asked if it was specifically in the ordinance. Ms. Thompson replied she understood it was impossible to make the walkway ADA accessible once the easement was modified as they would not be able to keep the grade below five percent. She understood it would be at a maximum grade of eight percent. Mr. Murphy stated he believed a trail grade would allow for it to be 12.5 percent. He pointed out the preference of the applicant was for the easement to be vacated and to do away with the walkway requirement altogether at this location. If that was not acceptable, an easement had been offered that would place the walkway down the property line. Ms. Nauser understood it would include resting platforms. Mr. Murphy stated that was correct. Ms. Hoppe believed the Council needed to amend the ordinance to require the connection.

Ms. Hoppe made a motion to amend B30-13A so an eight foot wide concrete sidewalk would be constructed within the new easement area and for signage indicating the sidewalk was steep to be installed. The motion was seconded by Mr. Skala and approved unanimously by voice vote.

The vote on B30-13A, as amended, was recorded as follows: VOTING YES: HOPPE, MCDAVID, SCHMIDT, TRAPP, SKALA, THOMAS, NAUSER. VOTING NO: NO ONE. Bill declared enacted, reading as follows:

B96-13 Repealing Resolution 156-11 which established the Transit System Task Force; amending Chapter 2 of the City Code to abolish the Public Transportation Advisory Commission and to establish the Public Transit Advisory Commission.

The bill was given third reading by the Clerk.

Mr. Matthes provided a staff report.

Ms. Hoppe made a motion to amend B96-13 per the amendment sheet. The motion was seconded by Mr. Skala and approved unanimously by voice vote.

Mr. Thomas commented that he did not believe the University of Missouri Administration and the Missouri Student Association (MSA) represented a distinct philosophy on transit, and preferred an at-large University of Missouri student, which would be appointed by the Council.

Mr. Thomas made a motion to amend B96-13 by changing Section 2-338(a) by removing the member that would be appointed by the Missouri Student Association and adding a member that would be a University of Missouri student appointed by the Council.

Mr. Thomas stated this would not preclude the MSA from promoting its candidates, but it would also allow for the appointment of a student at the University of Missouri that did not necessarily agree with the Missouri Student Association on transit.

The motion made by Mr. Thomas to amend B96-13 by changing Section 2-338(a) by removing the member that would be appointed by the Missouri Student Association and adding a member that would be a University of Missouri student appointed by the Council was seconded by Mr. Schmidt.

Mayor McDavid stated he planned to vote against the motion. He explained he believed part of the problem with transit was the lack of collaboration with the University of Missouri, and felt they needed the MSA at the table. He thought a strong collaboration between the City and University was necessary for the transit system to be successful as was shown through other Midwestern university community models. He commented that the requirement of a MSA representative did not preclude them from appointing a second University of Missouri student that was not associated with the MSA. He reiterated he wanted to provide an open invitation to the MSA to be at the table.

Mr. Thomas understood there was a growing interest in transit at the University of Missouri among students, but the MSA had not really supported this movement. He believed the University of Missouri student representative position should be open to any student that might be working toward establishing more discussion involving transit.

Ms. Hoppe stated this amendment would allow an MSA student to apply, but would also allow for the appointment of students from other groups if the MSA was not interested. She believed this would allow for more flexibility.

Mr. Schmidt noted the Council could contact the MSA and invite them to apply. If they declined, the Council could seek applications from other students. He stated he would support the amendment.

Mr. Trapp commented that what they had done so far had not been able to draw any significant support for transit, which was needed for a viable transit system. He thought they needed an MSA representative even though they risked the appointment of an obstructionist, and noted the obstructionist, if appointed, would be involved in the process. He believed they needed people who had power to do the things they wanted to do, and not necessarily the people who agreed with them philosophically. He felt they needed to broaden their base with regard to transit support, and as a result, he stated he would vote against the amendment.

The motion made by Mr. Thomas and seconded by Mr. Schmidt to amend B96-13 by changing Section 2-338(a) by removing the member that would be appointed by the Missouri Student Association and adding a member that would be a University of Missouri student appointed by the Council was approved by voice vote with only Mr. Trapp, Ms. Nauser and Mayor McDavid voting against it.

Ms. Amin asked for clarification regarding the term of this student position since that person would be appointed by Council. Prior to the amendment, the MSA would have made the appointment and determined the term of appointment, but since Council was making this appointment, clarification on the term was needed. Mayor McDavid suggested the term not last longer than a year. Ms. Amin understood the initial appointment would have a term ending March 1, 2014, and it would be a year term from that point forward.

Steve Hanson, 2105 Doris Drive, stated he was the Chair of the Public Transportation Advisory Commission, and commented that the twice amended ordinance had met most of the concerns of the Commission. He thought the quorum requirement of seven people might create difficulties if the ordinance was passed tonight since they would lose the representatives of the Disabilities Commission and Bicycle/Pedestrian Commission. Ms. Thompson explained the amended bill included a new Section 3, which provided for staggered terms of the existing members to remain on the Commission, and listed those members and terms. She pointed out they would have eight members while they waited for appointments from Stephens College, Columbia College and the University of Missouri. Ms. Hoppe understood this would alleviate the concern. Mr. Hanson stated that was correct. He pointed out there was a concern with removing the requirement of a Bicycle/Pedestrian Commission and Disabilities Commission representative in terms of their interest if they had to go through the application process for appointment. He felt the input of those representatives had been helpful in terms of experience and knowing how the City operated.

Greg Ahrens, 1504 Sylvan Lane, commented that he was concerned with enumerating the consideration of a representative of Columbians for Modern Efficient Transit (CoMET) by ordinance as it was an advocacy group. He felt that was a bad method to use in creating a law even though it was only a consideration.

Mayor McDavid understood this did not require the appointment of a CoMET representative. It only required consideration of that appointment. Ms. Thompson stated that was correct. Mayor McDavid reiterated there was no requirement for a member of CoMET be on this Commission.

Mr. Thomas asked Mr. Ahrens if he saw the provision for a CoMET member to be considered different from the provision for appointees of Stephens College, Columbia College or the University of Missouri. Mr. Ahrens replied the colleges and University were not really advocacy groups as they were established institutions. He considered CoMET a campaign issue group.

Abigail Thomas, 1511 Richardson Street, stated she was a student at the University of Missouri and believed there was value in having an MSA representative on the Commission. She explained MSA was the organization that was meant to represent all of the students. In addition, they had the means to take polls of students and organize students to form an opinion that represented the majority of students. While she understood the argument of having a general student on the Commission so it opened membership up to everyone, she also believed an MSA student representative was warranted since that was their role at the University. It would also guarantee the student would be knowledgeable on the issue and able to represent the entire student body instead of just his/her own opinion as that person would be required to speak on behalf of all students. She felt there was validity to having an MSA representative on the Commission over a general student.

Monta Welch, 2808 Greenbriar Drive, commented that she believed they needed to be watchful of the issue Mr. Ahrens had mentioned as they made decisions for the community.

Mayor McDavid stated he planned to support this ordinance as he believed a stronger Commission was needed as there was a lot of potential in terms of transit. He commented that the personnel in transit were smart and bright, but the transit system was not creative or

innovative. He looked forward to unstifling this creativity as there were models of successful collaborations they had the potential to mimic. He stated he also looked forward to this Commission being more empowered and to the transit work session later in the week.

Mr. Trapp commented that he felt it was important to reach out to the MSA to have someone apply due to the amendment that had passed.

Ms. Hoppe stated she agreed this change strengthened and improved the Commission and hoped its members would attend the work session on Wednesday, May 22 at 3:00 p.m.

The vote on B96-13, as amended, was recorded as follows: VOTING YES: HOPPE, MCDAVID, SCHMIDT, TRAPP, SKALA, THOMAS, NAUSER. VOTING NO: NO ONE. Bill declared enacted, reading as follows:

B114-13 Consenting to the establishment of Veterans United Drive as a private street; authorizing a right of use permit with Veterans United Home Loan to allow the installation and maintenance of private street signs in a portion of the Chapel Plaza Court right-of-way.

The bill was given second reading by the Clerk.

Mr. Matthes provided a staff report and explained this item, B114-13, had been withdrawn, and would be replaced by B151-13.

B115-13 Approving the Final Plat of Village at Bearfield, Plat No. 1 located on the west side of Bearfield Road and south of Nifong Boulevard; authorizing a performance contract.

The bill was given second reading by the Clerk.

Mr. Teddy provided a staff report.

Ms. Hoppe asked if it was appropriate to table this to provide the applicant an opportunity to make additions to the final plat. Ms. Thompson replied she believed it would be more appropriate for the Council to amend the ordinance by adding a section to limit construction traffic and to amend the performance contract by adding the roadway conditions that had been on the preliminary plat. This would allow the City to proceed if the contractor did not, and to bill the expense back to the contractor.

Ms. Hoppe made a motion to amend B115-13 by adding a section to the ordinance to limit construction traffic and to amend the performance contract associated with B115-13 by adding conditions relating to construction traffic and the traffic barriers that had been identified on the preliminary plat. The motion was seconded by Mr. Trapp and approved unanimously by voice vote.

Tim Crockett, an engineer with Crockett Engineering Consultants, 2608 N, Stadium Boulevard, stated it was not typical to add verbiage regarding construction traffic to the final plat, and that this was a construction issue that could be handled without putting a note regarding a temporary situation on a final plat, which was recorded and could cause issues in the future in terms of title policies. He stated they would be agreeable to adding conditions to the ordinance and the performance contract to address the concerns.

Ms. Hoppe explained these were important issues to the neighbors when this item had come before the Planning and Zoning Commission, and the Planning and Zoning

Commission had indicated it was good approach that could be considered for other developments. As a result, she and the neighbors wanted to ensure it was solidified.

B115-13, as amended, was given third reading with the vote recorded as follows:

VOTING YES: HOPPE, MCDAVID, SCHMIDT, TRAPP, SKALA, THOMAS, NAUSER.

VOTING NO: NO ONE. Bill declared enacted, reading as follows:

B125-13 Authorizing construction of the Northeast Pressure Zone 16-inch water main loop project, from Lake of the Woods Road northward along Route PP and Heller Road to the Stephens Station Water Tower; calling for bids through the Purchasing Division.

B126-13 Authorizing the acquisition of easements for construction of the Northeast Pressure Zone 16-inch water main loop project, from Lake of the Woods Road northward along Route PP and Heller Road to the Stephens Station Water Tower.

The bills were given second reading by the Clerk.

Mr. Skala understood the staff report indicated there were not any ongoing costs or additional capital facilities or equipment required, and asked if the distribution lines would serve new developments, and if they did, whether staff examined what additional City facilities in terms of roads, sewer, fire and police services would be required and at what cost. Mr. Johnsen replied he considered this a bulk water type transmission main that would go from the Hillsdale Pump Station to the Stephens Station Water Tower. There would be some connections from a loop closure perspective, but he believed those minimal. The main reason for this project was to provide fire flow for the affected areas and to transfer water from the Hillsdale Pump Station, which was a recent addition, to the Stephens Station Water Tower. This was an improvement that had been needed for some time.

Mr. Skala asked if there were any discussions with regard to other facilities that were connected to this redundant water line. He explained that large capital projects, such as sewer or water extensions, often drove the growth of the City in a particular direction, and wanted to know if other facilities that might be needed to support the new water line had been discussed when it was decided this was needed for fire flow. Mr. Johnsen replied staff expected commercial and industrial development near the Stephens Station Water Tower and this project would help ensure they were positioned to meet those needs. It was also in the service area of a water district that had been acquired by the City some years ago, so there was a need to ensure the City provided the necessary fire flows in that area.

B125-13 was given third reading with the vote recorded as follows: VOTING YES: HOPPE, MCDAVID, SCHMIDT, TRAPP, SKALA, THOMAS, NAUSER. VOTING NO: NO ONE. Bill declared enacted, reading as follows:

B126-13 was given third reading with the vote recorded as follows: VOTING YES: HOPPE, MCDAVID, SCHMIDT, TRAPP, SKALA, THOMAS, NAUSER. VOTING NO: NO ONE. Bill declared enacted, reading as follows:

B132-13 Appropriating FY 2012 General Fund savings to General Fund departments.

The bill was given second reading by the Clerk.

Mr. Matthes provided a staff report.

Mayor McDavid understood this would go through the normal budget cycle, and Council was not appropriating any money at this time. Mr. Matthes pointed out this bill would appropriate money, but if it was spent, it would go through the normal process.

Mr. Schmidt understood these would be amendments to the FY 2013 budget or would be a part of the FY 2014 budget. Mr. Matthes explained it would involve day to day purchases and there would be an action that would come back to Council. Mr. Blattel pointed out these funds were being appropriated to projects and would not lapse. They would come back to Council if it was a capital project that required Council approval. He explained this would allow the departments to have maximum efficiency for use of the money over a longer period of time. Mr. Matthes noted this also avoided the illusion of a deficit that would occur if they waited until the next fiscal year.

Mayor McDavid asked how any appropriation for the Blind Boone Home would be handled. Mr. Matthes replied it would be included with the other portion of funds. Ms. Hoppe understood it was included in a report that would be discussed later in the evening.

Mr. Schmidt understood this money would be appropriated in FY 2013. Mr. Blattel stated that was correct, and pointed out it did not have to be spent in FY 2013 and would automatically roll into FY 2014 or FY 2015 if departments wanted accumulate the money for a long term purpose.

Eugene Elkin, 3406 Range Line, understood these were the extra funds that had been discussed for months and suggested these funds be applied to a large expense, such as the undergrounding of power lines.

Monta Welch, 2808 Greenbriar Drive, commented that the People's Visioning had several ideas for community service projects, such as food forests and a bike share plan, and renewable energy thoughts they wanted to share with the Council.

B132-13 was given third reading with the vote recorded as follows: VOTING YES: HOPPE, MCDAVID, SCHMIDT, TRAPP, SKALA, THOMAS, NAUSER. VOTING NO: NO ONE. Bill declared enacted, reading as follows:

CONSENT AGENDA

The following bills were given second reading and the resolutions were read by the Clerk.

- B113-13** **Voluntary annexation of property located on the west side of Old Plank Road and south of Glasgow Drive (1111 Old Plank Road); establishing permanent R-1 zoning.**
- B116-13** **Approving the Final Plat of Steeplechase Estates Plat 4 located on the west side of Spicewood Drive and north of Steeplechase Drive; authorizing a performance contract.**
- B117-13** **Vacating a utility easement on Lot 2 within Landmark Subdivision Plat 1 located north of Country Club Drive and south of McAlester Street.**
- B118-13** **Authorizing construction of the Worley Street Sidewalk Phase 2 project on the north side of Worley Street between Bernadette Drive and Clinkscales Road; calling for bids through the Purchasing Division.**

- B119-13 Authorizing the acquisition of easements for the construction of the Worley Street Sidewalk Phase 2 project on the north side of Worley Street between Bernadette Drive and Clinkscales Road.
- B120-13 Amending Chapter 14 of the City Code to establish hotel registration zones within the Central Business District.
- B121-13 Amending Chapter 14 of the City Code to expand the North Village parking district.
- B122-13 Authorizing application for transit planning, operating and capital assistance grants with the Federal Transit Administration.
- B123-13 Authorizing a sidewalk improvements agreement with the Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission relating to the North Providence Road pedway project from Business Loop 70 to Vandiver Drive.
- B124-13 Authorizing a right of use permit with Columbia Hotel Investments to allow construction, improvement, operation and maintenance of a heated sidewalk loop, architectural planters and electrical service to tree grates within a portion of the Eighth Street right-of-way (23 South Eighth Street).
- B127-13 Amending the FY 2013 Annual Budget to add and delete positions in the Water and Light Department and Public Works Department; amending the FY 2013 Pay Plan and Classification Plan to reclassify, make title changes and close positions in the Water and Light Department and Public Works Department.
- B128-13 Accepting a conveyance for utility purposes.
- B129-13 Authorizing a facilities and services agreement with The Curators of the University of Missouri for the use of Peace Park for the Fourth of July Celebration and Fireworks Display.
- B130-13 Accepting a donation from the Home Fire Sprinkler Coalition to be used by the Fire Department for a fire sprinkler education program; appropriating funds.
- B131-13 Amending the FY 2013 Annual Budget to add a position in the Public Communications Office and to delete positions in the Public Communications Office and the Sustainability Fund.
- B133-12 Amending Chapter 2 and Chapter 19 of the City Code as it relates to conflict of interest.
- B134-13 Amending the FY 2013 Annual Budget to add positions in the Information Technologies Department; transferring funds.
- B135-13 Amending the FY 2013 Pay Plan and Classification Plan to upgrade the Manager of Cultural Affairs position in the Office of Cultural Affairs.
- R93-13 Setting a public hearing: repair and resurfacing of the tennis courts at Cosmo-Bethel Park and Gentry Middle School.
- R94-13 Setting a public hearing: construction of improvements to the interior and exterior of the J.W. “Blind” Boone Home.
- R95-13 Authorizing an inspections participation agreement with the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services for the Summer Food Service Program for Children.
- R96-13 Authorizing agreements with Midway Expo Center, Country Club of Missouri and Paulette Orr for tourism development funds.

- R97-13 Authorizing Amendment No. 1 to the agreement with URS Corporation for professional engineering services for the design and construction inspection of GetAbout Columbia sidewalk projects.
- R98-13 Authorizing a declaration of restrictive covenants necessary for wetlands mitigation along a portion of the Forum Nature Area for the Katy Place Trail project required in connection with a permit issued by the Army Corps of Engineers.
- R99-13 Authorizing the City Manager to consent to assignment of Promissory Notes and Deeds of Trust for HOME owner occupied rehabilitation program loans for property located at 2713 Squire Circle to Stewart Title Guaranty Company.

The bills were given third reading and the resolutions were read with the vote recorded as follows: VOTING YES: HOPPE, MCDAVID, SCHMIDT, TRAPP, SKALA, THOMAS, NAUSER. VOTING NO: NO ONE. Bills declared enacted and resolutions declared adopted, reading as follows:

NEW BUSINESS

None.

INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING

The following bills were introduced by the Mayor unless otherwise indicated, and all were given first reading.

- B136-13 Voluntary annexation of property located on the west side of Greenfield Court within Country Meadows Subdivision (3261 Greenfield Court); establishing permanent R-1 zoning.
- B137-13 Approving the Final Plat of Landmark Subdivision, Plat 2 located north of Country Club Drive and south of McAlester Street; authorizing a performance contract with Bear Creek Properties, LLC; granting a variance from the Subdivision Regulations relating to construction of a sidewalk along a portion of Country Club Drive.
- B138-13 Approving the Final Plat of The Residences at Old Hawthorne located northwest of the Old Hawthorne clubhouse, north of Route WW and east of Rolling Hills Road; authorizing a performance contract with Boone Development, Inc.
- B139-13 Approving the Final Plat of Discovery Park Subdivision Plat 1 located west of the U.S. Highway 63 and Discovery Ridge Parkway interchange; authorizing a performance contract with P1316, LLC.
- B140-13 Approving the Final Plat of Arbor Falls, Plat No. 3 located northwest of Route WW and Old Hawthorne Drive West; authorizing a performance contract with Peter Grathwohl.
- B141-13 Authorizing a right of use permit with Aegis Investment Group for the construction, improvement, operation and maintenance of landscaping, an irrigation system, signage and lighting in an island located at Massengill Court and Mace Drive and electric conduits and water service lines within a portion of the Massengill Court and Mace Drive rights-of-way.

- B142-13 Authorizing a public infrastructure development cost allocation agreement with The Curators of the University of Missouri for the College Avenue Median/Pedestrian Refuge Project; appropriating funds.
- B143-13 Accepting conveyances for temporary access, temporary construction, sewer and drainage purposes.
- B144-13 Accepting conveyances for utility purposes.
- B145-13 Authorizing the repair and resurfacing of the tennis courts at Cosmo-Bethel Park and Gentry Middle School; calling for bids through the Purchasing Division; authorizing an agreement with the Columbia Public School District; appropriating funds.
- B146-13 Authorizing an agreement with the Columbia Public School District to provide funding towards gymnasium enhancements at two planned new elementary schools; appropriating funds.
- B147-13 Authorizing a subrecipient commitment with the University of Missouri for collaboration on the BGREEN for CoMO project as it relates to the Parks and Recreation Department coordinating field activities at the 3M Urban Ecological Restoration Area with fourth and fifth grade students in the Columbia Public School District.
- B148-13 Authorizing the construction of improvements to the interior and exterior of the J.W. “Blind” Boone Home; calling for bids through the Purchasing Division; appropriating funds.
- B149-13 Appropriating funds received for Columbia Values Diversity Celebration activities.
- B150-13 Authorizing a license agreement with St. Charles Tower, Inc. for a Cell on Wheels (COW) to be located on Parks Management Center property at 1507 Business Loop 70 West.
- B151-13 Consenting to the establishment of Veterans United Drive as a private street; authorizing a right of use permit with Veterans United Home Loan to allow the installation and maintenance of private street signs in a portion of the Forum Katy Parkway right-of-way.

REPORTS AND PETITIONS

REP68-13 Street Closure Request - Fourth of July Celebration.

Ms. Hoppe made a motion to approve the street closure as requested. The motion was seconded by Mr. Skala and approved unanimously by voice vote.

REP69-13 Crosswalk at Fairview Road and Worley Street.

Mr. Thomas asked if there was a plan to have a crosswalk across Worley Street in this area. Mr. Glascock replied not at this time. Mr. Thomas asked if staff could look into a crosswalk at that location as well. Mr. Glascock replied they would look into it.

Mayor McDavid made a motion directing staff to move forward with the crosswalk markings at the Fairview Road and Worley Street intersections. The motion was seconded by Mr. Trapp and approved unanimously by voice vote.

REP70-13 Proposed Parking Prohibition on Rainbow Trout Drive.

Mr. Glascock provided a staff report.

Mayor McDavid made a motion directing staff to prepare an ordinance amending Section 14-324 of the Code of Ordinances to prohibit parking on the north side of Rainbow Trout Drive from Scott Boulevard to a point 200 feet west of Scott Boulevard. The motion was seconded by Ms. Hoppe.

Mr. Thomas asked if there was a particular problem at this location. Mr. Glascock replied a new daycare was located nearby and people parked on the street at the corner.

The motion made by Mayor McDavid and seconded by Ms. Hoppe directing staff to prepare an ordinance amending Section 14-324 of the Code of Ordinances to prohibit parking on the north side of Rainbow Trout Drive from Scott Boulevard to a point 200 feet west of Scott Boulevard was approved unanimously by voice vote.

REP71-13 Columbia Transit Supporting Transportation Needs for Special Olympics 2013.

Mr. Glascock provided a staff report.

Mayor McDavid asked if an app would be utilized. Mr. Glascock replied they had not asked for an app, but the FastCAT app could potentially be used. Mayor McDavid commented that he believed the City should use any opportunity to market the transit app to people that would not ordinarily use the bus system. He understood there was no cost to the City since the Special Olympics was paying for the service.

Mayor McDavid made a motion authorizing the City Manager to temporarily expand the public transit system on May 30 through June 2, 2013 in a manner determined best suited to meet public needs for the Special Olympics Missouri Summer Games, and to waive ridership fees for passengers using the temporarily expanded services. The motion was seconded by Ms. Hoppe and approved unanimously by voice vote.

REP72-13 Authorizing Free FastCAT Service and Temporary Route Alterations to Promote and Market the FastCAT Route during the Art in the Park Festival.

Mayor McDavid understood the City would cover the costs associated with this service. Mr. Glascock stated it would cost an additional \$469 for the two days. Mayor McDavid felt this was another opportunity to market the transit app to people who might not otherwise use the bus system.

Mayor McDavid made a motion to waive rider fees during the Art in the Park Festival and to direct staff to market the proposed “free service” period and temporary route adjustment. The motion was seconded by Ms. Hoppe and approved unanimously by voice vote.

REP73-13 Construction on Sundays.

Mr. Matthes provided a staff report.

Mayor McDavid commented that the City of Columbia was a violator of this ordinance in terms of the Short Street garage, and as a result, he planned to ask that they allow Sunday construction and use common sense in terms of the hours of construction that would be allowed on Sundays. He did not believe they wanted jackhammers being used on Sundays when people had the day off, but there were circumstances in which work needed to be done, such as roof repair from a hail storm, etc.

Ms. Hoppe stated she would argue against allowing construction on Sundays and preferred better enforcement of the ordinance. She understood relief could be provided through a developer applying for a Sunday permit for special circumstances, such as the fire at Brookside. There was extensive development that had gone on for over a year within many areas of the City where the neighbors were repeatedly subjected to loud noises throughout the day during the week, and those neighbors needed a day of relief, which Sundays provided. She commented that tree removal trucks had been near her neighborhood for a couple of hours recently, and she could not imagine having to listen to that kind of noise day after day. She reiterated she believed the residents needed at least one day of peace and quiet, and if there was a special circumstance, the developer or contractor could apply for a permit.

Mr. Glascock pointed out that many developers were requesting the Sunday permit, and it had been provided for the Short Street garage as well. Working on Sundays allowed them to catch up due to delays caused by rain during the week. He noted the Short Street garage project was way behind schedule due to rain. He stated he had been signing permits to allow for Sunday construction, but could stop if Council wanted. He commented that many developers were working legally on Sundays. Ms. Hoppe understood there was a specific reason they were asking to work on Sundays, and asked if the permits were required to be displayed on the premises. Mr. Glascock replied they were not required to be displayed on-site, but that could be requested. Ms. Hoppe stated she would like to require the permit to be posted on-site, and for an ordinance to come forward with that requirement if necessary.

Ms. Hoppe explained she had driven by two locations this past weekend and during other weekends, and it appeared as though people were working in violation of the ordinance. The residents in the neighborhood had complained so she did not believe they were aware of any permit to allow work on Sunday. She believed some were flagrantly violating City ordinance. Mr. Glascock recalled three projects being provided permission to work on Sunday, and those were the Short Street garage project and the two projects on Walnut Street. They had not provided permission to a project near the University due to graduation. He noted they had been allowing work to start at 10:00 a.m. instead of 7:00 a.m. as requested as well. Ms. Hoppe stated she assumed construction would not be allowed until after church services if it was near a church.

Ms. Nauser asked if they could view who had applied for and received a special permit to work on Sundays online. Mr. Glascock replied it was not currently online, but could be made available. Ms. Nauser stated she did not believe they should allow construction on Sundays except in special circumstances.

Mayor McDavid asked if MoDOT applied for special permits as they did roadwork at night. Mr. Glascock replied the City did not have authority over MoDOT or the University of Missouri.

Mr. Matthes stated staff would come back with an ordinance regarding the posting of permits on job sites and on the website.

Mr. Skala commented that he believed it was reasonable to make the permit process assessible so there was not confusion as to whether the work being done was in violation of

City ordinance. He noted he had heard from several First Ward constituents as well as people from the Benton-Stephens neighborhood regarding 24/7 construction.

REP74-13 Incentive Based Budgeting FY12 Surplus.

Mr. Matthes provided a staff report.

Ms. Hoppe asked for a copy of the results from last year's meeting in the Sixth Ward regarding potential projects as she recalled some good projects being identified at that meeting. In addition, she did not see anything in the Sixth Ward listed in the report for the online poll. She commented that she would like those that had participated to be able to see results of their work, and wanted the money evenly distributed amongst the wards. Mr. Matthes stated staff could check the CIP to ensure there was a project that could be done for about \$200,000 in the Sixth Ward.

Mr. Trapp commented that there were a couple of projects within the Second Ward, and stated he would not mind removing one of the Oakland Gravel Road projects as he felt the remaining project would then have a better chance at an online vote. Mr. Matthes asked Mr. Trapp to let him know which project to remove. He noted he would get with Ms. Hoppe to flesh out the list as well. Mr. Trapp stated he would walk the two projects and get back to staff.

Mayor McDavid asked if staff was asking Council to approve these projects tonight. Mr. Matthes replied staff was hoping for Council consensus and to be notified of any project they did not want moving forward. He noted they would come back with specifics if they had consensus.

Mayor McDavid congratulated Mr. Matthes on the budget and noted the Council would expect more of the same next year.

Mr. Skala stated this appeared to be a balanced approach as it took a lot of things into consideration and placed the incentives in the right places. He also liked the idea that some of this fell into a loan structure versus a grant structure.

Mr. Trapp commented that he believed these projects were great investments in the community and fit in the overall vision and strategic plan. He thought they were great uses of one time funds.

Ms. Hoppe pointed out the projects appeared to be fundamental and essential and were not fluff.

REP75-13 Citizens Police Review Board Supplement to the 2012 Annual Report.

Mayor McDavid understood this was an addendum to a report from the Citizens Police Review Board that the Board received from the Police Department. He commented that he believed this information would be more helpful if he had year to year comparisons. He appreciated information indicating there was only one deployment of a taser this year and the number of officers that were injured in the line of duty, but thought it would be beneficial to see a year by year analysis to get a sense of any trends. He asked for that comparison next year.

Mr. Trapp stated he agreed with Mayor McDavid and thought they had asked for that information last year as well. He believed it would be more useful information to see it in context. Mr. Matthes thought that request could be honored.

REP76-13 Airport Advisory Board Comments on Terminal Conceptual Design.

Tom Brooks-Pilling and Jennifer Kuchinski of Parsons-Brinckerhoff described the planning they had been doing for a potential greenfield airport terminal, which had begun in February 2013. Ms. Kuchinski explained they had been asked to look into a terminal facility within the \$20-\$25 million range at a new site on the north side of the airport, north of the crosswinds runway, that would provide the space for three gates, holding rooms to accommodate 200 people, restaurants, and ADA and modern facilities and amenities. She listed the challenges of the existing facilities to include the terminal, parking and the airplane side in terms of general aviation and commercial service. She displayed a graphic from 2007-2011, which indicated enplanements had increased, and a graphic, which showed what they were expecting to see based upon the level of service the City had reported was expected based upon conversations with commercial service providers and the City's goal to capture more of the market within Mid-Missouri. Options that were considered were to do nothing, expand the existing facility to the south and tear down the existing facility and build a new facility, and lost costs as well as construction costs in 2018 dollars were estimated. She provided cost comparisons of a new greenfield facility compared to the expansion of the existing facility and the tearing down and building of a new facility, and noted operational collaboration and additional construction phases would be necessary with the expansion of the existing facility and the tearing down and rebuilding of the existing facility, but that would not be required for the new greenfield facility. In addition, the greenfield facility would address the commingling of commercial and general aviation customers, which was an issue in terms of security. Mr. Brooks-Pilling described the greenfield facility that was designed and explained it would not only address immediate needs, but would also accommodate future growth. In its initial phase, the terminal would be designed to carry two RJ sized jets and one narrow bodied jet. He displayed and described its location on the site and pointed out site changes in terms of access, etc. He noted they had incorporated the ability to expand the facility to the east and west, which would allow for up to six gates, the incorporation of a future parking structure to accommodate more parking, and the possibility of on-site commercial. He provided various views of the terminal and described the amenities.

Ms. Hoppe asked if the existing facility would be replaced or if it would remain for another purpose. Mr. Brooks-Pilling replied it had the potential to be repurposed for general aviation and other associated functions, and during construction, it would remain an operating terminal.

Mr. Schmidt asked if there was a reason for limiting expansion possibilities to six gates instead of having the ability to expand in either direction indefinitely. Mr. Brooks-Pilling replied they felt the 6-8 gate range would accommodate Columbia's needs for the next 50-60 years as six gates would support about 140,000 enplanements and Columbia was currently at 40,000 enplanements. There was potential to expand further to the west if necessary.

Mayor McDavid commented that he anticipated larger 75-200 seat planes as the standard in the future, and understood 2-3 of those size planes could be handled easily with this design. Mr. Brooks-Pilling stated that was correct and noted the hold room capacity for three gates could accommodate ten times as much as they could currently accommodate, and the expansion would allow for an additional 50-75 persons per gate. Ms. Kuchinski pointed out the hold room provided flexibility and could accommodate international flights.

Mayor McDavid stated he understood Senator Kurt Schaefer had procured \$1 million in revenue for planning for the airport.

Mr. Skala understood the cost of the Manhattan, Kansas facility was about \$50 million and asked for clarification regarding the cost of Springfield, Missouri facility. Mr. Brooks-Pilling replied the cost of the Springfield, Missouri facility was about \$120 million, and it was a 12 gate facility.

Mr. Skala understood Columbia had attracted a considerable amount of private jet influx for some of the SEC games and assumed this concept would have the capacity accommodate that type activity. Mayor McDavid stated he did not believe general aviation would go through this terminal. Mr. Brooks-Pilling stated that was correct. He noted the existing terminal could be wonderfully repurposed for that type of aviation.

Mr. Matthes pointed out this report had been provided for informational purposes since the City did not have the money to build the terminal at this time.

REP77-13 Mill Creek Substation and Electric Transmission Lines Project Interested Party Meetings Summary.

Mayor McDavid understood the public hearing on this issue would be held in July. Mr. Johnsen stated that was correct. He noted there was also a work session scheduled for June 13 at 2:00 p.m. at which time staff would present what it felt was a good mix of the input received.

Mr. Johnsen and Mr. Williams provided a staff report regarding the history of this project, the current options and what staff had learned from a survey and the third interested parties meeting that had been held on this subject.

Mayor McDavid understood there was almost a 50-50 split in terms of whether people were willing to pay higher rates in order to underground the lines and asked how much staff had indicated the rates would be increased. Mr. Johnsen replied he thought it would be a \$1 increase for the overhead option and an \$8 increase for the underground option. Mayor McDavid noted that was a \$7 difference that they would ask people in other wards to accommodate to underground these lines.

Ms. Nauser asked if staff would be able to provide zip codes or some other information to get an idea of where those that responded resided. Mr. Johnsen replied they had the physical addresses of those that responded to the survey so that could be accommodated. He pointed out staff believed about 35 percent of the respondents had indicated they were within 500 feet of the transmission line, so there was obviously a bias built into the responses. Mr. Schmidt thought it would be interesting to see zip code results or to see how far those responding to the survey were from the transmission lines.

Mr. Johnsen asked Council to let staff know if there was any information they wanted extracted from the survey and interested parties meetings results as they would provide the data if they had it. Mr. Schmidt wondered if the GIS people could show the respondents within 500 feet, one mile, etc. Mr. Johnsen explained they had those within 100 feet and 500 feet in the table they created, and those were multiplying factors in the impact matrix.

Ms. Hoppe asked if that rate increase would accommodate undergrounding everything or a small portion. Mr. Johnsen replied that was not specified. They only asked the question to gage the willingness to underground from those that responded. Mr. Skala commented that he believed almost everyone would agree with undergrounding due to aesthetics, but the dynamics would change once specific dollar amounts were involved. Mayor McDavid agreed and pointed out many people would be not interested parties until they learned their electric rates might increase. Mr. Johnsen stated they would have ideas and recommendations at the June 13, 2013 work session, and would discuss options, costs, impacts, etc. in more detail. He noted he did not believe it was an all or nothing situation in terms of undergrounding the lines.

Ms. Nauser stated it would be helpful to have literature and/or diagrams available for those that might attend the work session so they could take those items to their neighborhood associations, etc. Ms. Nauser asked if this work session would be televised. Mr. Johnsen replied it would be televised. Mayor McDavid understood the video would be available on the internet as well.

REP78-13 Administrative Public Improvement Projects: Albert-Oakland Park Tennis Court Improvements.

Mr. Griggs provided a staff report.

Mr. Skala asked if the courts would be multi-purposed with pickleball lines. Mr. Griggs replied yes. Mr. Skala asked if the courts would still be regulation tennis courts in terms of the net height. Mr. Griggs replied yes. He explained that location used to hold a five court tennis complex, but there was not a need for that many tennis courts so they added sand volleyball courts. The sand volleyball courts were underutilized so the plan was to construct pickleball courts as part of the FY 2014 capital improvement projects, and those would have regulation height pickleball nets. Mr. Skala replied he was happy to hear that as a tennis player and noted the pickleball lines created some issues for tennis players.

REP79-13 Intra-Departmental Transfer of Funds Request.

Mayor McDavid understood this report had been provided for informational purposes.

COMMENTS BY PUBLIC, COUNCIL AND STAFF

Clyde Ruffin explained he was the President of the John William Boone Heritage Foundation and noted he was encouraged this evening by the consensus of Council to move forward with plans to restore the Blind Boone home. He noted he looked forward to attending the June 3, 2013 Council Meeting to share the vision for the future of the home in more detail.

Sue Thomas, 5251 W. Route K, commented that when the letter was distributed to encourage people to participate in the transmission line project survey, it was only sent to

residents of the City. It was not sent to those along Route K that could be impacted. She stated she felt someone would pay for this in terms of a relatively small number of people who would have decreased property values or the inability to sell homes or everyone who would benefit from electricity with increased rates. She noted the two proposals had shown the lines to be completely underground or completely above ground, and hoped data would be gathered to consider undergrounding near residences and schools while having the lines above ground in commercial areas. She also believed the costs of easements needed to be considered in terms of the different routes.

Barbara Wren, 615 Bluffdale Drive, thanked the Council Members that visited the GetAbout site near her home, and noted that since then she had learned the owners of the Blaylock-Wyse property had been consulted with regard to problems that might arise from the project and wondered why other property owners had not been consulted. She was happy to hear the City was more interested in tree preservation, stormwater runoff, etc. as this project would add a lot of impervious surfaces. She noted she would prefer the project not continue, but understood that would likely not happen. She asked the Council to consider abandoning the project on the west side because she felt there was adequate infrastructure with the addition of a crosswalk to accommodate what they wanted while saving trees and brush and having less impervious surface. She commented that the reason given for the need for the larger bridge, which eliminated additional trees, was that the Army Corps of Engineers would not provide a permit for the low water bridge, but the Army Corps of Engineers did not have any record of a request from the City. She asked for clarification as to whether the request had been made.

Eugene Elkin, 3406 Range Line, commented that there was no lighting on the third floor of the Plaza Parking garage behind City Hall and asked that someone look into that issue. He understood Columbia had been recognized as a hard working community, and believed construction should not be allowed on Sundays. He did not believe people needed to work 24/7, and noted he had previously pushed himself and wondered if that was a contributing factor to his disability. He pointed out the American society was in mental and physical decline and suggested a 40 hour work week be re-established. He stated he had been contacted by someone recently, who felt all future buildings should be constructed via the universal design and netzero concepts to avoid rehabilitations. He commented that he understood Mr. Skala had introduced a new plan for saving the City money by realigning taxation. Mr. Skala explained it was a trip generation model and the discussion was ongoing as it had not been resolved. Mr. Elkin stated he believed undergrounding electric lines could save the City billions of dollars due to the number of storms in the area. He commented that he understood \$126,400 was earmarked for a day center and suggested those funds be used for a lease as the money would be stretched further in that manner.

Monta Welch, 2808 Greenbriar Drive, stated she was speaking on behalf of People's Visioning and noted she had been directed to speak with boards and commissions, but was unsure of which board or commission to speak to regarding the airport design. Although it was more difficult for business, commercial and industrial buildings to be netzero buildings,

she pointed out it was still possible. She described the project they were involved with at 413 W. Ash and noted the cost of netzero projects were close to those of traditional buildings, and would save a lot of money in the future. She stated the People's Visioning wanted the airport and all other buildings designed with netzero concepts. She encouraged the Council to consider connecting the COLT railroad to Jefferson City so the community could access other types of transportation, such as high speed rail, in the future, as it would provide an alternative to air or vehicle transportation. She commented that the People's Visioning had a plan for the homeless and asked that those involved with the day center revisit the idea they initially did not feel was possible.

Justin Thomas, 202 W. Sexton Road, stated he would not be able to attend the next Council Meeting at which time they would discuss the transfer of properties on W. Sexton Road to the Columbia Housing Authority (CHA). He agreed the area was in need of development and appreciated the attention it was receiving, but those that lived in the area were uneasy with the City providing those properties to CHA given the lack of details in planning and the uncertainty of those properties in the future. He commented that he was uncertain as to the need to provide the properties to CHA now as he understood they had ten years through the neighborhood stabilization land bank program to transfer the properties. He thought confidence in the CHA could be shown without transferring the properties so quickly.

Mayor McDavid understood the Providence Road issue would be discussed at the next Council Meeting and noted he would likely ask for an amendment to Option 8A, which was the option that did not demolish homes as it involved Birch Street with limited access on Bingham and Brandon. He commented that after viewing two presentations by MoDOT, he did not believe they would require limited access at Bingham and Brandon so the status quo would hold. In addition, he understood the Grasslands Neighborhood did not want traffic routed to Birch. As a result, he planned to propose they move forward with Option 8A without any restrictions on Bingham and Brandon, and without any improvements to Birch Street.

Mr. Thomas understood that proposal would still include the full traffic signal at Burnam, the pedestrian crossing, the sidewalks on Brandon, etc., and people would still be able to turn left in and out of Brandon and Bingham. Mayor McDavid stated that was correct.

Mayor McDavid stated he had met with representatives of the Citizens Police Review Board and the Board wanted to send two members to this year's NACOLE conference. He explained to them the Council could allocate \$3,500 from the Council contingency fund. He noted he planned to vote against it, but asked for a motion if anyone else was agreeable to sending a second person the NACOLE conference this year.

Mr. Trapp made a motion to bring forward legislation to appropriate \$3,500 from the Council contingency fund to the Citizens Police Review Board travel account to allow two members of the Board to attend the 2013 NACOLE meeting. The motion was seconded by Ms. Hoppe.

Ms. Hoppe understood two members had attended in the past and they had found it helpful.

Mayor McDavid explained his opposition was based on the fact the City had a lot of boards and commissions, and there was an open discussion in terms of how much those members and the Council traveled.

Ms. Hoppe commented that the parklet, which was successful, was a result of attending a Smart Growth conference held in Kansas City, so she felt there was real value to the community.

Ms. Nauser stated the Citizens Police Review Board was one of the few boards or commissions that could actually make a determination that could affect the employment and livelihood of police officers, and was the reason she supported the training of its members.

The motion made by Mr. Trapp and seconded by Ms. Hoppe to bring forward legislation to appropriate \$3,500 from the Council contingency fund to the Citizens Police Review Board travel account to allow two members of the Board to attend the 2013 NACOLE meeting was approved by voice vote with only Mayor McDavid voting against it.

Mr. Schmidt commented that he had been contacted by Gene Gerke of the Sacred Heart Church, who was concerned there would be a parking problem once the nearby apartment buildings were completed, which he understood would be August 1. He understood the block on Locust between Hitt and Waugh was not metered and suggested it be metered. He thought a few other places that were not metered should likely be metered as well. He asked staff to look into the issue.

Mr. Schmidt understood a gentleman from the Benton-Stephens Neighborhood had signed up to speak at the June 3, 2013 Council Meeting regarding permit parking for the neighborhood as there was a lot of student parking in the area, and suggested staff look into the issue.

Mr. Schmidt understood the parklet was liked by all, and all but one merchant liked it at its current location. He also understood the Downtown CID wanted to move it around the downtown area and was looking for funding to help pay for it. He wondered if Council action would be necessary. Mr. Matthes explained the City was gathering more information and would report back to Council. Mr. Schmidt understood it would be left at its current location until Memorial Day and asked what would happen to it after then. Mr. Matthes replied there were no specific plans for it at this time. Ms. Hoppe complimented the Parks and Recreation Department for this idea they had learned from a conference and noted it was the best design she had seen.

Ms. Hoppe explained at the previous Council Meeting she had requested staff look at what restriction, if any, could be implemented regarding unconcealed weapons at City family festivals, and noted she actually wanted a report to be provided that described what was legally possible and what staff thought was advisable.

Ms. Hoppe stated the East Campus Neighborhood wanted no parking on the east side of Morningside, on the north side of University from College to Ann, and on the west side of Ann from University to Broadway for safety reasons, and asked staff to review this and provide a report or come forward with an ordinance. She also asked that speed bumps or

speed tables on S. William and S. Ann Street between University and Anthony be looked into by staff as there were speeding problems, and for a report to be provided on that issue as well.

Ms. Hoppe asked whether the City map could list which homes had rental certificates in addition to the zoning, owner, etc. information already provided. She felt this would allow the public to easily find the information without having to bother City staff with the request.

Ms. Hoppe noted it was suggested earlier in the evening to put construction permit information on the website so residents could see who had received permits in their area.

Ms. Hoppe stated Mr. Skala had shared some recommendations for policy and process changes for trails, and she had also communicated some recommendations with the City Manager after their walk on May 10, 2013. She provided a handout of her recommendations and asked staff to come back to Council with policy and process changes given those recommendations.

Ms. Nauser commented that S. Scott Boulevard near Vawter School and past the bridge was caving in again. She thought it had been repaired a few years ago and would like for it to be looked at again as it was getting hazardous, especially in light of the speeds some traveled. She asked if it could be added to the repair schedule.

Ms. Nauser stated there was a sidewalk gap at the corner of Green Meadows and Bethel, and asked staff to look into it in terms of whether it was a developer obligation or whether it needed to be added to the City's list of sidewalk improvements for the upcoming budget. She noted it was one of the last few pieces on Green Meadows that did not have a sidewalk and there were quite a few pedestrians that used the sidewalk.

Mr. Skala understood staff would be providing a report regarding the trail and tree issues near Highway 63 and Moon Valley, and explained a document he created titled "Future Engineering Projects in Riparian Corridors," which dealt with the five issues of oversight, process, restoration, bridge crossings and trail surfaces, had been provided to Council. As an extension of that, he suggested they establish a citizen advisory tree board. He thought it was important to have that board in addition to an arborist as another resource and asked for a report regarding the establishment of a tree board.

Mr. Skala stated he would like a spreadsheet report on a monthly basis regarding the disposition of tracker items in terms of where it had been referred, whether the issue was resolved, etc.

Mr. Trapp commented that he was happy to report the Public Works Department had issued a policy to allow small animals in carriers on City buses and noted this was in regard to the gentleman that wanted to take his cat to the veterinarian on a City bus. He thanked staff for the policy change.

The meeting adjourned at 11:02 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Sheela Amin
City Clerk