City of Columbia, Missouri

Meeting Minutes

Columbia Area Transportation Study Organization (CATSO)

Thursday, June 29, 2017 2:30 PM	Coordinating Committee Meeting	City Hall 701 E. Broadway Council Chambers

I. CALL TO ORDER - ROLL CALL OF MEMBERSHIP

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mike Matthes, City of Columbia City Manager
Tim Teddy, City of Columbia Community Development
David Nichols, City of Columbia Public Works
Thad Yonke (for Dan Atwill), Boone County
Mike Henderson, MoDOT Central Office
Steve Engelbrecht (for Dave Silvester), MoDOT Central District
Jenni Jones (for Michelle Teel), MoDOT Multi-Modal
Jeff McCann, Boone County Chief Engineer

ALSO PRESENT

Mitch Skov, City of Columbia - Planning/CATSO staff

MR. MATTHES: All right. I'd like to go ahead and jump into the meeting, as it is 2:30.

Thank you all for coming. We have a relatively short agenda today. Really one public hearing to make. But I would like to start by calling us to order. And if we could just go around the table, introduce ourselves, who we're representing, and who we're -- the organization we're representing, who we're here on behalf of.

MR. HENDERSON: I'm Mike Henderson with the Missouri Department of Transportation, Central Office Transportation Planning.

MS. JONES: Jenni Jones for Michelle Teel, Multimodal Operations, MoDOT.

MR. TEDDY: I'm Tim Teddy with the City of Columbia Community Development Department and CATSO Technical Committee.

MR. MATTHES: Mike Matthes, city manager of Columbia.

MR. NICHOLS: Dave Nichols, Public Works Department, City of Columbia.

MR. ENGELBRECHT: Steve Engelbrecht. I'm with the MoDOT Central District. I'm here for Dave Silvester.

MR. YONKE: Thad Yonke, Boone County. I'm here for Commissioner Atwill.

MR. MCCANN: Jeff McCann, Boone County chief engineer.

MR. MATTHES: Very good.

II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MR. MATTHES: This is Mitch Skov, our amazing staff leader who keeps us all on target, and I appreciate everything he does. Well, you see before you the agenda. Are there any changes to the agenda? Do you have a motion?
MR. YONKE: Move to approve the agenda.
MR. MATTHES: Is there a second?
MR. TEDDY: Second.
MR. MATTHES: All in favor please say aye.
(Unanimous voice vote of approval.)
MR. MATTHES: Opposed, say no.
(No speakers.)

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

DRAFT 5-25-2017 Meeting Minutes

Attachments: CATSO Coord Meeting Minutes 25-May-2017

MR. MATTHES: We have minutes from our previous meeting. Are there any adjustments to the minutes? And I have --
MR. SKOV: Staff reviewed those, Mr. Chairman. We didn't see any issues. We made a couple of small edits, but otherwise they're fine.
MR. YONKE: Move to approve, as edited.
MR. MATTHES: Second?
MR. HENDERSON: Second.
MR. MATTHES: All in favor please say aye.
(Unanimous voice vote of approval.)
MR. MATTHES: Opposed, no.
(No speakers.)
MR. MATTHES: Thank you.

IV. PUBLIC HEARING - PROPOSED CATSO MRP AMENDMENT FOR FUTURE COLLECTOR STREET ALIGNMENTS ON NORTH SIDE OF ROUTE WW

Item 4 Staff Report - CATSO MRP Amendment Public Hearing

Attachments: Staff Memo - Item 4 6-29-17

CATSO MRP Amendment Exhibit 1

Attachments: 105527_CATSO Exhibit 1

Existing CATSO MRP

Attachments: MRP View

Existing CATSO MRP Zoom View

Attachments: Zoom View CATSO MRP

The Brooks Phase 2 Traffic Study

Attachments: The Brooks Phase 2 TIS

MR. MATTHES: All right. We have made it to our agenda item, which is a public hearing, the proposed CATSO MRP Amendment for Future Collector Street Alignments on the North Side of Route WW.

We would like to start with staff comments, and then we'll have Board questions. And then we would like to invite, after that, everyone who is here to come speak, if you'd like. I know it's a rainy day, so we appreciate your coming in.

Mitch, will you start us off?

MR. SKOV: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I'm going to just give a brief overview, given I know a number of you have seen this before.

But just as some background, the existing CATSO Major Roadway Plan does include two proposed future major collector streets on the north side of Route WW to the east of where the preferred alternative corridor location identified for future Stadium Boulevard extension is. And there's two of these collectors, again. I'll go over them in order here.

Number 1 is a north-south major collector that extends between the presumed terminus of any future Ballenger Lane extension at Richland Road. And I'll go over here to the map. Number 1 here on the map is what I'm referencing right now. Again, it lines up with Elk Park Drive, south side of Route WW, extends pretty much straight north up to Richland and where the presumed intersection of the future Ballenger Lane extension would be.

The second major collector that's in this area is the one that aligns with El Chaparral at that collector's presumed intersection of Route WW, and it extends east-northeast to provide access to Rolling Hills Road. Again, number 2 is here. The way it's shown on the roadway plan, it lines up with El Chaparral here, El Chaparral Subdivision on the south side of WW, and extends east-northeast and over to Rolling Hills Road. And, actually, the MRP shows it going all the way to Rangeline. Just FYI.

The City has received a proposed residential subdivision development called the Brooks Phase 2. It's currently in consideration. Got realignment proposals for those two previously-mentioned collectors. It doesn't contain them and their connectivity, but it makes some changes to specific alignments.

There's also another proposed additional internal neighborhood collector street. The first street in play, which is a collector street called Hoylake Drive, is being proposed as part of the development to actually align with Elk Park Drive at a future signalized intersection at Route WW. And from there the alignment would extend through the proposed subdivision, connecting to an existing collector street terminus in the adjacent subdivision at the northeast corner of the proposed layout. This collector street alignment is being proposed as a major roadway through this development, proposed development. And, presumably, I think more

as a major collector, in that right-of-way access would be prohibited or limited.
Here it's -- again, it's number 2 here on the layout of the Brooks Phase 2 additional development. You can see it does make its way through the subdivision and connect up to a collector street here in the northeast corner of that development.
The second proposed realignment is being proposed as a replacement for future major collector street number 2. That would provide access to Rolling Hills Road, as the future MRP collector does. That would be, again, replacement for number 2 here.
Number 1 is not actually on the Brooks Phase 2 development, but it is shown as a major collector. And that would effectively replace the current MRP street layout, which actually connects up to Elk Park. But, instead, this one connects to El Chaparral. It does maintain that north-south connection to the Richland Road and Ballenger Lane extension, the vicinity there.
Again, the subdivision layout also shows another side additional residential street connecting more terminus of the property boundary. It does show another internal collector street, a collector here. Just FYI, it would provide some additional internal circulation. That's just in addition to these two more major collector streets that are proposed as realignments.
There is additional information, which staff has reviewed and found acceptable, in the traffic study which was done in the Brooks Phase 2 development.
Previously the CATSO Tech Committee did, at their May 3rd meeting, review the proposed realignments and did have a general consensus that they would not adversely affect the roadway network in the vicinity and its operational capacity and that they would provide the needed connectivity for any future development there. Presumably the residential development, of course, as it's being proposed.
They did pass a motion to recommend CATSO Coordinating Committee consider that as substitutions for the current future MRP collector streets that are on the current future roadway plan and to potentially schedule a public hearing in consideration of that.
This committee, of course, did review those proposed realignments at the May 25th, 2017 meeting. And at that meeting the developer's representative did make a request to hold a special meeting for the purpose of conducting a public hearing, which the Coordinating Committee then did direct staff to schedule a special meeting for that purpose, which is what is happening today.
Our suggested action to the committee today is, after we hold a public hearing, to approve the proposed amendment for those two future collector street realignments.
Thank you.
MR. MATTHES: Thank you.
Are there comments or at this point questions of the committee for staff?
I guess I do have one. Can you go back to the map before it was changed. What's the red?
MR. SKOV: That is the future Stadium Boulevard extension preferred alternative alignment. The purple is Richland Road, the east-west section. And the north-south section there would be the Ballenger main extension coming in. And

then St. Charles is to the west of that in yellow.
MR. MATTHES: Thanks.
MR. SKOV: Um-hum.
MR. MATTHES: Any other questions?
MR. NICHOLS: When this was discussed at technical committee --
MR. SKOV: Yes.
MR. NICHOLS: -- any comment about the downgrade of that from a major collector to a neighborhood collector?
MR. SKOV: I don't believe that was an issue. I think that the one street, the Hoylake Drive, being a -- effectively a major collector, I believe, at least in terms of driveway access -- I may need help in that regard. I don't recall that there was any specific comment about that.
MR. NICHOLS: Okay.
MR. YONKE: So is that part of the specific request is that they be downgraded from major collector to neighborhood collector or is it really just the alignment?
MR. SKOV: I believe it was just the alignment, was my understanding.
MR. YONKE: Okay. So the level is not critical?
MR. SKOV: Not the -- yeah, there's nothing in the motion or the report about actually downgrading. Still considered a major collector in both cases.
MR. YONKE: Thank you.
MR. MATTHES: All right. Then seeing our questions are exhausted, I'd like to open up the conversation to everyone who's attending.
MR. CROCKETT: Tim Crockett of Crockett Engineering, 1000 West Nifong.
Again, I believe several of you have seen this request before in the past. Several of you have seen it a couple times in the past. I think Mr. Skov did a good job of giving you an overview of the project. I certainly appreciate this committee taking the time and having a special public hearing for this request, given the development of the property that's in question. I do appreciate that. That helps us in our time frame, and I wanted to pass that along.
With regards to the question, Mr. Nichols, we are not asking for any downgrade of the streets in question. They are shown as major collectors. We're going to adhere to that, adhere to that major collector status. We're not proposing -- we have not proposed any driveway access through the developments themselves directly onto that street, other than our lot connected to side streets.
Again, I think Mr. Skov did a good job of giving the overview of the property. Again, I think if you look at the traffic study that's indicative of this area, it's consistent with what's taking place out there. I believe it would meet the purpose and the intent, the desire of what needs to take place with regards to the collector streets are still intact, they can still transfer the property -- excuse me, the traffic from the properties in the appropriate locations. And the two collector streets will be connected internally via collect -- excuse me, residential streets, so there will be connectivity.
One of the items that we had with the development itself, we were going to have a secondary point of access out on WW via a neighborhood collector, and it's relatively close in proximity to one of the major collectors. So we envision at some

point that access would probably become ride-in/ride-out when that intersection is ever standard form.

Our desire -- and we've talked to the neighbors to the west -- would be to access our development directly to that collector street so we have direct access to that and the future signalized intersection. So we have one point of access, one location that can be dealt with, as opposed to two. And the City has asked us to look at that and several of the neighbors to see about that, and those discussions have taken place.

So the traffic study's been done. I believe that all parties, other than the City, and MoDOT has reviewed that. I believe they're -- they said it's accurate, and they approved that with respect to the request for consideration of this request today. Happy to answer any questions that you may have.

MR. NICHOLS: I've got one, Tim. On the side street to the west on your proposed collector, the distance between that and WW, have you looked at that from a stacking standpoint there?

MR. CROCKETT: Which one is it?

MR. NICHOLS: It would be the driveway to the west coming in. You know, is that going to be signalized there?

MR. CROCKETT: Which...

MR. NICHOLS: Yeah, this drive up here. No, go over more. The driveway to the other collector.

MR. CROCKETT: Oh, okay. The number 2 basically --

MR. NICHOLS: Yeah.

MR. CROCKETT: -- called that -- intersection identified as number 2?

MR. NICHOLS: Yes.

MR. CROCKETT: Have we looked at stacking on that?

MR. NICHOLS: Yeah.

MR. CROCKETT: We have not. We will be happy to do that. And that can be something we can address in the preliminary plat, if we can put that street up a block higher

MR. NICHOLS: All right.

MR. CROCKETT: Be happy to do it. And we -- like I said, we have all those -- our traffic engineer has all those. We can review that; and if it's going to be a stacking issue, we can address that from a plat standpoint.

MR. NICHOLS: Sounds good.

MR. MATTHES: Thank you.

MR. CROCKETT: Thank you.

MR. MATTHES: Are there other comments? Last chance.

All right. Well, seeing none, we will call the public hearing to a close, and I will entertain the motion.

MR. YONKE: I'll move that we change alignments as shown.

MR. MATTHES: Amend the MRP. Is there a second?

MR. TEDDY: Second.

MR. MATTHES: All in favor please say aye.

(Unanimous voice vote of approval.)

MR. MATTHES: Opposed, any?

(No voices.)

MR. MATTHES: The MRP is amended. Very good.

V. OTHER BUSINESS

VI. GENERAL COMMENTS BY PUBLIC, MEMBERS AND STAFF

MR. MATTHES: All right. Now we always reserve time in the meeting to take general comments by the public, members of the committee, and staff. Are there any other comments about anything related to CATSO that wasn't on the agenda? Seeing none, the next meeting date, Mitch?

VII. NEXT MEETING DATE

MR. SKOV: August 24th, which is the usual Thursday. It will be at 2:30 in this room.

MR. MATTHEWS: Thank you.

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

MR. MATTHES: Without objection, I'll declare us adjourned.

Members of the public may attend any open meeting. For requests for accommodations related to disability, please call 573-874-7214. In order to assist staff in making the appropriate arrangements for your accommodation, please make your request as far in advance of the posted meeting date as possible.