

CATSO Technical Committee Meeting

August 7, 2013

Members Present:

Steve Engelbrecht
Angie Hoecker
Thad Yonke
Derin Campbell
Tim Teddy
Mitch Skov
Dave Nichols
Jim Joy

MoDOT—Central District
MoDOT – Central Office Planning
Boone County—Planning
Boone County— Public Works
City of Columbia— Community Development
City of Columbia— Community Development
City of Columbia – Public Works
University of Missouri (Ex-Officio)

Members Absent:

Bill Robinett
Mike Schupp
Drew Brooks
Scott Bitterman

MoDOT— Multi-Modal Operations
MoDOT—Central District
City of Columbia— Transit
City of Columbia— Public Works

Others Present:

Rachel Bacon

City of Columbia— Community Development

Item 1: Review and Approval of Agenda

Mr. Teddy called the meeting to order at 1:30 P.M. Mr. Teddy asked for adjustments to the agenda. There were no adjustments to the agenda.

Mr. Yonke made a motion to approve the agenda.

Mr. Skov seconded the motion.

Unanimous voice vote for approval was given.

Item 2: Review and Approval of the February 6, 2013 meeting minutes

Mr. Teddy asked if there were any errors or omissions in the minutes or if they were acceptable as prepared.

Mr. Skov said he had minor corrections to Item 5 on page 3. He said to replace the word “but” with “put” and replace “meet” with “met. For clarification he also suggested adding “and be out of compliance” so that the third sentence would read, “He said the current LRTP plan would expire on May 22 **and be out of compliance** until the plan update was finished, likely in December of 2013.”

Mr. Yonke made a motion to approve the minutes as corrected.

Mr. Joy seconded the motion.

Unanimous voice vote for approval was given.

Item 3: FY2014 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) Review

Mr. Skov said the FY2014 UPWP was pretty similar to the prior year. He said the UPWP outlined the scope of work for CATSO for the upcoming fiscal year. He said there was a slight increase in the funding being shown (relative to FY 13) to pay for digital aerial photography. The aerial photography contract would be let in 2014, and the photography would be flow in 2015. He said the most important work activity in the first quarter was the LRTP update, and thereafter in FY2014 John Fleck would continue to work on the model for the anticipated 2015 update. He asked for clarification if the planning partners used a database showing the maintenance of each roadway segment in the system. He said if this was not available, or if the format could be improved, this was another work product the staff was considering,

Mr. Yonke said the answer was dependent upon what the data was being used for, such as 911 addressing, routing, etc. He said the County has a database and he could see if there is a way to subset it to address how it is being used.

Mr. Skov, Ms. Bacon and Mr. Yonke said they would take a look into this.

Mr. Teddy asked if there were any proposed edits to the FY 2014 UPWP review draft. None were proposed.

Mr. Yonke made a motion that after review, the CATSO Technical Committee recommended moving the FY2014 UPWP draft on to the Coordinating Committee for their consideration at the August 22, 2013 meeting.

Mr. Nichols seconded the motion.

Unanimous voice vote was given.

Item 4: 2030 Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Update

Mr. Skov said staff had provided status update documents to the Technical Committee for their review. He said CATSO staff was working with Federal Highway and MoDOT representatives to complete the LRTP update. He said staff was requesting assistance with one aspect at this time, financial strategies/available funding sources, and also requested review and comment upon the three draft chapters which had been provided. He said the plan would not include the modeling update, as the Committee was aware of, until additional Census data was available.

Mr. Skov said staff would like to include any additional funding opportunities, if there were some available, not currently identified in the plan. He asked if the Committee had any suggestions.

Mr. Teddy asked for clarification about development funding.

Mr. Skov said development fees were included in the financial summary.

There was general discussion about which sources of funding were appropriate for listing in the LRTP.

Mr. Nichols asked for clarification about the TIP (Transportation Improvement Program)- could it be amended- and if GetAbout projects were included. He said Council was still deliberating on some of the projects depending upon the outcome of a decision on the Grindstone Trail project.

Mr. Skov said the GetAbout projects were already listed in the TIP and could be amended if needed.

Mr. Engelbrecht said the TIP was still being revised if projects were in the LRTP, if modifications were needed, such as some recent bridge funding.

Mr. Skov asked the Committee to send any revisions on the draft chapters, suggestions about the action items in the action plan, or proposed funding sources to add to the LRTP to CATSO staff.

Item 5: Possible sites for new elementary school on Route K- discussion of impacts

Mr. Teddy said this was a general discussion of the transportation impacts of the choice of Columbia Public Schools on a new elementary school. He said they were now down to two sites, although still taking proposals, the Potterfield site at Route K and South Old Plank Road and the Linnemeyer site at Route K and High Point Lane.

Mr. Yonke said there was a concept review for the (formerly considered) Sapp and Linnemeyer sites, but not the Potterfield site. He said if the School District (CPS) was going to leave that option on the table, there needed to be some advance discussion of the issues. He said CPS was aware of road issues but perhaps not all impacts. He asked if there had been a discussion with MoDOT regarding any planned improvements to Route K? He said the County was not aware of any. He said if the Potterfield site was chosen, the whole access would be in the flood plain, and while motorists could go around, it would be a far distance and there needed to be two ways in for emergency services.

Mr. Engelbrecht asked if Trent Brooks had been in on the discussions. He said he would mention it to him.

Mr. Campbell said it was a problem that there were two major entrances on Route K close together.

There was general discussion of buses and turning movements, a potential round-a-bout at High Point, the poor offset on Old Plank and Sinclair and the creek crossing. The Committee reviewed maps of the areas and looked at potential options.

Mr. Yonke said CPS had to buy the land by September 15 as the school needed to open by 2016.

Mr. Teddy noted there were 850 students at Millcreek and it was overcapacity.

There was general discussion of road conditions, access, neighborhood access, left turn movements and how busing functions in the area.

Mr. Joy noted while there would be an increase in bus traffic to the sites, there was already bus traffic in this area for students living in the area.

Mr. Nichols said City Public Works had submitted a list of pros and cons for each site.

Mr. Yonke said MoDOT needed to be involved and aware of any needed improvements on Route K, and this discussion was also for the benefit of the CATSO member agencies.

Mr. Teddy said he would relay this discussion to the school siting committee.

Mr. Yonke also noted this area was outside the Metro boundary and as they go further into the modeling and with the area platted on the south side of Route K, they would need to include this area in the Metro Area boundary because it was difficult to assign TAZs. He said this was a problem when the County did the traffic study for Battle High School, having to work around the edge of the CATSO boundary was tough as far as traffic modeling went as there was no information for the other side.

Mr. Skov and Ms. Bacon said this would need to be a key factor when the boundary was updated following the LRTP update.

Item 6: MoDOT Request for CATSO Project Needs List

Mr. Skov said this was a discussion item. He had provided the Committee with a list of the 2030 LRTP street priorities for review. He said he had updated the initial cost estimates. He said some of these projects were not in the City's Capital Improvement Program any longer, and these could be dropped.

Mr. Engelbrecht was asked as to what should be included in the Project Needs List.

Mr. Engelbrecht said this request had come out of the On the Move discussions. He said MoDOT was working on a priorities/needs list for their LRTP. He said CATSO would have some overlap with the Mid

MO Regional Planning Commission due to jurisdictional overlap. He said the I-70 and 63 interchange had already entered the discussion.

Mr. Skov asked how CATSO should approach the list, if they should work from the 2030 list or get something from the City Council and County Commission.

Mr. Teddy said they should use the 2030 listing as a starting place as it was already a developed list of needs.

Mr. Engelbrecht said CAMPO (Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization in Jefferson City) had a lot of projects, both MoDOT jurisdiction and not. He said it was easier if only MoDOT roads were included, but different organizations were doing it in different ways.

There was general discussion on what would be included, with the consensus being that the listing should include the state and federal aid systems and multimodal projects as appropriate.

Mr. Teddy said they would do an update for the Coordinating Committee and provide the list to Mr. Engelbrecht as soon as possible.

Item 7: Other Business

Mr. Joy gave an update on students moving in and the challenges of many ongoing infrastructure improvements going on at once on the University of Missouri campus. He said there were many needed improvements.

Item 8: Public Comment

Mr. Teddy asked for public comment. There was no public comment.

Item 9: Adjourn

Mr. Yonke moved to adjourn.

Mr. Skov seconded the motion.

Unanimous voice vote was given.

Meeting adjourned at 2:25 PM.