I. CALL TO ORDER

Present: 8 - Tootie Burns, Dan Harder, Sara Loe, Joy Rushing, Lee Russell, Anthony Stanton, Rusty Strodtman and Brian Toohey
Excused: 1 - Michael MacMann

II. INTRODUCTIONS

III. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Ms. Burns made a motion, seconded my Ms. Russell, to approve the agenda as presented.

Approve the agenda as presented

IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

May 9, 2019 Work Session

Ms. Russell made a motion, seconded my Ms. Burns to approve the May 9, 2019 Work Session minutes as presented.

Approve the May 9, 2019 Work Session minutes as presented

V. NEW BUSINESS

A. April 2019 Building Permit Report

Mr. Zenner presented an overview of the April 2019 Building Permit Report. There was general discussion regarding trends and the desire to work to make the visual display and chart section more clear. Mr. Zenner provided tips on how to understand the report.

VI. OLD BUSINESS

A. 2020 Capital Improvement Plan - Follow up

Mr. Zenner asked Commissioners to provide feedback on the presentations from the prior work session and on the CIP process for programming projects. There was general discussion of the projects which were discussed and the relationship between the Strategic Plan and the CIP. Commissioners appreciate the efforts to plan for future capital improvements, and noted their review of development provided opportunity to give feedback on the CIP from experiencing how
infrastructure issues and requested waivers affect projects and also the public’s expectations.

There was a desire by the Commission for a process that built upon the equity lens of the Strategic Plan. Commissioner’s noted that funding allocation should be reliable and geographically dispersed and balanced. The relationship between planning documents with publically vetted project needs combined with consistent funding and on-time delivery of projects needed to be more reliable. Commissioner referenced the delivery of Sidewalk Master Plan projects as an example of where an apparent disconnection existed.

The Commission and staff worked together to draft the annual CIP memo for City Council. In addition to recommending an outcomes-based process to provide equitable project delivery, Commissioners also encourage coordination between the City and other entities which contribute to infrastructure demands, greater coordinating between the CIP and planning documents, and an emphasis on connecting sidewalk gaps along key pedestrian routes. The memo would be reviewed and finalized by Ms. Loe prior to its provision to the Council.

B. Climate Action and Adaptation Plan - Follow up

Commissioners had reviewed the plan and many had taken the online feedback survey. Mr. Zenner said Ms. Buffaloe had provided a draft form letter of support if the Commission wanted to use it as a starting point. The Commission had general discussion regarding its overall support for planning for climate change and the plan’s goals and objectives. During this discussion concerns were expressed that there were points of friction between some of the goals of the plan internally and with the Comprehensive Plan. Commissioners noted that some of the goals of the Comprehensive Plan had similar friction points, such as promoting density but also desiring to keep neighborhoods as they are. Affordable housing versus mandated energy efficiencies was cited as an example of friction identified within the CAAP.

There was general discussion regarding the possible need to further educate the public on the specific action items, how they would be supported, and how they may conflict with the Comprehensive Plan, existing codes, and how implementation would occur. The Commission noted the Planning Commission’s role in reviewing and diving into any text changes prompted by the CAAP what would revise the Unified Development Code.

Mr. Zenner said the deadline for any letter from the Commission was June 7. There was a June 6 work session that the Commission could discuss this item further if desired. He noted; however, that there were
other topics which needed to be discussed, including the Rock Quarry Stakeholder Group Plan. There was general discussion that resulted in the Commission directing staff to prepare a memo citing broad support of the CAAP as discussed, but conveyance of concerns on the unintended consequences and conflicts that some the plan’s directives may create. Commissioner’s noted a desire to continue to address climate change and adaptation issues in the future though. CMs. Loe would review the memo and then submit it to the Mayor’s Task Force on Climate Action and Adaptation for inclusion into its final report to the Council.

VII. NEXT MEETING DATE - June 6, 2019 @ 5:30 pm (tentative)

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn 7:00 PM.