

Source: Public Works

To: <u>City Council</u>
From: <u>City Manager and Staff</u>

Council Meeting Date: Feb 3, 2014

Agenda Item No: REP 14-14

Re: Ridgeway Cottages Sewer Project - Time Extension Request for Funding

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

Staff has prepared for Council consideration a report responding to a Council request made at the January 6, 2014 council meeting, for proposed funding sources and time-line for the proposed Ridgeway Cottages Housing project sewer extension.

# **DISCUSSION:**

The Ridgeway Cottages Sewer project consists of extending public sewer to serve the proposed Ridgeway Cottages Housing Development. Ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) in CDBG funds were budgeted, approved, and appropriated for the sewer extension in 2010. The resolution estimate for the sewer extension, as provided by one of the City's Term and Supply contractor's at the time, was \$15,000 for time and materials. The remaining payment for the project was to come from Sewer Utility funds; however, appropriation of the additional funding was contingent upon proven secured financing for the proposed Ridgeway Cottages Housing Development. At the January 18, 2011 public hearing for the Ridgeway Cottages Sewer project, Council set a three year time limit on the additional assured funding. The developer requested a time extension at the January 6, 2014 council meeting.

The Community Development Commission approved de-obligating the \$10,000 in CDBG funds during the 2013 funding process due to the funds dating back to 2010, the project not progressing, and HUD expenditure requirements. In order to get the project refunded, the CDBG funds should be reapplied for during this year's spring funding process (applications for funding are due April 2014). Sewer Utility funds will be available to assist with the cost difference for the sewer extension if, and when, proof of secured financing for the Ridgeway Cottages Housing Project can be provided.

# **FISCAL IMPACT:**

Funding for this project, should it progress, shall come from Sewer Utility funds and other sources to be determined by the developer. The estimated difference to be paid from Sewer Utility funds in 2010 was \$5,000. Staff anticipates that amount to be higher if the developer re-secures \$10,000 in CDBG funding, as construction costs typically increase with time.

It is anticipated that this project will have minimal impact on the sewer utility's operating cost annually.

# **VISION IMPACT:**

#### http://www.gocolumbiamo.com/Council/Meetings/visionimpact.php

Assisting in funding this sewer extension project supports the goal of offering Columbians well maintained, environmentally sound neighborhoods that include a range of housing options and prices.

### SUGGESTED COUNCIL ACTIONS:

For information only

		FISCAL and \	VISION NO	TES:	
<b>City Fiscal Impact</b> Enter all that apply		Program Impact		Mandates	
City's current net FY cost	\$0.00	New Program/ Agency?	No	Federal or State mandated?	No
Amount of funds already appropriated	\$0.00	Duplicates/Expands an existing program?	No	Vision Implementation impact	
Amount of budget amendment needed	\$0.00	Fiscal Impact on any local political subdivision?	No	Enter all that apply: Refer to Web site	
Estimated 2 year net costs:		Resources Required		Vision Impact?	Yes
One Time	\$0.00	Requires add'l FTE Personnel?	No	Primary Vision, Strategy and/or Goal Item #	5.3
Operating/ Ongoing	\$0.00	Requires add'l facilities?	No	Secondary Vision, Strategy and/or Goal Item #	
		Requires add'l capital equipment?	No	Fiscal year implementation Task #	

