Excerpts

Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting

May 4, 2017

Case Number 17-112

A request by the City of Columbia Community Development Department for adoption of the Columbia Area Transportation Study Organization (CATSO) Major Roadway Plan (MRP).

MR. STRODTMAN: May we have a staff report, please?

Staff report was given by Mr. Mitch Skov of the Planning and Development Department. Staff recommends adoption of the CATSO Major Roadway Plan, dated April 2017.

MR. STRODTMAN: Thank you, Mr. Skov. We always look forward to having new guests speak with us, so we thank you. Commissioners, any questions for our new speaker? Mr. MacMann?

MR. MACMANN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Planner Skov, other than combining these, the essential amendment in the development and planning process of the highway and road plan will remain the same?

MR. SKOV: That's correct.

MR. MACMANN: So --

MR. SKOV: It would just -- it would be a different -- at least if the Council were to adopt what we're going to suggest as part of our report, it would be done in a different order as opposed to CATSO taking action, which includes, of course, City representation --

MR. MACMANN: Uh-huh.

MR. SKOV: -- taking action on amendments and then once that has been adopted, those amendments being taken directly to the City Council from there. That's a redundant -- that's a redundancy that other agencies do not -- do not have. For example, the Boone County Commission accepts the CATSO Major Roadway Plan as being the roadway plan. They do not officially adopt it because they have representation on both the technical and coordinating committees.

MR. MACMANN: Okay. All right. Now, I'm with you now. That's where I was going. Thank you very much.

MR. STRODTMAN: Commissioners, additional questions? Ms. Loe?

MS. LOE: You mentioned that the plans are virtually identical. In which ways are they not?

MR. SKOV: Well, they're -- for example, we made an amendment in -- I believe in December of 2015 to add a neighborhood collector for an extension of Cinnamon Hill Lane that is not yet on the City's major roadway plan. That's an extension from the eastern terminus of Stadium north along the 63 corridor and going to the City water tower there currently, but it is -- I believe the development is called Kelly Farms. There will be an extension of that street through Kelly Farms to the terminus and then there's one other property to the east and northeast that Cinnamon Hill Lane would need to be extended

through to get to WW and make a connection there. So, that's -- that's the most recent example of what's different. There are also some additional collector streets in the northeast area near Battle High School that have been added to the City major roadway plan that we didn't -- we have not made the second step of also taking them to the -- to the City Council for addition to the City plan because there's -- it's very -- it can be very confusing in that the City does recognize both plans in effect because of the fact that there's actually more City representation on the CATSO Coordinating Committee and on the Technical Committee than either of the other two jurisdictions. So again, it's a bit of a gray area there.

MS. LOE: I'm going to say I think I'm more familiar with the CATSO plan than I am with the Columbia MRP.

MR. SKOV: I think you would be.

MS. LOE: Especially if this -- the MRP hasn't been updated since February 2010.

MR. SKOV: That was the last time we took amendments to the City.

MS. LOE: Uh-huh.

MR. SKOV: There's a three-year period we took, I would say, a few dozen amendments to the City Council for and -- well, for P & Z Commission initially and then to the City Council for adoption into the City plan, but again we feel it's a redundancy that's unnecessary.

MS. LOE: So, redundancy, but also would you then say that you believe the CATSO plan more accurately represents an updated version of the master street plan -- road plan today?

MR. SKOV: Well, it's an updated version of what is now showing the City's major roadway plan. Correct.

MS. LOE: Okay.

MR. SKOV: That -- that map. Again, what staff is going to suggest to Council is that we -- any -- right now, there's no systematic way to bring amendments forth to CATSO, and it could be -- it could come -- it has come from the City Council before that the request for amendment was made. It's also come from individual citizens and from development interests. So it would be preferable from a transparency perspective to have every suggested amendment within the City limits come to City Council first for direction to the P & Z Commission review and recommendation, and then back to Council with the recommendation, and then City Council simply pass a resolution requesting that CATSO consider the amendment whatever it might be. And that's happened in one case that I can think of, but, again, there's no accepted systematic process for that. And that's not what we're requesting here. At this point, we're just requesting adoption of the CATSO MRP or recommendation of the same.

MS. LOE: But adoption -- you're pointing out that adoption of it includes the necessity of coming up with some plan for how to amend it?

MR. SKOV: Yes. I would suggest that it does.

MS. LOE: It sounds like it. Yeah.

MR. STRODTMAN: That would be their recommendation. Commissioners, any additional discussion needed? Motion? Discussion? Mr. MacMann?

MR. MACMANN: In the matter of Case 17-112 -- Mr. Stanton -- Commissioner Stanton is not present. Someone else is covering —-

MR. STRODTMAN: You're doing well.

MR. MACMANN: Someone else has -- someone else has covered the win-win, so I'll pick up with the motion. In the matter of Case 17-112, CATSO Major Roadway Plan adoption, I move that we here so adopt.

MR. STRODTMAN: Thank you, Mr. MacMann. Do we have a second?

MR. TOOHEY: Second.

MR. STRODTMAN: Thank you. We have a second. We have a motion that has been made to accept approval of Case 17-112 by Mr. MacMann and seconded by Mr. Toohey. Commissioners, do we have any additional discussion on this motion? I see none. Ms. Burns, when you're ready.

MS. BURNS: Yes. Thank you.

Roll Call Vote (Voting "yes" is to recommend approval.) Voting Yes: Ms. Rushing, Ms. Russell, Mr. Toohey, Ms. Burns, Ms. Loe, Mr. Harder, Mr. MacMann, Mr. Strodtman. Motion carries 8-0.

MS. BURNS: Eight to zero, motion carries.

MR. STRODTMAN: Thank you, Ms. Burns. P & Z's recommendation for approval will be forwarded to City Council for their review. Mr. Skov, we appreciate you coming and you're welcome any time.

MR. SKOV: You're very welcome. Thank you.